

- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

In the Matter of the Proposed Revisions to)
the Utah Demand Side Resource Program) DOCKET NO. 09-035-27
Performance Standards) ORDER

ISSUED: December 21, 2009

By The Commission:

INTRODUCTION

On April 27, 2009, PacifiCorp, doing business in Utah as Rocky Mountain Power (“Company”), filed with the Public Service Commission of Utah (“Commission”) a collaborative report entitled, “Utah Demand Side Management and Other Resources Benefit and Cost Analysis Guidelines and Recommendations” (“2009 Report”). The Company prepared this report in response to Commission orders in Docket Nos. 07-035-T04 and 07-035-T14.¹ In Docket No. 07-035-T04, we directed the Company, the Utah Division of Public Utilities (“Division”), and the Demand Side Management (“DSM”) Advisory Committee to work on recommendations for the Commission’s consideration to clarify the overall DSM program design, approval, implementation, and evaluation processes. On October 7, 2009, we generally approved changes recommended in the 2009 Report and ordered the Company to file for approval the “proposed content and format of the annual DSM report required in the Program Performance Reporting

¹ April 2, 2007, Order in Docket No. 07-035-T04, “In the Matter of Advice Filing 07-04 of PacifiCorp d/b/a Rocky Mountain Power for Formal Approval – Schedule No. 113 – 2007 Cool Cash Incentive Program” and August 3, 2007, Order in Docket No. 07-035-T14, “In the Matter of the Approval of Rocky Mountain Power’s Tariff P.S.C.U. No. 47, Re: Schedule 107 - Solar Incentive Program.”

stage” of the program evaluation process. On November 19, 2009, the Company filed a proposed format and content for the required report (“Annual Report”).

DISCUSSION, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS

Generally, the proposed format and content of the Annual Report contains the type of information needed for annual reporting of program performance to aid in the program review and evaluation processes. We herein note a few additions to the report to enhance its usefulness: 1) In the Executive Summary, in the table entitled “20XX Total Portfolio Performance” include a) the estimate of megawatt savings at the time of system peak corresponding to the megawatt-hour savings for energy efficiency programs; b) the Integrated Resource Plan megawatt and megawatt-hour targets for the year; c) the lifetime megawatt-hour savings in addition to first year savings; 2) within the body of the report or in an appendix, provide the calculations for reported savings and identify if reported savings are ex-post or ex-ante estimates. For the first filing of the Annual Report, we direct the Company to provide a summary sheet including the annual results for years 2001 through 2008 by program, including peak hour kilowatt savings estimates for energy efficiency programs in addition to load management programs.

With these additions and in conjunction with the direction for these reports provided in our October 7, 2009, order, we conclude this Annual Report will serve as a reasonable starting point for the annual reporting of DSM program performance. We intend to have a comment and review period following receipt of the first Annual Report and will consider additional comments and suggestions at that time for further refinement going forward.

DOCKET NO. 09-035-27

-3-

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that:

1. The general format and content of the proposed Annual Report as filed by PacifiCorp on November 19, 2009, and as modified in the discussion section of this order, is approved.

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, this 21st day of December, 2009.

/s/ Ted Boyer, Chairman

/s/ Ric Campbell, Commissioner

/s/ Ron Allen, Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Julie Orchard
Commission Secretary
G#64858