

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Jill Sheinberg < Jillshein@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 11:50 PM

Reply-To: Jillshein@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jill Sheinberg

5305 Old Ranch Road Park City, UT 84098



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Lindsey Smith <Senoralindsey@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 11:13 PM

Reply-To: Senoralindsey@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Lindsey Smith

6/5/2017

131 J St Salt Lake City, UT 84103



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Shannon Dye <Ssanaes1@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 11:04 PM

Reply-To: Ssanaes1@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Shannon Dye

6/5/2017

5101 w Sandwell Dr. Wvc, UT 84120



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Alec Jenson < Alecjenson@me.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 10:34 PM

Reply-To: Alecjenson@me.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Alec Jenson

6/5/2017

6946 S. Decora away West Jordan, UT 84081



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Erin Smeeding <Enicole.smeeding@gmail.cim>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 10:01 PM

Reply-To: Enicole.smeeding@gmail.cim

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Erin Smeeding

264 Belmont Ave Salt Lake City, UT 84111



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Sharon Wendt <Swendt3422@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 9:57 PM

Reply-To: Swendt3422@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to FULLY ACCOUNT many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Sharon Wendt

5196 Mohawk In Ogden, UT 84403



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Mercy Persinger <mercy.persinger@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 9:38 PM

Reply-To: mercy.persinger@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Mercy Persinger 5578 Red Cliff Dr Apt C Taylorsville, UT 84123



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Kendra Houser < khouser313@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 9:22 PM

Reply-To: khouser313@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Kendra Houser

6/5/2017

4565 S. Lori Leigh Ln. Salt Lake City, UT 84117



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Stephen Persinger < Pers8373@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 9:18 PM

Reply-To: Pers8373@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Stephen Persinger

5578 S Red Cliff Dr Apt C Taylorsville, UT 84123



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Kala Dulle <dullehome@gmail.com> Reply-To: dullehome@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 8:24 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Kala Dulle

8304 S Cravalan Cirle Sandy, UT 84093



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Derrick Cochran lrirish1986@gmail.com

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 7:43 PM

Reply-To: Irirish1986@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Derrick Cochran 7005 s Essex Ct cir 3 Midvale, UT 84047



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Verlene Kelsey-McKee < Vkelseymckee@comcast.net>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 7:05 PM

Reply-To: Vkelseymckee@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Verlene Kelsey-McKee

850 S Donner Way, Apt 102 Salt Lake City, UT 84108



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Vince Martinsen <yashaou_chan@hotmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 6:48 PM

Reply-To: yashaou_chan@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Vince Martinsen

6/5/2017

100 n 100 w orem, UT 84058



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Ran Yehushua <ranyehushua@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 6:37 PM

Reply-To: ranyehushua@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Ran Yehushua

3012 East Kennedy Drive Salt Lake City, UT 84108



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Karen Yates < Karenyates@pacbell.net>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 6:04 PM

Reply-To: Karenyates@pacbell.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Karen Yates

1577 South 500 East Salt Lake City, UT 84105



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Doris Long <dorisal204@gmail.com> Reply-To: dorisal204@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 5:47 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Doris Long

3944 S 900 E Unit 204 Salt Lake City, UT 84124



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Amanda Kavanagh < Amandalynnmassage@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 5:46 PM

Reply-To: Amandalynnmassage@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Amanda Kavanagh

6/5/2017

6912 S. 125 E. Midvale, UT 84047



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Carol Sullivan <carolsullivan48@comcast.net>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 5:18 PM

Reply-To: carolsullivan48@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Carol Sullivan

1286 North Fowler Avenue Ogden, UT 84404



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

john silverman <jsilver19@me.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 5:09 PM

Reply-To: jsilver19@me.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

john silverman

1112 N Burnt Fork Rd Salt Lake City, UT 84108



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

James Reynolds <Saltyjrreynolds@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 4:26 PM

Reply-To: Saltyjrreynolds@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

James Reynolds

769 E Ramona Ave Salt lake city, UT 84105



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Bobbi Salvato

 broncobobbi@gamil.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 4:05 PM

Reply-To: broncobobbi@gamil.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Bobbi Salvato

PO Box 824 Panguitch, UT 84759



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Myron Willson < Myron.willson@mac.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 3:33 PM

Reply-To: Myron.willson@mac.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets. The study does not look at alternative ways to compensate for solar's impact to the grid, like time of day pricing for both electrical use and solar production.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Myron Willson 1025 Herbert Ave Salt Lake, UT 84105



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Susan Taylor <susietaylor@comcast.net>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 3:07 PM

Reply-To: susietaylor@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Susan Taylor

2615 Golden Eye Dr. Sandy, UT 84093



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Brandon Chard <Brandon.chard@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 2:58 PM

Reply-To: Brandon.chard@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Brandon Chard

4386 s 3035 e Holladay, UT 84124



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Brienne Henderson brienne.henderson@gmail.com

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 2:51 PM

Reply-To: brienne.henderson@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Brienne Henderson

1750 east 1300 south Salt Lake City, UT 84108



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Carrissa Kieffer < kieffer 1215@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 2:31 PM

Reply-To: kieffer1215@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Carrissa Kieffer

579 W 400 N Provo, UT 84601



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Kim Logsdon <piccoblue@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 1:05 PM

Reply-To: piccoblue@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Kim Logsdon

1731 S 200 E Salt Lake City, UT 84115



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Lise Fischer < lise_mfischer@msn.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 10:23 AM

Reply-To: lise_mfischer@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Lise Fischer

1196 S. 800 E. SLC, UT 84105



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Jeffrey Campbell <mcintyre.campbell@comcast.net>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 6:58 AM

Reply-To: mcintyre.campbell@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jeffrey Campbell

2344 E Emerson Ave Salt Lake City, UT 84108



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Lisa Curt <eli_ruby@hotmail.com> Reply-To: eli_ruby@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Sat, Jun 3, 2017 at 5:41 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Lisa Curt

3912 S Pinetree Dr Salt Lake City, UT 84124



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Loraine Brandt Loraine Brandt lbrandt@xmission.com>

Sat, Jun 3, 2017 at 2:15 PM

Reply-To: lbrandt@xmission.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Loraine Brandt

676 N East Capitol Blvd Salt Lake City, UT 84103



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Kari Duensing < Kariduensing@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 3, 2017 at 12:25 PM

Reply-To: Kariduensing@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Kari Duensing

8532 Snowville Dr Sandy, UT 84093



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Susie Phillips <s_phillips2631@hotmail.com>

Sat, Jun 3, 2017 at 10:26 AM

Reply-To: s phillips2631@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Susie Phillips

2631 Cottage Ct Park City, UT 84098



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Lisa Verzella < lisaverzella@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 3, 2017 at 7:32 AM

Reply-To: lisaverzella@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Please invest in the future of Utah!

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Lisa Verzella

2951 S Judith SLC, UT 84106



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Kiera Bitter <kbitter@live.com>

Sat, Jun 3, 2017 at 12:35 AM

Reply-To: kbitter@live.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Kiera Bitter

702 Eastside Dr Layton, UT 84040



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Jill Christensen <jillgeeceetoo@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 9:14 PM

Reply-To: jillgeeceetoo@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jill Christensen

1665 Sherman Ave Salt Lake City, UT 84105



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Becky Crumbo

beckycrumbo@me.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 8:46 PM

Reply-To: beckycrumbo@me.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Becky Crumbo

6/5/2017

3375 Taylor Ave Ogden,, UT 84403



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Carol Jackman < Cdjarts@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 7:12 PM

Reply-To: Cdjarts@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Carol Jackman

6/5/2017

3209 N 175 E Provo, UT 84604



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Jonathan Carter < Jonathan. Carter @patagonia.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 6:40 PM

Reply-To: Jonathan.Carter@patagonia.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jonathan Carter

2292 South Highland Drive Salt Lake City, UT 84106



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Leah Hunt <huntdl2@comcast.net> Reply-To: huntdl2@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 5:49 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Leah Hunt

6/5/2017

739 N 1200 E Mapleton, UT 84664



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Kavita Willesen < Kavitawillesen@msn.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 5:24 PM

Reply-To: Kavitawillesen@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Kavita Willesen

3638 E Quiet Ridge Cir Sandy, UT 84092



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Pat Clay <patcajun@sbcglobal.net> Reply-To: patcajun@sbcglobal.net

To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 5:08 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Pat Clay

6/5/2017

329 I Street Salt Lake City, UT 84103



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Deborah WATSON <76watson@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 4:38 PM

Reply-To: 76watson@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Deborah WATSON

48 W Broadway Apt 1505 Salt Lake City, UT 84101



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Marcia Maurycy <mmaurycy@hotmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 4:14 PM

Reply-To: mmaurycy@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Marcia Maurycy

1726 imperial park Lane Slc, UT 84106



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Jean Oswald <hopi2@msn.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 4:10 PM

Reply-To: hopi2@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jean Oswald

8858 S Mountain Vista Dr West Jordan, UT 84081



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Rob Noack <RRNOACK@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: RRNOACK@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 3:43 PM

Yes, this is a form letter but I don't see any need to reinvent the wheel so suffice it to say that I am in agreement with the following....

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Rob Noack 2163 E. Feather Hill Ct. Draper, UT 84020



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Wendy Locante < huebnerwendy@hotmail.com >

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 2:41 PM

Reply-To: huebnerwendy@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Wendy Locante

6/5/2017

3051 E 2965 S Salt Lake City, UT 84109



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Joseph Czop <joeczop@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 2:33 PM

Reply-To: joeczop@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Joseph Czop

1810 E Garfield Ave Salt Lake City, UT 84108



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Nicki Wharton < Kartnicki 12@gmail.com >

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 8:09 AM

Reply-To: Kartnicki12@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Nicki Wharton

2636 south1100 east Salt Lake City, UT 84106



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Marcia Maurycy <mmaurycy@hotmail.com>

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 8:08 AM

Reply-To: mmaurycy@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Marcia Maurycy

1736 imperial park Lane Salt Lake City, UT 84106



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Charles Huff < thuff47@gmail.com> Reply-To: thuff47@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 8:36 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Charles Huff 3954 Olympic Way Salt Lake City, UT 84124



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Shaunty McMillin <shaunty.mcmillin@gmail.com> Reply-To: shaunty.mcmillin@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 8:49 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Shaunty McMillin 412 E Pioneer Ave Sandy, UT 84070



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 8:58 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

John Brinkerhoff 3836 S 610 W South Salt Lake, UT 84119



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Darwin Long <Long.darwin5@gmail.com> Reply-To: Long.darwin5@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:00 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Darwin Long 7808 Dolphin Cir Cottonwood Heights, UT 84121



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Austa Feller <daretodreamfantasy@gmail.com> Reply-To: daretodreamfantasy@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:00 AM

I sincerely believe that solar customers are benefiting both the economy and the environment by utilizing solar energy rather than other means. We solar households produce far more electricity than we use, and the extra is fed back into the grid, which would essentially mean we are providing the state and Rocky Mountain Power with free energy, for which they now want to charge.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Austa Feller 331 La Vera Lane Sandy, UT 84070



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Jonathan Smith <thegreatfishmanofalcatraz@gmail.com> Reply-To: thegreatfishmanofalcatraz@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:14 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jonathan Smith 1513 s 175 e Orem, UT 84058



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

simon lang <simonlang2001@gmail.com> Reply-To: simonlang2001@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:34 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

simon lang McGee Ave Berkeley, CA 94703



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Jonathan Marsh <jonny.marsh@gmail.com> Reply-To: jonny.marsh@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:55 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jonathan Marsh 3879 S 775 W Bountiful, UT 84010



Docket #14-035-114 Public Comment

1 message

Bryan Luftglass

bryan.luftglass@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:57 AM

I am writing to register my disagreement with RMP's intent to increase the costs for rooftop solar in Utah.

- -Rooftop solar is creating jobs and is an economic driver in the state, so should not be discouraged.
- -Rooftop solar is another "arrow in the quiver" of energy sources and permanently removes demand from the grid.
- -Rooftop solar is beneficial to the environment, which is important for air and water quality, two areas where our state suffers.
- -Rooftop solar allows people to gain increasing autonomy from utility electricity, which is important to many residents.

Thank you for considering this input.

Regards, Bryan Luftglass

Bryan Luftglass Managing Director-Helios Products and Energy Industry Advisor 914-533-5300 12082 Big Cottonwood Canyon, E104 Solitude, UT 84121

http://www.heliosproducts.com

http://www.amazon.com/Expansion-Natural-Reshaping-Transportation-America/dp/1495124916/ref=sr_1_1?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1447610689&sr=1-1&keywords=expansion+how+natural+gas+fuels+are+reshaping+transportation+in+america

http://greenchemistryandcommerce.org/assets/media/images/Publications/Advancing-Green-Chemistry-Report-15-June-2015.pdf



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Breanna Perez <Bmperez31@gmail.com> Reply-To: Bmperez31@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 10:03 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Breanna Perez 633N 1250w Clearfield, UT 84015



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Renee Frodente < Frodente@msn.com > Reply-To: Frodente@msn.com To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 10:21 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Renee Frodente 11521 Harvest Rain Ave South Jordan, UT 84009



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Anne Wolters <earth4259@gmail.com>

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 5:35 AM

Reply-To: earth4259@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Anne Wolters

355 E Baird Circle South Salt Lake, UT 84115



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Charlie Johnson <cmlj1977@gmail.com>

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 3:25 AM

Reply-To: cmlj1977@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

The appropriate pricing for solar power will require careful study and may necessitate changing the underlying systems used for metering and paying for electricity. Rather than targeting a single group (rooftop solar users), I would rather see Utah pioneering new strategies for managing energy markets.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Charlie Johnson 512 S 800 E Salt Lake City, UT 84102



No extra fees for solar!! Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

joel ban <joelban@hotmail.com> Reply-To: joelban@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 5:32 PM

I do not support additional costs for those who support the implementation of real clean energy solutions for the wasatch front. Those who take concrete steps to improve our poor air quality should be rewarded not punished with extra fees. The studies that are indicated to show there are extra costs associated with not using older and dirtier forms of energy should not be used as justification for these higher costs.

Thank you for your time. joel ban joel@banlawoffice.com

joel ban 190 E. Belmont 9 salt lake city, UT 84111



Oppose Solar Fees

1 message

Shaina Runolfson <shaina.runolfson@icloud.com>

Sun, Jun 4, 2017 at 5:55 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

My name is Shaina Runolfson and I am a constituent from Holladay, UT 84124.

I am emailing you today, to ask you to oppose the solar paneling fees in Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket.

Rocky Mountain Power is proposing three new fees for rooftop solar customers. These fees would make it so Utah Would have some of the highest solar fees in the country. These fees make no sense since Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) has not proven solar costumers burden other ratepayers or even the system with significant costs. RMP also greatly overestimates the cost of servicing solar costumers, and under sells the benefits of using solar.

Solar power is a valuable, renewable source of energy. Utah so desperately needs alternatives to burning coal. Our children's lungs simply can't take it, as asthma cases sky rocket.

Please oppose any more fees or disincentives for alternative, clean energy.

Sincerely, Shaina Runolfson 84124



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Maire Nelligan <desertmare@msn.com>

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 11:51 AM

Reply-To: desertmare@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Maire Nelligan

6/5/2017

1975 S 1500 East Salt Lake City, UT 84105



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Maria Pletneva < Maria.pletneva@path.utah.edu>

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 12:03 PM

Reply-To: Maria.pletneva@path.utah.edu

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Maria Pletneva

1146 E Michigan Ave Salt Lake City, UT 84105



"Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket"

1 message

Jane MacPherson < janemacmd@me.com>
To: PSC@utah.gov

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 11:25 AM

NO rate hikes for roof top solar.

I want to be able to maintain power to my home in case of a power outage by RMP. This happened with a big blizzard a few years back and though we lived in the city we were without power for 24 hours. We had to burn wood to heat the house and had a generator to power a few lights but all at the cost to the air quality in the valley which I felt bad about. I have pretty regularly had power outages when transformers blew up, usually in the summer time. No renumeration from RMP for my inconvenience then, lost food, etc. What if there is an earthquake? So, Rocky Mountain is going to get a rate hike to cover those lost revenues and the cost of repairing their antiquated grid?

We need to be moving forward in this valley to more sustainable energy sources which will be better for our environment and our health. I think it is wrong to punish consumers with rate hikes for trying to be self sufficient and environmentally responsible, while padding the fat wallets of irresponsible power companies.

Rocky Mountain Power needs to work with us, not against us. And don't let them fool you. I pay extra each month into their 'Blue Sky' program. Do I get anything back in return for that. No, just more requests for rate hikes on people who are using solar.

Sincerely,

Jane MacPherson 1370 Filmore SLC, UT 84105



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Stephen Mossbarger <pub-mail@stephen-mossbarger.us> Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 11:26 AM

Reply-To: pub-mail@stephen-mossbarger.us

To: psc@utah.gov

The new fees proposed by Rocky Mountain Power would kill the competitive marketplace for energy, and in my opinion are monopoly-creating economic disincentives calculated to cripple and kill the solar energy market for household customers. Competitive markets form the bedrock of US capitalism, are a crucial protection for customers against predatory and extortionist monopolist corporations, and must not be compromised.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Stephen Mossbarger 868 E 500 S Salt Lake City, UT 84102



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Forrest Shearer <fshearer3@gmail.com>

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 12:41 PM

Reply-To: fshearer3@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Forrest Shearer

6/5/2017

810 S 1200 E Salt Lake City, UT 84102



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Mary Coombs < Mary.Coombs@utah.edu>

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 1:15 PM

Reply-To: Mary.Coombs@utah.edu

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Mary Coombs

6/5/2017

2733 E Loredell Dr Holladay, UT 84117



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Allison Powers < Mapowers 35@gmail.com>

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 1:18 PM

Reply-To: Mapowers35@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Allison Powers

3667 laurel circle Salt Lake City, UT 84109



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Mike Abdo <mail@mikeabdo.org> Reply-To: mail@mikeabdo.org

To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 1:22 PM

Don't raise rates on rooftop solar, either for present or future customers. As an owner of solar panels just since February this year I've provided over 2MWh to the grid at no cost to RMP. I put up this generating station at my own expense. RMP should be paying me for my power generation, as utilities in more progressive utility districts do. To ask me to pay more than my monthly connection fee is grossly unfair, as I am saving RMP the cost of adding more power generation and transmission capability for our rapidly growing state. I am saving them from environmental mitigation costs. And, I'm doing my part to contribute to clean air in Utah. People who choose to do this should be encouraged, not punished, nor be required to make up for RMP's supposed lost revenue.

It's time we in Utah support the growth of the solar industry, which is rapidly overtaking fossil fuels as an economic and employment driver, besides its obvious benefit of not destroying the planet. The proposed charges are not only unfair, they're unwise and show a lack of wisdom.

Mike Abdo 309 Trappers Pond Ct Tooele, UT 84074



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Eveline Field <elilifield@hotmail.com>

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 1:56 PM

Reply-To: elilifield@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Eveline Field

4190 S Mount Olympus Way Salt Lake City, UT 84124



Input Regarding Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Alex Jahp <agjahp@gmail.com> Reply-To: agjahp@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 2:43 PM

Dear Govenor/Policy Makers,

I am writing to you to make clear my opposition to Rocky Mountain Power's proposed rate changes. I work in the solar industry and the arguments and case that the utility has presented are biased and insufficient to justify what they are asking for. Other parties have done a pretty good job of making this case, so I am instead writing to you to convey my hope that you will side with the thousands of people, like myself, that are employed in the solar industry and oppose Rocky Mountain Power's proposed rate changes. How you and the PSC decide to proceed holds thousands of well-paid jobs and a thriving sector of our post-industrial economy in the balance. Why would anyone willfully legislate away these jobs? Just so a massive out-of-state corporation can continue growing its bottom line? It is clear that this is the nature of their request when you strip away all of the rhetoric. So you have a rare chance to support an issue that has universal support across the political spectrum. Renewable energy embodies the core values of this state: self-sufficiency, competition, freedom of choice, and environmental stewardship. Please stand up for the clear benefits of solar energy and the people like me who do not have a voice at the table.

Thank you very much,

Alex Jahp - Commercial Project Manager Gardner Energy - West Haven, Utah

Alex Jahp 1872 S 1100 E Salt Lake City, UT 84105



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

John Adams <johnadams218@comcast.net> Reply-To: johnadams218@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 3:02 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

John Adams

8195 Short Hills Dr Cottonwood Heights, UT 84121



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Marisa Brodie <marisa.brodie@gmail.com>

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 4:06 PM

Reply-To: marisa.brodie@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Marisa Brodie 355 W 920 N 6/5/2017

C304 Orem, UT 84057



Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

A Johnson < thechinaskybros@hushmail.com > Reply-To: thechinaskybros@hushmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 4:36 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

A Johnson

6/5/2017

2881 W 5700Th S Taylorsville, UT 84129