



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

2monicakohler@gmail.com <2monicakohler@gmail.com>

Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 6:45 PM

To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

- The utility has not proven that rooftop solar customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs that merit the proposed fees
- Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.
- Almost 60% of the “costs” in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders’ pockets.
- The utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.
- Rooftop solar is not a “cost” for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such.

Seriously, stop trying to tell us we don't know what's going on, because we are adults who know better.

Monica Kohler - 84116

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

**michelle** <fossana@gmail.com>

To: PSC@utah.gov

Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 8:24 PM

To Whom It May Concern,

I am a resident of Leeds, UT and am strongly opposed to the rate hikes for rooftop solar customers proposed by Rocky Mountain Power. Even pro fossil-fuel states like Texas recognize that solar is a sustainable, clean power source, and have heavily invested in solar infrastructure. Furthermore, with Utah's strong solar potential, solar energy presents a long-term source of job growth for Utah residents. The industry provides far more jobs than the other fuel industries combined. To punish environmentally responsible customers is both unethical and ultimately destructive to the well-being of future generations.

Sincerely,  
Michelle Peot



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

**Mary English** <mary.english@hsc.utah.edu>

Reply-To: mary.english@hsc.utah.edu

To: psc@utah.gov

Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 10:55 PM

As a retired nurse my budget is limited but for the sake of my grandchildren and future generations I was able to take the step of putting solar on my roof to try to ease global warming.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs. The people with solar are actually helping others.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Mary English  
1411 S Utah St Apt 11  
Salt Lake City, UT 84104



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Rita Kelley** <coexistnow@hotmail.com>

Reply-To: coexistnow@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 3:25 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Rita Kelley  
1319 East Pantera Lane  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Gregory Hatch** <greg.hatch@utah.edu>

Reply-To: greg.hatch@utah.edu

To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Jun 9, 2017 at 4:49 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Gregory Hatch  
1018 3rd Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103