

TASC

EXHIBIT B



1. *Net Energy Metering Cost Effectiveness Evaluation*, E3 Consulting (March 2010). Available at http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/NR/rdonlyres/0F42385A-FDBE-4B76-9AB3-E6AD522DB862/0/nem_combined.pdf. (California PUC / E3 2009-2010 Net Energy Metering Study).
2. *CSI Cost-Effectiveness Evaluation*, E3 Consulting (April 2011). Available at ftp.cpuc.ca.gov/gopherdata/energy_division/csi/CSI%20Report_Complete_E3_Final.pdf. (California PUC / E3 2010 CSI Study).
3. *California 2013 Net Energy Metering Ratepayer Impacts Evaluation*, E3 Consulting (October 2013). Available at <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/NR/rdonlyres/75573B69-D5C8-45D3-BE22-3074EAB16D87/0/NEMReport.pdf>. (California PUC / E3 2013 Net Energy Metering Ratepayer Impact Study).
4. Perez, R., Zweibel, K., Hoff, T., *Solar Power Generation in the US: Too Expensive, or a Bargain?*, Energy Policy 39, 2011. pp. 7290-7297 (2011). Available at <http://cleanpower.com/wp-content/uploads/Solar-Power-Generation-in-U.S.-too-expensive-or-a-bargain.pdf>.
5. Rábago, K., Norris, B., Hoff, T., *Designing Austin Energy's Solar Tariff Using A Distributed PV Calculator*, Clean Power Research & Austin Energy (2012). Available at <http://www.austinenergy.com/About%20Us/Newsroom/Reports/solarGoalsUpdate.pdf>. (Austin Energy Value of Solar, Clean Power Research (CPR), Updated in 2012).
6. “New York Solar Study: An Analysis of the Benefits and Costs of Increasing Generation from Photovoltaic Devices in New York,” New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (January 2012). Available at <http://www.nyserda.ny.gov/Publications/Program-Planning-Status-and-Evaluation-Reports/Solar-Study.aspx>.
7. Perez, R. *et al.*, “The Value of Distributed Solar Electric Generation to New Jersey and Pennsylvania” (2012). Available

at <http://communitypowernetwork.com/sites/default/files/MSEIA-Final-Benefits-of-Solar-Report-2012-11-01.pdf>.¹

8. "Evaluation of Net Metering in Vermont Conducted Pursuant to Act 125 of 2012," Vermont Public Service Department, January 15, 2013. The staff of the Vermont PSC performed an extensive literature search in its January 2013 Evaluation. The report, along with a matrix of other studies it reviewed can be found at http://publicservice.vermont.gov/sites/psd/files/Topics/Renewable_Energy/Net_Metering/Act%20125%20Study%2020130115%20Final.pdf. (State of Vermont, January 2013 Net Energy Metering study).
9. "Evaluating the Benefits and Costs of Net Energy Metering in California," January 2013, Crossborder Energy. Available at <http://votesolar.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/Crossborder-Energy-CA-Net-Metering-Cost-Benefit-Jan-2013-final.pdf>. (Crossborder Energy, California Net Energy Metering Study, January 2013).
10. "The Benefits and Costs of Solar Distributed Generation for Arizona Public Service," Crossborder Energy (May 8, 2013). Available at <http://www.seia.org/sites/default/files/resources/AZ-Distributed-Generation.pdf>. (Crossborder Energy, Cost-Benefit Study of Solar DG in Arizona Public Service (APS) territory, May 2013).
11. "2013 Updated Solar PV Value Report, Arizona Public Service," by SAIC Energy, Environment and Infrastructure, LLC. Available at <http://www.solarfuturearizona.com/2013SolarValueStudy.pdf>. (SAIC, APS Net Energy Metering Study, May 2013).
12. "Direct Testimony of R. Thomas Beach" for the Idaho Conservation League, May 10, 2013. Submitted in Case No. IPC-E-12-27. Available at <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/fileroom/cases/elec/IPC/IPCE1227/intervenor/I DAHO%20CONSERVATION%20LEAGUE/20130510BEACH%20DIRECT.PDF>. (Crossborder Energy, Idaho Power testimony, May 2013).
13. *Benefits and Costs of Solar Generation for Ratepayers in North Carolina*, Crossborder Energy (October 18, 2013). Available at <http://energync.org/assets/files/Benefits%20and%20Costs%20of%20Solar%20Generation%20for%20Ratepayers%20in%20North%20Carolina%282%29.pdf>.
14. *Benefits and Costs of Solar Distributed Generation for the Public Service Company of Colorado*, Crossborder Energy (December 2013). Crossborder Energy, Benefits and Costs of Solar Distributed Generation for the Public Service Company of Colorado, updated December 2, 2013. Available at http://www.oursolarrights.org/files/5513/8662/3174/Crossborder_Study_of_the_Benefits_of_Distributed_Solar_Generation_for_PSCo.pdf.

15. “A Review of Solar PV Benefit & Cost Studies,” Rocky Mountain Institute (2013). Available at http://www.rmi.org/Knowledge-Center/Library/2013-13_eLabDERCostValue. “Literature review summary for Vermont Act 125 evaluation of net metering,” September 17, 2012, Vermont Public Service Department.
See http://publicservice.vermont.gov/sites/psd/files/Topics/Renewable_Energy/Net_Metering/NM%20Lit%20Review%20011513.pdf.
16. Keyes, Jason B., Rábago, Karl R., Regulator’s Guidebook: Calculating the Benefits and Costs of Distributed Solar Generation, Interstate Renewable Energy Council, Inc. and Rábago Energy, LLC (October 2013). Available at http://www.irecusa.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/IREC_Rabago_Regulators-Guidebook-to-Assessing-Benefits-and-Costs-of-DSG.pdf. (Regulator’s Guidebook).
17. *PJM Renewable Integration Study Reports (PRIS)*, GE Energy, AWS Truepower, EnerNex, Exeter Associates, Intertek Asset Integrity Management and PowerGEM (2014). Available at <http://www.pjm.com/committees-and-groups/task-forces/irtf/pris.aspx>.