- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SE	RVICE C	OMMISSION OF UTAH -
In the Matter of the DSM Annual Report filing by Rocky Mountain Power	) ) ) )	DOCKET NO. 12-035-57 ORDER

# -----

### ISSUED: June 12, 2012

By The Commission:

In our October 7, 2009, Order in Docket No. 09-035-27<sup>1</sup> ("October Order") we directed PacifiCorp ("Company"), doing business in Utah as Rocky Mountain Power, to file an annual report detailing the Company's yearly demand-side management ("DSM") activities in the state of Utah. Further, we directed the Company to file the report by March 31 of each year.

On March 6, 2012, the Company filed a request for the Commission to extend the report filing date from March 31, 2012, to April 30, 2012. The Commission granted this request, and on April 30, 2012, the Company filed its "2011 Annual Energy Efficiency and Peak Reduction Report – Utah" ("Report"). On May 3, 2012, the Commission issued an Action Request to the Division of Public Utilities ("Division") seeking comments on the Report. On June 4, 2012, the Commission received comments on the Report from both the Division and the Office of Consumer Services ("Office").

The Report summarizes the Company's DSM program activities and trends for the reporting period of January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011. The Report provides cost effectiveness results utilizing the five cost effectiveness tests adopted in our October Order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Docket No. 09-035-27, In the Matter of the Proposed Revision to the Utah Demand Side Resource Program Performance Standards.

- 2 -

The Report shows an estimated 226.9 megawatt capacity savings in 2011 from DSM-related load management and energy efficiency programs. The Report states the Company realized an approximate 5 percent increase in load management program participation between 2010 and 2011, resulting in a cumulative total of approximately 180.9 megawatts of load under management.

The Report also shows an estimated 46.0 megawatt capacity savings from 2011 energy efficiency programs and about 265,652 megawatt hours of first-year energy savings, an increase of about 21.4 percent from 2010. Estimated lifetime energy savings from 2011 energy efficiency acquisitions totals approximately 2.6 million megawatt hours.

For all DSM programs combined, all of the five cost effectiveness tests are passed. The Report also states all individual programs achieved a Utility Cost Test benefit cost ratio of at least 1.0.

### PARTY COMMENTS

The Division recommends the Commission acknowledge the Report as compliant with the reporting guidelines ordered in Docket No. 09-035-27. The Office indicates there are two minor issues requiring clarification or correction. First, the Office notes an error in Table 6, page 19 summarizing Irrigation Load Control program results. The Office indicates capacity savings should be stated in kilowatts, not megawatts. Based upon our review, we agree with the Office's assessment and direct the Company to file an errata sheet correcting this error. Secondly, the Office states, "The report could be clarified by including that the Load Management Portfolio passed all cost-effectiveness tests and that the Energy Efficiency Portfolio passed all cost-effectiveness tests except the RIM test within the summary on page 8." We note

- 3 -

the Company does report cost effectiveness results for both the load management portfolio in Table 5, page 18 and for the energy efficiency portfolio in Table 8, page 23. We recommend, in future reports, the Company summarize cost effectiveness results for load management and energy efficiency portfolios to provide additional clarity.

#### DISCUSSION, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS

The Division and the Office conclude the Report generally conforms to the requirements of our October Order. We concur with this assessment and therefore acknowledge the Report is compliant with the October Order and commend the Company for its efforts in generating the Report. However, we direct the Company to file the following additional information in this docket to complete the record.

As noted above, we direct the Company to file an errata sheet to correct the error in the Report's Table 6, as indicated by the Office. We also note cost effectiveness results for reported peak reduction programs, as shown in Report Tables 5, 6, and 7 are not provided. Rather, these tables merely state the peak reduction portfolios and programs "passed" each relevant cost effectiveness test by achieving a benefit-cost ratio of at least 1. The Company contends decrement values, cost effectiveness ratios, and related inputs are confidential and can be made available to parties under a protective agreement. The Company apparently did not file this confidential information with the Commission when it submitted the Report. We therefore direct the Company to provide the cost-effectiveness results for Tables 5, 6, and 7, along with associated decrement values and related inputs such that the results regarding the 2011 performance of the Company's peak reduction programs are available in the record, subject to the confidentiality requirements of Utah Administrative Code R746-100-16. Going forward, we

### - 4 -

direct the Company to file this confidential information with the Commission when it files the annual DSM report.

# <u>ORDER</u>

- The Commission acknowledges the "2011 Annual Energy Efficiency and Peak Reduction Report – Utah" complies with the reporting guidelines ordered in Docket No. 09-035-27.
- The Company shall file errata to correct Report Table 6, as discussed herein, within 30 days of this Order.
- The Company shall file the confidential cost-effectiveness results per Utah Administrative Code R746-100-16, as discussed herein within 30 days of this Order.

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, this 12<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2012.

/s/ Ted Boyer, Chairman

/s/ Ric Campbell, Commissioner

/s/ Ron Allen, Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Gary L. Widerburg Commission Secretary D#227570

# - 5 -

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2012, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Order was served upon the following as indicated below:

By Electronic-Mail:

Dave Taylor (<u>dave.taylor@pacificorp.com</u>) Daniel E. Solander (<u>daniel.solander@pacificorp.com</u>) Rocky Mountain Power

Data Request Response Center (<u>datarequest@pacificorp.com</u>) PacifiCorp

<u>By Hand-Delivery</u>: Division of Public Utilities 160 East 300 South, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Office of Consumer Services 160 East 300 South, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Administrative Assistant