

# ACTION REQUEST

Date: November 26, 2008

TO: Division of Public Utilities                      RESPONSE DUE BY December 19, 2008  
FROM: Public Service Commission                      EFFECTIVE DATE January 1, 2009

SUBJECT: PacifiCorp                                      08-035-T09  
(Company Name, Case Number, etc.)

This is a request for the Division to conduct:

\_\_\_\_\_ Review Tariff Compliance

\_\_\_\_\_ Analysis of Complaint

  X   Investigation

\_\_\_\_\_ Other

## EXPLANATION AND STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED

The purpose of this filing is to propose modifications to the Cool Keeper program that are intended to retain and increase customer participation rates.

Additional Action Request Issues to be Addressed:

1. How sensitive are the cost benefit results to temperature assumptions? What happens if the number of days the program gets used is very low (like last year's "cool" summer)?
2. How sensitive are the cost benefit results to discount rate assumptions?
3. How sensitive are the cost benefit results to peak pricing assumptions?
4. What are the results for all four of the cost benefit tests (with no adders or adjustments)?
5. What are the key drivers of the cost benefit results (if not mentioned above)? How sensitive are the cost benefit results to changes in these major drivers?