



201 South Main, Suite 2300
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

April 3, 2013

***VIA ELECTRONIC FILING
AND OVERNIGHT DELIVERY***

Public Service Commission of Utah
Heber M. Wells Building, 4th Floor
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, UT 84114

Attention: Gary Widerburg
Commission Secretary

Re: Demand-Side Management report supplementary filing pursuant to Commission order in Docket No. 10-035-57

On November 28, 2012, Rocky Mountain Power (“Company”) filed its 2012 Demand-Side Management (“DSM”) Semi-Annual Forecast Report (“Report”) containing forecast expenditures for approved DSM programs and projected energy and capacity acquisition targets for calendar year 2013. The Report also includes the Company’s actual Schedule 193 balancing account results for January 2012 through October 2012, along with the projected Utah DSM expenditures and revenues through December 2013.

On December 28, 2012 the Division of Public Utilities (“Division”) conditionally acknowledged the 2013 Semi-Annual Forecast, recommending the Company report as ordered by the Commission in its December 21, 2011 Order, the capacity and energy savings targets in comparison to Utah DSM program targets included in the most recent IRP. Division also noted that the Company did not include the savings for the Irrigation Load Control program.

On January 31, 2013 the Company provided Supplemental Attachment 1 showing the comparison of how the calendar year 2013 projected targets (originally provided in Attachment A) align with the Company’s most recent resource planning model results, in this case the model results developed in 2012 to inform the release of the Company’s 2012 Supply-Side Resource Request for Proposals. A direct reconciliation for Class 2 savings (energy savings) between the program forecast provided in Attachment A was not directly available for reasons explained in the January 31, 2013, Supplemental filing.

On February 20, 2013, the Office filed responsive comments indicating the information provided in the Company’s Supplemental Response still does not include the Irrigation Load Control program’s contribution to peak load and corresponding Irrigation Load Control program participation levels. The Office recommended the Company refile its Revised Report to include savings estimates for the DSM Irrigation Load Control program both in terms of total program participation and contribution to peak.

On February 21, 2013, the Division filed responsive comments which once again recommended the Commission conditionally acknowledge the Revised Report pending the Company's provision of the Irrigation Load Control program information showing program participation and forecasted contribution to peak loads.

Based on the recommendations provided by the Division and the Office, on March 1, 2013, the Commission issued an Order directing the Company to prepare a supplementary filing within 90 days of the order reporting savings estimates for the 2013 DSM Irrigation Load Control program both in terms of total program participation and contribution to system peak.

In response to the Order the Company has prepared two attachments, a revised Supplemental Attachment 1, and an additional Supplemental Attachment 2. In the revised Supplemental Attachment 1, the Company corrects the planning assumption forecast previously reported adjusting it to align with the planning source document that was referenced (2012 Supply-Side Resource Request for Proposals) and adjusts the 2013 summer impact forecast for the Irrigation Load Control program to align with the Company's most recent impact assessment forecast.

In the additional attachment, Supplemental Attachment 2, the Company provides its estimate for the Irrigation Load Control program both in terms of total program participation and contribution to system peak, as required in the Commission's Order. In Supplemental Attachment 2 the contribution to system peak is provided as a range, rather than a point estimate. The range is provided to demonstrate that the impact is dependent on when an event is exercised; varying over the summer control period due to weather, growing cycle and crops. As the Company cannot predict the system peak day and hour in advance, the range is intended to provide parties with the contribution to peak information requested in the most accurate manner.

Rocky Mountain Power currently estimates the impact of reductions in Utah associated with the irrigation load control program based on evaluated results of the Idaho irrigation program. With the Commission's March 15, 2013 approval of the new Irrigation Load Control Program, and the associated agreement with EnerNoc, the metering that will be installed under the program will provide for actual loads available for curtailment over the summer control period regardless of whether curtailment events are exercised. The Utah specific program data captured under the new pay-for-performance program will allow for more accurate irrigation program impact forecasts beginning in 2014.

This filing is intended to satisfy the Commission order for supplementary information to the Semi-Annual Forecast Report.

Public Service Commission of Utah

April 3, 2013

Page 3

It is respectfully requested that all formal correspondence and staff requests regarding this matter be addressed to:

By E-mail (preferred): datarequest@pacificorp.com

By regular mail: Data Request Response Center
PacifiCorp
825 NE Multnomah Blvd., Suite 2000
Portland, OR 97232

Inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to Dave Taylor, Utah regulatory affairs manager, at (801) 220-2923.

Sincerely,

Carol L. Hunter
Vice President, Services

cc: Division of Public Utilities
Office of Consumer Services
Utah Clean Energy
Southwest Energy Efficiency Project
Western Resource Advocates