

On January 6, 2009, the Commission held a hearing on the Stipulation. Daniel Solander, counsel for Rocky Mountain Power, appeared on behalf of the Company. Steven McDougal testified on behalf of the Company. Michael Ginsburg, Assistant Attorney General appeared on behalf of the Division. David Thomson testified on behalf of the Division. Cheryl Murray testified for the Committee.

II. Stipulation and Order

The terms of the Stipulation agreed to by the Parties and presented to the Commission at the January 6, 2009, hearing are as follows:

- RMP agrees to reduce the amortization period of the \$40.519 million pension benefit from ten years, as proposed in the application, to three years;
- the Parties agree that the amortization of pension benefits shall begin January 1, 2009 and such amortization shall be included in the revenue requirement of the Company's current general rate case, Docket No. 08-035-38;
- the Parties agree to the amortization of the \$13.773 million measurement date change transitional adjustment over ten years, as proposed by the Company in the application;
- the Parties agree that the amortization of the measurement date change transitional adjustment shall begin January 1, 2008, and that the second year of the ten year amortization occurring in 2009 will be reflected in the

DOCKET NO. 08-035-93

-3-

Company's revenue requirement in its current general rate case, Docket No. 08-035-38;

- the Parties agree that the unamortized balances of the pension measurement date change and pension curtailment benefit will not be included in rate base during the amortization periods; and
- the Company acknowledges that it should have included in its 2007 general rate case filing (Docket 07-035-93) information on this known and required future change in treatment to the pension measurement date.

Based on the Commission's review of the Stipulation, and based on the testimony and representations made at the hearing, the Commission concludes that the Stipulation is just and reasonable and in the public interest. Therefore, the Commission enters this ORDER approving the Stipulation in its entirety.

Pursuant to Utah Code § 63G-4-301 and 54-7-15, an aggrieved party may request agency review or rehearing of this Order by filing a written request for review or rehearing with the Commission within 30 days after the issuance of the Order. Responses to a request for agency review or rehearing must be filed within 15 days of the filing of the request for review or rehearing. If the Commission does not grant a request for review or rehearing within 20 days after the filing of a request for review or rehearing, it is deemed denied. Judicial review of the Commission's final agency action may be obtained by filing a petition for review with the Utah Supreme Court within 30 days after final agency action. Any petition for review must comply with the

DOCKET NO. 08-035-93

-4-

requirements of Utah Code §§ 63G-4-401, 63G-4-403, and the Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, this 4th day of February, 2009.

/s/ Ted Boyer, Chairman

/s/ Ric Campbell, Commissioner

/s/ Ron Allen, Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Julie Orchard
Commission Secretary

G#60498