



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Nancy Evans <nancy.evans5@icloud.com>

Tue, Jul 18, 2017 at 6:32 PM

Reply-To: nancy.evans5@icloud.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Nancy Evans
416 E 10105 S
Sandy, UT 84070



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Teresa Garrett <teresaanngarrett@hotmail.com>

Tue, Jul 18, 2017 at 7:09 PM

Reply-To: teresaanngarrett@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

We enjoy having 16 solar panels on our roof, appreciate the lower monthly energy costs, have made a significant investment in our home and our neighbors clean air, and expect the state and Rocky Mountain Power to continue their earlier commitment to supporting the growth of renewable energy.

You have been made aware of the many problems with Rocky Mountain Power's claims in their application. I will not elaborate on them here.

Instead, I hope you consider previous commitments to rooftop solar home owners, the 4000 plus high quality 'green collar' jobs providing support to the state and the need to diversify our energy process in Utah before you vote to adopt this policy.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Teresa Garrett
1716 Logan
SLC, UT 84108



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Bethany Johnson <Nandbjohnson@gmail.com>

Tue, Jul 18, 2017 at 9:08 PM

Reply-To: Nandbjohnson@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Bethany Johnson
2207 E Camino Way
Cottonwood Heights, UT 84121



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Karlee Dorman <karsuepack@gmail.com>

Wed, Jul 19, 2017 at 6:33 AM

Reply-To: karsuepack@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Karlee Dorman

Karlee Dorman
4005 Devonshire Dr
Provo, UT 84604



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Jordan Edgel <jordanedgel@hotmail.com>

Wed, Jul 19, 2017 at 9:09 AM

Reply-To: jordanedgel@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jordan Edgel
111 W. Parkside Dr
Saratoga Springs, UT 84045



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket No. 14-035-114

1 message

Monica Kohler <2monicakohler@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov, info@utahcleanenergy.org

Wed, Jul 19, 2017 at 9:11 AM

Dear Public Service Commission,

My husband and I are remodeling a house in the Fairpark area. We are going to include solar power in our remodel, and we would like to have excess energy available to the grid for use by other citizens. Clean energy is a security issue, because the current policies on US produced fossil fuels is to sell to countries like Poland,

<http://foreignpolicy.com/2017/06/08/first-u-s-natural-gas-shipped-to-poland/>

We need to move toward systems that can support each other, as no one source is able to provide enough stability at any given time. If the researchers at the University of Utah complete a successful program (already being funded by the Department of Energy) to reduce emissions for coal powered plants through chemical looping, these power plants will have to be taken off line for configuration. Just as we have experienced through the practices of Enron, we will need support to keep from experiencing brown outs and black outs.

Also, as has been proven with islands such as Madiera - off the coast of Portugal - it takes a diverse system of energy production to assist in utilizing our dwindling fossil fuel resources and make them last as long as we can. Using coal and oil as though they are as precious as they are going to be in the future requires that we support them with other means. You should consider what others have done to keep their resources viable.

<https://www.netflix.com/title/80135587>

As citizens, we should not be penalized for helping to assist each other, and assist our energy providers, in protecting what resources we have, in the ability to upgrade our power supply, and in creating a multi-source platform that can be used with more flexibility and is more adaptable.

Thank you,

Monica Kohler - 84116



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

Corey Kopischke <cklab1@mac.com>

Wed, Jul 19, 2017 at 4:11 PM

Reply-To: cklab1@mac.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Corey Kopischke
412 e Truman
South salt lake, UT 84115