

OCS Data Request 5.12

RMP proposed to recover the following specific expenditures through the residential customer charge in the last GRC. Does RMP continue to propose to recover these specific expenditures via the residential customer charge in the current GRC? If yes, please explain the purpose of each of the following expenditures and why they should be included as part of the residential customer charge.

- (a) the customer and regulatory liaison group (included in Account 903.6);
- (b) the business services group (included in Account 903.6);
- (c) joint use bad debt expense (included in Account 904.2);
- (d) customer guarantee program (included in Account 905.0);
- (e) the Utah Solar Incentive Program (included in Account 908.1);
- (f) customer and community managers (included in Account 908.6);
- (g) customer and community communications group (included in Account 909.0);
- (h) Outside facilitator for joint planning effort with cities and counties to set facility siting criteria (included in Account 910.0);
- (i) Bad debt expense (Account 904.0).

Response to OCS Data Request 5.12

No. Please refer the response to OCS 5.8. The Company's proposed customer charge is based on making reasonable movement to reflect cost of service, which supports a customer charge of approximately \$25.00 per month. Subaccounts are not included in the cost of service study, and, except for Account 903.2, RMP does not refer to subaccounts in its justification for its \$8 customer charge proposal in this GRC.