



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Cassie Sadinski** <cssadinski@gmail.com>

Sun, Jun 11, 2017 at 12:22 PM

Reply-To: cssadinski@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Cassie Sadinski  
2969 E Wailua Way  
Holladay, UT 84117



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Kathleen Wilson** <Kathwwils@gmail.com>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 10:18 AM

Reply-To: Kathwwils@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates will file in June 8.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Kathleen Wilson  
1022 Windsor St  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

## Residential Solar

1 message

---

**John Milano** <JRMilano@comcast.net>

Mon, Jun 12, 2017 at 10:25 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

PSC Board,

I wanted to take this opportunity to weigh in on this critical issue. As a Nation and State we should be at least following the leaders in the world regarding solar. Australia and German are leading examples of how to do it right. Australia is actually implementing subsidies to individual solar system that can provide power back to the grid at peak grid times while Germany's power utilities are actually paying consumers for the use of their individual rooftop's in order to place solar production closer to the need in lieu of building expensive distribution lines.

Rocky Mountain Power seem to want it both ways protect their monopoly of power whether it be solar, wind, or traditional sources while proposing residential solar is bad for their economy. Production of solar close to the requirement reduces the need for increasing the distribution network if it were done right. Rocky Mountain power it appears is trying to maximize their stranded assets of coal reserves and expensive power plants that have yet to be depreciated while penalizing individuals that are trying stabilize their power costs. All this taking place while Rocky Mountain power promotes their solar efforts i.e. Ad's on TV such as the Maverick solar initiative.

Let's all in-brace alternative energy and explore ways to mitigate the peak demand on the existing grid which seems to be RMP's main issue with residential solar. Take a look at Australia's local solar storage and it's use during grid peak demand periods.

John Milano  
Technology Consultant  
[JRMilano@comcast.net](mailto:JRMilano@comcast.net)