



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

## Public Comments on Docket No. 14-035-114 in opposition to proposed rate change

1 message

---

**Eve Giles (evesage@outlook.com) Sent You a Personal Message**

Tue, Aug 1, 2017 at 6:37 PM

&lt;automail@knowwho.com&gt;

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear UT PSC,

I agree 100% with what they said.

Dear Utah Public Service Commission Chair LeVar, Commissioners Clark and White,

I strongly urge you to deny Rocky Mountain Power's request to impose punitive rates that would unfairly penalize Utah's rooftop solar customers.

Rooftop solar benefits all customers by reducing the need to build new polluting power plants, saving all customers money. Rooftop solar also protects our air and water by using clean, free sunshine as opposed to dirty fossil fuels. These benefits far outweigh the cost shifts alleged by Rocky Mountain Power, which at most amount to only a penny or two per month for average non-solar customers.

Utah should learn from neighboring states that have been down this road before. States where utilities succeeded in implementing similarly discriminatory rate designs like the one proposed by Rocky Mountain Power have suffered a near complete loss of rooftop solar development. This loss has meant less economic growth and fewer choices for customers. Rocky Mountain Power's plan to kill rooftop solar is the wrong path for Utah, a bad deal for customers, and hobbles Utah's vibrant solar industry.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's punitive rates for rooftop solar customers in favor of a rate structure that recognizes the enormous benefits that rooftop solar provides to all customers. Don't let Rocky Mountain Power's monopoly take away our rooftop solar!

cc: Cindy Crane, President and CEO, Rocky Mountain Power

Sincerely,

Eve Giles  
114 North 100 West  
Kaysville, UT 84037  
[evesage@outlook.com](mailto:evesage@outlook.com)  
(801) 499-2810

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**John Adams** <johnadams218@comcast.net>

Tue, Aug 1, 2017 at 7:21 PM

Reply-To: johnadams218@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

Blame it on our topography, but how can you ignore the If population in our state. Please don't turn your back on this.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

John Adams  
8195 Short Hills Dr  
Cottonwood Heights, UT 84121



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Travis Pearce** <Travispearce1972@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 7:06 AM

Reply-To: Travispearce1972@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Travis Pearce  
Elm Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Col Tha** <cmter44@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: cmter44@gmail.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 7:06 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Col Tha  
130 South 1000 East  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Cameron Tear** <cameron.tear@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 7:10 AM

Reply-To: cameron.tear@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Cameron Tear  
2351 E 1700 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Robynne Maxfield** <robynne@chapmanrichards.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 7:15 AM

Reply-To: robynne@chapmanrichards.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Robynne Maxfield  
5148 S Westmoor Rd  
Holladay, UT 84117



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Rooftop Solar

1 message

---

**Dayanna Varney** <dvvarney@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 7:15 AM

Reply-To: dvvarney@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

I can't believe we're allowing this to happen. Competition is one of the great things America was built on. Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to force out its competition. Please don't let this happen!

Dayanna Varney  
408 N 100Th W  
Torrey, UT 84775



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Grant Hockin** <Grant.Hockin@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 7:21 AM

Reply-To: Grant.Hockin@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Grant Hockin  
1984 E Brady Creek Dr  
Sandy, UT 84093



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Gretchen Lupica** <Gretchino@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 7:35 AM

Reply-To: Gretchino@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Gretchen Lupica  
1721 E Garfield Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Debbiseaver@gmail.com** <Debbiseaver@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:09 AM

Reply-To: Debbiseaver@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

UT 84060



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Deborah Seaver** <Debbiseaver@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:10 AM

Reply-To: Debbiseaver@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Deborah Seaver  
772 River Birch Ct  
Park City, UT 84060



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Harold Young** <haroldbuis@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:10 AM

Reply-To: haroldbuis@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Harold Young  
1436 Marilyn Dr  
Ogden, UT 84403



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Bridget James** <bridgetdaffinrud@hotmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:12 AM

Reply-To: bridgetdaffinrud@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Utah has the opportunity to use the solar energy to our advantage in so many ways. Not only are we harnessing a pure form of energy, lessening our impact on our earth, greatly reducing physical harm to our lungs, by allowing solar companies to remain in our great state we are boosting our great economy even more! More money in the pockets of solar companies and solar customers means more to spend on other things. Please, I beg you, don't allow Rocky Mountain Power to charge solar customers!

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Bridget James  
2783 Morningside Dr  
Salt Lake City, UT 84124



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Tess Boone** <tboone7282@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:15 AM

Reply-To: tboone7282@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

I oppose the Rocky Mountain Power request to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

I do not have solar rooftop panels, but I think people should not be "punished" for this, they should be encouraged. Rooftop solar offers significant economic and environmental benefits to all of us.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

As your constituent, I urge the governor's office and the commission to take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you.

Tess Boone  
4829 Viewmont Street  
Holladay, UT 84117



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Charlotte Maloney** <cdmaloney0@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:26 AM

Reply-To: cdmaloney0@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Power's study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Charlotte Maloney  
1695 Galloway Ave  
Memphis, TN 38112



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Joshua Flicker** <joshua.flicker@cune.org>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:31 AM

Reply-To: joshua.flicker@cune.org

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Joshua Flicker  
1143 E. Westminster  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Mark Hurley** <greenrainforest@msn.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:33 AM

Reply-To: greenrainforest@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Mark Hurley  
9 Lakeview Dr  
Layton, UT 84041



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Geoffrey Crockett** <gcrockett@mac.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:34 AM

Reply-To: gcrockett@mac.com

To: psc@utah.gov

As a Utah resident who has already invested in and is enjoying the benefits of rooftop solar power, I am particularly upset by RMPs push to increase my electricity rates. My \$55,000 system that I paid for out of my pocket generates CLEAN excess power that they receive for free and then turn around and sell to other consumers. That is free money to them. Hiking rates on solar producers is like putting a tax on breathing less air. The sun is free and collecting solar power from it is my right and choice. This monopoly simply should have no right to try to tell me how I choose to power my home. Technology and the world and the Utah landscape is changing and it is RMPs responsibility to keep up with this change. Perhaps they too should invest in solar, maybe even get into the business of residential and commercial solar.

I have attached an eloquent and thoughtful note below written by the fine people at HEAL Utah. If you have not yet had a chance to read it, please do.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Geoffrey Crockett  
652 N Pioneer Fork Rd  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**steve aldana** <drstevealdana@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:45 AM

Reply-To: drstevealdana@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

steve aldana  
935 E 900 N  
Mapleton, UT 84664



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**1 message

---

**John Merrick** <1bklarge@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:47 AM

Reply-To: 1bklarge@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

John Merrick  
1829 Cornwall Place  
S.L.C., Ut. 84116

John Merrick  
1829 W Cornwall PI  
Salt Lake City, UT 84116



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Dennis Frederick** <dennis4@clothdiaper.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:53 AM

Reply-To: dennis4@clothdiaper.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Dennis Frederick  
13602 S Vestry Rd  
Draper, UT 84020



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Thom Filgo** <Tpfilgo@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:55 AM

Reply-To: Tpfilgo@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Thom Filgo  
11721 S Highland Oaks Cir  
Sandy, UT 84092



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

## Docket Number 14-035-114 Comments

1 message

---

**Alan Loveless** <lovelessam@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 8:59 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

To whom it may concern:

I appreciate the opportunity to contribute my few comments on the subject of solar power and RMP. I had solar installed last year and was operational the end of December. After working out a few kinks, my system is producing a bit more than I use. As part of my trade studies to see if solar was worth it for my situation I had to entry what my RMP costs would be, post solar. I was told by RMP and the company installing my solar that my bill would never be over \$9.00 a month if my system produced enough energy to cover my usage. They both said I was "Grandfathered". Base on my assumptions and the guaranteed \$9.00 per month, solar made sense.

If RMP is allowed to renege on their promise to me and they increase rates, I might never show a payback. If RMP is allowed to increase my monthly administrative rate, they should have to subsidize my system to allow me to realize a 6 year payback, like I am predicting.

RMP should consider becoming more efficient in these hard times, like any commercial business, to keep non-solar rates the same instead of acting like a government entity that feels no obligation to being competitive. Since RMP is a monopoly, the service commission must resist granting their demands. We all know RMP is all about doing what they can to increase their profits without doing the right thing and become more efficient. They are smooth talkers that cannot be trusted. An example of their concern for people is shown when they roll right over small towns, like Perry and Willard, with their power tower installations without any concern for the residence of this state.

In the future please do the right thing and cut RMP by \$5.00 every time they demand an increase of \$5.00. Help them to become a world class company and RMP and all of us will thank you when they get there.

Alan Loveless, [435-919-6023](tel:435-919-6023)



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Dennett Anderson** <john@appmagic.com>  
Reply-To: john@appmagic.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 9:04 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Dennett Anderson  
4821 S Oak Terrace Dr  
Salt Lake City, UT 84092



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Shelly Filgo** <sbfilgo@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 9:36 AM

Reply-To: sbfilgo@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Shelly Filgo  
11721 S HIGHLAND OAKS CIR  
SANDY, UT 84092



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Justin Vietor** <Jsvietor@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 9:46 AM

Reply-To: Jsvietor@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

I urge the PSC and the Governor to look at the facts, not misleading information from RMP. Consumers have a right to choose how they power their homes and businesses. Unfairly squashing competition in the name of monopolistic profits is anti- free market and anti- American.

Thank you for your time.

Justin Vietor  
3607 E Supernal Cir  
Cottonwood Heights, UT 84121



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Catherine Adams** <cate@wyomingtestfixtures.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 10:08 AM

Reply-To: cate@wyomingtestfixtures.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Catherine Adams  
2958 E Millcreek Rd  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Catherine Adams** <cate@wyomingtestfixtures.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 10:08 AM

Reply-To: cate@wyomingtestfixtures.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Catherine Adams  
2958 E Millcreek Rd  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Pat Trostle** <patbt60@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 10:16 AM

Reply-To: patbt60@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

This letter is asking you to support the option of Utah home owners' to use rooftop solar, a rational, low-cost energy solution. On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Patricia Trostle

Pat Trostle  
1448 N 1800 E  
Logan, UT 84341



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Marie Overall** <kimarieoverall@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: kimarieoverall@gmail.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 10:17 AM

Air pollution is an on going challenge to deal with in the Salt lake valley. Roof top solar is a great way to lesson the pollution. In addition to the environmental benefits, roof top solar gives energy back to the grid. There is very little maintenance for Rocky Mountain Power.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

I strongly urge you to oppose any fees on roof top solar suggested by Rocky Mountain Power.

Thank you for your time.

Marie Overall  
3165 South 500 East  
SLC, UT 84106



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Todd Olsen** <farm369@hotmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 10:35 AM

Reply-To: farm369@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Governor Herbert and PSC General,

I oppose Rocky Mountain Power's fee request on rooftop solar customers. I do not believe the utility company has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

Rocky Mountain Power is underestimating solar benefits and overestimating the cost of servicing solar customers.

Please look at the detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such.

Thank you for your time.

Todd Olsen

Todd Olsen  
PO Box 9212  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84109



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**ranch23@hotmail.com** <ranch23@hotmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 10:36 AM

Reply-To: ranch23@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Governor Herbert and PSC General,

I oppose Rocky Mountain Power's fee request on rooftop solar customers. I do not believe the utility company has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

Rocky Mountain Power is underestimating solar benefits and overestimating the cost of servicing solar customers.

Please look at the detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such.

Thank you for your time.

Sherie Olsen

UT 84109



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Creig Paskins** <creigpaskins@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 10:36 AM

Reply-To: creigpaskins@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Creig Paskins  
1135 Coyote Gulch Circle  
Ivins, UT 84738



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Robert Goodman** <robertgoodman22289@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 10:39 AM

Reply-To: robertgoodman22289@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Robert Goodman  
995 S 900 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Harold Carr** <harold\_carr@hotmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 10:40 AM

Reply-To: harold\_carr@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Harold Carr  
P. O. Box 58166  
Salt Lake City, UT 84158



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Heather Kelling** <heatherkelling@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 10:46 AM

Reply-To: heatherkelling@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Heather Kelling  
692 S 75 e  
Kaysville, UT 84037



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Ole Holsti** <holsti@duke.edu>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 10:57 AM

Reply-To: holsti@duke.edu

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Ole Holsti  
1878 E Harvard Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84108



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Kay Strayer** <kay\_strayer@hotmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 11:13 AM

Reply-To: kay\_strayer@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Governor Herbert and the PSC,

Please help support clean air and clean energy in Utah by rejecting Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to raise the rates unfairly on rooftop solar panels. Utah has a huge air quality problem, some days we have the worst air in the nation, not a statistic to be proud of!!!

We need to support businesses and individuals who have the foresight, technology, and the moral compass to do what is best for the health of all Utahans. Please support rooftop solar power by voting against RMP's attempt to squelch innovative clean energy initiatives. In the long run, investing in solar energy and other clean energy solutions will help RMP and other companies save money by not having to spend profits to upgrade out-dated fossil fuel equipment.

Please help lead the fight for clean air in Utah!!!

Sincerely,

Kay Strayer

Sent from my iPhone

Kay Strayer

336 F St

Salt Lake City, UT 84103



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**McKay Selph** <mckselph@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 11:14 AM

Reply-To: mckselph@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Please do not let Rocky Mountain Power kill the solar industry. The monopoly that they have need competition. The studies have been done and using solar energy from consumers is much cheaper than building new infrastructures.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

McKay Selph  
845 w 2240 n  
Pleasant Grove, UT 84062



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**James Haslam** <jim@jimhaslam.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 11:24 AM

Reply-To: jim@jimhaslam.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

James Haslam  
452 South Windsor Lane  
Centerville, UT 84014



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Lucy Minahan** <Lucyminahan@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 11:38 AM

Reply-To: Lucyminahan@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Lucy Minahan  
E meadows dr  
Park city, UT 84098



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Celeste Kelty** <Celestekelty@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 11:45 AM

Reply-To: Celestekelty@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Governor Herbert,

My family and I oppose Rocky Mountain Power's request for fee increases to rooftop solar Customers. We have spent a great deal of our savings on our solar set up. As a Family Physician Assistant and a Pediatric Nurse we are extremely concerned about air-quality in this valley. The number of asthmatic children is startling and growing yearly. Our air-quality is alarming, it is a red air day today! Please show your concern for public health in this Salt Lake Valley. Give the power to the people who tow the line for the health and well-being of Utah. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets. Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such.

Thank you for your time.

Celeste Kelty

Celeste Kelty  
10137 S. Majestic Canyon rd  
Sandy, UT 84092



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Ginger McKenna** <gmw727@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 11:49 AM

Reply-To: gmw727@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Ginger McKenna  
4504 W Kestrel Ridge Rd  
South Jordan, UT 84009



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Martha Truss** <mpt103010@gmail.com>  
Reply-To: mpt103010@gmail.com  
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 11:50 AM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Martha Truss  
9706 So. Candlewood Dr  
Sandy, UT 84092



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Stewart Shostak** <shostaks@comcast.net>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 11:53 AM

Reply-To: shostaks@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Stewart Shostak  
9724 S Bluffside Dr  
Sandy, UT 84092



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Robert R. Prentice** <rrp2web@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 12:13 PM

Reply-To: rrp2web@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Robert R. Prentice  
943 E Yale Ave  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

## Rocky Mountain Powers proposed rate changes for solar

1 message

---

**William Mammen** <mammenarch2@cs.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 12:15 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear PSC members,

Please reject RMP's request for the proposed changes to net metering. This is a clear example of a utility company trying to increase profits without doing anything for it.

1. The fact that residential solar power generates more power at peak use times is being ignored in the companies calculations.
2. The fact that the increased capacity comes to the utility at no capital cost to the utility is ignored by the companies calculations.
3. The fact that the utility company receives this additional power capacity with no maintenance cost by the company is ignored in its calculations.
4. The fact that residential PV solar benefits the entire community as well as improves profitability for the company is ignored in its calculations.
5. The company has skewed its analysis to show its expenses as exaggerated because it doesn't show the benefit side of the calculations in its individual homeowner scenario. They want to get the same profit from a residential home that has its own solar as it does to a home that simply uses power. They do not want you to see that they profit more from the standard house because the neighbor has a PV solar array than if he didn't. The reason they profit more is simply that the power is being decentralized which reduces transmission costs and improves the general reliability of the power. This is especially true at peak use times such as the middle of a hot summer day.

Please do not let RMP destroy the incentive of homeowner's to invest in private solar. This is a very important contribution to society.

Sincerely,  
William P. Mammen, AIA, LEED AP  
2001 Lucky John Drive  
Park City, Utah 84060



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Valerie Herzog** <valerieherzog@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 12:19 PM

Reply-To: valerieherzog@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

We spent a great deal of money to install solar panels on our home to help Utah improve its air quality and to reduce the country's reliance on foreign oil. This is important to all of us.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Valerie Herzog  
5205 Shawnee Lane  
Ogden, UT 84403



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Darcey Brown** <Darcey@frontiernet.net>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 12:49 PM

Reply-To: Darcey@frontiernet.net

To: psc@utah.gov

Rocky Mtn Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. Recently, in reaction to the US withdrawal from the Paris Climate Agreement, we decided to add to our solar system in order to produce more than we use even though Rocky Mtn Power will not credit us. I know of others who are doing the same and plan to fly less and buy an electric vehicle- the three things individuals can do to make a difference. As you probably heard, a new international study just came out showing that if we suddenly stopped producing any carbon, the earth's temperature would continue to rise. And even if nations were able to meet the Paris accord goals, which is unlikely, temperatures will rise by two more degrees. The last thing we need is more electricity produced from coal. Rocky Mtn Power should show the same concern about climate change that the Defense Dept and many businesses have and stop misleading the public and elected officials.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Darcey Brown  
2931 E Bench Rd  
Moab, UT 84532



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

## Solar fairness

1 message

---

**Dallas Weston** <dnjweston@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 12:52 PM

To: psc &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

Utah PSC,

I installed my home solar system 2 years ago, and have generated all the power I have needed. I was a heavy wood burning energy user, and have now completely changed over to a clean power producer and user. I have paid several thousands of dollars to make this important change, and to have RMP say that I am not paying my fair share is totally not true! First of all, I have produced 3,700 KW of excess power so far this summer, which RMP has sold, at a premium peak usage price, to my neighbor who is on the same transformer drop line, which assumes no power transportation costs. RMP has profited several hundred dollars from my excess generation and will pay me back only a fraction of this profit for my low peak heating usage this winter. Second, I pay a Minimum Usage Fee to RMP each month for which I receive no power due to my excess generation. Again, RMP profits this each month. Also, I pay the same basic infrastructure fee that all users pay monthly. For RMP to say I'm not paying my fair share is just the opposite, I have paid to them and will continue to pay to them, more than my fair share, and I have also paid to the Utah community clean energy, an abundantly fair share, which I gladly do! Please don't be swayed by RMP's claims from their greed.

Thank You,

Dallas Weston  
[dnjweston@gmail.com](mailto:dnjweston@gmail.com)



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Jan Borgejordet** <ola@borgejordet.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 1:17 PM

Reply-To: ola@borgejordet.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Jan Borgejordet  
4513 S Jupiter Dr  
Salt Lake City, UT 84124



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Kate Lucas** <kate.lucas@comcast.net>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 1:23 PM

Reply-To: kate.lucas@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Kate Lucas  
1364 S 500 E  
Salt Lake City, UT 84105



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

## Say No to Rocky Mtn Power solar rate hike

1 message

---

**Lori Burgett** <loriwburgett@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 1:24 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Utah PSC,

I installed my home solar system 1 year ago, and have generated all the power I have needed. I was a heavy wood burning energy user, and have now completely changed over to a clean power producer and user. I have paid several thousands of dollars to make this important change, and to have RMP say that I am not paying my fair share is totally not true!

First of all, I have produced excess power so far

this summer, which RMP has sold, at a premium peak usage price, to my neighbors who are on the same transformer drop line, which assumes no power transportation costs. RMP has profited several hundred dollars from my excess generation and will pay me back only a fraction of this profit for my low peak heating usage this winter. Second, I pay a

Minimum Usage Fee to RMP each month for which I receive no power due to my excess generation. Again, RMP profits this each month. Also, I pay the same basic infrastructure fee that all users pay monthly. For RMP to say I'm not paying my fair share is just the opposite, I have paid to them and will continue to pay to them, more than my fair share, and I have also paid to the Utah community clean energy, an abundantly fair share, which I gladly do! Please don't be swayed by RMP's claims from their greed.

Thank you,

Lori and David Burgett



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Sara Lleverino** <saralleverino@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 2:28 PM

Reply-To: saralleverino@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time,  
The Lleverino Family

Sara Lleverino  
6068 S 1350 E  
South Ogden, UT 84405



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Kim Rieck** <kimrieck@msn.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 2:30 PM

Reply-To: kimrieck@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Kim Rieck  
202 North Sandrun Rd  
Salt lake city, UT 84103



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Tay Haines** <tay.haines@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 2:31 PM

Reply-To: tay.haines@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

I am investing in solar for my home because it's something I can do for our planet. Rocky Mountain's motive is corporate profit. Let's support the best path forward for all.

Tay Haines  
475 e 8th ave  
salt lake city, UT 84103



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Timothy Chenette** <chenettet@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 2:36 PM

Reply-To: chenettet@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

I am writing about Rocky Mountain Power's request before the PSC to raise rates on rooftop solar customers.

Rooftop solar is perhaps the ideal energy source. It does not take up land (unlike utility-scale solar), does not kill birds or bats (unlike windmills), and does not have significant negative effects on climate and air quality or contribute to mining-related pollution and health problems (unlike fossil fuels). It is generated as close as possible to where it is used and therefore does not suffer significant line losses.

While storage remains an issue to deal with nighttime energy use, this problem will be improved over time.

Finally, I am a customer of Logan City utilities in Northern Utah. They are not raising rates in this way, and they explicitly say they love solar because it reduces their need to buy expensive peak power when air conditioners are running in the summer. I encourage the PSC and Rocky Mountain Power to look at other utilities in the area like Logan City and see why they are embracing rather than penalizing solar.

Thank you.

Timothy Chenette  
319 N 200 E  
Logan, UT 84321



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Katy Marsh** <katy.marsh26@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 2:36 PM

Reply-To: katy.marsh26@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

I don't personally have solar panels on my roof yet, but I greatly appreciate my neighbors who do. Please don't allow Rocky Mountain Power to kill rooftop solar.

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Katy Marsh  
1335 N 550 W  
Pleasant Grove, UT 84062



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Caryle Cooper** <carylecooper@hotmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 3:14 PM

Reply-To: carylecooper@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Caryle Cooper  
750 E Shady Tree Ct  
Salt Lake City, UT 84106



PublicService Commission &lt;psc@utah.gov&gt;

---

**Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket**

1 message

---

**Doris Long** <dorisa204@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 3:18 PM

Reply-To: dorisa204@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Doris Long  
3944 S 900 E Unit 204  
Salt Lake City, UT 84124



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket No. 14-035-114.

1 message

---

**Douglas Vilnius** <dougvilnius@gmail.com>  
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 3:58 PM

Public Service Commission  
August 2, 2017

Dear Commissioners:

This letter concerns Docket No. 14-035-114.

Here we go again. Another attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to disincentivize solar in Utah.

We oppose Rocky Mountain Power's attempt to increase monthly service charges. We oppose their attempt to reduce solar credits and increased demand charges that discriminate against solar customers. Nevada lost thousands of solar jobs when they implemented a similar plan.

Lower income folks like us are at a disadvantage when it comes to trying to do the right thing by investing in renewable energy to reduce our carbon footprint. Please look carefully at any cost benefit plan submitted in defense of approving the failed Nevada plan.. There's a bigger issue here than profits by a corporation heavily invested in fossil fuels and their inevitable carbon emissions who refuse to change their business plan.

Please do all you can to encourage solar and wind power in Utah. Solar in Utah is on a profitable trajectory for both business and homeowners. Keep the momentum going.

Thank you for your past efforts to keep solar and other renewables possible

Sincerely,

Doug and Lone Vilnius  
115 St. Moritz Way  
Park City UT 84098