

ORIGINAL

July 22, 2013

Utah Public Service Commission

**Official Request of consideration of a rehearing of the
PSC of Utah Docket 13-035-58**

RE:” BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

**In the Matter of the Application of Rocky
Mountain Power for Approval of its Asset
Transfer Agreement with the City of
Blanding, Utah**

DOCKET NO. 13-035-58

**NOTICE OF FILING OF REQUEST FOR
REVIEW OR REHEARING AND
COMMENT PERIOD
DISCUSSION AND NOTICE
OF COMMENT PERIOD**

**We read the Palmer’s correspondence as a
timely request for review or hearing
under Utah Code Admin. R746-100-11 a
nd Utah Code Ann. § 63G-4-302.**

2

**As such, we request
that any interested party submit
comments regarding the Palmer’s
request for review or rehearing
on or before Friday, July 26, 2013. Specifically
, the Commission requests comments regarding
the sufficiency of notice provided to RMP
customers regarding the proposed Agreement and its
potential effect. DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah this 17th day of July, 2013”**

July 22, 2013

Dear Sir/Madam,

**RE: The above notice, the Palmer’s email of July 12 and mine of
July 16 to the PSC.**

**1. As of the above date, I, as an affected customer of Rocky
Mountain Power and Blanding City Electric account transfer have
as of today received no further notification other than my reading
of the “elusive docket” posting of this extended comment period
on this transfer. I am assuming none of the other affected account
holders have either. Since the previous snail mailed notices by
RMP (which to me had the appearances of just some sort of junk
mail) with notice of the transfer were not only seemly on purpose**

UTAH PUBLIC
SERVICE COMMISSION
2013 JUL 22 P 3:20
RECEIVED
315258

late and untimely, (RE: please read Kammy Palmer's email) also do not have the correct URL links to the docket so the 35 affected customers could not possibly have seen past or present on what is actually happening with this transfer from the past notifications. I finally received the proper link, as I explained in a previous email, by getting the correct docket URL link sent to my personal email resulting from a phone call I had made to Bob Lively of Rocky Mountain Power. It was at this time that I found out about the transfer from RMP to Blanding City was already approved. Seemly us affected parties whom did not have or take time to pursue finding a working link to the docket, still knew nor know nothing that was going on, must less this extended comment period as above granted. Just how could they be aware without going to the seemly "under the radar" docket? I had assumed in fairness another "form letter" of some sort would be sent out with correct information to the docket link to those affected along with perhaps some background information. If RMP (or anyone else) was really interested in any consideration of its customers, the notification would also include a simple questionnaire basically asking RMP customers if they would rather stay with their current status with RMP as a privately owned utility company OR deal with any electrical issues/rates (present or future) with Blanding City? Perhaps both parties involved do not really care what the affected account holders wishes are anyway and this is just a formal exercise to follow the laws of Utah PSC?

A postage free return envelope also would be nice if anyone at PSC really wanted the replies. As I have brought to UPS attention in my previous email, those of us affected have zero say about what Blanding City does since we are not in the city, can not vote for any Blanding City officials nor will we have any consideration on electrical rates or anything that effects us. I "assume" that we all are not in the city, so we have no voice in anything they do.

I say "assume" here since it seems to be a privacy issue to not release the names even of those affected by this transfer, so I can only assume those affected are not city residents. To date anyway, no other notification seems to have happened that I am aware of, and I therefore assume that is not going to be done. So this so

called comment period, in my opinion, is just a waste of time for the people that it extends the comment period for very few persons affected know of it as I see it.

How hard could it be to have done this in the above extended notification period IF ANYONE CARED what WE as affected customers thought? Perhaps it is in the works and it will be like the previous notifications track record something will get to us via snail mail on or around the end of the comment period? (July 26th)

2. I also urge everyone, especially us affected out of the City, read the last paragraph of the Blanding electric rates (link Below) dealing with residential and commercial connections outside of the city limits. The number of parties involved in any approval of service. Etc. Since RMP (Bob lively) informed me RMP can not even give the Blanding City our addresses even, nor transfer our accounts etc. to the city. We will be signing up as new customers of the City of Blanding. If I have the incorrect information, then would someone please clarify? Some of my concerns as to connecting to the city electric is all the approvals needed and notably caught my eye is this: (among other things.)

“The customer must sign a restrictive covenants agreement giving the city rights with regard to annexation prior to City Council approval or any construction being completed.”

It appears to me like we are giving consent to be annexed to the city just by being furnished electric by the city, among other things. Please read the entire paragraph dealing with out of town residents! I personally have no desire to live under the Blanding City Bureaucracy system, but everyone is clearly entitled to have their own option on this matter.

LINK:

http://www.blanding-ut.gov/downloads/services/electricity_policy_procedures.pdf

Thanking you for your time and considerations,
Thomas Bradford

