


# Exhibit 2

## Rocky Mountain Power - Utah

Large differences between class and jurisdictional loads have not been explained



Sources:  
 Exhibit RMP\_(CCP-3R)  
 McDougal Exhibit RMP\_(SRM-2), Page 10.13

<b>RMP REBUTTAL FILING</b>				
<u>Month</u>	<u>Total Utah Jurisdictional used in Inter-Jurisdictional Allocation</u> (1)	<u>Sum of Utah Retail Classes from Class COS Study</u> (2)	<u>Class Total Exceeds (is less than) Total Jurisdictional</u> (3)	<u>Difference as a Percent of Column (1)</u> (4)
Jan	3,079	2,918	(161)	-5%
Feb	3,123	2,896	(227)	-7%
Mar	2,860	2,900	40	1%
Apr	2,794	3,001	207	7%
May	3,591	3,661	70	2%
Jun	3,952	4,005	53	1%
Jul	4,169	3,746	(423) *	-10%
Aug	4,113	3,761	(352) *	-9%
Sep	3,799	3,563	(236) *	-6%
Oct	2,656	3,655	999	38%
Nov	3,390	3,218	(172)	-5%
Dec	<u>3,442</u>	<u>3,327</u>	<u>(115)</u>	-3%
Total	40,968	40,650	(318)	-1%
Summation of Absolute Values of Differences			3,054	7%

\* Loads that are intentionally excluded from the class data account for no more than 40 MW of the difference (RMP Response to UIEC Data Request 10.22)