Page 1

From:

Carol Revelt

To:

Livingston, Merilee

Date:

10/13/2008 7:34 AM

Subject:

Fwd: Re: Rocky Mountain Power net metering: request for comments and

**Attachments:** 

Net Excess Gen by State, 2007.rtf

CC:

Allen, Ron; Boyer, Ted; Campbell, Ric; Wilson, Rebecca

Merilee: Please add this e-mail and attachement to the net metering docket -- 07-999-08. Thanks,

Carol

>>> "Kevin & Emily Day-Shumway"

10/10/08 12:53 AM >>>

Carol,

Please add my comment on this issue (Docket 08-035-78): It has come to my attention that for several months in 2008, Rocky Mountain Power has been crediting at the retail rate (not the avoided cost rate) excess generation for its Utah net metering customers. I spoke about it with Travis Tanner at Pacificorp's net metering offices. My understanding from his explanation was that because there are so few net metering customers who have excess generation, that it hasn't always been cost effective to train staff about the different regulations of each state in order to individually adjust each customer's bill. So for several months, Pacificorp net metering "adjusters" were simply doing the same thing for its Oregon and Utah customers. But the utility's intent is to eventually follow the laws for each state.

This made me think about how little money we are perhaps talking about in regard to net excess generation. With that in mind, I propose that the main issues include not only fully assessed value of renewable energy and fairness to the renewable energy producer, although these are extremely important, but also the cost savings for the utility with billing complexities. Many states and utilities have found that setting the net excess generation credit at the retail rate resolves this complication so that utilities, customers and regulators can move on the bigger questions like infrastructure and saving the world. I have attached a list of states and utilities and their crediting rates. 43 out of 59 utilities and/or states credit at the retail rate or higher.

Kevin Shumway

---- Original Message -----

From: Carol Revelt<mailto:CREVELT@utah.gov>
To: Carol Revelt<mailto:CREVELT@utah.gov>
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 4:05 PM

Subject: Rocky Mountain Power net metering: request for comments and

FROM: http://www.irecusa.org/index.php?id=90 FILE: December\_2007\_NM\_table

retail rate: 42 out of 59 above retail: 1 (Tennessee)

| STATE OR UTILITY   | CREDIT RATE FOR EXCESS GENERATION  |
|--|--|
| Arizona - Arizona Public Service   | retail rate  |
| Arizona - Salt River Project   | average monthly market price minus a price adjustment of \$0.00017/kWh         |
| Arizona - Tucson Electric Power  | (retail rate?) - "credited to customer's next bill"                            |
| Arkansas   | retail rate  |
| California   | retail rate  |
| Colorado   | retail rate  |
| Colorado - Delta-Montrose Electric   | granted to utility monthly   |
| Colorado - Empire Electric Association                                     | rate of average cost of power from wholesale supplier                          |
| Colorado - Fort Collins  | retail rate  |
| Colorado - Gunnison County Electric  | wholesale rate   |
| Colorado - Holy Cross  | retail rate  |
| Colorado - La Plata Electric Association                                   | avoided cost rate  |
| Connecticut  | retail rate  |
| Delaware   | retail rate  |
| District of Columbia   | retail rate  |
| Florida  | retail rate  |
| Georgia  | retail rate  |
| Hawaii   | retail rate  |
| ldaho  | retail rate  |
| Illinois - Specific rules developing in Docket 07-<br>0483, opened 9/18/07 | 40 kW or less, 1:1 ratio;<br>40kW to 2 MW, credited at provider's avoided cost |
| Indiana  | retail rate  |
| lowa   | retail rate  |
| Kentucky   | retail rate  |
| Louisiana  | retail rate  |
| Maine  | retail rate  |
| Maryland   | retail rate  |
| Massachusetts  | average monthly market rate  |
| Michigan   | retail rate  |
| Minnesota  | retail rate - "average retail utility energy rate"                             |
| Missouri   | avoided cost rate  |
| Montana  | retail rate  |
| Nevada   | retail rate  |
| New Hampshire  | retail rate  |
|  | retail rate  |

| New York   | retail rate, except wind systems over 10 kW, which is credited at the utility's avoided-cost rate |
|--|---|
| North Carolina                                   | retail rate   |
| North Dakota                                     | avoided cost rate   |
| Ohio   | utility's unbundled generation rate   |
| Ohio - Yellow Springs Utilities                  | not addressed   |
| Oklahoma   | varies by utility   |
| Oregon   | retail rate   |
| Oregon - Ashland Electric                        | retail rate   |
| Pennsylvania                                     | retail rate   |
| Rhode Island                                     | avoided cost rate   |
| South Carolina - Santee Cooper Net billing pilot | between 3.2 and 5.7 cents per kWh, depending on whether power is received during non-peak or peak |
| Tennessee - TVA                                  | 2.21 cents above retail rate as of 5/2008   |
| Texas  | avoided cost rate   |
| Texas - Austin Energy                            | (retail rate?) - "credited to customer's next bitl"   |
| Utah .   | avoided cost rate (original file showed retail rate, which is incorrect)                          |
| Utah - City of St. George                        | avoided cost rate   |
| Utah - Murray City Power                         | retail rate   |
| Vermont  | retail rate   |
| Virginia   | retail rate   |
| Virgin Islands (U.S.)                            | retail rate   |
| Washington                                       | retail rate   |
| West Virginia                                    | retail rate   |
| Wisconsin  | generally retail rate for renewables, avoided cost for non-renewables                             |
| Wyoming  | retail rate   |