

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. My name is Steven R. McDougal and my business address is 201 South Main,  
3 Suite 2300, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84111.

4 **Q. Are you the same Steven R. McDougal who submitted pre-filed direct, test  
5 period rebuttal and test period surrebuttal testimony in this proceeding?**

6 A. Yes.

7 **Q. What is the purpose of your supplemental direct testimony on pole  
8 attachments (“testimony”) in this proceeding?**

9 A. The purpose of my testimony is to withdraw certain portions of my direct  
10 testimony and exhibits filed in this case to comply with the Commission’s Order  
11 on URTA Motion to Dismiss Pole Attachment Issues or for Alternative Relief  
12 issued in this docket (“Order”) on June 1, 2011.

13 **Q. What did the Commission direct the Company to do?**

14 A. In the Order the Commission directed:

15 1. The Company shall withdraw from this docket all testimony and  
16 exhibits supporting changes to its rental rates for pole attachments and  
17 related nonrecurring charges, except to the extent, if any, such testimony is  
18 relevant to establishing the test period costs of pole attachment activities.  
19 The Company may file the withdrawn testimony in Docket No. 10-035-97.  
20 If and when it does so, the Company shall serve its testimony on all parties  
21 of record in Docket Nos. 10-035-97 and 04-999-03.

22 2. On or before June 9, 2011, the Company shall re-file in this docket  
23 those portions of its previously-filed pole attachment testimony and  
24 exhibits that address the test period revenue requirement associated with  
25 pole attachment activities.

26 **Q. What parts of you direct testimony are you withdrawing?**

27 A. In response to the Order the Company withdraws Adjustment 3.5, Joint Use  
28 Revenue. The Company also withdraws lines 875 through 879 of my direct

29 testimony and pages 3.5 and 3.5.1 from Exhibit RMP\_\_\_\_(SRM-3). The Company  
30 also withdraws any reference to Adjustment 3.5 on pages 3.0.1 and 3.0.2 of  
31 Exhibit RMP\_\_\_\_(SRM-3).

32 **Q. What is the revenue requirement impact of this adjustment?**

33 A. Adjustment 3.5 increased Joint Use Revenue in Account 454 by \$198,778, the  
34 impact of the Company's proposed change to Electric Service Schedule No. 4 –  
35 Pole Attachments. Removing the proposed change to the pole attachment rental  
36 rate eliminates that adjustment. Because Account 454 is treated as a revenue  
37 credit, eliminating that adjustment increases the proposed rate increase for retail  
38 customers by that same amount, \$198,778.

39 **Q. Have you prepared updated revenue requirements that reflect this change?**

40 A. I will file updated revenue requirement exhibits that reflect the elimination of  
41 adjustment 3.5 along with other revisions to the Company's proposed revenue  
42 requirement with the Company's rebuttal case that will be filed on June 30, 2011.

43 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

44 A. Yes.