

1 **Q. Please state your name, business address and present position with Rocky**
2 **Mountain Power (the Company), a division of PacifiCorp.**

3 A. My name is F. Robert Stewart. My business address is 4171 W Lake Park Blvd,
4 Salt Lake City, Utah 84120. My present position is Regulatory Consultant,
5 Customer & Regulatory Liaison in the Customer Services Department.

6 **Q. Are you the same F. Robert Stewart who has previously testified in this**
7 **proceeding?**

8 A. Yes. However my business address has changed to that just given from when my
9 direct testimony was given.

10 **Q. Do you have any other changes to your direct testimony?**

11 A. Yes, I am withdrawing the proposed change to Regulation No. 3 and the
12 associated testimony given in my direct testimony – from line 30, page 2, through
13 line 78, page 4. Specifically, the Company is withdrawing the proposed changes
14 to Regulation No. 3 to hold former customers responsible for reasonable court
15 costs, attorney’s fees and /or collection agency fees incurred in the collection of
16 unpaid debt. Consequently I am also withdrawing Exhibit RMP___(FRS-1)
17 which contained the modified Regulation 3.

18 **Q. Why is this proposal being withdrawn?**

19 A. This withdrawal is being made subsequent to agreement with the AARP and to
20 allow further study of the issue in a more collaborative fashion with interested
21 parties if the Company pursues the issue in the future. There was concern by third
22 parties that this change would pose a burden on low income customers, in
23 particular the low income elderly. In general the elderly are conscientious in

24 payment of their debts and the Company expectation is they would not be
25 burdened. But since the Company's data does not include customer age or income
26 the actual impact on different classes of residential customers could not be
27 projected using current Company data.

28 **Q. Are there other changes to your testimony?**

29 A. No. The Company continues to support the remaining proposed changes and
30 recommends the commission approve them. None of the intervening parties to
31 the case have opposed these changes, and the Company has not received objection
32 from any party to the remaining proposals.

33 **Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?**

34 A. Yes, it does.