



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

NEM Docket 14-035-114

1 message

Irene Terry <irene.terry@utah.edu>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Jan 29, 2015 at 3:08 PM

Utah Public Service Commission

<psc@utah.gov> NEM Docket 14-035-114

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to urge your consideration of all relevant costs and benefits associated with solar net metering as you proceed with the inquiry mandated by Utah's legislature in Senate Bill 208.

A full and fair analytical framework will include all aspects internal to the grid as well as those cost and benefit impacts that have historically been shifted to the general public. Rate payers, tax payers, and all Utahns deserve a comprehensive --not partial-- investigation.

Please schedule technical conferences to examine the within-grid impacts of using net meter solar electricity to offset fossil fuel generated electricity. In addition to Rocky Mountain Power's load research, data should be collected comparing fuel costs, power capacity requirements, transmission and distribution costs, line energy loss, environmental compliance costs, and other data sets that docket interveners deem relevant to the study.

Additional technical conferences should be scheduled to examine the societal health, economic, and environmental impacts of replacing coal and gas with net metered renewable energy as the fuel source for generating electricity. Experts from the medical sciences and public health officials should join economists and environmental scientists to share data and analysis models at these conferences. There should be a close analysis of all the detrimental impacts of coal and gas compared with the use of solar and other renewables, considering the full life cycle of the energy source.

Your regulatory body now has a golden opportunity to set the record straight on the pros and cons of moving Rocky Mountain Power's electrical system from carbon combustion to renewable energy, such as that provided by net meter customers. I hope you will seek to maximize the knowledge base this costs-benefits inquiry has the potential to provide.

Thank you for seriously considering my public comment.

Sincerely,

L. Irene Terry