



**PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>**

---

## Utah solar

1 message

---

**Shelly Filgo <sbfilgo@gmail.com>**

Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 9:26 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

I am writing to encourage all utah legislators to stop Rocky mt power from influencing the future and alternative resources for Utah. We need to be proactive and pro alternative energy.

Your constituent Shelly Filgo  
84092

Sent from my iPhone



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: RMP Solar Net Metering Docket

1 message

---

**Joshua Hill** <joshuashill@alumni.brown.edu>

Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 11:26 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Our energy independence, technological innovation and environmental stewardship are hallmarks of the solar industry, an industry that now employs more than 4,400 Utahns and has an economic impact of more than \$350 million in our state. Turning a cold shoulder to innovation jeopardizes Utah's businesses, jobs and national image. Please support the opinions of your citizens and do everything possible to keep solar power thriving in America.

--

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act [18 USC 2510] and it is intended to be received and read only by certain individuals for their sole use and benefit. It may contain information that is privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If it has been misdirected, or if you suspect you have received this in error, please notify me by replying and then delete both the message and reply. Thank you.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## RMP & who is negotiating a settlement ?

1 message

---

**John Whittaker** <jdwhitta@gmail.com>

Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 12:11 PM

Reply-To: jdwhitta@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Who is RMP negotiating with concerning Net Metering and by what authority? Who chose this group and who organized this group? Seems to me this needs to be more public and transparent instead of behind closed doors. RMP is a monopoly and is not State sanctioned, therefore the PSC needs to regulate RMP more than a normal business to protect the taxpayers and the consumers.

Regards,  
John Whittaker  
DiJon Companies  
Main: [801-582-4374](tel:801-582-4374)



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## KEEP SUPPORTING ROOFTOP SOLAR!

1 message

---

**Shanna O'Neill** <shanna.oneill@yahoo.com>

Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 12:15 PM

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to you in support of solar power in Utah. Solar power is necessary to increase self reliance and innovation among Utah residents. We deserve transparency and certainty in all regulatory processes in relation to solar. The State needs to uphold their commitment to its residents and to solar power.

Solar power is also a huge source of technological innovation, employment, and promotes environmental stewardship. In jeopardizing solar in Utah, you are jeopardizing our image and reputation.

I know that you will do right in standing with solar power in Utah.

Thank you,

Shanna O'Neill  
Salt Lake Resident



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Deny Rocky Mountain Power Solar Changes

1 message

---

**mchamberspe@comcast.net** <mchamberspe@comcast.net> Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 3:13 PM  
To: psc@utah.gov

Please don't give in to the big business of Rocky Mtn Power. It will kill the clead solar power industry and jobs will be lost.

Also, RMP does not take into consideration that they are getting excess power for FREE! After switching all the light bulbs in my home to LEDs I do not use all the power my system creates. After a year I lose those credits which means RMP was able to sell that power to someone else and did not have to pay me for it.

If RMP wants to be fair, excess power should be paid back to the customer or the one year time limit should be eliminated.

I would agree to raising the rates a little, i.e. \$2, \$5, or so, if RMP would agree to pay me for the excess power that I lose after one year.

Thank you

Mike Chambers

993 W 2760 N

Pleasant Grove, UT 84062

[801-803-1828](tel:801-803-1828)



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Marcia Maurycy** <mmaurycy@hotmail.com>

Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 3:32 PM

Reply-To: mmaurycy@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Marcia Maurycy  
1726 Imperial Park Lane  
SLC, UT 84106





PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Derek Gendvil** <dgendvil@gmail.com>

Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 3:45 PM

Reply-To: dgendvil@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,  
Derek Gendvil  
Las Vegas



8/11/2017

State of Utah Mail - Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

Derek Gendvil  
9030 W. Sahara Ave. #360  
Las Vegas, NV 89117



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Sue deVall** <sdev.cv@gmail.com>

Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 3:54 PM

Reply-To: sdev.cv@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Green power is our future and the future of our planet!  
Go with it, do not fight it!

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

8/11/2017

State of Utah Mail - Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

Sue deVall  
144 Buchanan Ln  
Castle Valley, UT 84532



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Ron McKee** <Hmronm@hotmail.com>

Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 4:02 PM

Reply-To: Hmronm@hotmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Ron McKee  
850 Donner Way #103  
Salt Lake City, UT 84208





PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Bob Brister** <bbrister@q.com>

Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 4:05 PM

Reply-To: bbrister@q.com

To: psc@utah.gov

I oppose Rocky Mountain Power's request to increase rates on rooftop solar users. Rooftop solar is much more efficient than distant power sources that lose much electricity in transmission.

Rooftop solar does not tear up the landscape like large-scale power sources do. Please do all you can to promote rooftop solar.

Bob Brister  
220 South Elizabeth St #12  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Chris Reynolds** <chris@jazzsequence.com>

Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 4:06 PM

Reply-To: chris@jazzsequence.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

As a new solar customer, the rate increase likely won't affect me directly, but I am passionate about clean energy and rooftop solar can only benefit the grid as a whole since excess power accumulated by the panels will feed back into the grid for other power customers.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Chris Reynolds  
768 N 300 W  
Salt Lake City, UT 84103





PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Stephen Mossbarger** <pub-mail@stephen-mossbarger.us> Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 4:12 PM  
Reply-To: pub-mail@stephen-mossbarger.us  
To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Stephen Mossbarger  
868 E 500 S  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102





PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Tina Marler** <tmmarler@msn.com>

Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 4:42 PM

Reply-To: tmmarler@msn.com

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Tina Marler  
2951 South 2000 East  
Salt Lake City, UT 84109





PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

---

## Docket 14-035-114: Rooftop Solar PSC Docket

1 message

---

**Lisa Neeshan** <lisaneeshan@comcast.net>

Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 4:43 PM

Reply-To: lisaneeshan@comcast.net

To: psc@utah.gov

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop solar customers. I oppose this fee request. I do not believe the utility has proven that these customers burden other ratepayers or the system with significant costs.

There are many problems with the utility's claims, including:

Rocky Mountain Power's Cost of Service study dramatically over estimates the cost of servicing rooftop solar customers while underestimating the benefits solar provides to the grid and other ratepayers.

Almost 60% of the "costs" in Rocky Mountain Powers study are actually lost revenue for the utility, rather than actual engineering and maintenance costs. It is not appropriate that the utility seeks to force solar customers to fill its shareholders' pockets.

Lastly, the utility has failed to fully account many of the grid benefits which rooftop solar provides, such as transmission upgrades, deferred capital costs and avoided environmental compliance costs.

I hope the governor's office and the commission take a hard look at the many detailed and thorough testimonies which the solar industry and clean energy advocates have filed.

Rooftop solar is not a "cost" for the grid, but a valuable resource and should be treated as such. These studies will prove it.

Thank you for your time.

Lisa Neeshan  
3184 W Bernina Dr  
Taylorsville, UT 84129





PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

## Rooftop Solar Public Hearing Comments for Docket 14035114

1 message

**Gail Kelly** <lifeforceenergies@tularosa.net>

Fri, Aug 11, 2017 at 5:00 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Rooftop Solar Public Hearing

Wednesday, August 9, 2017 Heber M Wells Building, Room 403

160 East Broadway, Salt Lake City

### **Public Comment Docket # 14035114**

My name is Gail Kelly, I live at 2277 W. 2375 N., Clinton, UT 84015

My husband and I are nearing retirement age, but wondering how we are going to be able to afford to retire on his Msgt. Air Force retirement, Social Security and what money we've put away. Our bills continue to climb, and because we moved many times over his Air Force career of 20+ years, we were unable to own a home long enough to have any real investment, or ownership. Because we cannot ever be mortgage or rent free, we were looking for ways to save money to stay in our present home. Last year, we put 19 solar panels on our home. This was largely affordable because of the tax incentives offered by Federal and State tax credits. Since our present electric bill would always be a cost that would go up, we could not only save money now but in the future when our income was fixed.

I also drive a Hybrid car. It is important to me that I try to conserve what energy the Earth still has to offer and do what I can to put less toxins in the air. Even if you do not believe in climate change, we know there are more toxins in the air we breathe every day by the number of people with Asthma, COPD and allergies. Our weather reporter tells us when it is unsafe to go outside. This is a new normal. It was not that way when we were growing up. Our immune systems cannot keep up. Fossil fuels are a non-renewable dirty energy source. We all share this shame. Each home powered by solar is like taking over 100 vehicles off the road.

My husband and I pay Rocky Mountain Power \$9.00 a month for the net meter on our home. At the end of March, Rocky Mountain also resets (or takes) the credits we've saved from making more power than we are using. As you know, the winter

and spring months are more cloudy, so we try to save as much power as possible in April and May, so as not to have an electric bill in these months. This is Rocky Mountain's way to make money from solar users, even though they are saving money because we are supplying energy to the grid, to our neighbors, and Rocky Mountain doesn't have to transport that free energy a great distance from the power stations. In reality, and what other electric companies in other States have done, is pay their solar customers for their excess production. To be clear, Rocky Mountain Power should be paying us and thanking us for helping them comply with the government standards that were set and they need to meet.

I think what bothers me even more than this, is that solar power has been around for decades waiting for its turn. Now that technology has caught up and made it possible and affordable for homeowners, Rocky Mountain Power wants to pass a rate hike that would tighten the noose around the necks of these small solar businesses which supply over 4,000 jobs in our State to date. We wouldn't have gotten a loan to pay for our solar panels if we knew the power company would still be able to raise our bill whenever they wanted. We live in a country where competition is welcome. It makes us and our services better. When technology takes a giant step forward, we all take a giant step forward. For example, when I went to secretarial school, businesses still used secretaries to make their travel arrangements, take short hand, and type letters. None of that exists today. I needed to evolve and retrain or I couldn't work. IBM went from manual typewriters to electric, to computers. If companies don't evolve, they die. Rocky Mountain Power is trying to evolve; however, they are using their consumers to pay for it and then charge them for it later. For this and all the above reasons, I ask you to vote "No" on the proposed Rocky Mountain Power rate hike which is not in this public's best interest.

Thank you for your time.