

07-035-93/Rocky Mountain Power  
June 23, 2008  
UIEC 19<sup>th</sup> Set Data Request 19.2



## UIEC Data Request 19.2

### **Class Cost of Service Allocation/Methodology & Procedures:**

For each non-demand metered class for which peaks are inferred, please identify who designed the sample, when the sample was designed, when the sample was deployed or used, the accuracy of the sample, and provide a copy of the study or analysis that designed the sample and determined its accuracy.

### **Response to UIEC Data Request 19.2**

This request has been interpreted as seeking information on those schedules and classes where load peaks are derived from sample data. This includes both non-demand metered classes (residential class, schedule 023) as well as demand metered classes (schedule 006, irrigation).

#### **Utah Residential Class**

Sample designed by: The Company's meter data management group  
Sample design date: 1991, updated in 1999  
Sample deployed: Winter, 1991  
Accuracy of sample: Designed to PURPA standards, 90% confidence level, ± 10% precision level.  
Copy of study: Please refer to Attachment UIEC 19.2 -1

#### **Utah Schedule 006**

Sample designed by: The Company's meter data management group  
Sample design date: 1990  
Sample deployed: Fall, 1990  
Accuracy of sample: Designed to PURPA standards, 90% confidence level, ± 10% precision level.  
Copy of study: Please refer to Attachment UIEC 19.2 -2

#### **Utah Schedule 023**

Sample designed by: The Company's meter data management group  
Sample design date: 1990  
Sample deployed: Fall, 1990  
Accuracy of sample: Designed to PURPA standards, 90% confidence level, ± 10% precision level.  
Copy of study: Please refer to Attachment UIEC 19.2 -3

#### **Utah Irrigation Class**

Sample designed by: The Company's meter data management group  
Sample design date: Fall 2005  
Sample deployed: Spring 2006  
Accuracy of sample: Designed to PURPA standards, 90% confidence level, ± 10% precision level.  
Copy of study: Please refer to Attachment UIEC 19.2 -4

**UTAH**

**07-035-93**

**GENERAL RATE CASE**

**ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER**

**UIEC 19<sup>TH</sup> SET DATA REQUEST (1-28)**

**ATTACHMENT UIEC 19.2 -(1-4)**

**ON THE ENCLOSED CD**

UT 07-035-93  
UIEC Set 19 (1-28)  
Attachment UIEC 19.2 -(1-4)

**UTAH RESIDENTIAL SERVICE**  
**Load Recorder Study**  
**1991**  
**Sampling Procedures**

This paper describes the procedures used to develop the 1991 Utah Residential Class of Service load recorder study sample. This study will provide load data for use in support of cost studies and price filings before the state Public Utility Commissions and for use in other studies of residential service customer demand characteristics. The goal of the sample design is to provide relative precision of + or - 10 percent at the 90 percent confidence level for an estimate of the average of twelve monthly PacifiCorp system peaks.

Recorders will be placed in the field to be in service by December 1991. This will be an ongoing study with no removal date assigned at this time.

**SAMPLING PLAN FOR UTAH RESIDENTIAL**

The sampling plan includes several steps:

1. Specification of the target variable;
2. Choice of the stratification variable;
3. Choice of method for estimating kw;
4. Choice of the number of strata;
5. Construction of the strata boundaries;
6. Allocation of sample points to each stratum.

**Specification of the Target Variable**

Load studies are used primarily to support cost allocation studies. Current cost study methods use the average demand at the hours of the monthly PacifiCorp system peak for twelve consecutive months (PCS12AVG), as well as estimates of class, jurisdictional and individual customer maximum loads, each averaged over twelve consecutive months.

The current study design would normally use PCS12AVG as the target variable for the purpose of study design, because it is by far the most important peak measure for allocation of demand related costs. This however, presented some estimation problems. The previous Utah residential service load recorder study conducted in 1986 - 1990 was the source of data for estimates of means and residual variances required in the sample design. The choice of the new target variable incorporating data from 12 consecutive months presented some estimation problems because of the relatively large proportion of cases in the previous study with missing data in at least one month. This presented two options: use only sites with complete data, trusting that these customers are reasonably representative of all customers, or develop a

version of the target variable that does not require twelve months data.

An alternative variable available for a larger number of sites was chosen. The alternative was the monthly average peak kw based on all customers with at least nine months data (PCS09AVG). For this measure a larger number of load study sites had adequate data. KWh was also taken from load data records.

### Choice of the Stratification Variable

A potential stratifying variable, according to Cochran, should meet three criteria:

1. Accessibility,
2. Sufficient variation in magnitude, and
3. Close relation to the estimated variable.

The sum of kWh for 12 months ending March 1991 was selected as the best available variable for this purpose. It is accessible for all residential customers and can range from 0 to over 2 million annual kWh for this customer group. Intuitively, there is also a high degree of correlation between KWHANN and the target variable, the average of 12 system hourly peaks.

### Choice of Method for Estimating kW

Mean Per Unit was the method chosen for estimating PCS12AVG.

To estimate a peak demand for a population using Mean Per Unit, the mean peak demand value from the sample is multiplied by the number of elements in the entire population. Use of the Mean Per Unit method provides an unbiased estimate.

### Choice of the Number of Strata

As the number of strata increases, precision of the estimate of the total contribution to demand (kW) at system peak also increases. However, the increase in precision per additional stratum diminishes after a relatively small number of strata (Cochran, 1977: 132). Desire for simplicity and for a reasonable number of sites in each stratum lead to a preference for a small number of strata. If a minimum sites policy is followed (eg. 10 sites minimum per stratum), then the addition of strata can actually lead to more, rather than fewer, total sites. If such a policy is not followed, the result can be strata with so few recorders that confidence in sample estimates is at risk from unexpected data problems. Variance estimates may not be sufficiently precise for future sample design purposes, and the sample may not be robust enough to be useful when analysis needs change.

A final decision on number of strata requires actual comparison of potential stratification schemes to evaluate effectiveness versus cost. For these studies, a four strata scheme was employed. The method described below was used to compare

stratification approaches.

### Construction of the Strata Boundaries

Various methods might be used for definition of strata boundaries. Cochran (1961) found the "cumulative square root of f" rule to be superior in a comparative study of such methods applied to actual distributions exhibiting a range of skewness.

Steps in calculating strata boundaries under the cumulative square root of f rule (Cochran, 1977: 129-130) are as follows. First, tabulate frequencies of the stratifying variable. For this study annual kWh from customer accounting records for the year ending March 1991 was used. All residential customers, regardless of status (Active or Inactive) and who had annual kWh greater than 250 were included in this procedure and in population figures for the sample design. Second, multiply the number of customers in each interval by the interval factor. Third, take the square root of these frequencies. Fourth, sum the square roots. The resulting distribution of adjusted cumulative square roots of frequency is then partitioned into equal intervals by dividing by the number of strata. The stratification scheme for four strata is presented in Table 1, and shows the optimal boundaries resulting from the above procedure and adjustments made to accommodate the current cost analysis requirements.

### Allocation of Sample Points to Each Stratum

Once the stratum boundaries have been determined, sample points (i.e., load recorders) must be assigned to strata. The Tschprow-Neyman allocation procedure (Cochran, 1977:96-99) allocates an optimal sampling rate to each stratum. Optimal allocation techniques minimize the variance of the population estimates by increasing the sample proportion in the strata having larger variances. This produces a sampling rate for each stratum which is proportional to the standard deviation within the stratum. The variance within each stratum was the ordinary variance of the mean.

Minimum recorder allocations and data loss adjustments are required for each stratum to maintain adequate data in case of recorder failure and to provide data for analysis of load characteristics other than the primary target variable, should such analysis be necessary. Minimums ranging from 5 to 12 sites per stratum have been used in past studies. In the present studies, a minimum of 10 sites was used. A minimum on the high side was selected, despite improvements in data quality due to solid-state recording equipment, because changing requirements of load research and other areas using this data may require unanticipated applications, and because overall sample efficiencies are bringing these studies in well below the budgeted number of sites even with the 10 site minimum. The final allocation of recorders reflected an additional twelve percent data loss adjustment per stratum over the optimal or minimum allocation.

The allocations were designed to achieve a 90 percent confidence level with + or - 10

percent relative precision. The design obtained for Utah residential using the optimal/minimum allocation before adjustments for data loss results in a relative precision of + or - 9.75 percent at the 90 percent confidence level (Table 2).

#### SAMPLE SELECTION

Systematic sample selections were used for each stratum to ensure a representative distribution. Eligible customers were then sorted by stratum, and by annual kWh. The number of customers available in the sampling frame for each stratum was then divided by the number of recorders to be allocated to that stratum, yielding the sampling interval size. A two-digit random number between 0 and 1 was chosen for each stratum and multiplied by the stratum interval size to obtain the starting selection point for each stratum (Table 3). Beginning with this site, additional sites were selected at the given intervals to obtain the desired number of sample sites. This procedure was repeated four times to provide a list of alternate selection sites.

#### NOTES

Stratum-1 was adjusted from 64 to 74 sites so that the stratum would fall within the 20 percent precision level desired by Load Research for all strata.

Stratum sample size was adjusted from 11 to 15 sites for stratum 4 due to the request from Ken Powell of the PSC that stratum 4 have at least a 15 percent precision level.

Because a total of 169 meters had been budgeted and ordered for this sample and because the total sample size was now 171, stratum 2 was reduced by 2 sites. This brought the total size to 169 and still kept stratum 2 below a 14 percent precision level.

UTAH RESIDENTIAL SERVICE LOAD STUDY DESIGN OPTION FOR 1991  
EXCLUDING SCHEDULES 046 & 092

4 STRATA - MEAN PER UNIT ESTIMATION - 9 MO AVG MERGED SYSTEM PEAK

		a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
		Sample Mean kW	Sample Mean kWh	1988 Pop N	Variance of Mean	Standard Deviation	Wtd. Devns. c'e	Proprin. row i/ sum f	Optimal Allocation g'h total	Manually Adjusted Allocatn.	Final with Attrition
STRATUM 1	250- 6000 kwh	0.7841	5,872	210,486	0.6687	0.8177	172123	0.4291	57	66	74
STRATUM 2	6001- 12000 kwh	1.3285	9,256	191,095	0.7519	0.8671	165703	0.4131	55	55	60
STRATUM 3	12001- 25000 kwh	3.0609	17,552	45,380	1.3800	1.1747	53309	0.1329	18	18	20
STRATUM 4	> 25000 kwh	6.0303	41,073	4,895	4.1500	2.0372	8972	0.0249	3	13	15

EST POP MEAN (wtd by N)	1,2998	8,857	451,856	401107	1.0000	132	152	169
-------------------------	--------	-------	---------	--------	--------	-----	-----	-----

Target Final  
Allocatn. Target  
132 148

RELATIVE PRECISION OF SAMPLE KW ESTIMATE

	TOTAL KW Optimal n (col h)	TOTAL KW Adjusted n (col i)	MEAN KW Adj. n
Variance contributed by strata:	1 528,890,217 2 508,323,231 3 167,104,002 4 49,688,658	455,846,667 508,323,231 167,104,002 8,264,514	0.002232 0.002490 0.000818 0.000040

Total Variance	1,254,014,105	1,139,338,414	0.005580
----------------	---------------	---------------	----------

Standard Error	35,412	33,754	0.074701
----------------	--------	--------	----------

Desired Conf Level (z two-tailed)	90% 1.645	90% 1.645	90% 1.645
--------------------------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

Conf Interval	58,253	55,525	0.122883
---------------	--------	--------	----------

MPU Est. of KW	587,335	587,335	1.2998
----------------	---------	---------	--------

Relative CI	9.92 %,+ or -	9.45 %,+ or -	9.45 %,+ or -
-------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

Final Sample Size Precision Level	Stratum 1 19.9%	Stratum 2 13.9%	Stratum 3 14.1%	Stratum 4 14.3%
--------------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------

Sample Size By Precision Level	10.0%	12.5%	15.0%	17.5%	20.0%
Stratum 1	294	188	131	96	74
Stratum 2	115	74	51	38	29
Stratum 3	40	26	18	13	10
Stratum 4	31	20	14	10	8
Total	480	308	214	157	121

Total CI	5.7%	7.2%	8.6%	10.1%	11.6%
----------	------	------	------	-------	-------

## Utah Schedule 006 Load Recorder Study Sampling Procedures

This paper describes the procedures used to develop the 1990 Utah Schedule 006 sample. This study will provide load data for use in support of cost studies and price filings before the Utah Public Utility Commission, and for use in other studies of general service customer demand characteristics. The goal of the sample design is to provide relative precision of  $\pm 10\%$  at the 90% confidence level for an estimate of the average of the twelve monthly system peak hours, during twelve consecutive months.

Recorders will be placed in service effective no later than January 1<sup>st</sup>, 1991, and will be monitored on a continuous basis to insure no significant deviation from billing records.

### Sampling Plan for Utah

The sampling plans includes several steps:

1. Formalization of the sample parameters;
2. Specification of the target variable;
3. Choice of the stratification variable;
4. Choice of method for estimating kW;
5. Choice of the number of strata;
6. Construction of the strata boundaries
7. Allocation of sample points to each stratum;
8. Selection of primary sample sites;
9. Selection of alternate sample sites.

#### Formalization of the sample parameters

This sample replaces the old Utah Schedule 006 sample, which is no longer providing load estimates at a statistically reliable level.

#### Specification of the target variable

Load studies in the state of Utah are used primarily to support cost allocation studies. Current cost study methods use the average demand at the hours of the PacifiCorp system peak for twelve consecutive months (12SYSPK), as well as estimates of distribution and individual customer maximum demands, each averaged over twelve consecutive months. These study designs treat 12SYSPK as the target variable because it is, by far, the most important peak measure for allocation of demand related costs.

The previous Utah Schedule 006 study was used as a source of data for estimates of means and residual variances required in these sample designs. This Utah study closely mirrors the tariff requirements defined by the current Utah Schedule 006 tariff in that it encompasses those commercial customers whose billed monthly demand lies between 0 and 1,000 kW.

### Choice of the Stratification Variable

A potential stratifying variable, according to Cochran, should meet three criteria<sup>1</sup>:

1. *The population is composed of institutions varying widely in size.*
2. *The principle variables to be measured are closely related to the sizes of the institutions.*
3. *A good measure of size is available for setting up the strata.*

Annual billing kWh (KWH\_ANN), which is the sum of the twelve consecutive months of billed energy was selected as the best available variable for this purpose. It is accessible for all commercial service customers and can range from .21 to 7,000,000 kWh for this customer group. Moreover, it has been shown that the probable average relationship of kWh usage versus coincidental peak demand is stable and doesn't fluctuate significantly over time<sup>2</sup> (the Second Law of Load Diversity).

### Choice of Method for Estimating kW

To estimate a peak demand for a population using MPU, the mean peak demand value from the sample is multiplied by the number of elements in the entire population. Use of the MPU method provides an unbiased estimate.

For ratio estimation, the ratio of the target variable (12SYSPK) over the auxiliary variable (KWH\_ANN) is calculated for the sample. This ratio is then multiplied by the total annual billed kWh for the population to get the estimated total group peak demand. Because energy usage and peak demand are correlated, a ratio estimate will have a smaller variance than a MPU estimate. However, a ratio estimate may be slightly biased.

With stratified sample designs, ratio estimators can be computed in two ways: separately for each stratum, or a combined ratio can be computed over all strata. Separate ratio estimation tends to result in smaller variance. However, the combined ratio method is more appropriate when stratum sample sizes are small, because the risk of bias is reduced.

Tables A.3 shows sample size needed for the Utah Schedule 006 study using a mean-per-unit method, assuming a four strata design. The sample design assumes optimal

<sup>1</sup> William G. Cochran, "Sampling Techniques", Third Edition, Wiley, pg.101

<sup>2</sup> Constantine W. Bary, "Report of Subcommittee on Coincidence Factors of the Special Committee on Load Studies of the AEIC", minutes 55<sup>th</sup> and 56<sup>th</sup> annual meetings (1939 & 1940)

allocation utilizing the Tschprow/Neyman method. The design as presented in Table A.2 was selected for this sample.

### Choice of the Number of Strata

As the number of strata increases, precision of the estimate of the total contribution to demand (kW) at system peak also increases. However, the increase in precision per additional stratum diminishes after a relatively small number of strata<sup>3</sup>. Desire for simplicity, and for a reasonable number of sites in each stratum lead to a preference for a small number of strata. If a minimum number of sites policy is followed (eg. 10 sites minimum per stratum), then the addition of strata can actually lead to more, rather than fewer, total sites. If such a policy is not followed, the result can be strata with so few recorders that confidence in sample estimates is at risk from unexpected data problems, variance estimates may not be sufficiently precise for future sample design purposes, and the sample may not be robust enough to be useful when analysis needs change.

A final decision on the number of strata requires actual cost comparison of potential stratification schemes to evaluate effectiveness versus cost. For this study, a four strata scheme was employed (Table A.2). The method described below was used to compare stratification approaches.

### Construction of Strata Boundaries

Various methods might be used for definition of strata boundaries. Cochran found the "cumulative square root of f"<sup>4</sup> rule, as defined by Dalenius and Hodges (1959), to be superior in a comparative study of such methods applied to actual distributions exhibiting a range of skewness.

Steps in calculating strata boundaries under the "cumulative square root of f" rule are as follows. First, tabulate frequencies of the stratifying variable. For these studies, annual energy usage (KWH\_ANN) from customer billing records for the year ending 05/1990 were used. All Utah Schedule 006 customers, regardless of end of year status (active/inactive) or annual consumption were included in this procedure, and in population figures for the sample design. Second, multiply the number of customers in each interval by the interval factor. Third, take the square root of these frequencies. Fourth, cumulatively sum the square roots. The resulting distribution of adjusted cumulative square roots of frequency is then partitioned into equal intervals by dividing by the number of strata. The final stratification scheme of four strata is presented in

<sup>3</sup> William G. Cochran, "Sampling Techniques", Third Edition, Wiley, Pg. 132

<sup>4</sup> William G. Cochran, "Sampling Techniques", Third Edition, Wiley, Pgs. 129-130

Table D.2, and shows the optimal boundaries resulting from the above procedure, after adjustments made to accommodate prior cost analysis requirements.

#### Allocation of Sample Points to Each Stratum

Once the stratum boundaries have been determined, sample points (i.e., load recorders) must be assigned to the strata. The Tschprow-Neyman allocation procedure<sup>5</sup> allocates an optimal sampling rate to each stratum. Optimal allocation techniques minimize the variance of the population estimates by increasing the sample proportion in the strata having larger variances. This produces a sampling rate for each stratum which is proportional to the standard deviation within the stratum. The analogous procedure for a ratio sampling plan is allocation in proportion to the square root of the residual variance.

Data for estimating the variance of the 12SYSPK measure for each stratum were available for customers included in the previous Utah Schedule 006 load study. These data were used to provide like estimates for this Utah Schedule 006 sample design. For the mean-per-unit method, the variance within each stratum was the ordinary variance of the mean.

Minimum recorder allocations and data loss adjustments are required for each stratum to maintain adequate data in case of recorder failure and to provide data for analysis of load characteristics other than the primary target variable, should such analysis be necessary. Minimums ranging from 5 to 15 sites per stratum have been used in past studies. In the present studies, a minimum of 10 sites was used. A minimum on the high side was selected, despite improvements in data quality due to solid state recording equipment, because changing requirements for load research and other areas using this data may require unanticipated applications, and because overall sample efficiencies are bringing these studies in well below the budgeted number of sites, even with the 10 site minimum. The final allocation of recorders reflected an additional twelve percent data loss adjustment per stratum over the optimal or minimum allocation.

#### Sample Selection

Systematic sample selections were used for each stratum to ensure a representative distribution. For practical reasons, inactive customers, customers with no kWh meter installed (usually certain types of lighting customers with very predictable demand and consumption, indicated by absence of a kWh meter number), and customers with very low consumption (<250 kWh in the past 12 billing periods) were eliminated from the sampling frame. Eligible customers were then sorted by stratum and by annual billed energy (KWH\_ANN) within stratum. The number of customers available in the sampling frame for each stratum was then divided by the number of recorders allocated to that stratum, yielding the sampling interval size. A two digit random number between 0

<sup>5</sup> William G. Cochran, "Sampling Techniques", Third Edition, Wiley, pgs. 96-99

and 1 was chosen for each stratum, and multiplied by the stratum interval size to obtain the starting selection point for each stratum (Table A.1). Beginning with this site, additional sites were selected at the given intervals to obtain the desired number of sample sites. This procedure was repeated four times to provide a list of alternate selection sites.

Utah Power & Light Company  
 Bill Frequency Summary  
 Utah General Service Rate 006  
 Based on 12 Months ending May 1990

Range	No. of Customers	Interval Factor	f	SQ Root Of f	Cumulative SQ Rt of f
201- 250	0	49	0	0.00	0.00
251- 300	16	49	784	28.00	28.00
301- 350	11	49	539	23.22	51.22
351- 400	13	49	637	25.24	76.46
401- 450	9	49	441	21.00	97.46
451- 500	12	49	588	24.25	121.70
501- 600	25	99	2475	49.75	171.45
601- 700	12	99	1188	34.47	205.92
701- 800	13	99	1287	35.87	241.80
801- 900	21	99	2079	45.60	287.39
901- 1000	12	99	1188	34.47	321.86
1001- 1250	43	249	10707	103.47	425.33
1251- 1500	37	249	9213	95.98	521.32
1501- 1750	43	249	10707	103.47	624.79
1751- 2000	45	249	11205	105.85	730.65
2001- 2250	39	249	9711	98.54	829.19
2251- 2500	33	249	8217	90.65	919.84
2501- 2750	42	249	10458	102.26	1022.10
2751- 3000	34	249	8466	92.01	1114.11
3001- 4000	127	999	126873	356.19	1470.31
4001- 5000	122	999	121878	349.11	1819.42
5001- 6000	114	999	113886	337.47	2156.89
6001- 7000	87	999	86913	294.81	2451.70
7001- 8000	95	999	94905	308.07	2759.76
8001- 9000	86	999	85914	293.11	3052.87
9001- 10000	79	999	78921	280.93	3333.80
10001- 11000	72	999	71928	268.19	3602.00
11001- 12000	68	999	67932	260.64	3862.63
12001- 13000	53	999	52947	230.10	4092.74
13001- 14000	59	999	58941	242.78	4335.51
14001- 15000	53	999	52947	230.10	4565.62
15001- 16000	58	999	57942	240.71	4806.33
16001- 17000	53	999	52947	230.10	5036.43
17001- 18000	40	999	39960	199.90	5236.33
18001- 19000	36	999	35964	189.64	5425.97
19001- 20000	47	999	46953	216.69	5642.66
20001- 21000	44	999	43956	209.66	5852.31
21001- 22000	35	999	34965	186.99	6039.30
22001- 23000	41	999	40959	202.38	6241.69
23001- 24000	43	999	42957	207.26	6448.95
24001- 25000	28	999	27972	167.25	6616.20
25001- 30000	175	4999	874825	935.32	7551.52
30001- 35000	167	4999	834833	913.69	8465.21
35001- 40000	166	4999	829834	910.95	9376.16
40001- 45000	166	4999	829834	910.95	10287.11
45001- 50000	143	4999	714857	845.49	11132.61
50001- 60000	255	9999	2549745	1596.79	12729.40
60001- 70000	265	9999	2649735	1627.80	14357.20
70001- 80000	261	9999	2609739	1615.47	15972.67
80001- 90000	221	9999	2209779	1486.53	17459.20
90001- 100000	229	9999	2289771	1513.20	18972.40
100001- 200000	1718	99999	171798282	13107.18	32079.58
200001- 300000	1002	99999	100198998	10009.94	42089.53
300001- 400000	537	99999	53699463	7327.99	49417.52
400001- 500000	321	99999	32099679	5665.66	55083.18
500001- 600000	231	99999	23099769	4806.22	59889.40
600001- 700000	163	99999	16299837	4037.31	63926.71
700001- 800000	118	99999	11799882	3435.10	67361.80
800001- 900000	90	99999	8999910	2999.98	70361.79
900001- 1000000	80	99999	7999920	2828.41	73190.20
1000001- 1250000	149	249999	37249851	6103.27	79293.46
1250001- 1500000	106	249999	26499894	5147.80	84441.27
1500001- 1750000	75	249999	18749925	4330.12	88771.39
1750001- 2000000	76	249999	18999924	4358.89	93130.28
2000001- 2250000	67	249999	16749933	4092.67	97222.95
2250001- 2500000	45	249999	11249955	3354.10	100577.04
2500001- 3000000	50	499999	24999950	4999.99	105577.04
3000001- 3500000	29	499999	14499971	3807.88	109384.92
3500001- 4000000	16	499999	7999984	2828.42	112213.34
4000001- 4500000	18	499999	8999982	3000.00	115213.34
4500001- 5000000	4	499999	1999996	1414.21	116627.55
5000001- 6000000	5	999999	4999995	2236.07	118863.62
6000001- 7000000	5	999999	4999995	2236.07	121099.69

Total 8853

	2	3	4	5
2 60549.84	1	60549.84	40366.56	30274.92
3 40366.56	2	121099.69	90733.12	60549.84
4 30274.92	3		121099.69	48439.87
5 24219.94	4		90824.76	72659.81
	5		121099.69	96879.75
				121099.69

## UTAH GENERAL SERVICE 006 LOAD STUDY DESIGN

## 4 STRATA - MEAN PER UNIT ESTIMATION - 12 MO AVG SYSTEM PEAK

	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
	Sample Mean kW	Sample Mean kWh	1990 Pop N	Residual Variance	Ratio Resid SQ RT	Wtd. Devs. c*e	Propn. row #/ sum f	Optimal Allocation g*h total	Manually Adjusted Allocain.	Final with Attrition
STRATUM 1	251	300,000 kWh	20,6789	115,920	6,668	216,9000	14.7275	98203	0.3515	24
STRATUM 2	300,001 - 800,000 kWh	105,8285	762,286	1,370	3536.0000	59,4643	81466	0.2916	20	27
STRATUM 3	800,001 - 2,000,000 kWh	204,4228	1,344,345	576	2490.0000	49,8999	28742	0.1029	7	11
STRATUM 4	GT 2,000,000 kWh	668,1779	4,383,055	239	88127.1190	296,8621	70950	0.2540	17	17
EST POP MEAN (wtd by N)	63.2909	411,067	8,853			279362	1,0000	67	71	79
									Target Allocain.	Final Target
									67	75

## RELATIVE PRECISION OF SAMPLE KW ESTIMATE

	TOTAL KW Optimal n (col. h)	TOTAL KW Adjusted n (col. i)	MEAN KW Adj. n
Variance contributed by strata:	1 417,788,932 2 344,201,684 3 136,013,760 4 292,240,542	417,788,932 344,201,684 136,013,760 292,240,542	5.330599 4.391694 1.150840 3.728718

Total Variance 1,190,244,919  
 Standard Error 34,500  
 Desired Conf Level (z two-tailed) 90%

Conf Interval	1.645	1.645	90% 90% 90%
MPU est. of kW	56,752	55,649	1.645 1.645
Relative CI	560,314	560,314	6.285934 6.285934
	10.13 % + or -	9.93 % + or -	9.93 % + or -

LRS: SDT  
 \utmp14  
 22-Aug-90

UTAH GENERAL SERVICE 006 SAMPLE PARAMETERS

STRATUM	1	2	3	4
SAMPLING FRAME	6,668	1,370	576	239
SAMPLE	27	22	11	19
INTERVAL	246.96	62.27	52.36	12.58
RANDOM STARTS				
Primary				
Random No.(1)	0.87	0.46	0.89	0.62
Start	215	29	47	8
Alternate 1				
Random No.(1)	0.81	0.66	0.63	0.22
Start	200	41	33	3
Alternate 2				
Random No.(1)	0.01	0.21	0.07	0.29
Start	2	13	4	4
Alternate 3				
Random No.(1)	0.77	0.09	0.96	0.18
Start	190	6	50	2
Alternate 4				
Random No.(1)	0.10	0.72	0.71	0.93
Start	25	45	37	12

(1) Random numbers from Snedecor and Cochran (1967), p 19,  
beginning at row 14, cols. 12 and 13.

PacifiCorp Electric Operations  
Utah Power Division  
Stratified Sample Specifications

State : Utah  
Revenue Class : General Service  
Rate Schedule : All Excluding Schedule 023  
Based On : 12 Months Ended May 1990

Population	Sample Size	Mean		Bound		Precision Level
		kW By Strata	Standard Deviation	On The Mean	Mean	
Stratum 1	6,668	27	21	15	4.7	22.5%
Stratum 2	1,370	22	106	59	20.9	19.7%
Stratum 3	576	11	204	50	24.7	12.1%
Stratum 4	239	19	668	297	112.0	16.8%
Total	8,853	79	63	34	6.3	9.9%

## UTAH GENERAL SERVICE LOAD STUDY DESIGN EXCLUDING SCHEDULE 023

## 4 STRATA - MEAN PER UNIT ESTIMATION - 12 MO AVG SYSTEM PEAK

	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k
	Sample Mean kW	Sample Mean kWh	1988 Pop N	Variance of Mean	Standard Deviation	Weighted Devs. C <sub>6</sub>	Proportion row if Allocatn.	Optimal Allocation sum if g'tl	Manually Adjusted Allocatn.	Final with Attrition	
STRATUM 1	<= 300 MWH	20,6789	115,920	6,668	216,9000	14,7275	98203	0,3515	24	24	
STRATUM 2	300 - 800 MWH	105,9285	762,286	1,370	3586,0000	59,4643	81466	0,2916	20	20	
STRATUM 3	800 - 2,000 MWH	204,1228	1,344,245	5,76	2490,0000	49,8989	20742	0,1029	7	10	
STRATUM 4	> 2,000 MWH	668,1779	4,385,055	239	86127,1190	296,8621	70950	0,2540	17	17	
EST POP MEAN (rnd by N)		63,2999	411,067	8,853		278382	1,0000	67	71	79	

## RELATIVE PRECISION OF SAMPLE KW ESTIMATE

	TOTAL KW Optimal n (col. h)	TOTAL KW Adjusted n (col. i)	MEAN KW Adj. n
Variance contributed by strata:	1 417,788,932 2 344,201,684 3 156,013,750 4 232,240,542	417,788,932 344,201,684 80,197,760 232,240,542	5,330,569 4,391,694 1,150,940 3,728,718

Total Variance	1,190,244,919	1,144,428,819	14,601,850
Standard Error	34,500	33,829	3,821,237
Desired Conf Level (2 tailed)	90% 1,645	90% 1,645	90% 1,645
Conf Interval	56,752	55,649	6,285,954
MPU Est. of KW	560,314	560,314	63,299
Relative CI	10.13 % + or -	9.93 % + or -	9.93 % + or -

LRS/SDT  
UTMPA4  
22 Aug 90

UTAH GENERAL SERVICE LOAD STUDY DESIGN EXCLUDING SCHEDULE 023 4 STRATA - MEAN PER UNIT ESTIMATION - 12 MO AVG DIVISION PEAK

	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j
	Sample Mean kW	Sample Mean kWh	1988 Pop N	Variance of Mean	Standard Deviation	Wtd. Devn. c'e	Propn. row i/ sum f	Optimal Allocation g'h total	Manually Adjusted Allocn.	Final with Attrition
STRATUM 1	< = 300 MWH	20,6789	115,920	6,668	216,9000	14,7275	98203	0.3515	24	24
STRATUM 2	300 - 800 MWH	105,8285	762,286	1,370	3536,0000	59,4643	81466	0.2916	20	22
STRATUM 3	800 - 2000 MWH	204,4228	1,344,345	576	2490,0000	49,8999	28742	0.1029	7	10
STRATUM 4	> 2000 MWH	668,1779	4,383,055	239	88127,1190	296,8621	70950	0.2540	17	19

EST POP MEAN (wtd by N)	63,2909	411,067	8,853		279362	1.0000	67	71	79
-------------------------	---------	---------	-------	--	--------	--------	----	----	----

RELATIVE PRECISION OF SAMPLE KW ESTIMATE	Target Allocn.	Final Target
	67	75

	TOTAL KW Optimal n (col. h)	TOTAL KW Adjusted n (col. i)	MEAN KW Adj. n
Variance 1	417,788,932	417,788,932	5.330599
contributed 2	344,201,684	344,201,684	4.391694
by strata: 3	136,013,760	90,197,760	1.150840
4	292,240,542	292,240,542	3.728718

Total Variance	1,190,244,919	1,144,428,919	14.601850
----------------	---------------	---------------	-----------

Standard Error	34,500	33,829	3.821237
----------------	--------	--------	----------

Desired Conf Level (z two-tailed)	90%	90%	90%
	1.645	1.645	1.645

Conf Interval	56,752	55,649	6.285934
---------------	--------	--------	----------

MPU Est. of KW	560,314	560,314	63,2909
----------------	---------	---------	---------

Relative CI	10.13 %,+ or -	9.93 %,+ or -	9.93 %,+ or -
-------------	----------------	---------------	---------------

Precision Level	Stratum 1 22.5%	Stratum 2 19.5%	Stratum 3 12.0%	Stratum 4 16.1%

Sample Size By Precision Level	10.0%	12.5%	15.0%	17.5%	20.0%
Stratum 1	137	88	61	45	34
Stratum 2	85	55	38	28	21
Stratum 3	16	10	7	5	4
Stratum 4	53	34	24	17	13

Total	291	187	130	95	72
-------	-----	-----	-----	----	----

Total CI	4.8%	6.2%	7.6%	9.1%	10.5%
----------	------	------	------	------	-------

Utah Power & Light Company  
Load Research Section  
Stratified Sample Design

State: Utah  
 Revenue Class: General Service  
 Rate Schedule: Excluding Schedule 023  
 Based on: 12 months ended May 1990

Strata	Range	Population (N <sub>h</sub> )	Weight (W <sub>h</sub> )	KWH by Stratum (ΣY <sub>h,i</sub> )	Sum of Squares (ΣY <sub>h,i</sub> <sup>2</sup> )
I	250- 300,000	6,668	0.753191	634,740,161	107,369,574,076,975
II	300,001- 800,000	1,370	0.154750	648,466,306	332,054,032,105,660
III	800,001-2,000,000	576	0.065063	727,645,119	9.86153487E+14
IV	GT 2,000,000	239	0.026996	693,439,362	2.21664089E+15
Total		8853		1 2,704,290,948	3.64221799E+15

Strata	Variance (S <sub>h</sub> <sup>2</sup> )	Standard Deviation (S <sub>h</sub> )	(W <sub>h</sub> S <sub>h</sub> )	(W <sub>h</sub> S <sub>h</sub> )	$\frac{W_h S_h}{\sum W_h S_h}$ *
I	7,041,757,569	83,915	5,303,788,487	63,204	0.481011
II	18,344,400,765	135,442	2,838,792,392	20,960	0.159511
III	116,416,030,026	341,198	7,574,340,144	22,199	0.168946
IV	860,008,875,556	927,367	23,217,228,200	25,036	0.190532
Total	1,001,811,063,916	1,487,922	38,934,149,223	131,399	1

Sample Distribution (1)  
Boundries 90 ± 10%

Strata (n<sub>h</sub>)

I	24	27
II	8	7
III	9	8
IV	10	9

$$n = \frac{(\sum W_h S_h)^2}{V + \frac{1}{N} \sum W_h S_h^2} = 49$$

Total 51      V (Specified Variance) = (d/t)<sup>2</sup> where:

d = error margin of population mean

t = normal deviate for confidence level

- (1) All proportional allocations to the strata have been rounded up.  
 \* Neyman Allocation

**Utah Power & Light Company**  
**Load Research Section**  
**Stratified Sample Design**

State: Utah  
 Revenue Class: General Service  
 Rate Schedule: Excluding Schedule 023  
 Based on: 12 months ended May 1990

Strata	Range	(N↑7h↓)	Population (W↑7h↓)	Weight (Y↑7hi↓)	KWH by Stratum (Y↑52↓7hi↓)	Sum of Squares
I	250- 300,000		6668	0.753191	634740161	107369574076975
II	300,001- 800,000		1370	0.154750	648466306	332054032105660
III	800,001-2,000,000		576	0.065063	727645119	9.8615348672E+14
IV	GT 2,000,000		239	0.026996	693439362	2.2166408939E+15
	Total		8853	1	2704290948	3.6422179868E+15
			=====	=====	=====	=====

Strata	Standard Variance Deviation W↑7h↓S↑7h↓				
	(S↑7h↓152↓)	(S↑7h↓)	(W↑7h↓S↑7h↓152↓)	(W↑7h↓S↑7h↓)	W↑7h↓S↑7h↓
I	7041757569.267	83915.181	5303788486.6	63204.2	0.481011
II	18344400765.367	135441.503	2838792392.2	20959.5	0.159511
III	116416030025.706	341197.934	7574340144.0	22199.3	0.168946
IV	860008875556.373	927366.635	23217228200.4	25035.7	0.190532
Total	1001811063916.713	1487921.253	38934149223.2	131398.6	1
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

Boundries Total Size Strata	Sample Distribution (1)				
	90% ± 10%	90% ± 7.5%	90% ± 5%	95% ± 10%	95% ± 7.5%
	49	87	191	70	122
I	24	42	92	34	59
II	8	14	31	12	20
III	9	15	33	12	21
IV	10	17	37	14	24
Total	51	88	193	72	124
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

(1) All proportional allocations to the strata have been rounded up.  
 • Neyman Allocation

Utah Power & Light Company  
Load Research Section  
Stratified Sample Design

State: Utah  
 Revenue Class: General Service  
 Rate Schedule: Excluding Schedule 023  
 Based on: 12 months ended May 1990

Strata	Range	(N $\uparrow$ 7h $\downarrow$ )	Population (W $\uparrow$ 7h $\downarrow$ )	Weight (Y $\uparrow$ 7hi $\downarrow$ )	KWH by Stratum	Sum of Squares
I	250 - 300,000		6668	0.753191	634740161	107369574076975
II	300,001 - 800,000		1370	0.154750	648466306	332054032105660
III	800,001 - 2,000,000		576	0.065063	727645119	9.8615348672E+14
IV	GT 2,000,000		239	0.026996	693439362	2.2166408939E+15
	Total		8853	1	2704290948	3.6422179868E+15
			=====	=====	=====	=====

Strata	Standard Variance Deviation		W $\uparrow$ 7h $\downarrow$ S $\uparrow$ 7h $\downarrow$		
	(S $\uparrow$ 7h $\downarrow$ ↑52 $\downarrow$ )	(S $\uparrow$ 7h $\downarrow$ )	(W $\uparrow$ 7h $\downarrow$ S $\uparrow$ 7h $\downarrow$ ↑52 $\downarrow$ )	(W $\uparrow$ 7h $\downarrow$ S $\uparrow$ 7h $\downarrow$ )	W $\uparrow$ 7h $\downarrow$ S $\uparrow$ 7h $\downarrow$
I	7041757569.267	83915.181	5303788486.6	63204.2	0.481011
II	18344400765.367	135441.503	2838792392.2	20959.5	0.159511
III	116416030025.706	341197.934	7574340144.0	22199.3	0.168946
IV	860008875556.373	927366.635	23217228200.4	25035.7	0.190532
Total	1001811063916.713	1487921.253	38934149223.224	131398.613	1.000
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

Confidence Level	$\pm 10\%$	$\pm 7.5\%$	$\pm 5\%$	$\pm 3\%$	$\pm 1\%$
90%	49	87	191	487	2201
91%	53	93	203	516	2264
92%	56	99	217	548	2330
93%	60	105	228	575	2383
94%	64	113	245	613	2453
95%	70	122	265	658	2529
96%	76	134	288	708	2609
97%	85	149	320	777	2706
98%	98	171	365	869	2823
99%	119	207	438	1015	2977

\* Neyman Allocation

**Utah Power & Light Company**  
**Bill Frequency Summary**  
**Utah General Service Excluding Rate 23**  
**Based on 12 Months Ending May 1990**

Range	No. of Customers	Interval Factor	1	SO Root Of 1	Cummulative SO Rt of 1
251- 300	16	49	784	28.00	28.00
301- 350	11	49	539	23.22	51.22
351- 400	13	49	637	25.24	76.46
401- 450	9	49	441	21.00	97.46
451- 500	12	49	588	24.25	121.70
501- 600	25	99	2475	49.75	171.45
601- 700	12	99	1188	34.47	205.92
701- 800	13	99	1287	35.87	241.80
801- 900	21	99	2079	45.60	287.39
901- 1000	12	99	1188	34.47	321.86
1001- 1250	43	249	10707	103.47	425.33
1251- 1500	37	249	9213	95.98	521.32
1501- 1750	43	249	10707	103.47	624.79
1751- 2000	45	249	11205	105.85	730.65
2001- 2250	39	249	9711	98.54	829.19
2251- 2500	33	249	8217	90.65	919.84
2501- 2750	42	249	10458	102.26	1022.10
2751- 3000	34	249	8466	92.01	1114.11
3001- 4000	127	999	126873	356.19	1470.31
4001- 5000	122	999	121878	349.11	1819.42
5001- 6000	114	999	113886	337.47	2156.89
6001- 7000	87	999	86913	294.81	2451.70
7001- 8000	95	999	94905	308.07	2759.76
8001- 9000	86	999	85914	293.11	3052.87
9001- 10000	79	999	78921	280.93	3333.80
10001- 11000	72	999	71928	268.19	3602.00
11001- 12000	68	999	67932	260.64	3862.63
12001- 13000	53	999	52947	230.10	4092.74
13001- 14000	59	999	58941	242.78	4335.51
14001- 15000	53	999	52947	230.10	4565.62
15001- 16000	58	999	57942	240.71	4806.33
16001- 17000	53	999	52947	230.10	5036.43
17001- 18000	40	999	39960	199.90	5236.33
18001- 19000	36	999	35964	189.64	5425.97
19001- 20000	47	999	46953	216.69	5642.66
20001- 21000	44	999	43956	209.66	5852.31
21001- 22000	35	999	34965	186.99	6039.30
22001- 23000	41	999	40959	202.38	6241.69
23001- 24000	43	999	42957	207.26	6448.95
24001- 25000	28	999	27972	167.25	6616.20
25001- 30000	175	4999	874825	935.32	7551.52
30001- 35000	167	4999	834833	913.69	8465.21
35001- 40000	166	4999	829834	910.95	9376.16
40001- 45000	166	4999	829834	910.95	10287.11
45001- 50000	143	4999	714857	845.49	11132.61
50001- 60000	255	9999	2549745	1596.79	12729.40
60001- 70000	265	9999	2649735	1627.80	14357.20
70001- 80000	261	9999	2609739	1615.47	15972.67
80001- 90000	221	9999	2209779	1486.53	17459.20
90001- 100000	229	9999	2289771	1513.20	18972.40
100001- 200000	1718	99999	171798282	13107.18	32079.58
200001- 300000	1002	99999	100198998	10009.94	42089.53
300001- 400000	537	99999	53699463	7327.99	49417.52
400001- 500000	321	99999	32099679	5665.66	55083.18
500001- 600000	231	99999	23099769	4806.22	59899.40
600001- 700000	163	99999	16299837	4037.31	63926.71
700001- 800000	118	99999	11799882	3435.10	67361.80
800001- 900000	90	99999	8999910	2999.98	70361.79
900001- 1000000	80	99999	7999920	2828.41	73190.20
1000001- 1250000	149	249999	37249851	6103.27	79293.46
1250001- 1500000	106	249999	26499894	5147.80	84441.27
1500001- 1750000	75	249999	18749925	4330.12	88771.39
1750001- 2000000	76	249999	18999924	4358.89	93130.28
2000001- 2250000	67	249999	16749933	4092.67	97222.95
2250001- 2500000	45	249999	11249955	3354.10	100577.04
2500001- 3000000	50	499999	24999950	4999.99	105577.04
3000001- 3500000	29	499999	14499971	3807.88	109384.92
3500001- 4000000	16	499999	7999984	2828.42	112213.34
4000001- 4500000	18	499999	8999982	3000.00	115213.34
4500001- 5000000	4	499999	1999996	1414.21	116627.55
5000001- 6000000	5	999999	4999995	2236.07	118861.62
6000001- 7000000	5	999999	4999995	2236.07	121099.69
Total	8853				
2	60549.84	1	2	3	4
3	40366.56	2	60549.84	40366.56	30274.92
4	30274.92	3	121099.69	80733.12	60549.84
5	24219.94	4		121099.69	48439.87
		5			72659.81
					96879.75
					121099.69

BILLING FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY  
KWH BASED ON THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MAY 1990

KHH RANGE	NO. ACCOUNTS	KHH	SUM OF KHH SQUARED	ACCUMULATED NO. ACCOUNTS	ACCUMULATED KHH	ACCUMULATED SUM OF KHH SQUARED	
							Strata 2
250 - 300 -	16	447	167	6,668	6,34740,161	107,369,574,076,975	2
300 - 350 -	16	496	1370	1,370	6,48466,306	332,054,322,105,660	3
350 - 400 -	16	526	576	576	727,645,119	986,453,186,717,080	4
400 - 450 -	16	526	239	239	693,439,362	2,216,640,893,880,898	

UTAH POWER & LIGHT COMPANY  
BILL FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION - ANNUAL KWH CONSUMPTION  
UTAH GENERAL SERVICE EXCLUDING SCHEDULED 23 MONTHS  
BASSED ON THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MAY 1990

KWH

16. የመሆኑን ስራው አገልግሎት ተወስኗል፡፡ ይህም የመሆኑን ስራው አገልግሎት ተወስኗል፡፡ የመሆኑን ስራው አገልግሎት ተወስኗል፡፡

A scatter plot showing the distribution of data points across different KWH RANGE bins. The x-axis represents the COUNT of observations, and the y-axis represents the KWH RANGE. The distribution is roughly symmetric and centered around a COUNT of 500.

KWH RANGE	COUNT
000	1
001	1
002	1
003	1
004	1
005	1
006	1
007	1
008	1
009	1
010	1
011	1
012	1
013	1
014	1
015	1
016	1
017	1
018	1
019	1
020	1
021	1
022	1
023	1
024	1
025	1
026	1
027	1
028	1
029	1
030	1
031	1
032	1
033	1
034	1
035	1
036	1
037	1
038	1
039	1
040	1
041	1
042	1
043	1
044	1
045	1
046	1
047	1
048	1
049	1
050	1
051	1
052	1
053	1
054	1
055	1
056	1
057	1
058	1
059	1
060	1
061	1
062	1
063	1
064	1
065	1
066	1
067	1
068	1
069	1
070	1
071	1
072	1
073	1
074	1
075	1
076	1
077	1
078	1
079	1
080	1
081	1
082	1
083	1
084	1
085	1
086	1
087	1
088	1
089	1
090	1
091	1
092	1
093	1
094	1
095	1
096	1
097	1
098	1
099	1
100	1
101	1
102	1
103	1
104	1
105	1
106	1
107	1
108	1
109	1
110	1
111	1
112	1
113	1
114	1
115	1
116	1
117	1
118	1
119	1
120	1
121	1
122	1
123	1
124	1
125	1
126	1
127	1
128	1
129	1
130	1
131	1
132	1
133	1
134	1
135	1
136	1
137	1
138	1
139	1
140	1
141	1
142	1
143	1
144	1
145	1
146	1
147	1
148	1
149	1
150	1
151	1
152	1
153	1
154	1
155	1
156	1
157	1
158	1
159	1
160	1
161	1
162	1
163	1
164	1
165	1
166	1
167	1
168	1
169	1
170	1
171	1
172	1
173	1
174	1
175	1
176	1
177	1
178	1
179	1
180	1
181	1
182	1
183	1
184	1
185	1
186	1
187	1
188	1
189	1
190	1
191	1
192	1
193	1
194	1
195	1
196	1
197	1
198	1
199	1
200	1
201	1
202	1
203	1
204	1
205	1
206	1
207	1
208	1
209	1
210	1
211	1
212	1
213	1
214	1
215	1
216	1
217	1
218	1
219	1
220	1
221	1
222	1
223	1
224	1
225	1
226	1
227	1
228	1
229	1
230	1
231	1
232	1
233	1
234	1
235	1
236	1
237	1
238	1
239	1
240	1
241	1
242	1
243	1
244	1
245	1
246	1
247	1
248	1
249	1
250	1
251	1
252	1
253	1
254	1
255	1
256	1
257	1
258	1
259	1
260	1
261	1
262	1
263	1
264	1
265	1
266	1
267	1
268	1
269	1
270	1
271	1
272	1
273	1
274	1
275	1
276	1
277	1
278	1
279	1
280	1
281	1
282	1
283	1
284	1
285	1
286	1
287	1
288	1
289	1
290	1
291	1
292	1
293	1
294	1
295	1
296	1
297	1
298	1
299	1
300	1
301	1
302	1
303	1
304	1
305	1
306	1
307	1
308	1
309	1
310	1
311	1
312	1
313	1
314	1
315	1
316	1
317	1
318	1
319	1
320	1
321	1
322	1
323	1
324	1
325	1
326	1
327	1
328	1
329	1
330	1
331	1
332	1
333	1
334	1
335	1
336	1
337	1
338	1
339	1
340	1
341	1
342	1
343	1
344	1
345	1
346	1
347	1
348	1
349	1
350	1
351	1
352	1
353	1
354	1
355	1
356	1
357	1
358	1
359	1
360	1
361	1
362	1
363	1
364	1
365	1
366	1
367	1
368	1
369	1
370	1
371	1
372	1
373	1
374	1
375	1
376	1
377	1
378	1
379	1
380	1
381	1
382	1
383	1
384	1
385	1
386	1
387	1
388	1
389	1
390	1
391	1
392	1
393	1
394	1
395	1
396	1
397	1
398	1
399	1
400	1
401	1
402	1
403	1
404	1
405	1
406	1
407	1
408	1
409	1
410	1
411	1
412	1
413	1
414	1
415	1
416	1
417	1
418	1
419	1
420	1
421	1
422	1
423	1
424	1
425	1
426	1
427	1
428	1
429	1
430	1
431	1
432	1
433	1
434	1
435	1
436	1
437	1
438	1
439	1
440	1
441	1
442	1
443	1
444	1
445	1
446	1
447	1
448	1
449	1
450	1
451	1
452	1
453	1
454	1
455	1
456	1
457	1
458	1
459	1
460	1
461	1
462	1
463	1
464	1
465	1
466	1
467	1
468	1
469	1
470	1
471	1
472	1
473	1
474	1
475	1
476	1
477	1
478	1
479	1
480	1
481	1
482	1
483	1
484	1
485	1
486	1
487	1
488	1
489	1
490	1
491	1
492	1
493	1
494	1
495	1
496	1
497	1
498	1
499	1
500	1

UTAH GENERAL SERVICE SAMPLE PARAMETERS  
EXCLUDING SCHEDULE 023

ACTIVE CUSTOMERS WITH KWH METERS

STRATUM	1	2	3	4
SAMPLING FRAME	6,668	1,370	576	239
SAMPLE	27	22	11	19
INTERVAL	246.96	62.27	52.36	12.58
RANDOM STARTS				
Primary				
Random No.(1)	0.87	0.46	0.89	0.62
Start	215	29	47	8
Alternate 1				
Random No.(1)	0.81	0.66	0.63	0.22
Start	200	41	33	3
Alternate 2				
Random No.(1)	0.01	0.21	0.07	0.29
Start	2	13	4	4
Alternate 3				
Random No.(1)	0.77	0.09	0.96	0.18
Start	190	6	50	2
Alternate 4				
Random No.(1)	0.10	0.72	0.71	0.93
Start	25	45	37	12

(1) Random numbers from Snedecor and Cochran (1967), p 19,  
beginning at row 14, cols. 12 and 13.

JA  
UTGSPARM.WK1  
11-Sep-90

BILL FREQUENCY LIST COMPANY  
UTAH GENERAL SERVICE RATE 335-287,700  
BASED ON THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MAY 1990

RANGE	NO. ACCOUNTS	SUM OF KWH	ACCUMULATED NO. ACCOUNTS	ACCUMULATED KWH	SUM OF KWH SQUARED	ACCUMULATED SUM OF KWH SQUARED
507	1	1000	1	1000	1000000	1000000
567	1	1000	2	2000	1000000	3000000
139	1	1000	3	3000	1000000	4000000
139	1	1000	4	4000	1000000	5000000
139	1	1000	5	5000	1000000	6000000
139	1	1000	6	6000	1000000	7000000
139	1	1000	7	7000	1000000	8000000
139	1	1000	8	8000	1000000	9000000
139	1	1000	9	9000	1000000	10000000
139	1	1000	10	10000	1000000	11000000
139	1	1000	11	11000	1000000	12000000
139	1	1000	12	12000	1000000	13000000
139	1	1000	13	13000	1000000	14000000
139	1	1000	14	14000	1000000	15000000
139	1	1000	15	15000	1000000	16000000
139	1	1000	16	16000	1000000	17000000
139	1	1000	17	17000	1000000	18000000
139	1	1000	18	18000	1000000	19000000
139	1	1000	19	19000	1000000	20000000
139	1	1000	20	20000	1000000	21000000
139	1	1000	21	21000	1000000	22000000
139	1	1000	22	22000	1000000	23000000
139	1	1000	23	23000	1000000	24000000
139	1	1000	24	24000	1000000	25000000
139	1	1000	25	25000	1000000	26000000
139	1	1000	26	26000	1000000	27000000
139	1	1000	27	27000	1000000	28000000
139	1	1000	28	28000	1000000	29000000
139	1	1000	29	29000	1000000	30000000
139	1	1000	30	30000	1000000	31000000
139	1	1000	31	31000	1000000	32000000
139	1	1000	32	32000	1000000	33000000
139	1	1000	33	33000	1000000	34000000
139	1	1000	34	34000	1000000	35000000
139	1	1000	35	35000	1000000	36000000
139	1	1000	36	36000	1000000	37000000
139	1	1000	37	37000	1000000	38000000
139	1	1000	38	38000	1000000	39000000
139	1	1000	39	39000	1000000	40000000
139	1	1000	40	40000	1000000	41000000
139	1	1000	41	41000	1000000	42000000
139	1	1000	42	42000	1000000	43000000
139	1	1000	43	43000	1000000	44000000
139	1	1000	44	44000	1000000	45000000
139	1	1000	45	45000	1000000	46000000
139	1	1000	46	46000	1000000	47000000
139	1	1000	47	47000	1000000	48000000
139	1	1000	48	48000	1000000	49000000
139	1	1000	49	49000	1000000	50000000
139	1	1000	50	50000	1000000	51000000
139	1	1000	51	51000	1000000	52000000
139	1	1000	52	52000	1000000	53000000
139	1	1000	53	53000	1000000	54000000
139	1	1000	54	54000	1000000	55000000
139	1	1000	55	55000	1000000	56000000
139	1	1000	56	56000	1000000	57000000
139	1	1000	57	57000	1000000	58000000
139	1	1000	58	58000	1000000	59000000
139	1	1000	59	59000	1000000	60000000
139	1	1000	60	60000	1000000	61000000
139	1	1000	61	61000	1000000	62000000
139	1	1000	62	62000	1000000	63000000
139	1	1000	63	63000	1000000	64000000
139	1	1000	64	64000	1000000	65000000
139	1	1000	65	65000	1000000	66000000
139	1	1000	66	66000	1000000	67000000
139	1	1000	67	67000	1000000	68000000
139	1	1000	68	68000	1000000	69000000
139	1	1000	69	69000	1000000	70000000
139	1	1000	70	70000	1000000	71000000
139	1	1000	71	71000	1000000	72000000
139	1	1000	72	72000	1000000	73000000
139	1	1000	73	73000	1000000	74000000
139	1	1000	74	74000	1000000	75000000
139	1	1000	75	75000	1000000	76000000
139	1	1000	76	76000	1000000	77000000
139	1	1000	77	77000	1000000	78000000
139	1	1000	78	78000	1000000	79000000
139	1	1000	79	79000	1000000	80000000
139	1	1000	80	80000	1000000	81000000
139	1	1000	81	81000	1000000	82000000
139	1	1000	82	82000	1000000	83000000
139	1	1000	83	83000	1000000	84000000
139	1	1000	84	84000	1000000	85000000
139	1	1000	85	85000	1000000	86000000
139	1	1000	86	86000	1000000	87000000
139	1	1000	87	87000	1000000	88000000
139	1	1000	88	88000	1000000	89000000
139	1	1000	89	89000	1000000	90000000
139	1	1000	90	90000	1000000	91000000
139	1	1000	91	91000	1000000	92000000
139	1	1000	92	92000	1000000	93000000
139	1	1000	93	93000	1000000	94000000
139	1	1000	94	94000	1000000	95000000
139	1	1000	95	95000	1000000	96000000
139	1	1000	96	96000	1000000	97000000
139	1	1000	97	97000	1000000	98000000
139	1	1000	98	98000	1000000	99000000
139	1	1000	99	99000	1000000	100000000
139	1	1000	100	100000	1000000	101000000

BILL FREQUENCY  
UTAH POWER  
AND LIGHT COMPANY WITHOUT DEMAND  
DISASTER RATE 23  
BASED ON THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MAY 1990

RANGE	KWH	SUM OF KWH SQUARED	ACCUMULATED NO. ACCOUNTS	ACCUMULATED KWH	ACCUMULATED SUM OF KWH SQUARED
507 - 567	507	507	507	507	507
567 - 625	567	567	567	567	567
625 - 683	625	625	625	625	625
683 - 741	683	683	683	683	683
741 - 799	741	741	741	741	741
799 - 857	799	799	799	799	799
857 - 915	857	857	857	857	857
915 - 973	915	915	915	915	915
973 - 1031	973	973	973	973	973
1031 - 1089	1031	1031	1031	1031	1031
1089 - 1147	1089	1089	1089	1089	1089
1147 - 1205	1147	1147	1147	1147	1147
1205 - 1263	1205	1205	1205	1205	1205
1263 - 1321	1263	1263	1263	1263	1263
1321 - 1379	1321	1321	1321	1321	1321
1379 - 1437	1379	1379	1379	1379	1379
1437 - 1495	1437	1437	1437	1437	1437
1495 - 1553	1495	1495	1495	1495	1495
1553 - 1611	1553	1553	1553	1553	1553
1611 - 1669	1611	1611	1611	1611	1611
1669 - 1727	1669	1669	1669	1669	1669
1727 - 1785	1727	1727	1727	1727	1727
1785 - 1843	1785	1785	1785	1785	1785
1843 - 1901	1843	1843	1843	1843	1843
1901 - 1959	1901	1901	1901	1901	1901
1959 - 2017	1959	1959	1959	1959	1959
2017 - 2075	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017
2075 - 2133	2075	2075	2075	2075	2075
2133 - 2191	2133	2133	2133	2133	2133
2191 - 2249	2191	2191	2191	2191	2191
2249 - 2307	2249	2249	2249	2249	2249
2307 - 2365	2307	2307	2307	2307	2307
2365 - 2423	2365	2365	2365	2365	2365
2423 - 2481	2423	2423	2423	2423	2423
2481 - 2539	2481	2481	2481	2481	2481
2539 - 2597	2539	2539	2539	2539	2539
2597 - 2655	2597	2597	2597	2597	2597
2655 - 2713	2655	2655	2655	2655	2655
2713 - 2771	2713	2713	2713	2713	2713
2771 - 2829	2771	2771	2771	2771	2771
2829 - 2887	2829	2829	2829	2829	2829
2887 - 2945	2887	2887	2887	2887	2887
2945 - 3003	2945	2945	2945	2945	2945
3003 - 3061	3003	3003	3003	3003	3003
3061 - 3119	3061	3061	3061	3061	3061
3119 - 3177	3119	3119	3119	3119	3119
3177 - 3235	3177	3177	3177	3177	3177
3235 - 3293	3235	3235	3235	3235	3235
3293 - 3351	3293	3293	3293	3293	3293
3351 - 3409	3351	3351	3351	3351	3351
3409 - 3467	3409	3409	3409	3409	3409
3467 - 3525	3467	3467	3467	3467	3467
3525 - 3583	3525	3525	3525	3525	3525
3583 - 3641	3583	3583	3583	3583	3583
3641 - 3699	3641	3641	3641	3641	3641
3699 - 3757	3699	3699	3699	3699	3699
3757 - 3815	3757	3757	3757	3757	3757
3815 - 3873	3815	3815	3815	3815	3815
3873 - 3931	3873	3873	3873	3873	3873
3931 - 3989	3931	3931	3931	3931	3931
3989 - 4047	3989	3989	3989	3989	3989
4047 - 4105	4047	4047	4047	4047	4047
4105 - 4163	4105	4105	4105	4105	4105
4163 - 4221	4163	4163	4163	4163	4163
4221 - 4279	4221	4221	4221	4221	4221
4279 - 4337	4279	4279	4279	4279	4279
4337 - 4395	4337	4337	4337	4337	4337
4395 - 4453	4395	4395	4395	4395	4395
4453 - 4511	4453	4453	4453	4453	4453
4511 - 4569	4511	4511	4511	4511	4511
4569 - 4627	4569	4569	4569	4569	4569
4627 - 4685	4627	4627	4627	4627	4627
4685 - 4743	4685	4685	4685	4685	4685
4743 - 4801	4743	4743	4743	4743	4743
4801 - 4859	4801	4801	4801	4801	4801
4859 - 4917	4859	4859	4859	4859	4859
4917 - 4975	4917	4917	4917	4917	4917
4975 - 5033	4975	4975	4975	4975	4975
5033 - 5091	5033	5091	5091	5091	5091
5091 - 5149	5149	5149	5149	5149	5149
5149 - 5207	5207	5207	5207	5207	5207
5207 - 5265	5265	5265	5265	5265	5265
5265 - 5323	5323	5323	5323	5323	5323
5323 - 5381	5381	5381	5381	5381	5381
5381 - 5439	5439	5439	5439	5439	5439
5439 - 5497	5497	5497	5497	5497	5497
5497 - 5555	5555	5555	5555	5555	5555
5555 - 5613	5613	5613	5613	5613	5613
5613 - 5671	5671	5671	5671	5671	5671
5671 - 5729	5729	5729	5729	5729	5729
5729 - 5787	5787	5787	5787	5787	5787
5787 - 5845	5845	5845	5845	5845	5845
5845 - 5903	5903	5903	5903	5903	5903
5903 - 5961	5961	5961	5961	5961	5961
5961 - 6019	6019	6019	6019	6019	6019
6019 - 6077	6077	6077	6077	6077	6077
6077 - 6135	6135	6135	6135	6135	6135
6135 - 6193	6193	6193	6193	6193	6193
6193 - 6251	6251	6251	6251	6251	6251
6251 - 6309	6309	6309	6309	6309	6309
6309 - 6367	6367	6367	6367	6367	6367
6367 - 6425	6425	6425	6425	6425	6425
6425 - 6483	6483	6483	6483	6483	6483
6483 - 6541	6541	6541	6541	6541	6541
6541 - 6600	6600	6600	6600	6600	6600
6600 - 6658	6658	6658	6658	6658	6658
6658 - 6716	6716	6716	6716	6716	6716
6716 - 6774	6774	6774	6774	6774	6774
6774 - 6832	6832	6832	6832	6832	6832
6832 - 6890	6890	6890	6890	6890	6890
6890 - 6948	6948	6948	6948	6948	6948
6948 - 7006	7006	7006	7006	7006	7006
7006 - 7064	7064	7064	7064	7064	7064
7064 - 7122	7122	7122	7122	7122	7122
7122 - 7180	7180	7180	7180	7180	7180
7180 - 7238	7238	7238	7238	7238	7238
7238 - 7296	7296	7296	7296	7296	7296
7296 - 7354	7354	7354	7354	7354	7354
7354 - 7412	7412	7412	7412	7412	7412
7412 - 7470	7470	7470	7470	7470	7470
7470 - 7528	7528	7528	7528	7528	7528
7528 - 7586	7586	7586	7586	7586	7586
7586 - 7644	7644	7644	7644	7644	7644
7644 - 7702	7702	7702	7702	7702	7702
7702 - 7760	7760	7760	7760	7760	7760
7760 - 7818	7818	7818	7818	7818	7818
7818 - 7876	7876	7876	7876	7876	7876
7876 - 7934	7934	7934	7934	7934	7934
7934 - 7992	7992	7992	7992	7992	7992
7992 - 8050	8050	8050	8050	8050	8050
8050 - 8108	8108	8108	8108	8108	8108
8108 - 8166	8166	8166	8166	8166	8166
8166 - 8224	8224	8224	8224	8224	8224
8224 - 8282	8282	8282	8282	8282	8282
8282 - 8340	8340	8340	8340	8340	8340
8340 - 8398	8398	8398	8398	8398	8398
8398 - 8456	8456	8456	8456	8456	8456
8456 - 8514	8514	8514	8514	8514	8514
8514 - 8572	8572	8572	8572	8572	8572
8572 - 8630	8630	8630	8630	8630	8630
8630 - 8688	8688	8688	8688	8688	8688
8688 - 8746	8746	8746	8746	8746	8746
8746 - 8804	8804	8804	8804	8804	8804
8804 - 8862	8862	8862	8862	8862	8862
8862 - 8920	8920	8920	8920	8920	8920
8920 - 8978	8978	8978	8978	8978	8978
8978 - 9036	9036	9036	9036	9036	9036
9036 - 9094	9094	9094	9094	9094	9094
9094 - 9152	9152	9152	9152	9152	9152
9152 - 9210	9210	9210	9210	9210	9210
9210 - 9268	9268	9268	9268	9268	9268
9268 - 9326	9326	9326	9326	9326	9326
9326 - 9384	9384	9384	9384	9384	9384
9384 - 9442	9442	9442	9442	9442	9442
9442 - 9500	9500	9500	9500	9500	9500

Strata 1

2

3

1

2

3

Strata 1  
2  
3  
1  
2  
3

1  
2  
3  
1  
2  
3

1  
2  
3  
1  
2  
3

1  
2  
3  
1  
2  
3

1  
2  
3  
1  
2  
3

1  
2  
3  
1  
2  
3

1  
2  
3  
1  
2  
3

1  
2  
3  
1  
2  
3

1  
2  
3  
1  
2  
3

1  
2  
3  
1  
2  
3

Utah Power & Light Company  
 Bill Frequency Summary  
 Utah General Service Rate 23 < 284,700 Annual Kwh  
 Based on 12 Months Ending May 1990

Range	No. of Customers	Interval Factor	I	SQ Root Off	Cummulative SQ Rt of I
251- 300	507	49	24843	157.62	157.62
301- 350	417	49	20433	142.94	300.56
351- 400	420	49	20580	143.46	444.02
401- 450	353	49	17297	131.52	575.54
451- 500	304	49	14896	122.05	697.59
501- 600	524	99	51876	227.76	925.35
601- 700	463	99	45837	214.10	1139.44
701- 800	473	99	46827	216.40	1355.84
801- 900	457	99	45243	212.70	1568.54
901- 1000	403	99	39897	199.74	1768.29
1001- 1250	915	249	227835	477.32	2245.61
1251- 1500	767	249	190983	437.02	2682.62
1501- 1750	694	249	172806	415.70	3098.32
1751- 2000	634	249	157866	397.32	3495.65
2001- 2250	574	249	142926	378.06	3873.70
2251- 2500	529	249	131721	362.93	4236.63
2501- 2750	493	249	122757	350.37	4587.00
2751- 3000	499	249	124251	352.49	4939.49
3001- 4000	1683	999	1681317	1296.66	6236.15
4001- 5000	1432	999	1430568	1196.06	7432.21
5001- 6000	1256	999	1254744	1120.15	8552.37
6001- 7000	1127	999	1125873	1061.07	9613.44
7001- 8000	965	999	964035	981.85	10595.29
8001- 9000	908	999	907092	952.41	11547.71
9001- 10000	805	999	804195	896.77	12444.48
10001- 11000	784	999	783216	884.99	13329.47
11001- 12000	726	999	725274	851.63	14181.10
12001- 13000	641	999	640359	800.22	14981.32
13001- 14000	558	999	557442	746.62	15727.94
14001- 15000	526	999	525474	724.90	16452.84
15001- 16000	538	999	537462	733.12	17185.96
16001- 17000	519	999	518481	720.06	17906.01
17001- 18000	407	999	406593	637.65	18543.66
18001- 19000	367	999	366633	605.50	19149.16
19001- 20000	392	999	391608	625.79	19774.95
20001- 21000	347	999	346653	588.77	20363.72
21001- 22000	365	999	364635	603.85	20967.57
22001- 23000	333	999	332667	576.77	21544.35
23001- 24000	314	999	313686	560.08	22104.42
24001- 25000	265	999	264735	514.52	22618.95
25001- 30000	1138	4999	5688862	2385.13	25004.08
30001- 35000	976	4999	4879024	2208.85	27212.93
35001- 40000	773	4999	3864227	1965.76	29178.69
40001- 45000	622	4999	3109378	1763.34	30942.04
45001- 50000	514	4999	2569486	1602.96	32545.00
50001- 60000	802	9999	8019198	2831.82	35376.82
60001- 70000	555	9999	5549445	2355.73	37732.54
70001- 80000	389	9999	3889611	1972.21	39704.75
80001- 90000	265	9999	2649735	1627.80	41332.55
90001- 100000	176	9999	1759824	1326.58	42659.14
100001- 200000	394	99999	39399606	6276.91	48936.05
200001- 284700	13	84699	1101087	1049.33	49985.38
- Total		31301			
2	24992.69	1	2	3	4
3	16661.79	2	49985.38	16661.79	12496.34
4	12496.34	3		33323.58	24992.69
5	9997.08	4		49985.38	37489.03
		5			49985.38
					39988.30
					49985.38

PacifiCorp Electric Operations  
Utah Power Division  
Stratified Sample Specifications

State : Utah  
Revenue Class : General Service  
Rate Schedule : Schedule 023  
Based On : 12 Months Ended May 1990

	Population	Sample Size	kWh By Strata	Weighted Standard Deviation	Bound On The Mean	Precision Level
Stratum 1	20,837	24	100,866,468	2,750	923.4	28.7%
Stratum 2	7,870	20	217,160,646	2,425	892.0	12.9%
Stratum 3	2,594	19	200,486,219	2,288	863.5	13.5%
Total	31,301	63	518,513,333	7,463	1,546.7	9.3%

Utah Power & Light Company  
Load Research Section  
Stratified Sample Design

State: Utah  
 Revenue Class: General Service  
 Rate Schedule: Schedule 023  
 Based on: 12 months ended May 1990

Strata	Range	Population ( $N_h$ )	Weight ( $w_h$ )	KWH by Stratum ( $\sum Y_{h,i}$ )	Sum of Squares ( $\sum Y_{h,i}^2$ )
I	250-15,000	20,837	0.665698	100,866,468	843,834,581,366
II	15,001-50,000	7,870	0.251430	217,160,646	6,724,053,079,432
III	GT-50,000	2,594	0.082873	200,486,219	17,471,871,651,217
Total	31,301		1	518,513,333	25,039,759,312,015

Strata	Variance ( $S_h^2$ )	Standard Deviation ( $S_h$ )	( $w_h S_h^2$ )	( $w_h S_h$ )	$\frac{w_h S_h}{\sum w_h S_h}$ *
I	17,065,002	4,131	11,360,131	2,750	0.368493
II	93,002,451	9,644	23,383,575	2,425	0.324910
III	762,284,654	27,610	63,172,627	2,288	0.306598
Total	872,352,107	41,385	97,916,333	7,463	1

Sample Distribution (1)  
Boundries 90  $\pm$  10%

Strata                    ( $n_h$ )

I	21
II	18
III	17
Total	56

$$n = \frac{(\sum w_h S_h)^2}{V + \frac{1}{N} \sum w_h S_h^2} = 55$$

$$V \text{ (Specified Variance)} = (d/t)^2 \quad \text{where:}$$

d = error margin of population mean  
 t = normal deviate for confidence level

- (1) All proportional allocations to the strata have been rounded up.  
 \* Neyman Allocation

**Utah Power & Light Company**  
**Load Research Section**  
**Stratified Sample Design**

State: Utah  
 Revenue Class: General Service  
 Rate Schedule: Schedule 023 < 284,700 Annual Kwh  
 Based on: 12 months ended May 1990

Strata	Range	(N↑7h↓)	Population (W↑7h↓)	Weight (Y↑7hi↓)	KWH by Stratum (Y↑52↓↑7hi↓)	Sum of Squares
I	250 - 15,000		20837	0.665698	100866468	843834581366
II	15,001 - 50,000		7870	0.251430	217160646	6724053079432
III	GT - 50,000		2594	0.082873	200486219	17471871651217
	Total		31301	1	518513333	25039759312015

Strata	Standard Variance Deviation				
	(S↑7h↓↑52↓)	(S↑7h↓)	W↑7h↓S↑7h↓	(W↑7h↓S↑7h↓↑52↓)	W↑7h↓S↑7h↓
I	17065002.254	4130.981	11360130.7	2750.0	0.368493
II	93002450.773	9643.778	23383575.2	2424.7	0.324910
III	762284653.799	27609.503	63172626.8	2288.1	0.306598
Total	872352106.826	41384.262	97916332.753	7462.791	1.000

Boundaries Total Size Strata	Sample Distribution (1)					
	90% ± 10%	90% ± 7.5%	90% ± 5%	95% ± 10%	95% ± 7.5%	
	55	97	217	78	138	
I	21	36	80	29	51	
II	18	32	71	26	45	
III	17	30	67	24	43	
Total	56	98	218	79	139	

(1) All proportional allocations to the strata have been rounded up.  
 \* Neyman Allocation

Utah Power & Light Company  
Load Research Section  
Stratified Sample Design

State: Utah  
 Revenue Class: General Service  
 Rate Schedule: Rate 23  
 Based on: 12 months ended May 1990

Strata	Range	(N↑7h↓)	Population (W↑7h↓)	Weight (Y↑7hi↓)	KWH by Stratum	Sum of Squares
I	250 - 15,000		20837	0.665698	100866468	843834581366
II	15,001 - 50,000		7870	0.251430	217160646	6724053079432
III	GT 50,000		2594	0.082873	200486219	17471871651217
	Total		31301		1 518513333	25039759312015

Strata	Standard Variance Deviation		W↑7h↓S↑7h↓		
	(S↑7h↓)↑52↓	(S↑7h↓)	(W↑7h↓)S↑7h↓↑52↓	(W↑7h↓)S↑7h↓	W↑7h↓S↑7h↓
I	17065002.254	4130.981	11360130.7	2750.0	0.368493
II	93002450.773	9643.778	23383575.2	2424.7	0.324910
III	762284653.799	27609.503	63172626.8	2288.1	0.306598
Total	872352106.826	41384.262	97916332.753	7462.791	1.000

Confidence Level	± 10%	± 7.5%	± 5%	± 3%	± 1%
90%	55	97	217	590	4197
91%	58	104	232	629	4412
92%	63	111	248	672	4646
93%	66	117	262	709	4841
94%	71	127	282	763	5113
95%	78	138	306	826	5422
96%	85	150	335	900	5767
97%	95	168	374	1002	6219
98%	110	194	430	1145	6806
99%	134	237	524	1384	7681

\* Neyman Allocation