



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Chance Thompson <chance.rhp@gmail.com>

Wed, May 31, 2017 at 5:06 PM

Reply-To: chance.rhp@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Chance Thompson - Utah Resident and Soon to Be Solar Owner

Chance Thompson
8430 Pointe Rd #G23
Park City, UT 84098



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Ramona Heers <heersrami@gmail.com>

Wed, May 31, 2017 at 8:44 PM

Reply-To: heersrami@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Ramona Heers
1365 N 1720 E
Logan, UT 84341



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Beth Blattenberger <bethinslc@gmail.com>

Wed, May 31, 2017 at 8:54 PM

Reply-To: bethinslc@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Beth Blattenberger
981 S Windsor St
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Peter Haug <peterhaug@comcast.net>

Wed, May 31, 2017 at 8:58 PM

Reply-To: peterhaug@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Peter Haug

Peter Haug
2420 E Stringham Ave
Salt Lake City, UT 84109



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Jan Chamberlin` <janchamberlin@afconnect.com>
Reply-To: janchamberlin@afconnect.com
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, May 31, 2017 at 9:45 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jan Chamberlin`
313 Yale Circle
American Fork, UT 84003



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Scott Simper <scott@scottsimper.com>

Wed, May 31, 2017 at 9:49 PM

Reply-To: scott@scottsimper.com

To: psc@utah.gov

I am skeptical about The agenda of Rocky Mountain Power in regards to charging consumers for owning roof top solar When these consumers are actually giving back to the grid.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Scott Simper
3821 S Ash Cir
Salt Lake City, UT 84109



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Rebecca Canright <rebeccagroovypeace@gmail.com>

Wed, May 31, 2017 at 9:56 PM

Reply-To: rebeccagroovypeace@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Rebecca Canright
8 Deboer Farm Ln
Address 2
Asbury, NJ 08802



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Amy Hansen <pittle.r.us@gmail.com>

Wed, May 31, 2017 at 10:01 PM

Reply-To: pittle.r.us@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Amy Hansen
8 Deboer Farm Ln
Asbury, NJ 08802



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Jeanne Evenden <jevenden7@gmail.com>

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 12:33 AM

Reply-To: jevenden7@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jeanne Evenden
2360 Pierce Ave
Ogden, UT 84401



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Denise Morita <denise.morita@gmail.com>

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 9:18 AM

Reply-To: denise.morita@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Denise Morita
271 Coatsville Ave
Salt Lake City, UT 84115



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Paula Child <paulachild@mac.com>

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 9:40 AM

Reply-To: paulachild@mac.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Paula Child
400 E Capitol Park Ave Unit 403
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Cristina Raspollini <craspoll@gmail.com>

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 9:54 AM

Reply-To: craspoll@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Cristina Raspollini
729 E 18th Avenue
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Ed Lewis <ed.lewis@snocru.com>

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 10:15 AM

Reply-To: ed.lewis@snocru.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Ed Lewis
1910 Prospector Avenue
Ste 202
Park City, UT 84060



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket - Additional RMP solar fees

1 message

lisar@bajabb.com <lisar@bajabb.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 10:43 AM

Public Service Commission:

As a retired 20-year oil and gas employee I am concerned that you are considering imposing rules that will limit Utah's citizens' ability to move toward a new cleaner future. While working in the O/G industry in the 80s and 90s, I was proud of the product I provided to fuel this nation. It had its time and now we have a new time that needs a new energy vision.

I ask that you oppose the fees under consideration. I have just installed solar on my home. After waiting for seven years, costs have come down with the help of credits such as Utah's and the federal government's to make it more reasonable for my decision. But, credits and tax incentives have not been the only reason. I see that our world is faced with a big problem, which unless leaders such as you and our governor get a handle on it will not be solved during our life times or those of our children and grandchildren.

Rocky Mountain Power will not die on the vine without these fees. This is just their "slam dunk" for revenue. If they don't get it, they will come up with other ways of making their existing revenues work. Other companies have been faced with revenue challenges and succeeded as will RMP.

If these fees are being considered to provide a "disincentive" to customers considering solar, they may do just the opposite. For people such as I who can afford it, going totally off grid is an option. And, there are many in my area of Southern Utah with enough money to just do that who already have solar and are net metering with RMP at this time.

Rather than creating a burden for RMP, it seems to me that rooftop solar customers actually may be helping RMP by reducing the number of customers for whom RMP must secure sources of energy through other means. As a solar customer, I understand the basic infrastructure that RMP needs to provide the network to distribute energy, but using solar customers as a means of generating unneeded revenue is not acceptable. I'm willing to pay what's truly required to tie into their network at this time, but not more than's truly needed.

Lisa Rutherford

Ivins, Utah



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Friend Mouse <friendmouse2014@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov, constituentservices@utah.gov

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 11:27 AM

Gov. Herbert and members of the Public Service Commission:

Rocky Mountain Power's proposed new fees are unnecessary and harmful and that their rationale for raising rates on rooftop solar customers is unfounded. Rooftop solar offers significant economic and environmental benefits to all of us and should be encouraged.

Rocky Mountain Power has not proven that rooftop solar customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs that merit the proposed fees and more than half of the costs in their study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is inappropriate that RMP is trying to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets. In addition, that Cost of Service study overestimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource, providing benefits like transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs. RMP has not fully accounted for those benefits.

Please oppose the rooftop fees Rocky Mountain Power is proposing. At this stage, Utah should be exploring ALL energy choices. The sun shines A LOT here in Utah - Utah's citizens should be able to take advantage of that without paying some of the highest solar fees in the country.

Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Angela Bailey, Sandy, UTah



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Susan Allen <sallen400@gmail.com>
Reply-To: sallen400@gmail.com
To: psc@utah.gov

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 12:43 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Susan Allen
3336 South Pioneer Street
Salt Lake City, UT 84109



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Jason Katz-Brown <jasonkatzbrown@gmail.com>

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 2:13 PM

Reply-To: jasonkatzbrown@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

I moved to Salt Lake City 3 years ago to train as a speed skater at Utah Olympic Oval. Some days we cannot train outside because the air pollution is so bad. How will Utah remain the state of sport if we do not do everything we can to encourage renewable energy and limit pollution? I oppose Rocky Mountain Power's request to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets. I encourage you to do everything you can to promote Utah's adoption of solar power.

Thank you,
Jason

Jason Katz-Brown
3858 Brixton Rd
Taylorsville, CA 84129