

- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

-----  
)  
)  
In the Matter of the Application of Rocky ) DOCKET NO. 12-035-67  
Mountain Power to Increase Rates by \$29.3 )  
Million or 1.7 Percent through the Energy )  
Balancing Account ) REPORT AND ORDER  
)  
)  
)  
-----

ISSUED: February 27, 2013

SHORT TITLE

**Rocky Mountain Power  
Energy Balancing Account (“EBA”) 2011 Deferred Balance**

SYNOPSIS

The Commission approves an uncontested settlement stipulation and increases rates by \$7.8 million to recover the October through December 2011 EBA deferred balance from customers. The \$7.8 million increase will be recovered from customers over a two-year period, in the amount of \$3.9 million per year. The Commission allocates approximately 39 percent of the revenue increase to residential customers and 61 percent of the revenue increase to commercial and industrial customers. The Commission also approves a separate, uncontested stipulation resolving issues associated with the application of the EBA to a special contract customer.

-----

<b>APPEARANCES.....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. SETTLEMENT STIPULATION SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>A. EBA DEFERRED ACCOUNT BALANCE .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>B. SPREAD OF THE EBA CHARGE TO CLASSES AND RATES .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>C. FILING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>III. US MAG STIPULATION SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>IV. PARTIES' POSITIONS.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>A. COMPANY.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>B. DIVISION.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>C. OFFICE .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>D. UIEC.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>V. DISCUSSION, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>VI. ORDER.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>STIPULATION DOCUMENTS .....</b>	<b>15</b>

**APPEARANCES**

Yvonne Rodriguez Hogle, Esq. Rocky Mountain Power	For	PacifiCorp, dba Rocky Mountain Power
Patricia E. Schmid, Esq. Utah Attorney General's Office	"	Utah Division of Public Utilities
Paul H. Proctor, Esq. Utah Attorney General's Office	"	Utah Office of Consumer Services
Gary A. Dodge, Esq. Hatch, James & Dodge, PC	"	US Magnesium LLC
Gary A. Dodge, Esq. Hatch, James & Dodge, PC	"	Utah Association of Energy Users
William J. Evans, Esq. Parsons Behle & Latimer	"	Utah Industrial Energy Consumers

## **I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

This matter is before the Public Service Commission of Utah (“Commission”) upon the March 15, 2012, application of PacifiCorp (“Application”), a public utility doing business in Utah as Rocky Mountain Power (“Company”), for authority to increase rates through the Energy Balancing Account (“EBA”). This is the first EBA rate setting proceeding since the Commission approved the EBA on March 3, 2011, in Docket No. 09-035-15 (“March EBA Order”).<sup>1</sup>

The Company initially proposed to recover approximately \$29.3 million in total deferred costs and interest comprised of the following two components: 1) \$20.0 million representing the first of three annual installments approved in Docket No. 10-035-124 et al. (“2011 General Rate Case Order”)<sup>2</sup> to recover certain deferred net power costs for the period prior to October 2011, and 2) \$9.3 million representing 70 percent of the difference between the actual EBA cost and the base EBA cost in current rates for the period October 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011, plus accrued interest. As discussed below, this order addresses the second component of the Company’s request.

The statutory parties to this case include the Utah Division of Public Utilities (“Division”), and the Utah Office of Consumer Services (“Office”). In addition, the Commission granted the following parties leave to intervene: Holcim, Inc., Kennecott Utah Copper LLC, Kimberly-Clark Corp., Malt-O-Meal, Praxair, Inc., Proctor & Gamble, Inc., Tesoro Refining and

---

<sup>1</sup> See *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism*, Docket No. 09-035-15, Corrected Report and Order, issued March 3, 2011.

<sup>2</sup> See *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations, et al.*, Docket Nos. 10-035-124, 09-035-15, 10-035-14, 11-035-46, and 11-035-47, Report and Order, issued September 13, 2011.

Marketing Co., and Western Zirconium (collectively “Utah Industrial Energy Consumers” or “UIEC”); Utah Association of Energy Users (“UAE”); and US Magnesium LLC (“US Mag”).

On May 14, 2012, the Commission convened a duly-noticed hearing on this Application. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Commission authorized its Presiding Officer to issue an order on the record approving the requested \$20.0 million rate increase, effective June 1, 2012.<sup>3</sup> The Commission also deferred ruling on the requested \$9.3 million of the Application pending the submission of briefs addressing the interim rate process, a component of the EBA pilot program (“EBA Pilot Program” or “Pilot Program”) adopted in Docket No. 09-035-15.<sup>4</sup>

Following the submittal of briefs and a duly-noticed hearing for oral argument, the Commission issued its order on August 30, 2012, modifying the rate-setting process for the EBA Pilot Program.<sup>5</sup> For the reasons stated in that order, the Commission vacated the interim rate setting process. In light of this modification, the Commission set a new procedural schedule including the hearing date to consider the \$9.3 million component of the Application.

On January 17, 2013, US Mag filed a stipulated motion, which the Commission granted, requesting the Commission separate from the remaining issues in this docket all issues relating to the proposal of the Office and the Division to apply the EBA to US Mag, and extend the due date for surrebuttal testimony addressing these issues to February 1, 2013.

On January 23, 2013, the Company filed a settlement stipulation signed by the Company, the Division, the Office, and UIEC (“Settlement Stipulation”) to address most issues raised with respect to the second component of the Application. Also on January 23, 2013, the

---

<sup>3</sup> See Report and Order, issued June 12, 2012.

<sup>4</sup> See *Supra* n.1, at 77.

<sup>5</sup> See *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Increase Rates by \$29.3 Million or 1.7 percent through the Energy Balancing Account, et al.*, Docket Nos. 12-035-67, 09-035-15 and 11-035-T10.

Commission issued an order amending the procedural schedule and setting February 1, 2013, as the hearing date to consider the Settlement Stipulation.

At the hearing, parties represented that the issues identified by the Division and the Office relating to US Mag would be addressed in an additional stipulation to be filed with the Commission. Counsel for US Mag represented certain parties had reached tentative agreement that US Mag would not be subject to charges for the October through December 2011 EBA deferred balance in this docket but would be subject to EBA charges or refunds thereafter. On February 7, 2013, US Mag, the Division, the Office, and the Company filed the stipulation referred to in hearing, entitled “Stipulated Resolution of Issues Relating to US Mag” (“US Mag Stipulation”).

## **II. SETTLEMENT STIPULATION SUMMARY**

A copy of the Settlement Stipulation, which contains 15 numbered paragraphs and two attachments, is attached to and incorporated in this order. For convenience, a summary of some of the terms in the Settlement Stipulation is provided herein. This summary and other discussion of the terms of the Settlement Stipulation in this order are not intended to modify the terms of the Settlement Stipulation, and the language in the Settlement Stipulation controls.

The Company, the Division, the Office, and UIEC signed the Settlement Stipulation and are collectively referred to in this section of the order as the “Parties.” No intervening party opposes the Settlement Stipulation.

### **A. EBA DEFERRED ACCOUNT BALANCE**

The Parties agree the Commission should allow the Company to recover \$7.8 million of the approximately \$9.6 million in EBA costs requested by the Company in this

docket.<sup>6</sup> Consistent with prior stipulations, the Parties agree this rate change shall be collected over a two-year period, amounting to \$3.9 million each year, with no carrying charges during the two-year collection period.

**B. SPREAD OF THE EBA CHARGE TO CLASSES AND RATES**

The Parties agree the Commission should spread the approved rate change as set forth in Attachment 2 of the Settlement Stipulation. Attachment 2 shows the \$3.9 million increase is allocated to the classes and rate schedules approximately as follows: 39 percent to the residential class (electric service rate schedule nos. 1, 2, and 3); 40 percent to the commercial class (electric service rate schedule nos. 6, 8, 15 traffic signals, 21, 23, and 31); and 21 percent to the industrial class (electric service rate schedule nos. 9, 10 and contract customers). The first year rate impact to these customers is an approximately 0.2 percent to 0.3 percent increase.

The Parties agree that no part of the Settlement Stipulation, the formulae, or methods used in its development or a Commission order approving it, shall be considered precedential in any future docket except with regard to the issues expressly identified and resolved by the Settlement Stipulation.

**C. FILING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS**

The Parties agree to expand the filing requirements for future EBA proceedings to include 20 additional items listed in Attachment 1 of the Settlement Stipulation. The Parties also agree the Company will file quarterly the supporting information tabulated by month, currently required to be filed monthly. The Company will file this information 60 days after the end of

---

<sup>6</sup> During the proceeding, the Company requested certain adjustments to its initial request for \$9.3 million. With these adjustments, the Company requested \$9.6 million in its supplemental direct testimony filed December 13, 2012.

each quarter, except that fourth quarter information will be filed only as part of the Company's annual EBA application on March 15.

### **III. US MAG STIPULATION SUMMARY**

A copy of the US Mag Stipulation, which contains 10 numbered paragraphs, is attached to and incorporated in this order. For convenience, a summary of some of the terms in the US Mag Stipulation is provided in this order. This summary of the terms of the US Mag Stipulation in this order is not intended to modify its terms, and the language in the US Mag Stipulation controls.

The Company, the Division, the Office, and US Mag signed the US Mag Stipulation and are collectively referred to in this section of the order as the "Parties."

The Parties stipulate and agree the terms and conditions in the US Mag Stipulation resolve all issues raised in this case involving the participation of US Mag in the Company's EBA. Specifically, US Mag will not be required to pay any EBA-related amounts for deferral periods prior to 2012. The Parties stipulate the Commission's final order in this docket should determine and direct US Mag to participate in the EBA for EBA deferrals beginning January 1, 2012. Further, US Mag's Electric Service Agreement shall be deemed amended to require such participation under the terms and conditions specified in the US Mag Stipulation.

The Parties agree US Mag will pay its share of future EBA amounts as determined by the Commission, but some costs will be repaid over a slightly shorter collection period than is proposed for other customers. Specifically, for recovery of EBA deferred costs relating to the calendar year 2012, the collection period for US Mag will begin on January 1, 2014, and terminate at the end of the 24-month collection period for other customers. For

deferral periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, US Mag will be subject to the EBA on the same basis and terms as for other customers, unless otherwise directed by the Commission in a future proceeding.

US Mag agrees it will not assert a prohibition against retroactive ratemaking, or any other regulatory, common law or statutory principle, precludes the Commission from ordering US Mag's participation in the EBA under the terms and conditions of the US Mag Stipulation.

The Parties represent all parties to the docket have either joined or do not oppose the US Mag Stipulation. The Parties request the Commission approve the US Mag Stipulation without further hearing. The Parties contend an additional hearing is unnecessary given the absence of objection by any party.

#### **IV. PARTIES' POSITIONS**

The parties signing either or both the Settlement Stipulation and the US Mag Stipulation note Utah Code Annotated § 54-7-1 authorizes the Commission to approve a settlement so long as the settlement is just and reasonable in result. The signing parties represent the Settlement Stipulation and the US Mag Stipulation each "as a whole is just and reasonable in result and in the public interest."<sup>7</sup> Three of the parties signing the Settlement Stipulation, the Company, the Division, and the Office, testified at hearing recommending the Commission approve the Settlement Stipulation, and, in some cases, spoke to the anticipated US Mag Stipulation, although it was yet to be filed.

##### **A. COMPANY**

---

<sup>7</sup> See Settlement Stipulation at 3, Paragraph 12, and *See also* US Mag Stipulation, Paragraph 7.

The Company provides an overview of the process leading to the Settlement Stipulation. The Company argues substantial evidence was presented and reviewed prior to the parties engaging in settlement discussions. For example, over the course of the case the Company filed the testimony of six witnesses in support of its request, including 133 pages of testimony, accompanying exhibits, and supporting work papers. Four intervening parties filed the testimony of eight witnesses. The Company responded to 287 data requests from intervening parties, as parties prepared cases in response to the Company's application.

The Company also provides a summary of the Settlement Stipulation. Following this summary, the Company explains parties worked in good faith to come to a workable agreement. The Company notes the agreement in the Settlement Stipulation reflects compromise and each party may have its own view of the value of the agreement. The Company states it supports the Settlement Stipulation, believes it is in the public interest, and recommends the Commission approve it as filed.

## **B. DIVISION**

The Division testifies the \$7.8 million increase is a reasonable compromise between the Company's proposed EBA cost of \$9.6 million and the Division's proposed EBA cost of \$6.8 million. The Division explains the difference in the two amounts represents much of the cost reduction the Division had proposed in testimony to address several issues regarding unplanned generation plant outages.

The Division also testifies it spent a substantial amount of time in its first EBA audit becoming familiar with the Company's policies and procedures, the systems that track net power costs, the various net power cost reports, and reconciling the different reports. The Division notes the additional filing requirements in Attachment 1 will help accelerate future

audit work and will assist with the development of a test sample for future audits. The Division testifies its future audits will include further investigation of coal contracts, long-term purchase contracts, and evaluating the extent to which certain trade transactions align with Company policies and procedures. In conclusion, the Division fully supports the \$7.8 million EBA rate increase, rate spread, and the additional filing requirements contained in the Settlement Stipulation. The Division states the Settlement Stipulation is just and reasonable and in the public interest.

**C. OFFICE**

The Office testifies it had proposed or addressed: 1) a number of EBA adjustments totaling \$3.2 million; 2) additional EBA filing requirements; 3) the Commission spread a share of any approved EBA amounts to US Mag, beginning with EBA deferral periods January 1, 2012, and thereafter; and 4) an important policy issue relating to the scope of prudence reviews in EBA proceedings. The Office believes the Settlement Stipulation reasonably resolves its position on these issues.

The Office testifies the spread to classes and rate schedules is based on the Commission's approved spread amount ordered in Docket No. 11-035-T10, which is consistent with the class rate percentages from Docket No. 10-035-124. Further, the Office testifies the first 10 of the 20 additional filing requirements included in Attachment 1 to the Settlement Stipulation were put forward by the Office. The Office notes Item 10 in Attachment 1 requires the Company to provide root cause analyses it has conducted related to generation plant outages, one of the more hotly contested issues in the proceeding. The Office states the Settlement Stipulation results in just and reasonable rates for residential, small business, and irrigation customers. The Office recommends the Commission approve the Settlement Stipulation.

**D. UIEC**

Although UIEC did not present a witness at the hearing, its counsel expressed support for the Settlement Stipulation. UIEC argues its primary objective throughout the proceeding was to encourage a thorough prudence review of costs to be recovered through the EBA, particularly the costs of the Company's hedging program.

UIEC takes particular note of Paragraph 5 of the Settlement Stipulation wherein parties signing agree there is no evidence to support a finding of imprudence in hedging in this case, but only for transactions settled during the fourth quarter of 2011. In accordance with Paragraph 11, UIEC notes parties signing the Settlement Stipulation are free to take any position in future cases with respect to any issue not specifically settled in the Settlement Stipulation. Thus, UIEC believes the agreements in Paragraphs 5 and 11 do not preclude a party signing the Settlement Stipulation from presenting evidence which challenges the Company's hedging program in a future case regarding transactions settled in 2012. UIEC also highlights the additional filing requirements in Paragraph 6 of the Settlement Stipulation which UIEC believes will enable parties to make a thorough evaluation of prudence.

For the foregoing reasons, UIEC concludes the Settlement Stipulation is fair and reaches a reasonable result and is in the public interest. Therefore, UIEC encourages the Commission to accept the Settlement Stipulation.

**V. DISCUSSION, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS**

The parties signing the Settlement Stipulation represent a diversity of interests and all of the major customer groups. These parties agree the Settlement Stipulation is in the public interest, and all of its terms and conditions will produce fair, just and reasonable results. No intervening party opposes the Settlement Stipulation. The parties signing the US Mag

Stipulation also represent a diversity of interests. These parties assert the US Mag Stipulation as a whole is just and reasonable in result and in the public interest.

As we have noted in previous orders, settlements of matters before the Commission are, by statute, encouraged at any stage of our proceedings.<sup>8</sup> The Commission may approve a stipulation or settlement after considering the interests of the public and other affected persons, if it finds the stipulation or settlement in the public interest.<sup>9</sup> In reviewing a settlement, the Commission may also consider whether it was the result of good faith, arms-length negotiations.<sup>10</sup> When reviewing a settlement involving a rate increase, the Commission may limit factors and issues to be considered in its determination of just and reasonable rates.<sup>11</sup>

Our consideration of the Settlement Stipulation and the US Mag Stipulation is guided by Utah statutory provisions in Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-1, et seq., encouraging informal resolution of matters brought before the Commission. Based on our consideration of the evidence before us, the testimony and recommendations of the parties, and the applicable legal standards, we find approval of the Settlement Stipulation and the US Mag Stipulation to be in the public interest and find each constitutes a reasonable and lawful basis for establishing just and reasonable rates. Based upon the foregoing, the Commission approves the Settlement Stipulation and the US Mag Stipulation.

Our approval of the foregoing stipulations, as in similar cases, is not intended to alter any existing Commission policy or to establish any Commission precedent. Further, we

---

<sup>8</sup> See Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-1. See also, *In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company to Adjust Rates for Natural Gas Service in Utah, et al.*, Docket Nos. 04-057-04, 04-057-11, 04-057-13, 04-057-09, 05-057-01, Report and Order, issued January 6, 2006, at 26.

<sup>9</sup> See *Utah Dept. of Admin. Services v. Public Service Comm'n*, 658 P.2d 601, 613-14 (Utah 1983).

<sup>10</sup> See *id.* at 614, n.24.

<sup>11</sup> See Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-1(4).

once again note this is a pilot program. In the interest of ensuring the Pilot Program is evaluated as planned, we note a correction is required in the Company's representation of the EBA deferral as calculated for reporting purposes according to the Commission's March EBA Order.<sup>12</sup>

In the 2011 General Rate Case Order, the Commission approved a stipulation subject to certain reporting requirements. The Commission stated:

...we note an inconsistency which may arise from implementation of the Settlement Stipulation. Specifically, the calculation of base net power costs shown on page one of Exhibit B of the Settlement Stipulation is inconsistent with the method required in our March EBA Order in Docket No. 09-035-15. Further, from a footnote on page one of Exhibit B to the Settlement Stipulation, it appears the Parties may intend to approximate actual Utah net power costs rather than allocate total Company costs to Utah as required in our March EBA Order. There is no discussion regarding this issue in the Settlement Stipulation, nor do Parties request we vacate the relevant portion of our March EBA Order. To ensure the consistency of our ratemaking methods and the ability to determine whether future rates are just and reasonable as the EBA pilot ratemaking mechanism moves forward, we require reporting consistent with our March EBA Order.<sup>13</sup>

Specifically, the Commission intended the March EBA Order calculation to allocate costs to Utah using the appropriate factors, i.e., system energy ("SE") and system generation ("SG") approved in Docket No. 02-035-04.<sup>14</sup> It appears the Company has correctly applied this approach when calculating the actual monthly net power cost per megawatt hour in Utah. However, this approach is not consistently applied when the Company allocates certain monthly base net power cost to Utah.

In calculating the monthly base net power cost to Utah, the Company correctly allocates most net power cost using approved allocation factors, but allocates all of the \$33

---

<sup>12</sup> See Confidential Workpapers of Rocky Mountain Power Witness Brian Dickman filed May 10, 2012, confidential exhibit RMP\_(BSD 1 & 2) workpapers.xlsx, Tab (4.1) UT Allocated Base NPC lines 74 and 79.

<sup>13</sup> See *Supra* n.2, at 50-51.

<sup>14</sup> See *In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for an Investigation of Inter-jurisdictional Issues*, Docket No. 02-035-04, Report and Order, issued February 3, 2012.

million stipulated net power cost reduction approved in the 2011 General Rate Case Order to Utah on the SE factor only. It then apparently applies the monthly results from the scalar allocation method to shape the stipulated \$15 million reduction allocated to Utah to months.

It was the Commission's intent for the Company to allocate all total Company net power cost, including the \$33 million stipulated reduction, to Utah using appropriate factors. Since parties did not stipulate to the accounts in which the \$33 million reduction occurred, the amount should be allocated to all accounts rather than assuming the entire amount is fuel related and therefore allocated pursuant to the SE factor. Further, it was our intent in adopting the reporting requirement to obtain a comparison of the stipulated scalar method to the March EBA Order method. However, use of the results of the scalar method to allocate the \$15 million to months in the March EBA Order method distorts the actual difference between the two methods. By design, use of the scalar or its results is unnecessary in the March EBA Order method. We therefore direct the Company to file an updated worksheet reflecting the March EBA Order method as initially described. That is, the Company is directed to prorate the \$15 million stipulated reduction to all accounts and months in its calculation of Utah monthly base net power cost per megawatt hour for the March EBA Order method. The purpose of this requirement is to consistently evaluate the two methods during the EBA Pilot Program period.

Finally, we commend the parties, and especially the Division, for accommodating the change in the regulatory review process necessitated by our decision to vacate the interim rate process initially adopted. We understand the time-frame for completion of the Division's audit was tighter than it had hoped for. We acknowledge the diligence with which the Division undertook this task and the effort of all parties in evaluating the Company's Application in a timely manner so that we may determine final EBA rates that are in the public interest.

**VI. ORDER**

Wherefore, pursuant to the foregoing discussion, findings and conclusions made herein, we order:

1. The terms and conditions of the Settlement Stipulation filed in this matter on January 22, 2013, are hereby approved.
2. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Settlement Stipulation, the Company shall collect \$7.8 million from customers over two years, beginning March 1, 2013.
3. The Company shall file appropriate tariff revisions increasing Utah jurisdictional revenue by \$3.9 million, effective March 1, 2013.
4. The tariff revisions shall reflect the determinations and the decisions contained in this Report and Order. The Division shall review the tariff revisions for compliance with the terms of this Report and Order.
5. The additional filing requirements contained in Attachment 1 to the Settlement Stipulation are approved.
6. The terms and conditions of the US Mag Stipulation filed in this matter on February 7, 2013, are hereby approved.
7. US Mag shall participate in the EBA pursuant to the terms and conditions of the US Mag Stipulation and its electric service agreement is hereby amended.
8. The Company shall file an updated worksheet reflecting the direction contained herein related to calculating Utah monthly base net power cost per megawatt hour

according to the method approved in the Commission's March EBA Order in  
Docket No. 09-035-15.

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, this 27<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2013.

/s/ Ron Allen, Chairman

/s/ David R. Clark, Commissioner

/s/ Thad LeVar, Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Gary L. Widerburg  
Commission Secretary  
DW# 242191

Notice of Opportunity for Agency Review or Rehearing

Pursuant to §§ 63G-4-301 and 54-7-15 of the Utah Code, an aggrieved party may request agency review or rehearing of this Order by filing a written request with the Commission within 30 days after the issuance of this Order. Responses to a request for agency review or rehearing must be filed within 15 days of the filing of the request for review or rehearing. If the Commission does not grant a request for review or rehearing within 20 days after the filing of the request, it is deemed denied. Judicial review of the Commission's final agency action may be obtained by filing a petition for review with the Utah Supreme Court within 30 days after final agency action. Any petition for review must comply with the requirements of §§ 63G-4-401 and 63G-4-403 of the Utah Code and Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure.

**STIPULATION DOCUMENTS**

---

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH**

---

In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase Rates by \$29.3 Million or 1.7 Percent through the Energy Balancing Account

Docket No. 12-035-67

---

**SETTLEMENT STIPULATION**

This Settlement Stipulation (“Stipulation”) is entered into in Docket No. 12-035-67 by and among the parties whose signatures appear on the signature pages hereof (collectively referred to herein as the “Parties” and individually as a “Party”).

1. The Parties have conducted settlement discussions over the course of several days. No intervening party opposes this Stipulation.

2. The Parties recommend that the Public Service Commission of Utah (“Commission”) approve the Stipulation and all of its terms and conditions. The Parties request that the Commission make findings of fact and reach conclusions of law based on the evidence and on this Stipulation and issue an appropriate order thereon.

3. The Parties agree that the Commission should allow Rocky Mountain Power (“RMP” or the “Company”) to recover Energy Balancing Account (“EBA”) costs in this matter in the amount of \$7.8 million, of the approximate amount of \$9.6 million that the Company requested in this Docket.

4. The Parties agree that they will not challenge rate treatment of the DC Intertie and Centralia Point to Point contracts on the basis of imprudence of the original contracts or actions

the Company undertook or failed to undertake related to the contracts through December 31, 2012.

5. The Parties agree that there is no evidence to support a finding of imprudence in hedging, but only as related to hedging transactions settled during the EBA deferral period, October 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011.

6. The Parties agree to expand the filing requirements for future EBA proceedings to include the items listed in Attachment 1 hereto.

7. Consistent with the settlement stipulation between the Parties, among others, in Docket Nos. 11-035-200, 12-035-79 and 12-035-80 dated August 7, 2012, the Parties agree that the approved rate change in this Docket shall be collected over a two-year period, with no carrying charges during the two-year collection period.

8. The Parties agree that all issues raised by US Magnesium in its intervention in response to the testimony of the Office of Consumer Services (“Office”) and the Division of Public Utilities (“Division”) shall be severed and dealt with separately as noted in the Stipulated Motion to Sever Special Contract Issues, filed with the Commission January 17, 2013.

9. The Parties agree to spread the approved rate change as set forth in Attachment 2 hereto but also agree that the Commission may modify the agreed upon rate spread to reflect its resolution of the special contracts issue referenced in paragraph 8 above. The Parties recommend that the Commission issue its order in this Docket in time for the approved rate change to become effective March 1, 2013.

10. The Parties agree that in support of future EBA filings, rather than the Company providing to the Division and filing with the Commission reports on a monthly basis, the Company will provide to the Division and file with the Commission each quarter a report with

the same monthly information now contained in its monthly reports. The Company agrees to provide and file a quarterly report 60 days after the end of each quarter, with the exception of the filing of the fourth quarter report which will be filed as part of the Company's annual EBA filing application on March 15. In addition to the type of monthly data that the Company has been providing to the Division and filing with the Commission, the Company's quarterly reports will include monthly trade data similar to that provided in Filing Requirement 1-1 and Filing Requirement 1-2.

11. The Parties agree that no part of this Stipulation or the formulae and methodologies used in developing the same or a Commission order approving the same shall in any manner be argued or considered as precedential in any future case except with regard to issues expressly called-out and resolved by this Stipulation. This Stipulation does not resolve and does not provide any inferences regarding, and the Parties are free to take any position with respect to any issues not specifically called-out and settled herein.

12. Utah Code Annotated Section 54-7-1 authorizes the Commission to approve a settlement so long as the settlement is just and reasonable in result. The Parties agree that this Stipulation as a whole is just and reasonable in result and in the public interest.

13. All negotiations related to this Stipulation are confidential, and no Party shall be bound by any position asserted in negotiations. Except as expressly provided in this Stipulation, and in accordance with Utah Administrative Code R746-100-10.F.5, neither the execution of this Stipulation nor the order adopting it shall be deemed to constitute an admission or acknowledgement by any Party of the validity or invalidity of any principle or practice of regulatory accounting or ratemaking; nor shall they be construed to constitute the basis of an

estoppel or waiver by any Party; nor shall they be introduced or used as evidence for any other purpose in a future proceeding by any Party except in a proceeding to enforce this Stipulation.

14. The Parties request that the Commission consider this Stipulation at the hearing scheduled in this docket. The Company, the Division and the Office each will, and any other Party that has intervened in these proceedings may, make one or more witnesses available to explain and offer further support for this Stipulation. As applied to the Division and the Office, the explanation and support shall be consistent with their statutory authority and responsibility.

15. This Stipulation may be executed by individual Parties through two or more separate, conformed copies, the aggregate of which will be considered as an integrated instrument.

DATED this 23 day of January, 2013.

/s/ Mark C Moench  
Mark C. Moench  
Yvonne R. Hogle  
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER  
201 South Main Street, Suite 2300  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

/s/ Chris Parker  
Chris Parker  
DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
500 Heber Wells Building  
160 East 300 South, 4th Floor  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

/s/ William J. Evans  
William J. Evans  
Vicki M. Baldwin  
PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER  
201 South Main Street, Suite 1800  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111  
Attorneys for UIEC

/s/ Michele Beck  
Michele Beck  
OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES  
500 Heber Wells Building  
160 East 300 South, 2nd Floor  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

# **Attachment 1**

Attachment 1  
Additional Minimum Filing Requirements

1. Actual market prices for the EBA period for all energy trading markets in which PacifiCorp participated.
2. Actual natural gas market prices and any natural gas contract executed.
3. New or Modified contracts for Long-Term Firm power purchases or sales.
4. Summary of terms and prices for any new or modified coal contract.
5. To the extent included in the EBA period, all monthly California ISO service charges and fees.
6. A summary of all settlements, liquidated damages, fines or penalties included in the EBA calculations.
7. A report reconciling recovered EBA revenues compared to the per rate class revenues authorized by the Commission in the prior period EBA and the per rate class revenues actually collected, including authorized and actual revenues per class and illustrating the differences between the forecasted and actual billing units.
8. The estimated wind integration costs in the EBA period and supporting documentation for the calculations.
9. A report of daily transactions supporting the system capacity and energy balance for Pacific Power and RMP for the EBA period.
10. All Root Cause Analyses conducted related to outages that occurred during the EBA period. To the extent all reports are not available at the time of the EBA filing, the Company will supplement its filing with additional reports that may become available.
11. A spreadsheet similar to the format of FR 1-1 and FR 1-2 that shows the "original" deal volumes (MMBtu, MWh) that were entered into Endur.<sup>15</sup>
12. Gas Physical Reconciliation (See confidential DPU Exhibit 1.2 SR which is the RMP Excel attachment response to DPU 15.1, Docket No. 12-035-67).<sup>16</sup>

---

<sup>15</sup> This spreadsheet would be separate from FR 1-1 or FR 1-2 which shows the "scheduled" volumes.

<sup>16</sup> The Company is working on developing a report similar to FR 1-1 that reconciles the gas physical data and or other data with the TORIS system data/reports. Such a report may replace items 12 and 13 but will still provide the same type of reconciliations as those items.

13. Reconciliation of TORIS reports and actual NPC included in the EBA (See confidential DPU Exhibit 1.4 SR which is the RMP Excel attachment response to DPU 12.1, Docket No. 12-035-67). This excel file should include the same types of tabs shown in the response to DPU 12.1.<sup>17</sup>
14. Work papers used to develop the out-of-period fuel cost adjustments (See confidential DPU Exhibit 1.3 SR which is the RMP Excel attachment response to DPU 5.1(2) 1st Supplemental, Docket No. 12-035-67).
15. Explanation of out-of-period adjustments (See DPU Exhibit 1.5.0 SR and confidential DPU exhibit 1.5.1 SR which are the RMP written response to DPU 5.1 and the Excel attachment response DPU 5.1(1), Docket No. 12-035-67).
16. Coal Consumed Worksheets (See DPU Exhibit 1.6 SR which is the RMP Excel attachment response to DPU 18.3(3) Docket No. 12-035-67).
17. The Accounting Detail tabs (447, 555, 565 etc.) and the "C&T Database Accounts" tab in FR5-1 should include data from the January after the EBA period under review. (See confidential DPU Exhibit 1.7 SR which is the RMP response to DPU 2.1(12-035-67)/DPU 1.1 1st Supplemental (11-035-T10))
18. December Checkout Report (See the "December. Completed" tab in confidential DPU Exhibit 1.8 SR which is the RMP response to DPU data request 18.2 in Docket No. 12-035-67).
19. PacifiCorp 10K/Semiannual/EBA Reconciliation (See DPU Exhibit 1.9 SR which is the RMP Excel attachment response to DPU 10.1, Docket No. 12-035-67). Given the timing of the March 15 EBA filings and the release of the Company's 10K and Semiannual report, this reconciliation may need to be provided after the March 15 filing. As such, this reconciliation will be provided as soon as available.
20. Provide actual quantity of natural gas consumed (MMBtu) for each generation location (See confidential DPU Exhibit 1.10 SR which is the RMP response to DPU data request 8.3, Docket No. 12-035-67).

---

<sup>17</sup> The Company is working on developing a report similar to FR 1-1 that reconciles the gas physical data and or other data with the TORIS system data/reports. Such a report may replace items 12 and 13 but will still provide the same type of reconciliations as those items.

## **Attachment 2**

**Table A**  
**Rocky Mountain Power**  
**Estimated Effect of Proposed EBA Changes**  
**on Revenues from Electric Sales to Ultimate Consumers in Utah**  
**Historical Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2010**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2012**

Line No.	Description (1)	Sch No. (2)	No. of Customers Forecast (3)	MWh Forecast (4)	Present Revenue (\$000)			Proposed Revenue (\$000)			Change			
					Base (5)	EBA (6)	Net (7)	Base (8)	EBA (9)	Net (10)	Base		Net	
											(\$000) (11)	(%) (12)	(\$000) (13)	(%) (14)
<b>Residential</b>														
1	Residential	1,3	719,465	6,838,816	\$668,531	\$7,795	\$676,326	\$668,531	\$9,379	\$677,910	\$0	0.0%	\$1,584	0.2%
2	Residential-Optional TOD	2	367	2,800	\$270	\$3	\$273	\$270	\$4	\$273	\$0	0.0%	\$1	0.2%
3	AGA/Revenue Credit	--			\$39		\$39	\$39		\$39	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
4	<b>Total Residential</b>		<b>719,832</b>	<b>6,841,616</b>	<b>\$668,840</b>	<b>\$7,798</b>	<b>\$676,638</b>	<b>\$668,840</b>	<b>\$9,383</b>	<b>\$678,223</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>\$1,585</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Commercial &amp; Industrial &amp; OSPA</b>														
5	General Service-Distribution	6	13,159	5,902,813	\$459,661	\$4,703	\$464,365	\$459,661	\$5,563	\$465,224	\$0	0.0%	\$859	0.2%
6	General Service-Distribution-Energy TOD	6A	2,106	264,386	\$28,409	\$271	\$28,680	\$28,409	\$345	\$28,754	\$0	0.0%	\$73	0.3%
7	General Service-Distribution-Demand TOD	6B	30	22,143	\$1,788	\$18	\$1,806	\$1,788	\$22	\$1,809	\$0	0.0%	\$3	0.2%
8	<i>Subtotal Schedule 6</i>		<i>15,295</i>	<i>6,189,342</i>	<i>\$489,858</i>	<i>\$4,993</i>	<i>\$494,851</i>	<i>\$489,858</i>	<i>\$5,929</i>	<i>\$495,787</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$936</i>	<i>0.2%</i>
9	General Service-Distribution > 1,000 kW	8	295	2,167,936	\$149,016	\$1,735	\$150,752	\$149,016	\$2,072	\$151,088	\$0	0.0%	\$337	0.2%
10	General Service-High Voltage	9	151	4,593,919	\$230,123	\$3,136	\$233,258	\$230,123	\$3,733	\$233,855	\$0	0.0%	\$597	0.3%
11	General Service-High Voltage-Energy TOD	9A	9	53,116	\$3,539	\$44	\$3,583	\$3,539	\$56	\$3,595	\$0	0.0%	\$13	0.4%
12	<i>Subtotal Schedule 9</i>		<i>160</i>	<i>4,647,035</i>	<i>\$233,661</i>	<i>\$3,179</i>	<i>\$236,841</i>	<i>\$233,661</i>	<i>\$3,789</i>	<i>\$237,451</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$610</i>	<i>0.3%</i>
13	Irrigation	10	2,611	171,987	\$11,911	\$136	\$12,047	\$11,911	\$161	\$12,072	\$0	0.0%	\$26	0.2%
14	Irrigation-Time of Day	10TOD	254	15,473	\$1,113	\$13	\$1,125	\$1,113	\$15	\$1,128	\$0	0.0%	\$2	0.2%
15	<i>Subtotal Irrigation</i>		<i>2,865</i>	<i>187,460</i>	<i>\$13,024</i>	<i>\$149</i>	<i>\$13,172</i>	<i>\$13,024</i>	<i>\$176</i>	<i>\$13,200</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$28</i>	<i>0.2%</i>
16	Electric Furnace	21	5	2,784	\$305	\$4	\$309	\$305	\$5	\$310	\$0	0.0%	\$1	0.3%
17	General Service-Distribution-Small	23	79,904	1,413,764	\$129,486	\$1,317	\$130,803	\$129,486	\$1,571	\$131,057	\$0	0.0%	\$254	0.2%
18	Back-up, Maintenance, & Supplementary	31	3	10,602	\$851	\$6	\$858	\$851	\$8	\$859	\$0	0.0%	\$1	0.1%
19	Contract 1	--	1	566,032	\$22,943	\$0	\$22,943	\$22,943	\$0	\$22,943	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
20	Contract 2	--	1	906,891	\$30,307	\$0	\$30,307	\$30,307	\$0	\$30,307	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
21	Contract 3	--	1	1,153,189	\$50,510	\$653	\$51,163	\$50,510	\$778	\$51,287	\$0	0.0%	\$124	0.2%
22	Contract 4	--	1	246,723	\$11,303	\$155	\$11,458	\$11,303	\$185	\$11,488	\$0	0.0%	\$30	0.3%
23	AGA/Revenue Credit	--			\$3,534		\$3,534	\$3,534		\$3,534	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
24	<b>Total Commercial &amp; Industrial &amp; OSPA</b>		<b>98,531</b>	<b>17,491,757</b>	<b>\$1,134,797</b>	<b>\$12,193</b>	<b>\$1,146,990</b>	<b>\$1,134,797</b>	<b>\$14,513</b>	<b>\$1,149,311</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>\$2,321</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
25	<b>Total Commercial &amp; Industrial (excluding Contracts 1, 2, AGA)</b>		<b>98,529</b>	<b>16,018,834</b>	<b>\$1,078,014</b>	<b>\$12,193</b>	<b>\$1,090,207</b>	<b>\$1,078,014</b>	<b>\$14,513</b>	<b>\$1,092,527</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>\$2,321</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Public Street Lighting</b>														
26	Security Area Lighting	7	7,995	14,095	\$3,393	\$0	\$3,393	\$3,393	\$0	\$3,393	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
27	Street Lighting - Company Owned	11	872	22,286	\$6,594	\$0	\$6,594	\$6,594	\$0	\$6,594	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
28	Street Lighting - Customer Owned	12	838	49,418	\$3,813	\$0	\$3,813	\$3,813	\$0	\$3,813	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
29	Metered Outdoor Lighting	15	472	16,986	\$1,218	\$0	\$1,218	\$1,218	\$0	\$1,218	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
30	Traffic Signal Systems	15	2,244	5,514	\$559	\$6	\$566	\$559	\$8	\$567	\$0	0.0%	\$1	0.2%
31	<i>Subtotal Public Street Lighting</i>		<i>12,422</i>	<i>108,297</i>	<i>\$15,578</i>	<i>\$6</i>	<i>\$15,584</i>	<i>\$15,578</i>	<i>\$8</i>	<i>\$15,586</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>\$1</i>	<i>0.0%</i>
32	Security Area Lighting-Contracts (PTL)	--	5	8	\$1	\$0	\$1	\$1	\$0	\$1	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
33	Street Lighting-Contract (77)	--	1	134	\$17	\$0	\$17	\$17	\$0	\$17	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
34	AGA/Revenue Credit	--			\$5		\$5	\$5		\$5	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%
35	<b>Total Public Street Lighting</b>		<b>12,428</b>	<b>108,440</b>	<b>\$15,600</b>	<b>\$6</b>	<b>\$15,607</b>	<b>\$15,600</b>	<b>\$8</b>	<b>\$15,608</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>\$1</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
36	<b>Total Sales to Ultimate Customers</b>		<b>830,792</b>	<b>24,441,813</b>	<b>\$1,819,238</b>	<b>\$19,997</b>	<b>\$1,839,235</b>	<b>\$1,819,238</b>	<b>\$23,904</b>	<b>\$1,843,142</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>\$3,907</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
37	<b>Total Sales to Ultimate Customers (excluding Contracts 1, 2, AGA)</b>		<b>830,784</b>	<b>22,968,747</b>	<b>\$1,762,392</b>	<b>\$19,997</b>	<b>\$1,782,389</b>	<b>\$1,762,392</b>	<b>\$23,904</b>	<b>\$1,786,296</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>\$3,907</b>	<b>0.2%</b>

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah  
EBA Revenue Spread Calculation**

Customer Class	2011 GRC Settlement Exhibit A									
	Forecast		GRC		Present EBA		Proposed EBA**		Total EBA	
	Present Rev (\$000)	Change (\$000)	Present EBA (\$000)	%	Proposed EBA** (\$000)	%	Total EBA (\$000)	%		
Residential (Schs. 1, 2, 3)	\$623,014	\$45,785	\$7,827	1.2%	\$1,526	0.2%	\$9,353	1.4%		
General Service (Schs. 6, 6A, 6B)	\$460,779	\$29,081	\$4,971	1.0%	\$969	0.2%	\$5,940	1.2%		
General Service > 1 MW (Sch. 8)	\$138,877	\$10,140	\$1,733	1.2%	\$338	0.2%	\$2,071	1.4%		
Lighting (Schs. 7,11,12)	\$13,802	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		
General Service - High Voltage (Schs. 9, 9A)	\$215,590	\$18,287	\$3,126	1.3%	\$610	0.3%	\$3,735	1.6%		
Irrigation (Schs. 10, 10 TOD)	\$12,158	\$866	\$148	1.1%	\$29	0.2%	\$177	1.4%		
Metered Outdoor Lighting (Sch. 15)	\$1,218	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		
Traffic Signals (Sch. 15)	\$521	\$38	\$7	1.2%	\$1	0.2%	\$8	1.4%		
Electric Furnace (Sch. 21)	\$281	\$24	\$4	1.3%	\$1	0.3%	\$5	1.6%		
General Service - Small (Sch. 23)	\$121,797	\$7,687	\$1,314	1.0%	\$256	0.2%	\$1,570	1.2%		
Back-Up, Maint., & Suppl. Service (Sch. 31)	\$793	\$58	\$10	1.2%	\$2	0.2%	\$12	1.4%		
Security Area Lighting Contracts (PTL)	\$1	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		
Street Lighting Contracts (77)	\$17	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		
Contract Customer 1	\$22,943	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		
Contract Customer 2	\$30,307	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		
Contract Customer 3*	\$46,005	\$4,267	\$729	1.5%	\$142	0.3%	\$872	1.7%		
Contract Customer 4*	\$10,558	\$768	\$131	1.2%	\$26	0.2%	\$157	1.4%		
AGA/Revenue Credit	\$3,578	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		
<b>Total Utah</b>	<b>\$1,702,238</b>	<b>\$117,000</b>	<b>\$20,000</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>\$23,900</b>	<b>1.3%</b>		
<b>Total Utah (excl. Customer 1, 2, &amp; AGA)</b>	<b>\$1,645,410</b>	<b>\$117,000</b>	<b>\$20,000</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>\$3,900</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>\$23,900</b>	<b>1.4%</b>		

\* The actual change will be based on the terms of the contract.

\*\* Proposed \$7.8 million collected over two years.

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Historical Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2010**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2012**

	Forecasted Units	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	GRC		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
			Proposed Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
<b>Schedule No. 1- Residential Service</b>								
Customer Charge	8,178,019	\$30,667,571		\$0				
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	8,169,347		\$4.00	\$32,677,388				
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	8,672		\$8.00	\$69,375				
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	1,283,234,719	\$96,617,308	8.4004 ¢	\$107,796,849	1.23%	\$1,325,901	1.48%	\$1,595,393
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	1,058,610,469	\$98,185,062	10.3481 ¢	\$109,546,070	1.23%	\$1,347,417	1.48%	\$1,621,282
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	579,928,183	\$66,901,095	12.8709 ¢	\$74,641,977	1.23%	\$918,096	1.48%	\$1,104,701
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	3,665,989,548	\$285,980,179	8.7035 ¢	\$319,069,400	1.23%	\$3,924,554	1.48%	\$4,722,227
Minimum 1 Phase	77,872	\$294,356	\$7.00	\$545,104				
Minimum 3 Phase	394	\$4,468	\$14.00	\$5,516				
Minimum Seasonal	0	\$0	\$84.00	\$0				
kWh in Minimum	171,837							
kWh in Minimum 1 Phase - Summer	71,137							
kWh in Minimum 1 Phase - Winter	75,489							
kWh in Minimum 3 Phase - Summer	12,932							
kWh in Minimum 3 Phase - Winter	12,279							
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0				
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,587,934,756</b>	<b>\$578,650,039</b>		<b>\$644,351,679</b>		<b>\$7,515,968</b>		<b>\$9,043,604</b>
Schedule 40		\$21,722,886		\$0				
<b>Schedule No. 3- Residential Service</b>								
Customer Charge	376,337	\$1,411,264		\$0				
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	376,102		\$4.00	\$1,504,408				
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	235		\$8.00	\$1,880				
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	58,115,255	\$4,375,614	8.4004 ¢	\$4,881,914	1.23%	\$60,048	1.48%	\$72,252
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	36,484,230	\$3,383,876	10.3481 ¢	\$3,775,425	1.23%	\$46,438	1.48%	\$55,876
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	9,766,387	\$1,126,660	12.8709 ¢	\$1,257,022	1.23%	\$15,461	1.48%	\$18,604
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	146,513,295	\$11,429,356	8.7035 ¢	\$12,751,785	1.23%	\$156,847	1.48%	\$188,726
Minimum 1 Phase	951	\$3,595	\$7.00	\$6,657				
Minimum 3 Phase	4	\$45	\$14.00	\$56				
Minimum Seasonal	0	\$0	\$84.00	\$0				
kWh in Minimum	2,266							
kWh in Minimum 1 Phase - Summer	806							
kWh in Minimum 1 Phase - Winter	1,037							
kWh in Minimum 3 Phase - Summer	0							
kWh in Minimum 3 Phase - Winter	423							
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0				
<b>Total</b>	<b>250,881,433</b>	<b>\$21,730,410</b>		<b>\$24,179,147</b>		<b>\$278,794</b>		<b>\$335,459</b>
Schedule 40		\$658,179		\$0				
<b>Schedule No. 2 - Residential Service Optional Time-of-Day</b>								
Customer Charge	4,344	\$16,291		\$0				
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	4,342		\$4.00	\$17,368				
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	2		\$8.00	\$17				
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	245,211	\$10,731	4.1300 ¢	\$10,127				
Off-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	868,552	(\$12,172)	(1.5487) ¢	(\$13,451)				
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	564,460	\$42,499	8.4004 ¢	\$47,417	1.23%	\$583	1.48%	\$702
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	392,844	\$36,436	10.3481 ¢	\$40,652	1.23%	\$500	1.48%	\$602
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	158,065	\$18,234	12.8709 ¢	\$20,344	1.23%	\$250	1.48%	\$301
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	1,684,549	\$131,410	8.7035 ¢	\$146,615	1.23%	\$1,803	1.48%	\$2,170
Minimum 1 Phase	52	\$197	\$7.00	\$364				
Minimum 3 Phase	9	\$102	\$14.00	\$126				
Minimum Seasonal	0	\$0	\$84.00	\$0				
kWh in Minimum	241							
kWh in Minimum 1 Phase - Summer	4							
kWh in Minimum 1 Phase - Winter	69							
kWh in Minimum 3 Phase - Summer	139							
kWh in Minimum 3 Phase - Winter	30							
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0				
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,800,159</b>	<b>\$243,728</b>		<b>\$269,579</b>		<b>\$3,137</b>		<b>\$3,774</b>
Schedule 40		\$9,124		\$0				
<b>Schedule No. 25 - Mobile Home and House Trailer Park Service</b>								
Customer Charge	132	\$2,640	\$0.00	\$0				
All kW	29,289	\$164,018	\$0.00	\$0				
Voltage Discount All kW	19,555	(\$9,778)	\$0.00	\$0				
All kWh	12,259,605	\$729,851	0.0000 ¢	\$0				

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Historical Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2010**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2012**

	Forecasted Units	Forecasted	GRC		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
		Revenue Dollars	Proposed Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
Minimum Per Home	0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0				
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0				
Total	12,259,605	\$886,731		\$0				
Schedule 40		\$39,726		\$0				
<b>Schedule No. 25 - Move to Schedule 23</b>								
Customer Charge	15	\$120	\$9.00	\$135				
kW over 15 (May - Sept)	36	\$261	\$8.00	\$288	1.09%	\$3	1.30%	\$4
kW over 15 (Oct - Apr)	12	\$88	\$8.05	\$97	1.09%	\$1	1.30%	\$1
Voltage Discount	0	\$0	(\$0.45)	\$0				
First 1,500 kWh (May - Sept)	13,028	\$1,280	10.8148 ¢	\$1,409	1.09%	\$15	1.30%	\$18
All Add'l kWh (May - Sept)	42,657	\$2,349	6.0632 ¢	\$2,586	1.09%	\$28	1.30%	\$34
First 1,500 kWh (Oct - Apr)	10,909	\$986	9.9544 ¢	\$1,086	1.09%	\$12	1.30%	\$14
All Add'l kWh (Oct - Apr)	22,769	\$1,154	5.5772 ¢	\$1,270	1.09%	\$14	1.30%	\$17
Seasonal Service	0	\$0	\$108.00	\$0				
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0				
Total	89,363	\$6,238		\$6,871		\$73		\$88
Schedule 40		\$319		\$0				
<b>Schedule No. 25 - Move to Schedule 6</b>								
Customer Charge	117	\$5,265	\$50.00	\$5,850				
All kW (May - Sept)	13,911	\$210,891	\$16.84	\$234,261	1.04%	\$2,436	1.23%	\$2,881
All kW (Oct - Apr)	17,607	\$214,277	\$13.52	\$238,047	1.04%	\$2,476	1.23%	\$2,928
Voltage Discount	21,230	(\$16,559)	(\$0.87)	(\$18,470)				
All kWh	12,170,242							
kWh (May-Sept)	5,442,889	\$173,666	3.5439 ¢	\$192,891	1.04%	\$2,006	1.23%	\$2,373
kWh (Oct-Apr)	6,727,353	\$197,892	3.2659 ¢	\$219,709	1.04%	\$2,285	1.23%	\$2,702
Seasonal Service	0	\$0	\$600.00	\$0				
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0				
Total	12,170,242	\$785,432		\$872,288		\$9,203		\$10,884
Schedule 40		\$39,407		\$0				
<b>Schedule No. 6 - Composite</b>								
Customer Charge	157,795	\$7,100,775	\$50.00	\$7,889,750				
All kW (May - Sept)	7,603,766	\$115,273,093	\$16.84	\$128,047,419	1.04%	\$1,331,693	1.23%	\$1,574,983
All kW (Oct - Apr)	9,144,303	\$111,286,168	\$13.52	\$123,630,977	1.04%	\$1,285,762	1.23%	\$1,520,661
Voltage Discount	541,336	(\$422,242)	(\$0.87)	(\$470,962)				
All kWh	5,890,642,306							
kWh (May - Sept)	2,629,252,324	\$83,891,554	3.5439 ¢	\$93,178,073	1.04%	\$969,052	1.23%	\$1,146,090
kWh (Oct - Apr)	3,261,389,982	\$95,937,048	3.2659 ¢	\$106,513,735	1.04%	\$1,107,743	1.23%	\$1,310,119
Seasonal Service	0	\$0	\$600.00	\$0				
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0				
Total	5,890,642,306	\$413,066,396		\$458,788,992		\$4,694,250		\$5,551,854
Schedule 40		\$18,544,518		\$0				
<b>Schedule No. 6B - Demand Time-of-Day Option - Commercial</b>								
Customer Charge	264	\$11,880	\$50.00	\$13,200				
All On-peak kW (May - Sept)	21,128	\$320,300	\$16.84	\$355,796	1.04%	\$3,700	1.23%	\$4,376
All On-peak kW (Oct - Apr)	22,149	\$269,553	\$13.52	\$299,454	1.04%	\$3,114	1.23%	\$3,683
Voltage Discount	0	\$0	(\$0.87)	\$0				
All kWh	14,361,290							
kWh (May-Sept)	7,239,768	\$230,999	3.5439 ¢	\$256,570	1.04%	\$2,668	1.23%	\$3,156
kWh (Oct-Apr)	7,121,522	\$209,487	3.2659 ¢	\$232,582	1.04%	\$2,419	1.23%	\$2,861
Seasonal Service	0	\$0	\$600.00	\$0				
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0				
Total	14,361,290	\$1,042,219		\$1,157,602		\$11,902		\$14,076
Schedule 40		\$10,532		\$0				
<b>Schedule No. 6B - Demand Time-of-Day Option - Industrial</b>								
Customer Charge	96	\$4,320	\$50.00	\$4,800				
All On-peak kW (May - Sept)	10,928	\$165,668	\$16.84	\$184,028	1.04%	\$1,914	1.23%	\$2,264
All On-peak kW (Oct - Apr)	13,042	\$158,721	\$13.52	\$176,328	1.04%	\$1,834	1.23%	\$2,169
Voltage Discount	0	\$0	(\$0.87)	\$0				
All kWh	7,781,950							
kWh (May-Sept)	3,863,230	\$123,264	3.5439 ¢	\$136,909	1.04%	\$1,424	1.23%	\$1,684
kWh (Oct-Apr)	3,918,720	\$115,273	3.2659 ¢	\$127,981	1.04%	\$1,331	1.23%	\$1,574
Seasonal Service	0	\$0	\$600.00	\$0				

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Historical Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2010**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2012**

	Forecasted	Forecasted	GRC		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
	Units	Revenue Dollars	Proposed Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0				
Total	7,781,950	\$567,246		\$630,046		\$6,503		\$7,691
Schedule 40		\$13,292		\$0				

**Schedule No. 6A - Energy Time-of-Day Option - Composite**

Customer Charge	25,273	\$1,137,285	\$50.00	\$1,263,650				
Facilities kW (May - Sept)	845,471	\$4,540,179	\$5.96	\$5,039,007				
Facilities kW (Oct - Apr)	1,010,482	\$4,547,169	\$5.00	\$5,052,410				
Voltage Discount	26,033	(\$13,017)	(\$0.56)	(\$14,578)				
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	52,632,310	\$5,167,651	10.9051 ¢	\$5,739,606	1.59%	\$91,260	2.02%	\$115,940
Off-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	54,439,038	\$1,609,218	3.2832 ¢	\$1,787,342	1.59%	\$28,419	2.02%	\$36,104
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	81,904,480	\$6,721,983	9.1155 ¢	\$7,466,003	1.59%	\$118,709	2.02%	\$150,813
Off-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	75,409,910	\$1,868,808	2.7525 ¢	\$2,075,658	1.59%	\$33,003	2.02%	\$41,928
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0				
Total	264,385,738	\$25,579,276		\$28,409,098		\$271,391		\$344,786
Schedule 40		\$1,130,339		\$0				

**Schedule No. 7 - Security Area Lighting**

<b>MERCURY VAPOR LAMPS</b>								
4,000 Lumen Energy Only	26	\$146	\$5.68	\$148.00	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
7,000 Lumen	51,852	\$841,558	\$16.38	\$849,336	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
7,000 Lumen Energy Only	297	\$2,370	\$8.05	\$2,391	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
20,000 Lumen	13,374	\$354,812	\$26.78	\$358,156	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
<b>SODIUM VAPOR LAMPS</b>								
5,600 Lumen New Pole	3,919	\$56,669	\$14.60	\$57,217	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
5,600 Lumen No New Pole	2,001	\$24,252	\$12.23	\$24,472	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
9,500 Lumen New Pole	26,414	\$404,927	\$15.47	\$408,625	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
9,500 Lumen No New Pole	25,710	\$339,115	\$13.31	\$342,200	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
16,000 Lumen New Pole	2,965	\$57,165	\$19.46	\$57,699	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
16,000 Lumen No New Pole	2,888	\$49,009	\$17.13	\$49,471	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
22,000 Lumen	140	\$2,922	\$21.07	\$2,950	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
27,500 Lumen New Pole	3,681	\$85,730	\$23.51	\$86,540	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
27,500 Lumen No New Pole	3,627	\$76,276	\$21.23	\$77,001	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
50,000 Lumen New Pole	1,301	\$36,480	\$28.30	\$36,818	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
50,000 Lumen No New Pole	2,063	\$53,122	\$25.99	\$53,617	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
<b>SODIUM VAPOR FLOOD LAMPS</b>								
16,000 Lumen New Pole	5,371	\$103,553	\$19.46	\$104,520	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
16,000 Lumen No New Pole	5,724	\$97,136	\$17.13	\$98,052	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
27,500 Lumen New Pole	1,336	\$31,115	\$23.51	\$31,409	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
27,500 Lumen No New Pole	1,838	\$38,653	\$21.23	\$39,021	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
50,000 Lumen New Pole	11,260	\$315,730	\$28.30	\$318,658	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
50,000 Lumen No New Pole	13,068	\$336,501	\$25.99	\$339,637	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
<b>METAL HALIDE LAMPS</b>								
12,000 Lumen New Pole	0	\$0	\$29.40	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
12,000 Lumen No New Pole	271	\$5,851	\$21.79	\$5,905	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
19,500 Lumen New Pole	116	\$3,946	\$34.34	\$3,983	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
19,500 Lumen No New Pole	103	\$2,800	\$27.43	\$2,825	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
32,000 Lumen New Pole	465	\$16,903	\$36.69	\$17,061	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
32,000 Lumen No New Pole	593	\$17,458	\$29.72	\$17,624	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
107,000 Lumen New Pole	26	\$1,483	\$57.58	\$1,497	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
107,000 Lumen No New Pole	116	\$5,642	\$49.10	\$5,696	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
Subtotal	180,545	\$3,361,324		\$3,392,529		\$0		\$0
kWh Included	14,094,877							
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0				
Customers	7,995							
Total (kWh)	14,094,877	\$3,361,324		\$3,392,529		\$0		\$0
Schedule 40		\$31,512		\$0				

**Schedule No. 8 - Composite**

Customer Charge	3,538	\$194,590	\$62.00	\$219,356				
Facilities kW	5,046,329	\$19,024,660	\$4.22	\$21,295,508				
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	2,114,813	\$26,075,644	\$13.81	\$29,205,568	1.34%	\$391,355	1.60%	\$467,289
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	2,805,841	\$24,915,868	\$9.94	\$27,890,060	1.34%	\$373,727	1.60%	\$446,241
Voltage Discount	1,990,234	(\$1,791,211)	(\$1.01)	(\$2,010,136)				
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	263,346,088	\$10,539,374	4.4812 ¢	\$11,801,065	1.34%	\$158,134	1.60%	\$188,817
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	627,671,327	\$19,663,687	3.5078 ¢	\$22,017,455	1.34%	\$295,034	1.60%	\$352,279
Off-Peak kWh	1,276,918,664	\$34,460,204	3.0227 ¢	\$38,597,420	1.34%	\$517,205	1.60%	\$617,559

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Historical Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2010**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2012**

	Forecasted Units	Forecasted		GRC		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
		Revenue Dollars	Proposed Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0					
Total	2,167,936,079	\$133,082,816		\$149,016,296		\$1,735,455		\$2,072,185	
Schedule 40		\$5,793,870		\$0					
<b>Schedule No. 9 - Composite</b>									
Customer Charge	1,812	\$362,400	\$226.00	\$409,512					
Facilities kW	8,460,039	\$14,466,667	\$1.94	\$16,412,476					
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	3,548,848	\$38,185,604	\$12.18	\$43,224,969	1.47%	\$635,407	1.75%	\$756,437	
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	4,771,437	\$34,831,490	\$8.26	\$39,412,070	1.47%	\$579,357	1.75%	\$689,711	
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	480,136,989	\$17,216,752	4.0588 ¢	\$19,487,800	1.47%	\$286,471	1.75%	\$341,037	
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	1,256,679,559	\$33,883,851	3.052 ¢	\$38,353,860	1.47%	\$563,802	1.75%	\$671,193	
Off-Peak kWh	2,857,102,208	\$64,336,228	2.5488 ¢	\$72,821,821	1.47%	\$1,070,481	1.75%	\$1,274,382	
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0					
Total	4,593,918,756	\$203,282,992		\$230,122,508		\$3,135,518		\$3,732,759	
Schedule 40		\$8,686,431		\$0					
<b>Schedule No. 9A - Energy TOD - Commercial</b>									
Customer Charge	24	\$4,800	\$226.00	\$5,424					
Customer Charge (LM)	0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0					
Facilities Charge per kW	109,222	\$186,770	\$1.94	\$211,891					
On-Peak kWh	16,140,604	\$1,069,267	7.4985 ¢	\$1,210,303	1.48%	\$17,912	1.91%	\$23,117	
Off-Peak kWh	18,255,580	\$519,901	3.2236 ¢	\$588,487	1.48%	\$8,710	1.91%	\$11,240	
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0					
Total	34,396,184	\$1,780,738		\$2,016,105		\$26,622		\$34,357	
Schedule 40		\$69,877		\$0					
<b>Schedule No. 9A - Energy TOD - Industrial</b>									
Customer Charge	84	\$16,800	\$226.00	\$18,984					
Facilities Charge per kW	178,334	\$304,951	\$1.94	\$345,968					
On-Peak kWh	12,967,672	\$859,069	7.4985 ¢	\$972,381	1.48%	\$14,391	1.91%	\$18,572	
Off-Peak kWh	5,752,352	\$163,821	3.2236 ¢	\$185,433	1.48%	\$2,744	1.91%	\$3,542	
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0					
Total	18,720,024	\$1,344,641		\$1,522,766		\$17,136		\$22,114	
Schedule 40		\$71,439		\$0					
<b>Schedule No. 10 - Irrigation</b>									
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Primary	1	\$98	\$110.00	\$110					
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Secondary	2,610	\$78,300	\$34.00	\$88,740					
Monthly Cust. Serv. Chg.	0	\$0	\$13.00	\$0					
All On-Season kW	355,317	\$2,043,073	\$6.44	\$2,288,241	1.17%	\$26,772	1.39%	\$31,807	
Voltage Discount	3	(\$5)	(\$1.80)	(\$5)					
First 30,000 kWh	78,875,890	\$4,515,802	6.4140 ¢	\$5,059,100	1.17%	\$59,191	1.39%	\$70,321	
All add'l kWh	49,351,848	\$2,088,472	4.7409 ¢	\$2,339,722	1.17%	\$27,375	1.39%	\$32,522	
Total On Season	128,227,738	\$8,725,740		\$9,775,908		\$113,339		\$134,650	
Post Season									
Customers	16,353	\$196,236	\$13.00	\$212,589					
kWh	43,759,268	\$1,716,063	4.3934 ¢	\$1,922,520	1.17%	\$22,493	1.39%	\$26,723	
Total Post Season	43,759,268	\$1,912,299		\$2,135,109		\$22,493		\$26,723	
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0					
TOTAL RATE 10	171,987,006	\$10,638,039		\$11,911,017		\$135,832		\$161,373	
Schedule 40		\$474,234		\$0					
<b>Schedule No. 10-TOD</b>									
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Primary	2	\$196	\$110.00	\$220					
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Secondary	252	\$7,573	\$34.00	\$8,582					
Monthly Cust. Serv. Chg.	0	\$0	\$13.00	\$0					
All On-Season kW	41,775	\$240,206	\$6.44	\$269,031	1.17%	\$3,148	1.39%	\$3,740	
Voltage Discount kW	985	(\$1,586)	(\$1.80)	(\$1,773)					
On-Peak kWh	2,397,264	\$271,155	12.6719 ¢	\$303,779	1.17%	\$3,554	1.39%	\$4,223	
Off-Peak kWh	8,661,043	\$282,618	3.6644 ¢	\$317,375	1.17%	\$3,713	1.39%	\$4,412	
Total On Season	11,058,307	\$800,162		\$897,214		\$10,415		\$12,374	
Post Season									
Customers	1,653	\$19,836	\$13.00	\$21,489					
kWh	4,414,687	\$173,126	4.3934 ¢	\$193,955	1.17%	\$2,269	1.39%	\$2,696	
Total Post Season	4,414,687	\$192,962		\$215,444		\$2,269		\$2,696	
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0					
TOTAL RATE 10-TOD	15,472,994	\$993,124		\$1,112,658		\$12,684		\$15,070	

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Historical Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2010**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2012**

	Forecasted Units	Forecasted		GRC		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
		Revenue Dollars	Proposed Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price
Schedule 40		\$52,486		\$0					
<b>Schedule No. 11 - Street Lighting - Company-Owned System</b>									
<i>Sodium Vapor Lamps</i>									
5,600 Lumen - Functional	68,712	\$803,243	\$11.80	\$810,802	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
9,500 Lumen - Functional	269,677	\$3,414,111	\$12.78	\$3,446,472	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
9,500 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	159	\$1,811	\$11.50	\$1,829	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
9,500 Lumen - S1	337	\$15,532	\$46.54	\$15,684	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
9,500 Lumen - S2	182	\$6,858	\$38.05	\$6,925	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
16,000 Lumen - Functional	27,818	\$466,786	\$16.94	\$471,237	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
16,000 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	98	\$1,480	\$15.25	\$1,495	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
16,000 Lumen - S1	61	\$2,890	\$47.83	\$2,918	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
16,000 Lumen - S2	808	\$31,480	\$39.34	\$31,787	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
27,500 Lumen - Functional	36,807	\$770,739	\$21.14	\$778,100	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
27,500 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	49	\$924	\$19.03	\$932	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
27,500 Lumen - S1	1,371	\$69,907	\$51.48	\$70,579	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
27,500 Lumen - S2	0	\$0	\$43.01	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
50,000 Lumen - Functional	15,281	\$393,791	\$26.02	\$397,612	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
125,000 Lumen	0	\$0	\$51.54	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>									
9,000 Lumen - S1	24	\$1,158	\$48.74	\$1,170	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
9,000 Lumen - S2	605	\$24,127	\$40.27	\$24,363	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
12,000 Lumen - Functional	62	\$1,236	\$20.13	\$1,248	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
12,000 Lumen - S1	0	\$0	\$50.65	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
12,000 Lumen - S2	1,936	\$80,847	\$42.17	\$81,641	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
19,500 Lumen - Functional	158	\$3,463	\$22.13	\$3,497	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
19,500 Lumen - S1	411	\$21,853	\$53.69	\$22,067	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
19,500 Lumen - S2	228	\$10,208	\$45.20	\$10,306	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
32,000 Lumen - Functional	18	\$460	\$25.78	\$464	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
32,000 Lumen - S1	0	\$0	\$55.33	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
32,000 Lumen - S2	0	\$0	\$46.86	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps (No New Service)</i>									
4,000 Lumen	10,529	\$115,608	\$11.09	\$116,767	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
7,000 Lumen	13,663	\$187,183	\$13.83	\$188,959	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
10,000 Lumen	301	\$5,782	\$19.40	\$5,839	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
10,000 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$0	\$17.46	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
20,000 Lumen	2,299	\$55,636	\$24.43	\$56,165	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
<i>Incandescent Lamps (No New Service)</i>									
500 Lumen	0	\$0	\$11.99	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
600 Lumen	147	\$617	\$4.24	\$623	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
2,500 Lumen	182	\$3,085	\$17.11	\$3,114	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
4,000 Lumen	398	\$8,052	\$20.43	\$8,131	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
6,000 Lumen	1,156	\$27,270	\$23.82	\$27,536	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
10,000 Lumen	24	\$748	\$31.47	\$755	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
<i>Fluorescent Lamps (No New Service)</i>									
21,000 Lumen	12	\$331	\$27.85	\$334	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
<i>Special Service (No New Service)</i>									
50,000 Lumen - Flood	129	\$4,987	\$39.04	\$5,036	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
Subtotal	453,642	\$6,532,203		\$6,594,387		\$0		\$0	
kWh Included	22,285,519								
Customers	872								
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0					
Total	22,285,519	\$6,532,203		\$6,594,387		\$0		\$0	
Schedule 40		\$63,404		\$0					

**Schedule No. 12 - Street Lighting - Customer-Owned System**

**1. Energy Only, No Maintenance**

*High Pressures Sodium Vapor Lamps*

5,600 Lumen	64,118	\$116,054	\$1.83	\$117,336	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
9,500 Lumen	108,011	\$266,787	\$2.50	\$270,028	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
16,000 Lumen	105,591	\$382,239	\$3.66	\$386,463	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
27,500 Lumen	51,144	\$329,879	\$6.52	\$333,459	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
50,000 Lumen	67,632	\$670,909	\$10.02	\$677,673	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>									
9,000 Lumen	3,939	\$9,926	\$2.55	\$10,044	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
12,000 Lumen	13,618	\$60,055	\$4.46	\$60,736	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
19,500 Lumen	26,586	\$162,440	\$6.17	\$164,036	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Historical Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2010**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2012**

	Forecasted Units	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	GRC		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
			Proposed Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
32,000 Lumen	23,670	\$228,889	\$9.77	\$231,256	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
<i>Non-listed Luminaries kWh</i>	6,559,274	\$423,709	6.5279 ¢	\$428,183	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
<i>Subtotal kWh</i>	40,714,133	\$2,650,887		\$2,679,214		\$0		\$0
<i>Unbilled</i>								
<i>Total</i>		\$2,650,887		\$2,679,214		\$0		\$0
<b>2a - Partial Maintenance (No New Service)</b>								
<i>Incandescent Lamps</i>								
2,500 Lumen or Less	93	\$825	\$8.96	\$833	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
2,500 Lumen or Less @ 85%	0	\$0	\$7.62	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
4,000 Lumen	35	\$422	\$12.19	\$427	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps</i>								
4,000 Lumen	69	\$317	\$4.64	\$320	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
7,000 Lumen	744	\$5,156	\$7.00	\$5,208	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
10,000 Lumen	0	\$0	\$9.08	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
20,000 Lumen	160	\$2,110	\$13.33	\$2,133	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
54,000 Lumen	16	\$449	\$28.38	\$454	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
<i>High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps</i>								
5,600 Lumen	38,351	\$154,938	\$4.08	\$156,472	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
9,500 Lumen	15,621	\$82,948	\$5.37	\$83,885	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
9,500 Lumen @ 85%	12	\$54	\$4.56	\$55	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
9,500 Lumen - Decorative	9,567	\$65,917	\$6.96	\$66,586	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
16,000 Lumen	7,025	\$45,311	\$6.52	\$45,803	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
16,000 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$0	\$5.54	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
16,000 Lumen - Decorative	1,562	\$12,777	\$8.27	\$12,918	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
22,000 Lumen	13	\$106	\$8.26	\$107	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
27,500 Lumen	6,630	\$62,919	\$9.59	\$63,582	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
27,500 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$0	\$8.16	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
27,500 Lumen - Decorative	158	\$1,866	\$11.93	\$1,885	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
50,000 Lumen	10,209	\$141,395	\$14.00	\$142,926	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
50,000 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$0	\$11.89	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
50,000 Lumen - Decorative	274	\$4,220	\$15.56	\$4,263	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
125,000 Lumen	0	\$0	\$26.38	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>								
9,000 Lumen - Decorative	406	\$3,691	\$9.19	\$3,731	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
12,000 Lumen	866	\$11,630	\$13.57	\$11,752	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
12,000 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$0	\$11.54	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
12,000 Lumen - Decorative	2,681	\$29,411	\$11.09	\$29,732	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
19,500 Lumen	468	\$6,351	\$13.71	\$6,416	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
19,500 Lumen - Decorative	4,856	\$67,887	\$14.13	\$68,615	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
32,000 Lumen	482	\$6,955	\$14.58	\$7,028	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
32,000 Lumen - Decorative	445	\$6,951	\$15.79	\$7,027	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
<i>Fluorescent Lamps</i>								
1,000 Lumen	12	\$45	\$3.75	\$45	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
21,800 Lumen	81	\$1,115	\$13.92	\$1,128	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
<i>Subtotal kWh</i>	5,760,859	\$715,766		\$723,331		\$0		\$0
<i>Unbilled</i>								
<i>Total</i>		\$715,766		\$723,331		\$0		\$0
<b>2b - Full Maintenance (No New Service)</b>								
<i>Incandescent Lamps</i>								
6,000 Lumen	62	\$1,087	\$17.73	\$1,099	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
10,000 Lumen	12	\$278	\$23.40	\$281	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps</i>								
7,000 Lumen	67	\$533	\$8.03	\$538	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
20,000 Lumen	20	\$303	\$15.30	\$306	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
54,000 Lumen	92	\$2,957	\$32.48	\$2,988	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
<i>Sodium Vapor Lamps</i>								
5,600 Lumen	18,312	\$84,785	\$4.68	\$85,700	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
9,500 Lumen	21,031	\$128,289	\$6.16	\$129,551	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
9,500 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$0	\$5.55	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
16,000 Lumen	5,396	\$39,876	\$7.47	\$40,308	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
16,000 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$0	\$6.72	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
22,000 Lumen	8	\$75	\$9.44	\$76	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
27,500 Lumen	3,964	\$43,128	\$10.99	\$43,564	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
50,000 Lumen	3,454	\$54,746	\$16.02	\$55,333	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
50,000 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$0	\$14.42	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>								
12,000 Lumen	1,250	\$19,275	\$15.58	\$19,475	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Historical Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2010**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2012**

	Forecasted Units	Forecasted		GRC		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
		Revenue Dollars	Proposed Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price
19,500 Lumen	442	\$6,882	\$15.73	\$6,953	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
32,000 Lumen	1,297	\$21,465	\$16.72	\$21,686	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
107,000 Lumen	92	\$3,008	\$33.05	\$3,041	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
Subtotal kWh	2,942,508	\$406,687		\$410,899		\$0		\$0	
Unbilled									
Total		\$406,687		\$410,899		\$0		\$0	
kWh Street Lighting	49,417,500	\$3,773,340		\$3,813,444		\$0		\$0	
Customers	838								
Unbilled		\$0		\$0					
Total	49,417,500	\$3,773,340		\$3,813,444		\$0		\$0	
Schedule 40		\$39,867		\$0					
<b>Schedule 15.1 - Metered Outdoor Nighttime Lighting</b>									
Annual Facility Charge	22,065	\$237,419	\$11.00	\$242,715					
Annual Customer Charge	450	\$32,130	\$72.50	\$32,625					
Annual Minimum Charge	0	\$0	\$127.50	\$0					
Monthly Customer Charge	5,667	\$34,625	\$6.20	\$35,135					
All kWh	16,985,647	\$895,925	5.3437 ¢	\$907,662	0.00%	\$0	0.00%	\$0	
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0					
Total	16,985,647	\$1,200,099		\$1,218,137		\$0		\$0	
Schedule 40		\$18,034		\$0					
<b>Schedule 15.2 - Traffic Signal Systems</b>									
Customer Charge	26,932	\$121,194	\$5.00	\$134,660					
All kWh	5,513,548	\$385,711	7.7025 ¢	\$424,681	1.53%	\$6,498	1.83%	\$7,772	
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0					
Total	5,513,548	\$506,905		\$559,341		\$6,498		\$7,772	
Schedule 40		\$14,375		\$0					
<b>Schedule No. 21 - Electric Furnace Operations - Limited Service - Industrial</b>									
<u>Primary Voltage</u>									
Customer Charge	36	\$3,492	\$110.00	\$3,960					
Charge per kW (Facilities)	10,404	\$34,229	\$3.75	\$39,015					
First 100,000 kWh	394,142	\$20,669	5.9694 ¢	\$23,528	3.06%	\$720	3.66%	\$861	
All add'l kWh	0	\$0	5.0123 ¢	\$0	3.06%	\$0	3.66%	\$0	
Unbilled	0			\$0					
Subtotal	394,142	\$58,390		\$66,503		\$720		\$861	
<u>44KV or Higher</u>									
Customer Charge	24	\$2,328	\$110.00	\$2,640					
Charge per kW (Facilities)	33,711	\$110,909	\$3.75	\$126,416					
First 100,000 kWh	1,919,046	\$79,174	4.6964 ¢	\$90,126	3.06%	\$2,758	3.66%	\$3,299	
All add'l kWh	470,816	\$17,207	4.1149 ¢	\$19,374	3.06%	\$593	3.66%	\$709	
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0					
Subtotal	2,389,862	\$209,618		\$238,556		\$3,351		\$4,008	
Total	2,784,004	\$268,008		\$305,059		\$4,071		\$4,869	
Schedule 40		\$13,227		\$0					
<b>Schedule No. 23 - Distribution Voltage - Small Customer - Composite</b>									
Customer Charge	958,829	\$7,670,632	\$9.00	\$8,629,461					
kW over 15 (May - Sept)	394,241	\$2,858,247	\$8.00	\$3,153,928	1.09%	\$34,378	1.30%	\$41,001	
kW over 15 (Oct - Apr)	377,760	\$2,757,648	\$8.05	\$3,040,968	1.09%	\$33,147	1.30%	\$39,533	
Voltage Discount	8,257	(\$3,385)	(\$0.45)	(\$3,716)					
First 1,500 kWh (May - Sept)	299,722,723	\$29,436,968	10.8148 ¢	\$32,414,413	1.09%	\$353,317	1.30%	\$421,387	
All Add'l kWh (May - Sept)	311,778,518	\$17,167,461	6.0632 ¢	\$18,903,755	1.09%	\$206,051	1.30%	\$245,749	
First 1,500 kWh (Oct - Apr)	424,955,295	\$38,415,959	9.9544 ¢	\$42,301,750	1.09%	\$461,089	1.30%	\$549,923	
All Add'l kWh (Oct - Apr)	377,217,615	\$19,120,406	5.5772 ¢	\$21,038,181	1.09%	\$229,316	1.30%	\$273,496	
Seasonal Service	0	\$0	\$108.00	\$0					
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0					
Total	1,413,674,151	\$117,423,936		\$129,478,740		\$1,317,298		\$1,571,089	
Schedule 40		\$4,366,512		\$0					
<b>Schedule No.31 - Back-Up, Maintenance, and Supplementary Power - Commercial</b>									
<u>Secondary Voltage</u>									
Customer Charge per month	0	\$0	\$116.00	\$0					
Facilities Charge, per kW month	0	\$0	\$4.26	\$0					
Back-up Power Charge									

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Historical Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2010**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2012**

	Forecasted Units	Forecasted		GRC		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
		Revenue Dollars	Proposed Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0	\$0.5868	\$0					
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0	\$0.2934	\$0					
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$0	\$55.28	\$0					
<b>Primary Voltage</b>									
Customer Charge per month		\$11,304	\$527.00	\$12,648					
Facilities Charge, per kW month	38,075	\$113,844	\$3.35	\$127,551					
<b>Back-up Power Charge</b>									
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	222,141	\$113,336	\$0.5710	\$126,843					
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	25,617	\$6,535	\$0.2855	\$7,314					
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$0	\$39.84	\$0					
<b>Transmission Voltage</b>									
Customer Charge per month	0	\$0	\$590.00	\$0					
Facilities Charge, per kW month	0	\$0	\$1.90	\$0					
<b>Back-up Power Charge</b>									
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0	\$0.4485	\$0					
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0	\$0.2243	\$0					
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$0	\$38.36	\$0					
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0					
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$245,019</b>		<b>\$274,356</b>		<b>\$0</b>			<b>\$0</b>
<b>Schedule 40</b>									
<b>Supplemental billed at Schedule 6/8/9 rate</b>									
<b>Schedule 6</b>									
All kW (May - Sept)	0	\$0	\$16.84	\$0	1.04%	\$0	1.23%	\$0	
All kW (Oct - Apr)	0	\$0	\$13.52	\$0	1.04%	\$0	1.23%	\$0	
Voltage Discount	0	\$0	(\$0.87)	\$0					
All kWh	0								
kWh (May-Sept)	0	\$0	3.5439 ¢	\$0	1.04%	\$0	1.23%	\$0	
kWh (Oct-Apr)	0	\$0	3.2659 ¢	\$0	1.04%	\$0	1.23%	\$0	
<b>Schedule 8</b>									
Facilities kW	0	\$0	\$4.22	\$0					
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	0	\$0	\$13.81	\$0	1.34%	\$0	1.60%	\$0	
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	13,268	\$117,820	\$9.94	\$131,884	1.34%	\$1,767	1.60%	\$2,110	
Voltage Discount	13,268	(\$11,941)	(\$1.01)	(\$13,401)					
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	853,682	\$34,165	4.4812 ¢	\$38,255	1.34%	\$513	1.60%	\$612	
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	3,913,793	\$122,611	3.5078 ¢	\$137,288	1.34%	\$1,840	1.60%	\$2,197	
Off-Peak kWh	5,018,912	\$135,445	3.0227 ¢	\$151,707	1.34%	\$2,033	1.60%	\$2,427	
<b>Schedule 9</b>									
Facilities kW	0	\$0	\$1.94	\$0					
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	0	\$0	\$12.18	\$0	1.47%	\$0	1.75%	\$0	
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	0	\$0	\$8.26	\$0	1.47%	\$0	1.75%	\$0	
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	0	\$0	4.0588 ¢	\$0	1.47%	\$0	1.75%	\$0	
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	0	\$0	3.0520 ¢	\$0	1.47%	\$0	1.75%	\$0	
Off-Peak kWh	0	\$0	2.5488 ¢	\$0	1.47%	\$0	1.75%	\$0	
<b>Total (Aggregated)</b>	<b>9,786,387</b>	<b>\$643,119</b>		<b>\$720,089</b>		<b>\$6,152</b>			<b>\$7,346</b>
<b>Schedule 40 (Aggregated)</b>		<b>\$33,084</b>		<b>\$0</b>					
<b>Schedule No. 31 - Back-Up, Maintenance, and Supplementary Power - Industrial</b>									
<b>Secondary Voltage</b>									
Customer Charge per month		\$0	\$116.00	\$0					
Facilities Charge, per kW month		\$0	\$4.26	\$0					
<b>Back-up Power Charge</b>									
Regular, per On-Peak kW day		\$0	\$0.5868	\$0					
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day		\$0	\$0.2934	\$0					
Excess Power, per kW month		\$0	\$55.28	\$0					
<b>Primary Voltage</b>									
Customer Charge per month		\$0	\$527.00	\$0					
Facilities Charge, per kW month		\$0	\$3.35	\$0					
<b>Back-up Power Charge</b>									
Regular, per On-Peak kW day		\$0	\$0.5710	\$0					
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day		\$0	\$0.2855	\$0					
Excess Power, per kW month		\$0	\$39.84	\$0					
<b>Transmission Voltage</b>									
Customer Charge per month	12	\$6,324	\$590.00	\$7,080					
Facilities Charge, per kW month	42,561	\$72,354	\$1.90	\$80,866					
<b>Back-up Power Charge</b>									
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	44,124	\$17,685	\$0.4485	\$19,790					
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0	\$0.2243	\$0					

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Historical Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2010**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2012**

	Forecasted Units	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	GRC		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
			Proposed Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$0	\$38.36	\$0				
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0				
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$96,363</b>		<b>\$107,736</b>		<b>\$0</b>		<b>\$0</b>
Schedule 40								
<i>Supplemental billed at Schedule 6/8/9 rate</i>								
<b>Schedule 6</b>								
All kW (May - Sept)		\$0	\$16.84	\$0	1.04%	\$0	1.23%	\$0
All kW (Oct - Apr)		\$0	\$13.52	\$0	1.04%	\$0	1.23%	\$0
Voltage Discount		\$0	(\$0.87)	\$0				
All kWh								
kWh (May-Sept)		\$0	3.5439 ¢	\$0	1.04%	\$0	1.23%	\$0
kWh (Oct-Apr)		\$0	3.2659 ¢	\$0	1.04%	\$0	1.23%	\$0
<b>Schedule 8</b>								
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)		\$0	\$13.81	\$0	1.34%	\$0	1.60%	\$0
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)		\$0	\$9.94	\$0	1.34%	\$0	1.60%	\$0
Facilities kW		\$0	\$4.22	\$0				
Voltage Discount		\$0	(\$1.01)	\$0				
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)		\$0	4.4812 ¢	\$0	1.34%	\$0	1.60%	\$0
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)		\$0	3.5078 ¢	\$0	1.34%	\$0	1.60%	\$0
Off-Peak kWh		\$0	3.0227 ¢	\$0	1.34%	\$0	1.60%	\$0
<b>Schedule 9</b>								
Facilities kW	0	\$0	\$1.94	\$0				
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	0	\$0	\$12.18	\$0	1.47%	\$0	1.75%	\$0
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	0	\$0	\$8.26	\$0	1.47%	\$0	1.75%	\$0
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	64,133	\$2,300	4.0588 ¢	\$2,603	1.47%	\$38	1.75%	\$46
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	293,066	\$7,902	3.0520 ¢	\$8,944	1.47%	\$131	1.75%	\$157
Off-Peak kWh	458,413	\$10,323	2.5488 ¢	\$11,684	1.47%	\$172	1.75%	\$204
<b>Total (Aggregated)</b>	<b>815,612</b>	<b>\$116,888</b>		<b>\$130,967</b>		<b>\$341</b>		<b>\$407</b>
Schedule 40 (Aggregated)		\$0		\$0				
<b>SPCL0001</b>								
Customer Charge	12	\$2,210		\$2,210				
kW High Load Hours	890,174	\$8,719,546		\$8,719,546				
kW Low Load Hours	1,492,236	\$0		\$0				
kWh High Load Hours	219,558,392	\$6,619,276		\$6,619,276				
kWh Low Load Hours	346,473,399	\$7,601,626		\$7,601,626				
<b>Total</b>	<b>566,031,791</b>	<b>\$22,942,659</b>		<b>\$22,942,659</b>				
<b>SPCL0002</b>								
Customer Charge	12							
Non-firm kWh	906,890,766	\$30,307,371		\$30,307,371				
<b>Total</b>	<b>906,890,766</b>	<b>\$30,307,371</b>		<b>\$30,307,371</b>				
<b>SPCL0003 - Billed Under Sch 31/9</b>								
Customer Charge	12	\$6,324	\$590.00	\$7,080				
Facilities Charge per kW	1,161,152	\$1,973,958	\$1.90	\$2,206,189				
kW Back-Up								
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	8,609,191	\$3,450,564	\$0.4485	\$3,861,222				
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0	\$0.2243	\$0				
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$0	\$38.36	\$0				
kWh Supplemental								
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	0	\$0	\$12.18	\$0	1.47%	\$0	1.75%	\$0
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	1,497,287	\$10,930,195	\$8.26	\$12,367,591	1.47%	\$181,804	1.75%	\$216,433
kWh Supplemental								
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	38,988,018	\$1,398,032	4.0588 ¢	\$1,582,446	1.47%	\$23,262	1.75%	\$27,693
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	414,628,720	\$11,179,634	3.0520 ¢	\$12,654,469	1.47%	\$186,021	1.75%	\$221,453
Off-Peak kWh	699,571,766	\$15,752,957	2.5488 ¢	\$17,830,685	1.47%	\$262,111	1.75%	\$312,037
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,153,188,504</b>	<b>\$44,691,664</b>		<b>\$50,509,682</b>		<b>\$653,197</b>		<b>\$777,616</b>
Schedule 40		\$1,809,654		\$0				
<b>SPCL0005 - Billed Under Sch 9</b>								
Customer Charge	12	\$2,400	\$226.00	\$2,712				
Facilities kW	381,681	\$652,675	\$1.94	\$740,461				
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	149,779	\$1,611,622	\$12.18	\$1,824,308	1.47%	\$26,817	1.75%	\$31,925
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	209,851	\$1,531,912	\$8.26	\$1,733,369	1.47%	\$25,481	1.75%	\$30,334
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	25,512,952	\$914,843	4.0588 ¢	\$1,035,520	1.47%	\$15,222	1.75%	\$18,122
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	65,273,929	\$1,759,981	3.0520 ¢	\$1,992,160	1.47%	\$29,285	1.75%	\$34,863

**Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah**  
**Blocking Based on Adjusted Actuals and Forecasted Loads**  
**Historical Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2010**  
**Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending June 2012**

	Forecasted Units	Forecasted Revenue Dollars	GRC		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
			Proposed Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
Off-Peak kWh	155,936,566	\$3,511,380	2.5488 ¢	\$3,974,511	1.47%	\$58,425	1.75%	\$69,554
Total	246,723,447	\$9,984,813		\$11,303,041		\$155,230		\$184,798
Schedule 40		\$430,037		\$0				
<b>Rate No. 77 - Security Lighting, 08THIK0077</b>								
Customer	1	\$0	\$0.00	\$0				
20,000 Mercury Vapor	972	\$17,277	\$17.7751	\$17,277				
50,000 Lumen	0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0				
All kWh	134,183	\$0	0.0000 ¢	\$0				
Unbilled	0	\$0		\$0				
Total	134,183	\$17,277		\$17,277				
<b>Lighting Contract - Post Top Lighting - 08PTLD000N/08PTLD000R</b>								
Energy Only Res	91	\$198	\$2.18	\$198				
Energy Only Non-Res	195	\$426	\$2.1858	\$426				
7,000 Lumen	1	\$5	\$4.80	\$5				
Subtotal	287	\$629		\$629				
KWH Included	8,375							
Customers	5							
Unbilled	0			\$0				
Total	8,375	\$629		\$629				
<b>Annual Guarantee Adjustment</b>								
Residential		\$39,383		\$39,383				
Commercial		\$3,194,757		\$3,194,757				
Industrial		\$126,394		\$126,394				
Irrigation		\$212,407		\$212,407				
Public Street & Highway Lighting		\$4,683		\$4,683				
Other Sales Public Authorities		\$0		\$0				
Interdepartmental		\$0		\$0				
Total AGA		\$3,577,623		\$3,577,623				
<b>TOTAL - ALL CLASSES</b>	24,441,812,591	\$1,638,141,192		\$1,819,237,627		\$19,997,254		\$23,903,969
Schedule 40		\$64,096,637		\$0		\$0		\$0
<b>TOTAL - Including Schedule 40</b>	24,441,812,591	\$1,702,237,830		\$1,819,237,627		\$19,997,254		\$23,903,969

Gary A. Dodge, #0897  
Hatch, James & Dodge  
10 West Broadway, Suite 400  
Salt Lake City, UT 84101  
Telephone: 801-363-6363  
Facsimile: 801-363-6666  
Email: gdodge@hjdllaw.com

Attorneys for US Magnesium LLC

---

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH**

---

<b>In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase Rates by \$29.3 Million or 1.7 Percent through the Energy Balancing Account</b>	<b>Docket No. 12-035-67</b>
---	-----------------------------

---

---

**STIPULATED RESOLUTION OF ISSUES RELATING TO  
US MAGNESIUM'S PARTICIPATION IN  
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S  
UTAH ENERGY BALANCING ACCOUNT**

---

US Magnesium LLC ("US Mag"), the Utah Division of Public Utilities, the Utah Office of Consumer Services and Rocky Mountain Power ("RMP") hereby stipulate and agree that all issues involving the participation of US Mag in RMP'S Utah Energy Balancing Account ("EBA") should be resolved on the following term and conditions:

1. US Mag will not be required to pay any EBA-related amounts for or relating to any deferral periods prior to 2012.
2. The Commission's Final Report and Order in this docket should determine and direct that US Magnesium shall participate in the EBA for EBA deferrals beginning January 1,

2012 or later, and that US Mag's Electric Service Agreement shall be deemed amended to require such participation, under the terms and conditions specified herein.

3. RMP intends to open a docket in March 2013 ("2013 EBA Docket") for a determination of the amount to be recovered from or returned to Utah ratepayers for over or under collection of net power costs relating to the calendar year 2012 deferral period ("2013 EBA Balance"). US Mag will be subject to the 2013 EBA Docket and the 2013 EBA Balance, and will pay an appropriate share of the 2013 EBA Balance as determined by the Commission, based on factors and allocations utilized by the Commission for all customers ("US Mag's 2013 EBA Obligation").

4. US Mag's 2013 EBA Obligation will be paid in full by US Mag, but over a slightly different collection period than other customers. The collection period for other customers will begin on the date specified in the Commission's Final Report and Order in the 2013 EBA Docket, and continue for 2 years, as stipulated by the parties and ordered by the Commission in Docket 11-035-200. The collection period for recovery of US Mag's 2013 EBA Obligation will begin on January 1, 2014, and end at the end of the 24-month collection period for other customers. Otherwise, the terms and conditions for payment of US Mag's 2013 EBA Obligation will be the same for US Mag as for RMP's other Utah customers.

5. US Mag will be subject to the EBA for deferral periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, on the same basis and terms as for other customers, unless the Commission orders otherwise in a future proceeding.

6. US Mag hereby waives and agrees not to assert that the prohibition against retroactive ratemaking, or any other regulatory, common law or statutory principle, precludes the

Commission from ordering payment of, or RMP from collecting, US Mag's 2013 EBA  
Obligation under the terms and conditions specified herein.

7. Utah Code Annotated Section 54-7-1 authorizes the Commission to approve a settlement so long as the settlement is just and reasonable in result. The parties to this stipulation agree that this stipulation as a whole is just and reasonable in result and in the public interest.

8. All negotiations related to this stipulation are confidential, and no party shall be bound by any position asserted in negotiations. Except as expressly provided in this stipulation, and in accordance with Utah Administrative Code R746-100-10.F.5, neither the execution of this stipulation nor the order adopting it shall be deemed to constitute an admission or acknowledgement by any party of the validity or invalidity of any principle or practice of regulatory accounting or ratemaking; nor shall they be construed to constitute the basis of an estoppel or waiver by any party; nor shall they be introduced or used as evidence for any other purpose in a future proceeding by any party except in a proceeding to enforce this stipulation.

9. All parties to this docket have either joined or do not oppose this stipulation. The parties request that the Commission approve this stipulation without further hearing as part of the final Report and Order in this docket. The parties believe that a separate hearing on approval of this stipulation should not be required, particularly in the absence of objection by any party.

10. This Stipulation may be executed by individual parties through two or more separate, conformed copies, the aggregate of which will be considered as an integrated instrument.

DATED this 1<sup>st</sup> day of February, 2013.

/s/ Gary A. Dodge

Gary A. Dodge  
Hatch, James & Dodge  
10 West Broadway, Suite 400  
Salt Lake City, UT 84101  
Attorneys for US Mag

/s/ Chris Parker

Chris Parker  
DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
500 Heber Wells Building  
160 East 300 South, 4th Floor  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

/s/ Michele Beck

Michele Beck  
OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES  
500 Heber Wells Building  
160 East 300 South, 2nd Floor  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

/s/ Yvonne R. Hogle

Mark C. Moench  
Yvonne R. Hogle  
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER  
201 South Main Street, Suite 2300  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2013, a true and correct copy of the foregoing REPORT AND ORDER was served upon the following as indicated below:

By Electronic-Mail:

Data Request Response Center ([datarequest@pacificorp.com](mailto:datarequest@pacificorp.com))  
PacifiCorp

Gary A Dodge ([gdodge@hjdllaw.com](mailto:gdodge@hjdllaw.com))  
Hatch, James & Dodge

Kevin Higgins ([khiggins@energystrat.com](mailto:khiggins@energystrat.com))  
Neal Townsend ([ntownsend@energystrat.com](mailto:ntownsend@energystrat.com))  
Energy Strategies

F. Robert Reeder ([bobreeder@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:bobreeder@parsonsbehle.com))  
William J. Evans ([bevans@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:bevans@parsonsbehle.com))  
Vicki M. Baldwin ([vbaldwin@parsonsbehle.com](mailto:vbaldwin@parsonsbehle.com))  
Parsons Behle & Latimer

Mark C. Moench ([mark.moench@pacificorp.com](mailto:mark.moench@pacificorp.com))  
David L. Taylor ([dave.taylor@pacificorp.com](mailto:dave.taylor@pacificorp.com))  
Yvonne R. Hogle ([yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com](mailto:yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com))  
Rocky Mountain Power

Gregory B. Monson ([gbmonson@stoel.com](mailto:gbmonson@stoel.com))  
Stoel Rives LLP

Roger Swenson ([roger.swenson@prodigy.net](mailto:roger.swenson@prodigy.net))  
US Magnesium LLC

Patricia E. Schmid ([pschmid@utah.gov](mailto:pschmid@utah.gov))  
Justin C. Jetter ([jjetter@utah.gov](mailto:jjetter@utah.gov))  
Utah Attorneys General

By Hand-Delivery:

Division of Public Utilities  
160 East 300 South, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Office of Consumer Services  
160 East 300 South, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

---

Administrative Assistant