

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** <psccal@utah.gov>  
**Date:** 5/11/2010 4:12 PM  
**Subject:** High Voltage Power Line proposed by Rocky Mountain Power

UTAH PUBLIC  
 SERVICE COMMISSION

May 11, 2010

2010 MAY 11 P 6:14

275342

Utility Facility Review Board of Utah  
 160 East 300 South, 4th Floor  
 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0585  
 E-Mail: psccal@utah.gov

RECEIVED

Re: Docket No. 10-035-39

Dear Board Members:

My wife and I are writing this E-Mail letter to share with you our concern and suggestion regarding the High Voltage Power Line proposed by Rocky Mountain Power.

We are located in the South Willow Estates in Grantsville, just east of the proposed north-south 500KV transmission line from the Limber substation to the I-80 corridor.

We understand and agree that there needs to be a north-south transmission line located on the west side of Grantsville to I-80 area. However, we are very much opposed to having the Limber station located at the south west corner of the Tooele Army Depot (TEAD) with a 345KV transmission line going east to the Tooele area. We feel that this design for the Limber substation location becomes destined for the Limber substation to become a large network of multiple 345KV transmission lines going north from there to I-80, beyond the single line currently planned for redundancy to the Salt Lake area. We highly recommend that the proposed Limber substation be moved from the south west corner of TEAD to a location north west of Grantsville near the I-80 corridor and that said line thereto be a single 500KV line. Furthermore, the amended location of the substation could become a network hub of multiple 385KV transmission lines as needed. This would help preserve the integrity of the mountain area west of Grantsville and minimize the visual and safety considerations thereof. The I-80 corridor is much more well-suited for multiple transmission lines origination from a substation network hub located in that same area as opposed to the currently proposed location of the south west corner of TEAD. This would of course be directly beneficial by eliminating the proposed west-east transmission line along South Mountain to the Tooele area.

We sincerely hope that you take our comments into consideration. We understand that costs to Rocky Mountain Power may be increased with respect to our recommended changes to their current proposal. But please understand, optimization of cost is not the only consideration. We would cause you to realize that long after any cost benefits become a memory that long outlasting those decisions would be the positive impact of optimization of preserving the beauty of our mountain and community area for the years and generations to come.

Thank you.

B. Lynn Walker  
Carolyn E. Walker

