



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Proposed changes in rate schedule for rooftop solar customers**

1 message

roger@rogerroos.com <roger@rogerroos.com>  
To: psc@utah.gov

Sun, May 28, 2017 at 5:03 PM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission Chair LeVar, Commissioners Clark and White:

I live in Ivins, Utah and I put rooftop solar energy panels on my roof about 5 years ago. I wanted to reduce my electricity bills and to reduce the amount of coal burned to provide that electricity. I believed then, and still do, that we should all try to use less energy where we can.

I have recently learned that Rocky Mountain Power is asking you to approve changes in their billing structure that would cost me 10.7 cents per kwh when I buy electricity, but would pay me only 3.8 cents when I supply it back to the grid. They also want to almost double my monthly access fee to \$15 dollars. In addition, they want to create a "demand charge" on any peak energy I may need. These changes are all aimed at rooftop solar customers only. This all seems to be an attempt to discourage further use of solar energy, and punish those who invested and now use it. It appears blatantly unfair.

I spent over \$20,000 to install my photovoltaics. This was not economically prudent since our electrical bills were low anyway. However, my wife and I felt it was the right thing to do, to move away from reliance on fossil fuels.

The argument I have heard from Rocky Mountain Power is that people with rooftop solar don't pay their "fair share" of the cost of maintaining the electrical supply lines because they don't use as much power and therefore pay less—but still have access to power when they need it. The same argument could be made for people who insulate their homes or install LED lighting to reduce their power bills. Surely they shouldn't be charged more just for doing the right thing. If anything, those who waste energy should pay the surcharge.

If Rocky Mountain Power is really having trouble providing electricity at their current rates, I can think of only 2 ways of increasing their revenue that would be fair to all. First, they could increase the monthly access rate, but it should apply to all customers since all customers have access to electricity when they need it. Singling out rooftop solar customers is not fair. Second, they could increase the rate per kwh. We here in Utah enjoy rates lower than much of the country. I realize this might encourage people to conserve electricity, but isn't that what we want them to do anyway?

I strongly urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's request for these unfair rate changes. This would clearly be moving in the wrong direction.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the rate change request before you. I would appreciate a response to my letter, but I will understand if you are too busy to do so.

Roger G. Roos, M.D.  
1274 Evening Star Drive

5/30/2017

State of Utah Mail - Proposed changes in rate schedule for rooftop solar customers

Ivins, Utah 84738  
Phone: 208-899-0081



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Public Comments on Docket No. 14-035-114 in opposition to proposed rate change**

1 message

**KnowWho Automail (automail@knowwho.com) On Behalf Of Beatrice Denham (beatricedenham@gmail.com) <automail@knowwho.com>**  
To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, May 29, 2017 at  
8:51 PM

Dear UT PSC,

As a young professional in the building industry, I'm excited by the potential of rooftop solar to not just provide clean energy in my community, but as a career for myself and my peers. Designing and installing panel systems is meaningful skilled work, and something that could keep me in Utah, instead of leaving for another state. I hope that this opportunity continues to remain open to me.

Dear Utah Public Service Commission Chair LeVar, Commissioners Clark and White,

I strongly urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's request to impose punitive rates that would unfairly penalize Utah's rooftop solar customers.

Rooftop solar benefits all customers by reducing the need to build new polluting power plants, saving all customers money. Rooftop solar also protects our air and water by using clean, free sunshine as opposed to dirty fossil fuels. These benefits far outweigh the cost shifts alleged by Rocky Mountain Power, which at most amount to only a penny or two per month for average non-solar customers.

Utah should learn from neighboring states that have been down this road before. States where utilities succeeded in implementing similarly discriminatory rate designs like the one proposed by Rocky Mountain Power have suffered a near complete loss of rooftop solar development. This loss has meant less economic growth and fewer choices for customers. Rocky Mountain Power's plan to kill rooftop solar is the wrong path for Utah, a bad deal for customers, and hobbles Utah's vibrant solar industry.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's punitive rates for rooftop solar customers in favor of a rate structure that recognizes the enormous benefits that rooftop solar provides to all customers. Don't let Rocky Mountain Power's monopoly take away our rooftop solar!

cc: Cindy Crane, President and CEO, Rocky Mountain Power

Sincerely,

Beatrice Denham  
808 East Mill Creek Drive  
Moab, UT 84532  
beatricedenham@gmail.com  
(980) 621-4300

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Nasraran Alimadadi** <alimadadi.nastaran@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 5:26 AM

Reply-To: alimadadi.nastaran@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Nasraran Alimadadi  
2568 south Elizabeth street apt 4  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**1 message

---

**Steve Keyser** <skeyser@upbslc.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:46 AM

Reply-To: skeyser@upbslc.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Steve Keyser  
4775 S Mile High Dr  
Salt Lake City, UT 84124



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Jody Laird-Doner** <JLDLCSW@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:43 AM

Reply-To: JLDLCSW@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jody Laird-Doner  
168 U St  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**DUANE CARLING** <ctm913@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:39 AM

Reply-To: ctm913@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

DUANE CARLING  
617 Eastborne Ct  
Farmington, UT 84025



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Adrian Sellars** <sellars.adrian@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:39 AM

Reply-To: sellars.adrian@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Adrian Sellars  
565 East 3665 South  
South Salt lake, UT 84106





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Emilie Jordao** <jordao1emilie@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:49 AM

Reply-To: jordao1emilie@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Emilie Jordao  
161 E 1ST AVE, APT 30  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Judy Bell** <jandb63@xmission.com>  
Reply-To: jandb63@xmission.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:38 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Judy Bell  
2586 Elizabeth Street #8  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Heather Baines** <Heather.baines@outlook.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:37 AM

Reply-To: Heather.baines@outlook.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Heather Baines  
4109 Niblick dr  
Longmont, CO 80503



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Steven Beier** <s.beier@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:37 AM

Reply-To: s.beier@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Steven Beier  
1473 E 900Th S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Don Rudy** <donrudy@msn.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:37 AM

Reply-To: donrudy@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Don Rudy  
1860 East Zenda Way  
Salt Lake City, UT 84121



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Neil Decker** <ndecker124@sbcglobal.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:35 AM

Reply-To: ndecker124@sbcglobal.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Neil Decker  
1477 S. Wilton Way  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Nathan Chetrit** <nathan.chetrit@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:34 AM

Reply-To: nathan.chetrit@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Nathan Chetrit  
537 N. Darwin St.  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Mary Jane Ciccarello** <marycicarose@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:34 AM

Reply-To: marycicarose@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Mary Jane Ciccarello  
335 4th Avenue  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Diane Allen** <moab3mutts@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:33 AM

Reply-To: moab3mutts@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Diane Allen  
PO Box 1081  
Moab, UT 84532



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Jennifer Deans** <Skierjen@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:32 AM

Reply-To: Skierjen@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jennifer Deans  
9672 Colemere St  
Sandy, UT 84092



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Eveline Field** <elilifield@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:32 AM

Reply-To: elilifield@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Eveline Field  
4190 S Mount Olympus Way  
Salt Lake City, UT 84124



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Karen Madigan** <madigankaren@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:31 AM

Reply-To: madigankaren@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Karen Madigan  
285 Crestview Dr  
Park City, UT 84097



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Steven Aldana** <steve@wellsteps.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:31 AM

Reply-To: steve@wellsteps.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Steven Aldana  
935 E 900 N  
Mapleton, UT 84664



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Beth Johnson** <bjohnson@hpslaw.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:29 AM

Reply-To: bjohnson@hpslaw.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Beth Johnson  
1514 north 500 east  
Centerville, UT 84014



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Christopher Camp** <Christopher\_Camp@live.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:28 AM

Reply-To: Christopher\_Camp@live.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Christopher Camp  
1004 E 4025 S  
Millcreek, UT 84124



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Tyler Yeates** <Wingingscapula@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:28 AM

Reply-To: Wingingscapula@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Tyler Yeates  
1236 E 2050 S  
Bountiful, UT 84010





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Daniel OMalley** <phoenixtreelc@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:27 AM

Reply-To: phoenixtreelc@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Daniel OMalley  
1033 Cambria Dr  
North Salt Lake, UT 84054



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Clare Gilmore** <clare.gilmore@msn.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:26 AM

Reply-To: clare.gilmore@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Clare Gilmore  
2257 Johns Peak Road  
Central Point, OR 97502



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Jennifer Fortin** <mattjenn39@msn.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:26 AM

Reply-To: mattjenn39@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jennifer Fortin  
420 G St  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Greg Hughes** <Greg.L.Hughes@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:25 AM

Reply-To: Greg.L.Hughes@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Greg Hughes  
5650 Aspenleaf Dr  
Park City, UT 84098



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Adam Harris** <adamharris1@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:51 AM

Reply-To: adamharris1@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Adam Harris  
3331 W Alpine Creek Way  
South Jordan, UT 84095



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**john silverman** <jsilver19@me.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:54 AM

Reply-To: jsilver19@me.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

john silverman  
1112 N Burnt Fork Rd  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Kurt Harris** <kuharris@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:54 AM

Reply-To: kuharris@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

I think this is a sensible thing to allow. RMP loses revenue with rooftop solar, but must incorporate this distributed energy source into its grid at a cost to them.

The benefits of solar with regard to "green-ness" are over-stated as well, and nuclear energy would be a much better choice. Especially advanced nuclear, like thorium molten salt reactors.

Rooftop solar energy is a nice thing to do, but not essential. It must carry it's own weight in a free market. Neither the government nor ratepayers should subsidize it into success unless it provided far more benefit than it does.

Thank you for your time.

Kurt Harris

Kurt Harris  
175 S 830 E  
Smithfield, UT 84335



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Elliott Hansen** <elliottshansen@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:55 AM

Reply-To: elliottshansen@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Elliott Hansen  
2785 E Commonwealth Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**bethany lopez** <bethskis@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 8:56 AM

Reply-To: bethskis@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Governor Herbert,

As a Salt Lake homeowner and a person actively engaged in our civic landscape, I'm extremely concerned and disheartened by Rocky Mountain Power's recent anti-solar actions. It's aggressively irresponsible, environmentally, but economically as well. I'm sure you're extremely aware of the business the rooftop solar industry is conducting in Utah--and how valuable those jobs and dollars are. This is thus not only an environmental and air-quality issue, but also one of economics, and also one of simple unfairness. You are in a position to do something about it, as people like I have little ability other than through voting and writing letters like this. Please. Please take action, please listen to the huge groundswell of your voting citizens who care about this issue. It's ALL of us, with one behemoth serving as bully.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

bethany lopez  
734 e wilson ave  
salt lake city, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**1 message

---

**Alison Godlewski** <alisongodlewski@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 9:00 AM

Reply-To: alisongodlewski@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Alison Godlewski  
1501 Meadow Loop Rd #9  
Park City, UT 84098



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Matthew Bennett** <matt@planbtheatre.org>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 9:00 AM

Reply-To: matt@planbtheatre.org

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Matthew Bennett  
74 E 7615 S  
Midvale, UT 84047



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Grant Hockin** <Grant.Hockin@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 9:02 AM

Reply-To: Grant.Hockin@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Grant Hockin  
1984 E Brady Creek Dr  
Sandy, UT 84093



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Kristen Rogers-Iversen** <krogersiversen@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 9:02 AM

Reply-To: krogersiversen@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Kristen Rogers-Iversen  
3582 E Oakrim Way  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Marcia Maurycy** <mmaurycy@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 9:04 AM

Reply-To: mmaurycy@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Marcia Maurycy  
1726 imperial park Lane  
Slc, UT 84106



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Jill Jeppesen** <Jill@radiance4life.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 9:04 AM

Reply-To: Jill@radiance4life.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jill Jeppesen  
1351 E Wilson Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Ty Nelson** <Tyler.Nelson@patagonia.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 9:14 AM

Reply-To: Tyler.Nelson@patagonia.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Ty Nelson  
2811 E 2880 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Kristin Shields** <kristinshields@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 9:28 AM

Reply-To: kristinshields@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Kristin Shields  
1556 S 1500 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Teresa Ruminski** <tlruminski@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 9:36 AM

Reply-To: tlruminski@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

On June 8th, the solar industry and advocates will be filing their response to the utilities request. A small sample of the counter arguments are:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits the systems provide to the grid and other ratepayers.

Much of the "cost" in Rocky Mountain Power's study is in the form of lost revenue rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. This is neither a fair or accurate way to account for costs of rooftop solar systems.

Further the study fails to take into account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs, avoided environmental compliance costs, and many others.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at these findings when they are presented on June 8th. Rooftop solar is not a cost, but a grid resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Teresa Ruminski  
550 E 400 N  
Heber City, UT 84032



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Nikki Wyman** <nikki.wyman@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:14 AM

Reply-To: nikki.wyman@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Nikki Wyman  
10362 S 535 E  
Sandy, UT 84070



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Amie Engerbretson** <amieenoe1@me.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:23 AM

Reply-To: amieenoe1@me.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Amie Engerbretson  
10085 Nicolas Dr. Unit A  
Truckee, CA 96161



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Rooftop Solar, Docket 14-035-114:**

1 message

**Lori McDonald** <lori@turn4turn.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:22 AM

Reply-To: lori@turn4turn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Rocky Mountain Power is doing a disservice to Utah by filing a request to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. Utah, with all our sunshine is the perfect location for solar and it is our responsibility to do all we can to promote and accelerate people adding solar panels, instead of the opposite. Please, Governor Herbert do what is right for solar customers. We built our home 8 years ago with solar panels because it is the way of the future and we are concerned about climate change and air quality.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file on June 8.

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers. Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your consideration,

Lori McDonald  
1315 Mellow Mountain Rd  
Park City, UT 84060



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Amie Engerbretson** <amieenoel@me.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:23 AM

Reply-To: amieenoel@me.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Amie Engerbretson  
10085 Nicolas Dr. Unit A  
Truckee, CA 96161



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

## Rooftop Solar

1 message

**Guy Koretz** <guykoretz@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:34 AM

Reply-To: guykoretz@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

:

As a now elderly (71) Utah resident I have noticed that I have more difficulty breathing on bad air days. We need to enlarge efforts to clean the air we breathe. The burden of bad air on our economy is often ignored when governments make "bottom line" decisions.

I request that you the PSC take a hard look at the thorough reports which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Thank you for your time.

Guy Koretz  
3195 east 900 south  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Hannah Whitney** <hannahbwhitney84@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:37 AM

Reply-To: hannahbwhitney84@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

As a young professional building a career and a life in Utah, the existence and affordability of renewable energy and specifically rooftop solar, is incredibly important to me. I hope that purchasing rooftop solar will be feasible for me as I continue working at a middle class level and start a family. And it would be so significant if lower income families could have it as an option too! So I ask you to PLEASE consider what this email says and requests.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you so much for your time and thoughtful consideration.

Hannah Whitney  
1629 S 400 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84115





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Lisa Neeshan** <lisaneeshan@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:52 AM

Reply-To: lisaneeshan@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Lisa Neeshan  
3184 W Bernina Dr  
Taylorsville, UT 84129



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Nicholas Bielaczyc** <nmbiela@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:52 AM

Reply-To: nmbiela@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Nicholas Bielaczyc  
922 S 2300 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Shelby Fisher** <Shelbypfisher@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:53 AM

Reply-To: Shelbypfisher@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Shelby Fisher  
584 east 850 south  
Centerville, UT 84014



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Paula Longhurst** <paulajlonghurst@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:54 AM

Reply-To: paulajlonghurst@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Paula Longhurst  
2166 S 1800 E  
SLC, UT 84106



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**brandon Bills** <Brandonbills@me.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:54 AM

Reply-To: Brandonbills@me.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

brandon Bills  
3807 grandview dr  
Ogden, UT 84403



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Daniel Cannon** <utaztec@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:55 AM

Reply-To: utaztec@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Daniel Cannon  
2047 Laird Dr  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Mark & Katherine Gardiner** <mg@xmission.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:56 AM

Reply-To: mg@xmission.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Mark & Katherine Gardiner  
374 E Garfield Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84115



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Michelle Manning-Kogler** <mmkogler@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:56 AM

Reply-To: mmkogler@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Michelle Manning-Kogler  
46041 Road 415 SPC 68  
Coarsegold, CA 93614





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Les Lang** <lmlang@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:56 AM

Reply-To: lmlang@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Les Lang  
908 E South Temple  
Unit 3W  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Bethy Niederman** <Bethyandgarrett@xmission.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:56 AM

Reply-To: Bethyandgarrett@xmission.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Bethy Niederman  
531 5th Ave  
SLC, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Meaghan McKasy** <Mckasym@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:57 AM

Reply-To: Mckasym@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Meaghan McKasy  
2618 S 900 e  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Nancy Halden** <nfhalden@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:58 AM

Reply-To: nfhalden@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Everyone I know who has installed rooftop solar here in Utah has dug deep into their pockets to make that change because they feel alternative energy is the right thing to do for our air and our future. Slapping them with rate increases is a slap in the face when they've already invested their own hard-earned money to make a choice that they feel is right.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Nancy Halden  
8872 S Silverstone Way  
Sandy, UT 84093



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Zachary Posner** <Zach.posner@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:58 AM

Reply-To: Zach.posner@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Zachary Posner  
505 c street  
Slc, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**We support solar power. Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Robert W Vorwald** <bvorwald@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:58 AM

Reply-To: bvorwald@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.  
Jody Vorwald

Robert W Vorwald  
11529 S Jordan Point Dr  
Sandy, UT 84092



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Jason King** <jason.k.king@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 10:59 AM

Reply-To: jason.k.king@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jason King  
5567 Morning Light Drive  
Herriman, UT 84096



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Aere Greenway** <Aere@dvorak-keyboards.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:00 AM

Reply-To: Aere@dvorak-keyboards.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Aere Greenway  
1326 N Mandalay Rd  
Salt Lake City, UT 84116





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Jessica Straley** <jessica.straley@utah.edu>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:01 AM

Reply-To: jessica.straley@utah.edu

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jessica Straley  
1491 S. Roxbury Rd.  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Caralee Woods** <caraleewoods@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:02 AM

Reply-To: caraleewoods@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Who owns the sun? I ask because I live off-grid, happily using solar to provide virtually all my electricity needs. I'd hate to think that corporations who provide on-grid power would try to strangle those who wish to supplement with rooftop solar rather than focus on fixing the grid to handle rooftop panels--while providing job growth at the same time. It's clear we cannot continue to operate the same way we always have with a foundering grid. People should be able to supplement their electricity with clean sources without being penalized.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Caralee Woods  
11776 E 850 S  
Kanab, UT 84741



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Brian Murphy** <Bmurphy4824@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:03 AM

Reply-To: Bmurphy4824@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Brian Murphy  
1261 E Sherman Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Lyle Nay** <LyleNay@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:03 AM

Reply-To: LyleNay@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Lyle Nay  
110 Crestview Cir  
Park City, UT 84098



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Linda & Sam Zeveloff** <lbkz@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:04 AM

Reply-To: lbkz@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Linda & Sam Zeveloff  
2870 Wheelock Ave.  
Ogden, UT 84403



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Alonzo Thayn** <Alonzo.thayn@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:04 AM

Reply-To: Alonzo.thayn@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Alonzo Thayn  
952 ECrandall Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Jeanine Kuhn-Coker** <shrink4928@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:06 AM

Reply-To: shrink4928@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jeanine Kuhn-Coker  
516 12th Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**1 message

---

**john silverman** <jsilver19@me.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:07 AM

Reply-To: jsilver19@me.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

john silverman  
1112 N Burnt Fork Rd  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Tanya andrew** <tnuttandrew@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:08 AM

Reply-To: tnuttandrew@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Tanya andrew  
4497 E Wyndom Ct  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Richard Sullivan** <Dick\_sullivan@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:09 AM

Reply-To: Dick\_sullivan@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Richard Sullivan  
1881 East 3780 South  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Verlene Kelsey-McKee** <Vkelseymckee@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:12 AM

Reply-To: Vkelseymckee@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Verlene Kelsey-McKee  
850 S Donner Way, Apt 102  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Jared Stewart** <stewartj2012@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:11 AM

Reply-To: stewartj2012@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. The reason being that these customers are helping to shift the energy spectrum towards renewable energy. They are early adopters that should not be penalized for doing a good thing!!

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

—Jared Stewart

Jared Stewart  
3862 Greenbrair Way  
Millcreek, UT 84109



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Linda & Sam Zeveloff** <lbkz@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:15 AM

Reply-To: lbkz@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Linda & Sam Zeveloff  
2870 Wheelock Ave.  
Ogden, UT 84403



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**John Bercaw** <bercaw@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:16 AM

Reply-To: bercaw@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

John Bercaw  
1716 Harrison Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Matt Crowell** <mcgreenify@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:18 AM

Reply-To: mcgreenify@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Matt Crowell  
1402 W Topeka Dr  
Phoenix, AZ 85027



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Zak Brown** <Zak.a.brown@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:18 AM

Reply-To: Zak.a.brown@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Zak Brown  
2122 E Downington Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Shelly Filgo** <sbfilgo@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:18 AM

Reply-To: sbfilgo@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Shelly Filgo  
11721 S HIGHLAND OAKS CIR  
SANDY, UT 84092



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Fred Helbling** <fred.leanne@juno.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:19 AM

Reply-To: fred.leanne@juno.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Fred Helbling  
8343west3790 south  
Magna, UT 84044



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**1 message

---

**Nicholas Mitchell** <nicky9ball@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:21 AM

Reply-To: nicky9ball@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Nicholas Mitchell  
1575!E  
Layton, UT 84040



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**meg randle** <meg.randle@imail.org>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:26 AM

Reply-To: meg.randle@imail.org

To: psc@utah.gov

I am a happy and proud rooftop solar customer since march 2015. I have found the installation and maintenance and sales professional to be so effective that I have several friends who recognize the contribution to health air in the Wasatch front as well as the economic industry that is supported.

I strongly discourage us going "by way of Nevada" when rolling back incentives for individual families to chose environment over public utility profits. It nearly killed the industry in NV, affecting many of us west of the Rockies. As we all know know pollution and poor air quality do not honor states' borders!

Please, Gov Herbert, be a leader and help Rocky Mtn become a 21 century company. Do not allow their request for giving us financial penalties to keep them in 19th and 20th fossil fuels. It will further set us back for our clean air obligation. It would send a message that the World is welcome here - to all our national parks and monuments.

Rocky Mountain Power filed a request and I along with many others, oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

Rooftop solar is the way of the world and it is valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it. Other countries have shown it

Please consider denying RMP request and I Thank you for your time.

meg randle  
856 sherman  
slc, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Nicholas Mitchell** <nicky9ball@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:27 AM

Reply-To: nicky9ball@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Hello governor and PSC general.

My family and I are very interested in becoming solar panel owners but the pricing and power monopoly that Rocky Mountain Power is suggesting is outrageous and part of the reason we have not purchased a system yet.

I also think that moving forward, looking to reduce pollution and improving the environment and overall well being of our state, and those who live here we need to look to these and other sources of cleaner energy production.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Nicholas Mitchell  
1575!E  
Layton, UT 84040



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**scott cowley** <chuckroast00@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:34 AM

Reply-To: chuckroast00@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

scott cowley  
956. e 3385 s  
millcreek, UT 84106



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Don't kill rooftop solar in Utah! Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Margie McCloy** <Margiemccloy@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:36 AM

Reply-To: Margiemccloy@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Utah's economy, reputation, and air quality is on the line with RMP's ill-advised request for a rate structure that will strongly penalize Utah's solar industry.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Margie McCloy  
995 South 800 East  
SLC, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Jan Haug** <janhaug@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:37 AM

Reply-To: janhaug@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jan Haug  
2420 Stringham Avenue  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Jenn Watt** <jenn.h.watt@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:42 AM

Reply-To: jenn.h.watt@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jenn Watt  
2229 E Westminster Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Geoffrey Crockett** <gcrockett@mac.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:46 AM

Reply-To: gcrockett@mac.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Geoffrey Crockett  
652 N Pioneer Fork Rd  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Jerry Howard** <docjer@juno.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:49 AM

Reply-To: docjer@juno.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jerry Howard  
710 S Indian Hills Dr Unit 54  
Saint George, UT 84770



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Greg Hughes** <Greg.L.Hughes@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Greg.L.Hughes@gmail.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:49 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Greg Hughes  
5650 Aspenleaf Dr  
Park City, UT 84098



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

Joan Smith &lt;Robertandjoan@gmail.com&gt;

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:52 AM

Reply-To: Robertandjoan@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Joan Smith  
2596 Glenna Drive  
Holladay, UT 84124



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Grant Hockin** <Grant.Hockin@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 11:56 AM

Reply-To: Grant.Hockin@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Grant Hockin  
1984 E Brady Creek Dr  
Sandy, UT 84093



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Joanne Slotnik** <joanne.slotnik@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: joanne.slotnik@gmail.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:02 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Joanne Slotnik  
Apricot Avenue  
SLC, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Richard Maxfield** <maxfieldrm@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:02 PM

Reply-To: maxfieldrm@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Richard Maxfield  
515 S 1000 E Apt 1002  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Danielle White** <DaniellefillionWhite@msn.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:02 PM

Reply-To: DaniellefillionWhite@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Danielle White and family

Danielle White  
1189 S McClelland St  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Stewart Shostak** <shostaks@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:06 PM

Reply-To: shostaks@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Stewart Shostak  
9724 S Bluffsides Dr  
Sandy, UT 84092



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Sarah Edmunds** <chiccycycle@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:09 PM

Reply-To: chiccycycle@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Sarah Edmunds  
8695 W Utah State Hwy 12  
Escalante, UT 84726



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Tom W. Rentz** <tomwrentz@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:17 PM

Reply-To: tomwrentz@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Tom W. Rentz  
1338 W North Temple Spc 534  
Salt Lake City, UT 84116



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Barbara Hughes** <barbarashughes@me.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:18 PM

Reply-To: barbarashughes@me.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Barbara Hughes  
11196 E Ripple Way  
Brighton, UT 84121



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Bob Archibald** <b.able@sbcglobal.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:20 PM

Reply-To: b.able@sbcglobal.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Bob Archibald  
4115 Quarry Dr  
SAndy, UT 84092



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Mary Ellen Navas** <maryellen.navas@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:24 PM

Reply-To: maryellen.navas@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Utahns want rooftop solar and you can make a divergence in keeping it affordable.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Mary Ellen Navas  
4115 Quarry Dr.  
Sandy, UT 84092



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**JOHN MCCLAIN** <johnhmcclain@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:25 PM

Reply-To: johnhmcclain@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

JOHN MCCLAIN  
10150 S AMARYLLIS DR  
Sandy, UT 84094





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Alan Hayes** <abh\_shop@xmission.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:25 PM

Reply-To: abh\_shop@xmission.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Alan Hayes  
1841 S Yuma St  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Doug Mayor** <dougmayor@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:27 PM

Reply-To: dougmayor@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Doug Mayor  
4672 S Meadow View Cir  
Murray, UT 84107



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Kyle Beckerman** <Krbeckerman@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:36 PM

Reply-To: Krbeckerman@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Kyle Beckerman  
34 e. Dorchester Drive  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**James McCormick** <jmccormick@hydroblaster.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:40 PM

Reply-To: jmccormick@hydroblaster.com

To: psc@utah.gov

I installed rooftop solar because it made sense to reduce my power costs and power requirements while simultaneously reducing RMP's need to burn coal to produce power which pollutes the air along the Wasatch Front and the rest of the state.

I encourage everyone that asks to install rooftop solar for the same reasons.

Once again Rocky Mountain Power has filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems. I wholeheartedly oppose this request!

Regardless of their single minded and wildly stilted studies I believe the real reason they are opposed to rooftop solar is that they don't like the competition. Why else would they be willing to spend millions of dollars on customer installed power saving devices but be so opposed to customers installing solar at no expense to RMP?

The fees they are proposing are very high and will seriously impede the growth of rooftop solar in Utah. I understand that there are a lot of Utah jobs at stake and obviously a lot of associated economic activity that would be negatively affected if these fees are put into place.

According to alternate studies, almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers cost study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Consider what happened in Nevada when their commission imposed outrageous fees on existing owners and new installations. Even though the measure was changed to grandfather existing solar customer a lot of solar industry jobs were lost and a lot of citizens were upset. Attempts were made to place a referendum on the ballot which was stopped by legal actions which will no doubt continue for several years. The end result may be that energy becomes unregulated all together.

Solar energy has become an important economic engine in Utah. We should encourage expansion and support continued job growth in this industry.

I feel that I installed the equivalent of a small business investment on my roof. Something that will pay out in 6-8 years and provide excellent returns after that. I believe that everyone in Utah should be allowed to make a similar investment in the State and that Utah should support and continue to invest in private solar installations.

I hope you will support these entrepreneurial efforts as well.

Sincerely,

James McCormick  
Sandy, UT 94092

James McCormick  
2348 E Charros Rd  
Sandy, UT 84092



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**William Delaney** <wdelaney@whdnet.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:47 PM

Reply-To: wdelaney@whdnet.com

To: psc@utah.gov

I am writing to ask you to deny, Rocky Mountain Power's request before the Public Service Commission to single out and punish net meter customers. Rocky Mountain Power wants to punish net meter customers because they say we do not pay for our share of the cost of the grid. There are many other customers who are not net metered but who have low power bills. Rocky Mountain Power has carried those customers for decades without singling them out because they do not pay their "fair share." If Rocky Mountain Power thinks that people with low bills should pay more, then they should apply the fees equally to all customers. It is wrong to single out net meter customers as a special class with special penalties that apply only to them.

Rocky Mountain wants to kill the rooftop solar industry in Utah because they do not want to lose revenue when customers replace their coal generated electricity with free power from the sun. They want to use their monopoly power to force customers to buy dirty, expensive, environmentally damaging coal power, when a better alternative is now available. You should remember that you are the Public Service Commission. You are not the Rocky Mountain Power Service Commission. You should do what is in the best interest of the public. Keep rooftop solar as a viable alternative in Utah, and let the public decide whether they want to buy dirty, expensive coal power that damages the earth's climate, or do they want clean, free power from the sun.

Thank you for your time.

Bill Delaney

William Delaney  
1944 E Osage Orange Ave Apt B  
Salt Lake City, UT 84124



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Laura Smous** <laurasmous@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 12:52 PM

Reply-To: laurasmous@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Laura Smous  
1123 S 400 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Tyler blaine** <moderncraftsman@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: moderncraftsman@gmail.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 1:17 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Tyler blaine  
2148 S ONEIDA ST  
SLC, UT 84109



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Nate Fronk** <dreamerboy6@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 1:20 PM

Reply-To: dreamerboy6@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Nate Fronk  
13796 Brookgreen Cir  
Dallas, TX 75240





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Rooftop Solar PSC Docket 14-035-114**

1 message

**Laurie Mecham** <lmecham@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 1:23 PM

Reply-To: lmecham@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

I'm writing in regard to Rocky Mountain Power's request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. As a Utahn concerned about our air, I have installed solar panels. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that I burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

RMP's claims have a number of errors.

**COST/BENEFIT ERROR** - Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

**FALSE COST:** Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Laurie Mecham  
1321 S 400 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84115



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Sharon Perry** <perry\_808@hotmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 1:26 PM

Reply-To: perry\_808@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Sharon Perry  
175 Eastridge Ln  
Logan, UT 84321



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Martha Truss** <mpt103010@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 1:37 PM

Reply-To: mpt103010@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Martha Truss  
9706 So. Candlewood Dr  
Sandy, UT 84092



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**T Andrew** <tnuttandrew@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 1:37 PM

Reply-To: tnuttandrew@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

T Andrew  
4497 E Wyndom Ct  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Dennis Frederick** <dennis4@clothdiaper.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 1:38 PM

Reply-To: dennis4@clothdiaper.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Dennis Frederick  
13602 S Vestry Rd  
Draper, UT 84020



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Morgan Asdel** <azzie1003@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 1:38 PM

Reply-To: azzie1003@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Morgan Asdel  
2239 S 1800 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Steve Hunt** <stevehunt@comcast.net>  
Reply-To: stevehunt@comcast.net  
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 1:52 PM

As a roof top solar owner I am angry and confused that Rocky Mountain a power is asking to increase my rates.

The logic of their argument is lost on me. Given our air quality problems in the SL valley there out to be a strong incentive for solar installations and electric modes of transportation.

Yet to be punished with increased rates for trying to make a difference is ludicrous! The exact opposite should be the policy, incentives given for those willing to invest in clean forms of energy.

Please let reason and not well funded lobbyists influence your votes on these matters.

Seems that reason and the wish of the common citizen are no longer relevant, both locally and nationally within politics.

I encourage you to stand tall and do what you were voted into office to do and make decisions that are honest and right.

Thank you,  
Steve Hunt

Steve Hunt  
8775 Oak Valley Dr  
Sandy, UT 84093



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Roslynn Brain** <roslynn.brain@usu.edu>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 1:54 PM

Reply-To: roslynn.brain@usu.edu

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Roslynn Brain  
592 Peach Path  
Moab, UT 84532





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Steve Erickson** <erickson.steve1@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 1:58 PM

Reply-To: erickson.steve1@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

As a rooftop solar owner, I want to express my appreciation to the State of Utah and our federal government for providing tax incentives that make solar affordable. I think it is wise of the state and its citizens to support a strong solar industry here, and to avail ourselves of the outstanding solar resource we are fortunate to use.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Respectfully,  
Steve Erickson

Steve Erickson  
444 Northmont Way  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Hannah Bleak** <hannahbleak@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:04 PM

Reply-To: hannahbleak@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Hannah Bleak  
252 E Coatsville Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84115



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Chelsi Crowell** <cjcrowell555@live.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:05 PM

Reply-To: cjcrowell555@live.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Chelsi Crowell  
31 w Clearwater Dr  
Stansbury, UT 84074



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

Neal Patwari &lt;neal.patwari@gmail.com&gt;

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:05 PM

Reply-To: neal.patwari@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

This email is regards to the November 9 Rocky Mountain Power filing with the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I'm an Associate Professor of Electrical Engineering. RMP's claims on the costs of rooftop solar are extraordinarily exaggerated, written to mislead you and the PSC.

The claims of costs to RMP are based on lost revenue because rooftop solar customers pay less because they consume less once they install rooftop solar. True, but RMP doesn't claim a "cost" if a customer installs more efficient appliances or turns their lights off. Using less power is a common good.

More importantly, rooftop solar is a benefit because it generates power exactly when power consumption is at its peak, on sunny summer days. The peak load and supply is what RMP uses to decide whether new plants need to be built. This is why they pay customers who sign up for the "Cool Keeper" program who allow them to turn off their AC for a few hours each summer, on peak days at peak times. They pay \$20 per year for this program. A rooftop solar customer actually GENERATES more power for RMP at these peak times than RMP saves from multiple Cool Keeper customers. This is a very quantitative savings for RMP that they do not include in their filing. By not needing to build additional power plants for peak days, RMP saves a substantial amount of money. This savings continues until rooftop solar is so prevalent that the peak load is NOT on mid-day in sunny days. This will not happen until a large percentage (>30%) of customers install rooftop solar.

RMP knows this is the case and it is absurd for them to claim otherwise.

I urge you to consider the full picture. This decision could be based on rational, transparent cost figures. However, RMP has a filing that is misleading at best. Based on their filing, I urge you to reject their request.

Thank you for your time.

Neal Patwari  
77 E Edgecombe Dr  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Katherine Gardiner** <kategardiner@lycos.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:09 PM

Reply-To: kategardiner@lycos.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Katherine Gardiner  
374 Garfield Avenue  
Salt Lake City, UT 84115



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Paul Zuckerman** <p-zuckerman@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:09 PM

Reply-To: p-zuckerman@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request for a new rate structure on rooftop solar users. While I appreciate RMP's need to cover their costs and make a profit that is appropriate for a municipal utility, I want the PSC to request and thoroughly scrutinize this request. Solar users actually provide cost savings in addition to the benefits to energy conservation, our environment and economy. I want the governor to promote a data based and equitable negotiation that all stakeholders can feel works best for the energy users and air breathers of Utah.

Thank you,  
Paul Zuckerman  
Salt Lake City

Paul Zuckerman  
1105 First Avenue  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Sylvia Gray** <pdggray@xmission.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:18 PM

Reply-To: pdggray@xmission.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Sylvia Gray  
666 E 9Th Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Mona Delavan** <mdelavan@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:18 PM

Reply-To: mdelavan@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Mona Delavan  
2691 Comanche Cir  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Tay Haines** <tay.haines@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:22 PM

Reply-To: tay.haines@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Tay Haines  
475 e 8th ave  
salt lake city, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Jim Fillman** <jim.fillman@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:26 PM

Reply-To: jim.fillman@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jim Fillman  
2958 S Hunter Crest Dr  
West Valley City, UT 84128



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Regarding rooftop solar: Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Logan Mitchell** <logan.e.mitchell@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:37 PM

Reply-To: logan.e.mitchell@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

I heartily agree with Heal's position on rooftop solar. Here is their form letter:

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Logan Mitchell  
862 E 1300 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Ty Lagerberg** <lagerberg.ty@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:41 PM

Reply-To: lagerberg.ty@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Ty Lagerberg  
319 N 1420 E  
PROVO, UT 84606



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Eric Pace** <pacecar21@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:48 PM

Reply-To: pacecar21@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Eric Pace  
900 Bitner Rd #E35  
Park City, UT 84098



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Emily Smith** <emily.smith@hci.utah.edu>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:54 PM

Reply-To: emily.smith@hci.utah.edu

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I urge the governor's office and the commission in the strongest possible terms to take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it. Be a part of the solution not the problem facing our civilization's survival.

Thank you for your time.

Emily Smith  
1225 South 400 East  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**oakley gordon** <gojumpinalake@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:57 PM

Reply-To: gojumpinalake@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Gov. Herbert and the PSC General,

I am writing you to express my very strong disapproval of the Rocky Mountain Power proposals to increase the fees for rooftop solar customers. Please understand how important it is to support increased solar power, and decrease air pollution. I have to live in this valley, and my children and grandchildren will have to live the consequences of global warming. Please protect our air and support solar power by opposing Rocky Mountain's proposals.

Thank you for your time.

Oakley Gordon

oakley gordon  
3133 S 2750 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**1 message

---

**Tammy Stevenson** <tammy@kaminy.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:58 PM

Reply-To: tammy@kaminy.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Tammy Stevenson  
1255 E Kensington Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Elizabeth Watson** <e.f.watson135@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 2:59 PM

Reply-To: e.f.watson135@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Elizabeth Watson  
1884 S 900 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Matt Heinig** <mattheinig@gmail.com>

Reply-To: mattheinig@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 3:00 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Matt Heinig  
1995 S Lake St  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Tyler Morton** <Tylercmorton@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 3:16 PM

Reply-To: Tylercmorton@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Tyler Morton  
5646 S Laurelwood St  
Salt Lake City, UT 84121



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Mary Lowe** <ewolmary@comcast.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 3:22 PM

Reply-To: ewolmary@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Mary Lowe  
1625 E Arlington Dr  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Deb Badger** <dbadgerlaw@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 3:28 PM

Reply-To: dbadgerlaw@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Deb Badger  
PO Box 1726  
Ogden, UT 84402



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**1 message

---

**Renee Van Buren** <rvanburen7@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 3:50 PM

Reply-To: rvanburen7@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Renee Van Buren  
315 south Oak Ridge Circle  
Woodland Hills, UT 84653



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Scott Davis** <scottlas.davis@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 4:04 PM

Reply-To: scottlas.davis@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Scott Davis  
122 West 400 South  
Heber City, UT 84032



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Paul Dail** <redrockgreen@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 4:18 PM

Reply-To: redrockgreen@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Paul Dail  
457 S 150 E  
CEDAR CITY, UT 84720





PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

**Julie Chard** <julie.chard@gmail.com>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 4:21 PM

Reply-To: julie.chard@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Julie Chard  
4386 S 3035 E  
Hoiladay, UT 84124



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**1 message

---

**Jackie Detmers** <jdetmers@goalen.net>

Tue, May 30, 2017 at 4:34 PM

Reply-To: Jdetmers@goalen.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

I am installing solar panels in the next few weeks. The cost savings was definitely a big selling point along with the clean energy aspect. I am in agreement with the above letter and hope you will consider my opinion in your deliberations. Thank you for your time.

Mrs. R. Detmers  
9174 Falcon Circle  
Sandy, Utah 84093

Jackie Detmers  
9174 falcon circle  
Sandy, UT 84093