



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Joel Pittman** <joel.pittman@imail.org>  
Reply-To: joel.pittman@imail.org  
To: psc@utah.gov

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 5:57 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Joel Pittman  
672 G Street  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Oppose rooftop solar fees in Utah!

1 message

---

**Patricia A.** <Patricia@ecologyfund.net>

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 7:21 PM

Reply-To: Patricia@ecologyfund.net

To: psc@utah.gov

Rocky Mountain Power's proposed new fees are unnecessary and harmful and their rationale for raising rates on rooftop solar customers is unfounded.

Rooftop solar offers significant economic and environmental benefits to all of us and should be encouraged, not discouraged!

Sincerely,  
Pat Annoni  
Midvale, UT



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Pat Annoni** <patricia@ecologyfund.net>

Thu, Jun 1, 2017 at 8:41 PM

Reply-To: patricia@ecologyfund.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Pat Annoni  
7022 S 300 E  
Midvale, UT 84047



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Charlotte Maloney** <cdmaloney0@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 5:59 AM

Reply-To: cdmaloney0@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Charlotte Maloney  
1695 Galloway Ave  
Memphis, TN 38112



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Julie Anderson** <alsjule@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 6:33 AM

Reply-To: alsjule@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Julie Anderson  
1697 Stony View Drive  
Spanish Fork, UT 84660



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Mary Eddy** <maryjensen@copper.net>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 6:33 AM

Reply-To: maryjensen@copper.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Mary Eddy  
776 Emerson Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Lori Shields** <Lshields.sl@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 6:36 AM

Reply-To: Lshields.sl@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Lori Shields  
1445 South 1600 East  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Jania Sommers** <janiasommers@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: janiasommers@gmail.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 6:44 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jania Sommers  
1731 S 1400 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105





PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Greg Hughes** <Greg.L.Hughes@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 6:46 AM

Reply-To: Greg.L.Hughes@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Greg Hughes  
5650 Aspenleaf Dr  
Park City, UT 84098



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Shannon Dye** <Ssanaes1@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 6:53 AM

Reply-To: Ssanaes1@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Shannon Dye  
5101 W Sandwell Dr.  
West Valley City, UT 84120



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Michelle Fey** <michellefey@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:01 AM

Reply-To: michellefey@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Michelle Fey  
1150 E Charlton Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Denise Smith** <Deni57@comcast.net>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:03 AM

Reply-To: Deni57@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Denise Smith  
1606 S 800 E  
SLC, UT 84105



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Marilyn Banning** <banningsu@msn.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:04 AM

Reply-To: banningsu@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Marilyn Banning  
1868 E Falcon Way  
Sandy, UT 84093



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Katharine Reece** <katharinereece@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:07 AM

Reply-To: katharinereece@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Katharine Reece  
122 S. Main Street  
Salt Lake City, NY 10708



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Travis Pearce** <Travispearce1972@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:20 AM

Reply-To: Travispearce1972@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Travis Pearce  
Elm Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Suzanne Pratt** <pratt@closercouples.com>  
Reply-To: pratt@closercouples.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:25 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Suzanne Pratt  
48 W Broadway Apt 505  
Salt Lake City, UT 84101





PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Robynne Maxfield** <robynne@chapmanrichards.com>  
Reply-To: robynne@chapmanrichards.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:28 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Robynne Maxfield  
5148 S Westmoor Rd  
Holladay, UT 84117



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Robert G Kent de Grey** <rob@robkent.org>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:40 AM

Reply-To: rob@robkent.org

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Robert G Kent de Grey  
166 E Coatsville Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84115



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Katy Marsh** <katy.marsh26@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:48 AM

Reply-To: katy.marsh26@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

I don't personally have rooftop solar panels, but I appreciate the homes that do. Please keep solar growing. Don't let rocky mountain power kill it by raising rates.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Katy Marsh  
1335 N 550 W  
Pleasant Grove, UT 84062



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Steven Stepanek** <sstepanek@mindspring.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:49 AM

Reply-To: sstepanek@mindspring.com

To: psc@utah.gov

As you know, yesterday President Trump took the symbolic step to pull out of the Paris Accord in what I and many other business leaders believe is wrong for multiple reasons. It is wrong as his actions cede a leadership position for America in addressing the serious issue of climate change. It is wrong as it will lead to consumers in other countries beginning to shun American ( including Utah produced) goods and services. As a resident of Salt Lake City I am proud of the action being taken by the City to reduce Carbon emissions and feel that you as Governor have the obligation to not only take similar actions on behalf of the whole State of Utah but also to send a clear message back to the Trump Administration that you disagree with the actions taken yesterday. DO YOUR JOB and lead. Do not blindly follow the shameful Trump administration down an imaginary path to some brighter future by continuing to be the largest emitter of CO2 in the world.

Another action you can take on the local level is to support the development of Utah jobs and clean energy by opposing Rocky Mountains equest before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Steven Stepanek  
671 East Aloha Road  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Renel Rytting** <altoren@xmission.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:49 AM

Reply-To: altoren@xmission.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Renel Rytting  
2939 E Edgerock Cir  
Holladay, UT 84117



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Wen-Yuan Zhuo** <Skywalkerluke5945@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: Skywalkerluke5945@gmail.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:50 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Wen-Yuan Zhuo  
7F.-1, No.507-5, Cingping Rd., Anping Dist., Tainan City 70842,  
Tainan, ot 70842



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Melissa Evans** <Melissaevans1@msn.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:55 AM

Reply-To: Melissaevans1@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Melissa Evans  
2587 E Barbey Dr  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Jan Chamberlin`** <janchamberlin@afconnect.com>  
Reply-To: janchamberlin@afconnect.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:58 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jan Chamberlin`  
313 Yale Circle  
American Fork, UT 84003





PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Sharon and Harvey Rishe** <risheutah@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:00 AM

Reply-To: risheutah@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Sharon and Harvey Rishe  
1741 East Mill Lane  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Teresa Walker** <Zilch47@hotmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:03 AM

Reply-To: Zilch47@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Teresa Walker  
1825 Garfield ave  
Salt lake, UT 84108



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Leslie Eckford** <lesliee@xmission.com>  
Reply-To: lesliee@xmission.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:08 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Leslie Eckford  
720 E 12Th Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Tyler Yeates** <Wingingscapula@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:09 AM

Reply-To: Wingingscapula@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Tyler Yeates  
1236 E 2050 S  
Bountiful, UT 84010



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Maisie Schwartz** <smmschwartz13@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:14 AM

Reply-To: smmschwartz13@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Maisie Schwartz  
1914 E 2100 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Brandon Hentkowski** <bhentkowski5@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:15 AM

Reply-To: bhentkowski5@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Brandon Hentkowski  
1235 E Wilmington Ave Apt 719  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Please reject the Rooftop Solar PSC Docket (Docket 14-035-114)**

1 message

---

**Nicole Widmer** <Newidmer21@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:20 AM

Reply-To: Newidmer21@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Nicole Widmer  
1299 n Capistrano Dr  
Slc, UT 84116



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Nils Mindnich** <nmindnich@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:27 AM

Reply-To: nmindnich@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Nils Mindnich  
1000 E 1895 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105





PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Ben Smith** <bensmith@rowlandhall.org>  
Reply-To: bensmith@rowlandhall.org  
To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:28 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Ben Smith  
131 J St  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Richard Maxfield** <maxfieldrm@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:30 AM

Reply-To: maxfieldrm@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Richard Maxfield  
515 S 1000 E Apt 1002  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Jill Jeppesen** <Jill@radiance4life.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:41 AM

Reply-To: Jill@radiance4life.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jill Jeppesen  
1351 E Wilson Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Andie Bagley** <Bags223@comcast.net>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:44 AM

Reply-To: Bags223@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Andie Bagley  
9667 So. 2959 West  
South Jordan, UT 84095



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Teresa Beck** <Mtnclm@msn.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:45 AM

Reply-To: Mtnclm@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Teresa Beck  
1681 Mohawk Way  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Celeste Kelty** <Celestekelty@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:53 AM

Reply-To: Celestekelty@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. Make Utah Great!!

Thank you for your time.

Celeste Kelty

Celeste Kelty  
10137 S. Majestic Canyon rd  
Sandy, UT 84092



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Debra Anderson** <danderson@dadesigninc.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:57 AM

Reply-To: danderson@dadesigninc.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Debra Anderson  
81 L Street  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Karl Sowa** <karl@karlsowa.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 9:00 AM

Reply-To: karl@karlsowa.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Please OPPOSE the request Rocky Mountain Power filed last November 9 before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. The utility has not proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Karl Sowa  
2841 E 2100 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109





PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Tess Boone** <tboone7282@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 9:13 AM

Reply-To: tboone7282@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Tess Boone  
4829 Viewmont Street  
Holladay, UT 84117



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Lorrie Gaffney** <lorriegaffney@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: lorriegaffney@gmail.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 9:15 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Lorrie Gaffney  
8653 S OAK VALLEY DR  
SANDY, UT 84093



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Willia Forbes** <wmdforbes@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 9:31 AM

Reply-To: wmdforbes@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Willia Forbes  
279 S 425 W  
Bountiful, UT 84010



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Glenn Herrick** <g.herrick@utah.edu>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 9:39 AM

Reply-To: g.herrick@utah.edu

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Glenn Herrick  
206 E 1St Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Clair Hirst** <clairhirst@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 9:40 AM

Reply-To: clairhirst@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Clair Hirst  
6080 South 2230 East  
Holladay, UT 84121



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**chris mockli** <cmockli@graniteschools.org>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 9:47 AM

Reply-To: cmockli@graniteschools.org

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

I want to also add, that we are so proud of Utah cities working so hard towards clean energy!! Our family is highly disappointed with Senator Hatch and Senator Mike Lee 's decision to support withdrawing from the Paris Climate Agreement!! Even Chevron and Exxon Mobil support this program. Senator Hatch use to truly care about the citizens in his earlier years of politics. Now it seems some leaders just go where the money is for them personally. Why not bring jobs for the rural towns in clean energy??? Roosevelt and Vernal use to be pretty clean towns . They are ruined from the oil industry . Some made big money fast, but it was not sustainable. The fast track oil industry brought drugs. Cancer rate is high.

It seems some citizens are hurting more and leaving due to the bust. I love the Uintah Basin and it is so sad to see it now! So proud of Park City, Moab and parts of Salt Lake City! Hollie refineries are highly concerning in North Salt Lake. Some days the air is horrific there. It is so close to such a populated area! We should be moving the refinery and not the prison maybe. Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to share!

chris mockli  
8808 S 3645 W  
West Jordan, UT 84088



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Lauren Douglas** <lorelldarkwater@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 10:09 AM

Reply-To: lorelldarkwater@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Lauren Douglas  
475 E 600 S, Apt #3C  
SLC, UT 84111



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## 14-035-114 Summit County Direct Testimony of Roger Armstrong 6-2-2017

1 message

---

**Dave Thomas** <dthomas@summitcounty.org>  
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 10:42 AM

Attached is the direct testimony of Roger Armstrong.

David L. Thomas  
Chief Civil Deputy  
Summit County Attorney  
Summit County Courthouse  
60 N. Main Street  
P.O. Box 128  
Coalville, Utah 84405  
Phone: (435) 336-3206

CONFIDENTIALITY – This e-mail message and any attachments thereto are for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and contains private, confidential communication protected by the attorney client privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of this e-mail or its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you.

---

 **14-035-114 Summit County Direct Testimony of Roger Armstrong 6-2-2017.pdf**  
1781K



1274 Evening Star Drive  
Ivins, UT 84738  
May 28, 2017

Utah Public Service Commission  
Chair Thad LeVar, Commissioners David Clark and Jordan White  
Heber M Wells Building  
160 East 300 South  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Dear Utah Public Service Commission Chair LeVar, Commissioners Clark and White:

I live in Ivins, Utah and I put rooftop solar energy panels on my roof about 5 years ago. I wanted to reduce my electricity bills and to reduce the amount of coal burned to provide that electricity. I believed then, and still do, that we should all try to use less energy where we can.

I have recently learned that Rocky Mountain Power is asking you to approve changes in their billing structure that would cost me 10.7 cents per kwh when I buy electricity, but would pay me only 3.8 cents when I supply it back to the grid. They also want to almost double my monthly access fee to \$15 dollars. In addition, they want to create a "demand charge" on any peak energy I may need. These changes are all aimed at rooftop solar customers only. This all seems to be an attempt to discourage further use of solar energy, and punish those who invested and now use it. It appears blatantly unfair.

I spent over \$20,000 to install my photovoltaics. This was not economically prudent since our electrical bills were low anyway. However, my wife and I felt it was the right thing to do, to move away from reliance on fossil fuels.

The argument I have heard from Rocky Mountain Power is that people with rooftop solar don't pay their "fair share" of the cost of maintaining the electrical supply lines because they don't use as much power and therefore pay less—but still have access to power when they need it. The same argument could be made for people who insulate their homes or install LED lighting to reduce their power bills. Surely they shouldn't be charged more just for doing the right thing. If anything, those who waste energy should pay the surcharge.

If Rocky Mountain Power is really having trouble providing electricity at their current rates, I can think of only 2 ways of increasing their revenue that would be fair to all. First, they could increase the monthly access rate, but it should apply to all customers since all customers have access to electricity when they need it. Singling out rooftop solar customers is not fair. Second, they could increase the rate per kwh.

We here in Utah enjoy rates lower than much of the country. I realize this might encourage people to conserve electricity, but isn't that what we want them to do anyway?

I strongly urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's request for these unfair rate changes. This would clearly be moving in the wrong direction.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the rate change request before you. I would appreciate a response to my letter, but I will understand if you are too busy to do so.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Roger G. Roos M.D." in a cursive style.

Roger G. Roos, M.D.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Ezra Jones** <etpj@xmission.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 11:21 AM

Reply-To: etpj@xmission.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Ezra Jones  
1159 E 1St Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Steve Dudley** <steve1ddy@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 11:36 AM

Reply-To: steve1ddy@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Steve Dudley  
2047 Bell Tower Ln  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Mark Hurley** <greenrainforest@msn.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 12:07 PM

Reply-To: greenrainforest@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Mark Hurley  
9 Lakeview Dr  
Layton, UT 84041



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Dawn Britt** <dawnabritt@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 12:10 PM

Reply-To: dawnabritt@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Dawn Britt  
5078 Sandpiper Drive Apt 545  
Salt Lake City, UT 84117



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Bob Brister** <bbrister@q.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 12:18 PM

Reply-To: bbrister@q.com

To: psc@utah.gov

I support rooftop solar. On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Bob Brister  
220 South Elizabeth St #12  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Robert Sheen** <sheen.bobby@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 12:32 PM

Reply-To: sheen.bobby@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Robert Sheen  
1532 E Ramona Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105





PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Rebecca Goldstein** <rsg0828@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 12:33 PM

Reply-To: rsg0828@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Rooftop solar is what will make SLC a progressive city on the forefront of clean and renewable energy. With our desert climate and plentiful sunny days there is no reason homeowners should rely on non-renewable energy sources when solar is such a sustainable energy source here. On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Best,  
Rebecca Goldstein

Rebecca Goldstein  
1776 E 1700 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Jan Haug** <janhaug@comcast.net>  
Reply-To: janhaug@comcast.net  
To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 12:51 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jan Haug  
2420 Stringham Avenue  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Liz Gwynn** <lizkershiz@msn.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 12:56 PM

Reply-To: lizkershiz@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Liz Gwynn  
7081 Owls Lane  
Midvale, UT 84047



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Warren L. Barnes, Esq.** <WLBarnes@comcast.net>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 1:02 PM

Reply-To: WLBarnes@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Warren L. Barnes, Esq.  
4050 S Comet Cir  
Ste411  
Holladay, UT 84124



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Maggie Metcalf** <md.metcalf@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 1:05 PM

Reply-To: md.metcalf@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Maggie Metcalf  
3280 W Bitter Root PI  
Taylorsville, UT 84129



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Jeremy Toyama** <mixitup909@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 1:10 PM

Reply-To: mixitup909@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jeremy Toyama  
9480 S 2805 W  
South Jordan, UT 84095



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Tara Danneman** <taradanneman@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 1:51 PM

Reply-To: taradanneman@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Tara Danneman  
3101 S West Temple  
Salt Lake City, UT 84115