In the Matter Of:

In Re: RMP - Net Metering Program

HEARING, DOCKET NO. 14-035-114

September 18, 2017

Job Number: 412750

1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH 2 Docket No. 14-035-114 IN THE MATTER OF THE 3 INVESTIGATION OF THE COSTS AND BENEFITS OF PACIFICORP'S NET METERING PROGRAM 4 5 б HEARING PROCEEDINGS 7 TAKEN AT: Utah Public Service Commission 4th Floor 8 160 East 300 South 9 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 10 11 DATE: Monday, September 18, 2017 TIME: 9:00 a.m. 12 13 REPORTER: Mary R. Honigman, R.P.R. Job No. 412750 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR THE UTAH PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:	
3	Thad LeVar, Commission Chair David Clark, Commissioner	
4	Jordan White, Commissioner	
5	FOR THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:	
6	Justin C. Jetter	
7	160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84114	
8	FOR ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER:	
9	Matthew Moscon	
10	201 South Main Street, Suite 1100 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111	
11	(801) 578-6929 matt.moscon@stoel.com	
12	FOR THE OFFICE OF CONSUMESR SERVICES:	
13	Robert Moore	
14	160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84114	
15	FOR THE UTAH ASSOCIATION OF ENERGY USERS:	
16	Phillip J. Russell HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C	
17	10 West Broadway, Suite 400	
18	Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801)839-4811	
19	prussell@hjdlaw.com	
20	FOR VIVINT SOLAR, INC.:	
21	Steven F. Mecham STEPHEN F. MECHAM LAW, PLLC	
22	10 West 100 South, Suite 323 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101	
23	(385)222-1618 sfmecham@gmail.com	
24		
25		

Page 3

```
1
     FOR UTAH CLEAN ENERGY:
 2
     Sophie Hayes
     1014 2nd Avenue
 3
     Salt Lake City, Utah 84103
     (801)363-4046
 4
     sophie@utahcleanenergy.org
 5
     FOR THE SIERRA CLUB:
 6
     Val Antczak
     ANTCZAK POLICH LAW LLC
 7
     324 South 400 West, Suite 225
     Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
     (801)521-4409
 8
     vantczak@antczaklaw.com
 9
     FOR THE ENERGY FREEDOM COALITION OF AMERICA:
10
     Thadeus B. Culley
11
     KEYES & FOX, LLP
     401 Harrison Oaks Boulevard, Suite 100
12
     Cary, North Carolina 27513
     (501)314 - 8205
13
     tculley@kfwlaw.com
14
     FOR THE SUMMIT COUNTY COUNCIL:
     David L. Thomas
15
     60 North Main Street
     P.O. Box 128
16
     Coalville, Utah 84017
17
     (435)336 - 3206
     dthomas@summitcounty.org
18
19
     FOR HEAL UTAH:
20
     Phillip J. Russell
     HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.
21
     10 West Broadway, Suite 400
     Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
22
     (801)839-4811
     prussell@hdjlaw.com
23
24
25
```

Page 4 1 FOR WESTERN RESOURCE ADVOCATES: 2 Jennifer Gardner 150 South 600 East, Suite 2A 3 Salt Lake City, Utah 84102 (801)487-99114 jennifer.gardner@westernresources.org FOR SALT LAKE CITY CORPORATION: 5 Poulson Tyler 6 451 South State Street, Suite 148 7 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801)535 - 7250tyler.poulson@slcgov.com 8 FOR PARK CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE: 9 10 Tom Daley City Hall 11 445 Marsac Avenue P.O. Box 1480 12 Park City, Utah 84060 (435)615-5025 13 tdaley@parkcity.org 14 ALSO PRESENT VIA TELEPHONE: 15 Neal Townsend Rick Gilliam Daniel Mach 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	Page 5
		DAGE
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	JOELLE STEWARD	
4	EXAMINATION BY: Mr. Moscon	13
5	Commissioner Clark	36
6	Commissioner White Commissioner LeVar	37, 41 38
7		
8	MICHELE BECK	
	EXAMINATION BY:	
9	Mr. Moore Commissioner LeVar	42 45
10		
11	RYAN EVANS	
12	EXAMINATION BY:	4.7
13	Ms. Smith	47
14	SARAH WRIGHT	
15	EXAMINATION BY:	- 0
16	Ms. Hayes Commissioner White	52 56
17		
18	STEVEN S. MICHEL	
19	EXAMINATION BY: Ms. Gardner	57
20		<u> </u>
	NEAL TOWNSEND	
21	EXAMINATION BY:	
22	Mr. Russell Commissioner White	65 66
23		
24	CHRIS PARKER	
25	EXAMINATION BY: Commissioner LeVar	69

1	Page 6 PROCEEDINGS
2	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Good morning.
3	We're here in Public Service Commission
4	Docket No. 14-035-114, the Investigation of the
5	Costs and Benefits of PacifiCorp's Net Metering
6	Program.
7	Before we take appearances, I'll just
8	note we have one preliminary matter. We have two
9	parties who have requested to have witnesses
10	participate by telephone; one has already been
11	granted by the Commission, Neal Townsend from the
12	UAE. We also have a request that Witness Rick
13	Gilliam participate by telephone. We note that
14	Commission approval of telephonic witnesses should
15	be the exception rather than the rule. There is
16	some potential for the prejudice of parties subject
17	to cross-examination.
18	Today, because there has been such a
19	broad waiver of cross-examination, we have already
20	granted the motion for UAE for Witness Neal
21	Townsend, and we also grant that same motion for
22	Rick Gilliam, on behalf of Vivint Solar. So with
23	that we will move to appearances.
24	MR. MOSCON: Matt Moscon on behalf of
25	Rocky Mountain Power.
1	

1	Page 7 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Excuse me. As
2	you're making appearances, also let me know if you
3	have a witness to testify on behalf of the
4	stipulation and name that witness so I can keep
5	track of that.
6	MR. MOSCON: Yes. The power company
7	has one witness to introduce for the stipulation of
8	the Commission and that is Ms. Joelle Steward.
9	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. I'll
10	go to the Division of Public Utilities.
11	MR. JETTER: Good morning. I'm
12	Justin Jetter with the Utah Attorney General's
13	Office, and I'm here this morning representing the
14	Utah Division of Public Utilities. The Division
15	does not intend to put a witness on this morning.
16	However, the Division does have Chris Parker, the
17	director of the Division of Public Utilities here
18	today if it becomes necessary to answer any
19	questions. Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. The
21	Office of Consumer Services?
22	MR. MOORE: Robert Moore of the Utah
23	Attorney General's Office representing the Office of
24	Consumer Services. With me at counsel table is
25	Michele Beck, director of the Office of Consumer
1	

1	Page 8 Services. She will be providing a statement in
2	support of the stipulation.
3	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. I
4	think we'll just kind of go around in the order
5	people are sitting at the tables. We'll start with
6	you, Ms. Smith.
7	MS. SMITH: Amanda Smith representing
8	Utah Solar Energy Association. We will be having
9	Ryan Evans, the president of Utah Solar Energy
10	Association making a statement in support of the
11	stipulation today.
12	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Thank
13	you. Make sure your microphones are on for the sake
14	of the court reporter. And we're also streaming, so
15	that makes a difference on streaming. Thank you.
16	MR. ANTCZAK: Val Antczak appearing
17	on behalf of the Sierra Club. Antczak is
18	A-n-t-c-z-a-k. I already gave the reporter that
19	spelling. Thank you. I will not have a witness.
20	MR. MECHAM: Good morning.
21	Steve Mecham representing Vivint Solar. We do not
22	intend to present a witness, but we would have one
23	available if there are questions.
24	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
25	MR. THOMAS: Dave Thomas on behalf of

1	Page 9 Summit County. We do not have a witness.
2	MR. CULLEY: Good morning. Thad
3	Culley on behalf of Sunrun and Energy Freedom
4	Coalition of America. We do not have a witness or a
5	statement to make. Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
7	Ms. Hayes?
8	MS. HAYES: Good morning.
9	Sophie Hayes on behalf of Utah Clean Energy. Utah
10	Clean Energy has Sarah Wright, the executive
11	director of Utah Clean Energy here to make a
12	statement in support of the stipulation.
13	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
14	MS. GARDNER: Good morning.
15	Jennifer Gardner on behalf of Western Resource
16	Advocates. We do have a witness here this morning,
17	Steven S. Michel, and he will be providing testimony
18	in opposition to the settlement.
19	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
20	MR. RUSSELL: Good morning.
21	Phillip Russell on behalf of the Utah Association of
22	Energy Users. We do have one witness appearing by
23	telephone, Neal Townsend. And I want to take this
24	opportunity to thank the Commission for its
25	accommodation in allowing Mr. Townsend to appear by
1	

1	Page 10 telephone.
2	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Anyone else in
3	the room that didn't get a chance to sit up at the
4	front that needs to make an appearance?
5	MR. POULSON: Tyler Poulson with Salt
6	Lake City Corporation, and we don't have a witness
7	and don't intend to make a statement. Thanks.
8	MR. DALEY: Tom Daley on behalf of
9	Park City. No statement, no witness. Thanks.
10	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. Any
11	other preliminary matters before we go to Mr. Moscon
12	and Ms. Steward? Doesn't look like we have any.
13	MR. MOSCON: If it pleases the
14	Commission, one preliminary matter is that the
15	parties have spoken and I believe I reached
16	everyone, I apologize if I haven't but I think
17	there is a general agreement that before we put on
18	Ms. Steward to introduce the settlement stipulation,
19	the parties have agreed that all of the prefiled
20	testimony pertaining to the compliance filing
21	forward could and should be received onto the
22	record. So I don't know if it's appropriate for the
23	Commission to do that now at this time, but that is
24	a preliminary matter that the parties have
25	discussed.

1	Page 11 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. Do you
2	want to make that motion?
3	MR. MOSCON: Yes. I also move.
4	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: For all
5	testimony from all intervenors in this docket?
6	MR. MOSCON: For all the parties who
7	have filed prefiled testimony from the date of the
8	compliance filing forward.
9	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Does any party
10	have any objection to that motion? Please indicate
11	to me if you do. Ms. Gardner?
12	MS. GARDNER: No objection, I just
13	want to clarify that that motion will also cover
14	and I believe it does but it will also cover any
15	testimony filed in opposition to the settlement
16	agreement.
17	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Let me ask,
18	Mr. Moscon, if you intend to include that in your
19	motion?
20	MR. MOSCON: We don't object to that
21	testimony coming in, so we may as well do that at
22	this point as well.
23	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: So the motion is
24	amended to include all testimony filed after the
25	stipulation. Is there any objection from anybody in

Page 12 Please let me know if you have an 1 the room? 2 objection. And I'm not seeing any so that motion 3 will be granted. 4 Let me just ask the parties then, 5 does anyone intend to cross-examine any of the witnesses that will be speaking for or against the 6 7 stipulation today? Please let me know if you have any desire to conduct cross-examination. 8 I'm not 9 seeing any, so it might make sense to let all the 10 witnesses present their statements and then if we 11 have questions from the Commission, we could deal 12 with those as a panel after every witness has spoken. Is there any objection to moving forward 13 that way? I'm not seeing any objection from the 14 15 room, so we'll go to Mr. Moscon and Ms. Steward. 16 Thank you. The Company MR. MOSCON: 17 calls Ms. Joelle Steward. COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And if you would 18 like to just -- well, we don't have room for 19 20 everybody at the table, so we could keep you here at 21 the table or bring you to the witness stand. Ι 2.2 don't know that we have any preference, but since there's not room at the tables for all of the 23 24 witnesses, maybe we should use the witness stand. 25 While she's approaching MR. MOSCON:

1	Page 13 the stand, I intend to ask Ms. Steward questions
2	that both provide a high-level discussion of the
3	settlement stipulation, as well as some brief
4	comments or responses to the opposition that's been
5	filed. My questions will identify certain, you
6	know, topics in the stipulation. I have hard copies
7	if any commissioner needs one. I know that the
8	stipulation was previously filed, but if anyone
9	would need an additional copy
10	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
11	JOELLE STEWARD,
12	having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, was
13	examined and testified as follows:
14	BY MR. MOSCON:
15	Q Good morning, Ms. Steward. Would you
16	please state your name and position with the Company
17	for the record.
18	A Yes. It's Joelle Steward, and I'm the
19	director of rates and regulatory affairs for Rocky
20	Mountain Power.
21	Q How long have you worked for the Company?
22	A Ten years.
23	Q Have you previously testified before this
24	Commission?
25	A Yes.
1	

Page 14 1 Did you file testimony in this docket 0 2 pertaining to the Company's proposed net metering 3 case? I filed direct rebuttal and 4 Α Yes. surrebuttal testimony in this proceeding. 5 Has the Company reached a resolution with 6 Q any of the parties pertaining to its filing? 7 The Company has reached a resolution 8 Α Yes. 9 for the current proceeding with many of the parties in this proceeding. The signatories to the 10 11 stipulation represent a diverse group of 12 stakeholders. In addition to Rocky Mountain Power, 13 the signatories include: The Division of Public Utilities; the Office of Consumer Services; Vivint 14 15 Solar; Auric Solar; Legend Solar; Intermountain Wind and Solar; Utah Solar Energy Association; Salt Lake 16 City; Summit County; Utah Clean Energy; HEAL Utah; 17 18 Utah Citizens Advocating Renewable Energy; and, most recently, Park City. 19 20 Would you please provide a brief overview 0 21 of the settlement stipulation to the Commission? 2.2 А Yes. The settlement stipulation 23 establishes a transition and path forward to a new model for supporting customer generation. 24 25 To accomplish this, first, the stipulation

1	Page 15 lowers the cap on the net metering program with
2	applications to be accepted by November 15, 2017.
3	Next, it creates a transition program that
4	eliminates monthly netting and monthly kilowatt hour
5	netting and banking, and instead uses fixed credit
6	rates to compensate energy that gets exported to the
7	grid. The stipulation provides that the Company
8	will recover these energy purchase payments to
9	customers through the energy balancing account or
10	other pass-through mechanism.
11	Third, the parties agree that a new
12	proceeding should be opened to determine how future
13	export credit rates will be set. In order to
14	provide certainty for customers, the industry, and
15	stakeholders, the stipulation includes
16	grandfathering provisions for the current net
17	metering program and the new export credit rates
18	during the transition program.
19	Customers on the current net metering
20	program will be able to remain on the program as is
21	through 2035. Customers on the transition program
22	will have certainty regarding their export credit
23	rate through 2032.
24	Q Ms. Steward, do you have a copy of the
25	settlement stipulation with you at the witness

1	Page 16
2	A Yes.
3	Q Could you please turn to page 3 of that
4	stipulation?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And you'll see a section that begins,
7	"Settlement Terms." I'd like to have you introduce
8	a few of these terms for the Commission. The first
9	subsection pertains to the current net metering
10	program. Do you see that on page 3?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Please describe for the Commission the
13	treatment of the current net metering program under
14	the stipulation.
15	A Under the stipulation, the net metering
16	program will be capped at the cumulative generating
17	capacity of all customer generation systems for
18	which applications have been submitted to the
19	Company as of November 15, 2017. For the
20	Commission's reference, as of September 13, we have
21	installations totaling 192 megawatts in the net
22	metering program with another 58 megawatts in
23	pending applications.
24	Customers on the net metering program will
25	be grandfathered into the program in its current

	Daga 17
1	Page 17 form through 2035. This means that current
2	customers will remain on their otherwise applicable
3	rate class with monthly netting and banking of
4	excess energy. In order to be grandfathered into
5	the program, new residential and small commercial
6	applicants must complete interconnection of their
7	system within 12 months. Other qualifying customers
8	will have up to 18 months to complete their
9	installations.
10	The grandfathered status will stay with
11	the service location so it is transferable to new
12	customers at the property. Certain exceptions to
13	retaining grandfathered status are identified in the
14	stipulation. After the grandfathering period, the
15	net metering program customers will become subject
16	to any class, rate, or rate structure then in effect
17	that would otherwise apply.
18	Q Thank you. Would you turn with me to page
19	5 of the settlement stipulation. Do you see the
20	section identified "Transition Program?"
21	A Yes.
22	Q Please describe for the Commission how the
23	transition program works under the stipulation.
24	A The transition program begins on the day
25	the net metering program ends, November 15, 2017,

1	Page 18 and it will end on either the date the transition
2	program cap is reached or the date the Commission
3	issues a final order in the export credit
4	proceeding; whichever is earlier.
5	The cap for the transition program is 170
6	megawatts for residential and small commercial
7	customers on Schedule 23, and it's 70 megawatts for
8	all other large, non-residential customers. The
9	stipulation specifies that these caps will be
10	measured as the cumulative nameplate capacity in
11	direct current or DC.
12	The transition program provides a fixed
13	credit rate for all power exported to the grid by
14	customer generators. The customer's exports will be
15	measured and netted against customer's usage in
16	15-minute intervals. The 15-minute netting will
17	have no precedential effect in the export credit
18	proceeding. The export credit rates, which are in
19	the table in paragraph 19 of the stipulation, are
20	fixed for transition customers through 2032.
21	One exception exists in that if the Utah
22	Renewable Energy System's maximum tax credit is less
23	than \$1,600 for 2019 and 2020, the Company will make
24	a compliance filing to modify the residential
25	transition credit rate from 9.2 cents per kilowatt

 hour to 9.4 cents per kilowatt hour. The monetization of the export energy of apply as a bill credit against the power and energy 	will
	will
3 apply as a bill credit against the power and ener	
	rgy
4 charges of the customer's bill and will not apply	Y
5 against monthly customer charges or minimum bills	5.
6 The excess credit values will carry over and app	ly
7 against the power and energy charges in subseque	nt
8 monthly bills.	
9 At the end of the annualized billing	
10 period, which remains consistent with the net	
11 metering program, the value of remaining unused	
12 credits will be donated to the low-income program	n or
13 for another use as determined by the Commission.	
14 This treatment provides an economic incentive for	r
15 customers to not oversize their facilities.	
16 Transition customers will remain in their otherw:	ise
17 applicable rate class during the transition perio	od,
18 and parties agree not to advocate for any changes	s to
19 rates, charges, or fees to transition customers	that
20 would not otherwise apply to the entire class.	
21 After 2032, transition customers will be subject	to
22 the otherwise applicable rate class, rate, or rat	te
23 structure then in effect.	
24 As with the grandfathered net metering	
25 system, customer installations in the transition	

Page 20 1 program will stay with the property so they are 2 transferable to the new owners. But, again, certain 3 exceptions to retaining eligibility will apply and 4 are outlined in the stipulation, paragraph 16. Ιf the transition program cap is reached before the 5 Commission has issued a final order in the export 6 proceeding, new customers completing an 7 interconnection application will receive the 8 applicable transition credit rates for exported 9 10 power until the Commission issues an order, at which 11 time, such customers will be subject to the terms of 12 a new tariff as determined by the Commission. This 13 provision provides some continuity so there isn't an 14 abrupt end to the customer generation program. This section also includes changes to the 15 interconnection fees beginning with the transition 16 program. Changes to these fees requires the waiver 17 of the administrative rule 746-312-13. 18 The fee changes include a new \$60 application fee for Level 19 20 1, and increases to fees for Level 2 and Level 3 21 interconnection. 2.2 In addition to the application fees, 23 customers will pay a metering fee for the

24 incremental cost of the new meters which will be 25 refundable if not installed. The fees will be

1	Page 21
1	re-evaluated in conjunction with the export credit
2	proceeding.
3	Lastly, this section of the stipulation
4	includes a request to waive the time periods for
5	processing new interconnection requests for a period
6	of up to 15 days after the close of the net metering
7	program. This brief gap will allow the Company time
8	to transition to the new program and provide an
9	opportunity to get in place the new applications
10	that we'll be receiving.
11	MR. MOSCON: Thank you, Ms. Steward.
12	Could you forward to page 9 of the settlement
13	stipulation? On the bottom of that page there's a
14	section titled, "Export Credit Proceeding." Do you
15	see that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Could you please describe for the
18	Commission what is intended to be resolved in that
19	docket?
20	A The export credit proceeding is intended
21	to determine the compensation rate for exported
22	power for future program customers, including for
23	the net metering and transition customers after
24	their grandfathering terms expire. The parties
25	agree to support a procedural schedule that will
1	

1	Page 22 allow the proceeding to conclude no later than three
2	years from when it is initiated. Paragraph 30
3	broadly identifies the evidence that may be
4	presented to address reasonably quantifiable costs
5	or benefits or other considerations.
6	The parties intend the next proceeding to
7	be a clean start and therefore agree that nothing in
8	this docket will be precedential. The Company will
9	file an application to initiate the proceeding after
10	the Commission issues an order in this docket. The
11	Company will also facilitate a workshop with
12	stakeholders shortly thereafter in order to discuss
13	the type and scope of data expected to be considered
14	and necessary for determining the export rate.
15	We will also add provisions to the
16	compliance tariffs in this proceeding that require
17	randomly selected customers to allow the Company to
18	install meters at the point of delivery or on the
19	customer generation system for load research
20	purposes.
21	Q Thank you. If you could, turn to page 11
22	of the stipulation and find the section entitled,
23	"Recovery of Export Credits." Let me know when you
24	have found that.
25	A Yes, I'm there.

1 Q Would you describe for the Commission what 2 terms the parties have settled on regarding export 3 credits?

4 А This section in paragraph 32 explains how the Company will recover the export credits paid to 5 transition customers. This provides that the 6 7 Company will recover a hundred percent of the difference between the export credits and the market 8 9 value of the exports adjusted for line losses 10 through the energy balancing account or another 11 pass-through mechanism.

12 Exhibit A provides an illustrious example 13 of the calculation. The methodology for calculating 14 the amount for recovery of the export credits and 15 the treatment of recovery may be addressed in the 16 export credit proceeding for post-transition 17 customers provided, however, that recovery may have 18 been a hundred percent.

19 0 Thank you. On that same page there's a subheading entitled, "Legislative and Regulatory 20 21 Stay-out." Would you please describe for the 22 Commission what is intended in that section? 23 Α The legislative and regulatory stay-out provisions represent a commitment by the signing 24 25 parties to support the terms of the stipulation.

1	Page 24 Specifically, the parties agree to support the terms
2	of the stipulation for 30 months after the date the
3	Commission issues an order in the export credit
4	proceeding establishing a new compensation rate.
5	The commitment applies to legislation, ballot
6	measures, and regulatory actions.
7	Paragraph 35 requires that the parties
8	work cooperatively to advance and support
9	legislation that extends the solar tax credit at
10	\$1,600 in 2019 and 2020. For a reference, \$1,600 is
11	the amount effective for 2018. The paragraph also
12	requires parties to support legislation to terminate
13	the net metering program as it would apply to the
14	Company consistent with the stipulation and
15	grandfathering period agreed to.
16	Q Finally, Ms. Steward, if you turn to
17	page 13 of that document there is a heading,
18	"Miscellaneous." What should the Commission
19	understand about that portion of the agreement?
20	A The "Miscellaneous" section identifies
21	that the parties will work cooperatively to develop
22	a communication plan for implementation of the
23	stipulation and its terms. The parties will also
1 2 4	
24	work to create a Utah.gov website as an information

1	Page 25 generation treatment. Additionally, the parties
2	will work collaboratively to develop and implement
3	consumer protections regulations. Lastly, the
4	parties agree to meet in 2018 to discuss potential
5	options for a low-income solar program.
6	Q Thank you, Ms. Steward. Would you please
7	briefly describe the Company's view of the overall
8	settlement and how as a whole it is just and
9	reasonable and in the best interest of Utah's
10	customers the Company's Utah customers?
11	A Yes. The Company prepared the analysis
12	ordered by the Commission in its November 2015 order
13	and made the compliance filing to initiate this
14	phase of the proceeding because we perceived cost
15	shifting to other customers. Through the course of
16	this proceeding and through this settlement process,
17	the Company became convinced that abrupt changes
18	would have negative repercussions to our customers,
19	the solar industry, and the state. Therefore, we
20	worked cooperatively with parties to achieve this
21	compromise.
22	As with any compromise, there are elements
23	of the agreement that some parties would not
24	otherwise advocate. On balance, however, we support
25	the stipulation and believe it is just and

1	Page 26 reasonable and in the public interest for several
2	reasons. For one, it puts a cap on runaway net
3	metering and the cross-subsidies we perceive from
4	that program model.
5	Second, with the grandfathering
6	provisions, it creates certainty for net metering
7	customers who have already made or are currently
8	contemplating an investment in distributed
9	generation with a reasonable period of time to
10	obtain a return on that investment.
11	Third, it provides an important glide path
12	to a new model to support customer generation with
13	the transition program. Eliminating netting and
14	banking kilowatt hour netting and banking in
15	the new program paradigm and setting a separate
16	export credit rate outside of retail rates creates
17	more transparency and flexibility to adopt the
18	export rate to market or value changes.
19	While the work is not yet done and there
20	will likely continue to be a lively debate in the
21	upcoming proceeding on the export credit, a fresh
22	debate in light of the new program paradigm the
23	parties have agreed to in this stipulation is
24	reasonable and appropriate. In all, this
25	stipulation achieves a fair and reasonable outcome
I	

1	Page 27 representing a diverse set of interests.
2	Q Thank you, Ms. Steward. Did any parties
3	file testimony in opposition of the stipulation?
4	A Yes. Three parties: Western Resource
5	Advocates, Utah Association of Energy Users, and
6	Vote Solar filed testimony stating objections to
7	certain aspects of the stipulation and, in some
8	cases, proposing modifications.
9	Q Okay. I'd like to turn your attention to
10	the testimony filed by Mr. Steven Michel on behalf
11	of the Western Resource Advocates that in part
12	proposes a settlement stipulation. Have you read
13	that testimony?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Could you please briefly describe for the
16	Commission your understanding of the concerns raised
17	by Mr. Michel in that testimony?
18	A Mr. Michel makes four recommendations to
19	address concerns by WRA. First, he argues that the
20	measurement interval for netting should be hourly
21	rather than on a 15-minute basis, because he states
22	the 15-minute interval will be mind-boggling for a
23	typical residential customer. Additionally, he
24	expresses a concern that 15-minute intervals will
25	become the status quo and have implications for

	Page 28
1	future time-of-use rates.
2	Second, he argues that recovery of export
3	credits outside of a general rate case is
4	inappropriate and criticizes Exhibit A to the
5	stipulation as misleading.
6	Third, he recommends an additional
7	proceeding to determine post-transition actions once
8	75 percent of the transition program cap is reached.
9	Lastly, he asked the Commission to
10	determine now that residential solar distributed
11	generation customers should remain in the
12	residential class.
1	
13	Q Please describe the Company's response to
13 14	Q Please describe the Company's response to the concerns raised by Mr. Michel.
14	the concerns raised by Mr. Michel.
14 15	the concerns raised by Mr. Michel. A Mr. Michel's concerns are based on
14 15 16	<pre>the concerns raised by Mr. Michel. A Mr. Michel's concerns are based on speculation with no reasonable evidence of support</pre>
14 15 16 17	<pre>the concerns raised by Mr. Michel. A Mr. Michel's concerns are based on speculation with no reasonable evidence of support and should be rejected.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18	<pre>the concerns raised by Mr. Michel.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>the concerns raised by Mr. Michel.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>the concerns raised by Mr. Michel.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>the concerns raised by Mr. Michel.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>the concerns raised by Mr. Michel.</pre>

Page 29 1 clear that 15-minute netting is non-precedential, 2 but it is an important part of the overall package 3 and should be retained.

4 Regarding his second recommendation, 5 recovery of the export credit in the energy 6 balancing account is reasonable outside of a general rate case as it is a purchase power expense. 7 The 8 EBA does not exclude new purchase power contracts 9 entered into outside of general rate cases. This would defeat part of the purpose of the EBA which is 10 to provide concurrent recovery of fuel and purchase 11 12 power expenses.

Further, recovery of export credits is a 13 14 straight pass-through; the amount being recovered 15 equals the cost being incurred. Therefore, recovery 16 through the EBA will not increase Company earnings. Finally, on this point, I would just note that 17 calculations in Exhibit A are an illustrative 18 example, not a forecast, as implied by Mr. Michel. 19 20 Third, Mr. Michel's recommendation that a 21 new docket or proceeding should be opened once 22 75 percent of the transition program cap is reached 23 would be burdensome and probably duplicative of the export proceeding. The stipulation reflects a 24 25 reasonable balance to allow for growth and customer

1	Page 30 generation and the timing thought necessary to
2	conduct the export proceeding. An additional
3	intermediary proceeding is unnecessary.
4	Lastly, the Commission should dismiss
5	Mr. Michel's recommendation that the Commission
6	decide now that residential distributed generation
7	customers should remain in the residential class.
8	Making this predetermination is inappropriate in
9	light of the settlement. And, in addition, no other
10	customer has this kind of certainty as to what rate
11	class may be developed or is applicable in the
12	future.
13	Q Thank you. I'd like to turn your
14	attention to the testimony filed by Neal Townsend on
15	behalf of the Utah Association of Energy Users.
16	Would you please describe your understanding of the
17	objection raised by the UAE to the settlement
18	stipulation?
19	A Mr. Townsend raises two concerns: the
20	allocation of the export credit costs to customer
21	classes in the energy balancing account and changes
22	to the net metering program for Schedules 6 and 8.
23	Q Does the Company have a response to that
24	objection?
25	A Yes. Mr. Townsend selectively

	Page 31
1	mischaracterizes the cost of service study he relies
2	on for his concerns. For example, on line 94 of his
3	testimony as well as elsewhere, he incorrectly
4	asserts that under the current net metering program
5	the costs and benefits remain solely with the
6	affected class, when, in actuality, Exhibit RMM-1
7	page 3 attached to Mr. Meredith's direct
8	testimony shows in that analysis that at least
9	20 percent of the net cost of the program is
10	unallocated to a specific net metering customer
11	class meaning that the impact and the overall rate
12	pressure from the net metering program affects all
13	customer classes including Schedule 9, Street
14	Lighting, and Special Contracts that do not
15	participate in the program.
16	He also makes an overstatement on line 122
17	that the new residential rooftop solar program will
18	result in benefits to the class in the form of a
19	lower allocation. While the reduction in the
20	behind-the-meter use of solar generation will
21	potentially reduce allocations for the class, under
22	the transition program the exported kilowatt hours
23	will be tracked separately and will not be netted as
24	reductions in billing or consumption units resulting
25	in the class allocations actually being higher than

Page 32 what they would have been under the net metering. 1 2 His perceived benefit to a specific class actually becomes purchase power on the system under the new 3 4 program. Lastly, it is reasonable to make the same 5 6 programmatic changes to Schedules 6 and 8 as to 7 other distributed generation customers. The new 8 program is a new paradigm to separate compensation 9 for exported power for retail rates. Ultimately, this new paradigm will provide a more transparent 10 11 and relevant price signal for customer generation 12 than the retail rate. Therefore, it is reasonable

13 and appropriate for all eligible customers to move 14 to the new program design.

15 Q Thank you. Turning to Vote Solar's 16 testimony, as put forward by Mr. Gilliam, could you 17 summarize your understanding of his concerns as well 18 as your response?

He raises five concerns and 19 А Yes. 20 recommends what he considers to be minor 21 adjustments to the stipulation. I would note, 22 however, that in light of the effort undertaken by 23 the signing parties to reach this settlement, any adjustments would not be perceived as minor. 24 25 His first concern, like WRA, he disagrees

1	Page 33 with the adoption of 15-minute netting for the
2	transition program and if it remains in the
3	settlement stipulation, seeks a Commission
4	clarification on how it will be applied. Additional
5	clarification is not necessary. The stipulation is
6	clear in paragraph 24 where it states that the
7	customer's usage and the export "will be measured
8	and netted in 15-minute intervals."
9	Second, he recommends that data
10	collection, in paragraph 29, needs to be clearly
11	identified. It is also unnecessary for the
12	Commission to require further clarification on this
13	at this time. As I previously noted in paragraph
14	29, the Company agrees to facilitate a workshop to
15	discuss the type and scope of data collection for
16	the export proceeding. His concerns should be
17	raised and discussed with stakeholders at that time.
18	Third, he's concerned that paragraph 30
19	does not set forth a process for parties to submit
20	evidence on the appropriate study period for the
21	export credit proceeding. Again, additional
22	clarification is not necessary at this time. It
23	should go without saying that in order for the
24	Commission to determine an appropriate study period,
25	it will need the development of an evidentiary

1	Page 34 record. The specific process and schedule can be
2	discussed by the parties at the scheduling
3	conference for the export proceeding.
4	Fourth, he states a concern about recovery
5	of the export credit amounts as described in
6	paragraph 32. His concern and recommendation are
7	not entirely clear to me, however, paragraph 32
8	explicitly provides for recovery of a hundred
9	percent of the export credits through a defined
10	methodology for the transition program with the
11	ability for parties to argue for a different
12	methodology during the export credit proceeding for
13	future recovery.
14	Lastly, he argues that the transition
15	program caps improperly rely on a direct current, or
16	DC rating, and recommends that for transparency the
17	caps be expressed in alternating current, or AC
18	terms, as well. In response, the stipulation is
19	clear that the cap is set based on a DC value, and
20	that is how the Company will track and report
21	installations and applications in relation to the
22	cap. Any additional transparency is not necessary.

24 capacity also be expressed in AC terms would

25 actually add more confusion and be more

23

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

In fact, adding a requirement that the available

Page 35 administratively complex because of the differences in inverter efficiencies required for conversion to AC.

4 Moreover, in the Commission's interconnection rules, generation capacity is 5 defined as the nameplate capacity of the generation 6 7 device, explicitly not including the effects of inefficiencies of power conversions. That's in rule 8 746-312-2, Part 12. Therefore, Vote Solar's 9 10 assertion that the current cap is expressed in AC is 11 not necessarily correct.

12 Q Thank you. Do you have any final comments 13 in response to the opposition and proposed 14 modifications to the stipulation offered by the 15 non-signing parties?

16 Α Adopting any of the opposing Yes. positions or modifications proffered by WRA, UAE, or 17 Vote Solar would compromise the integrity of the 18 stipulation and the diligent effort undertaken by 19 20 the signing parties to achieve this compromise. I 21 cannot stress enough how hard it was to reach this 22 agreement. The stipulation provides that any party 23 may withdraw from the stipulation if there is any material change, and I ask the stipulation be 24 25 approved as is without modification so that we can

1 move on.

Page 36

2	Q Ms. Steward, does that conclude your
3	testimony in support of the settlement stipulation?
4	A It does. I would like to say on behalf of
5	the Company to the signing parties and all of the
6	parties, we've spent a fair amount of time together
7	this past summer, in particular. It's been a
8	challenging effort, but we're very proud of where we
9	are and where we're going and look forward for the
10	most part to our ongoing discussions and work
11	together.
12	MR. MOSCON: Thank you. Ms. Steward
13	is available for any questions the Commission has.
14	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. At
15	the beginning of the hearing, I suggested we go
16	through all the witnesses before Commission
17	questions, but since we're using the witness stand,
18	I think that might be cumbersome. So I think we'll
19	just do Commission questions after each witness if
20	there's no objection from my colleagues on that.
21	Commissioner Clark, do you have any questions for
22	Ms. Steward?
23	BY COMMISSIONER CLARK:
24	Q Regarding 15-minute interval netting, you
25	refer to the operational aspects of that. Could you

Page 37 1 give us some more detail on what is required from an 2 equipment or operational perspective that is not currently required? Sorry. Let me repeat the 3 4 question now that I have the mic on. My question addresses 15-minute interval netting, and I'm asking 5 you to help us understand in more detail the 6 7 operational aspects of that in relation to the current netting procedures. 8 9 А Well, with those 15-minute or hourly or anything other than the current treatment, it would 10 11 require a profile meter in order to measure both the 12 usage and the export on the same basis. Right now, 13 the current meters are just a rolling cumulative; 14 it's not timestamped. So the new meters require a 15 timestamp. And, operationally, is the process any 16 0 different for a 15-minute interval as opposed to a 17 one-hour interval? 18 19 А The process itself is not; the impacts 20 would be. 21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. That 2.2 concludes my questions. 23 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Commissioner White? 24 25 BY COMMISSIONER WHITE:

	Page 38
1	Q This might be more appropriate after
2	Mr. Townsend's testimony, but, rather than calling
3	you back so is it the Company's position that
4	they're opposed to the potential isolation in a
5	separate account and I'm using the term of UAE,
6	the above-market export credit cost would they be
7	opposed to some type of isolation until that
8	allocation determination could be addressed by the
9	parties in the EBA docket in the future?
10	A It's my understanding he's actually
11	proposing an allocation in the EBA for these costs
12	in this proceeding.
13	COMMISSIONER WHITE: Okay. I'll just
14	save it. Maybe I'll get further clarification after
15	Mr. Townsend testifies. Thanks.
16	BY COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
17	Q Let me just follow up on that issue a
18	little bit. The stipulation provides that those
19	costs would flow to the EBA or to some other
20	mechanism as established by the Commission. As I
21	read the stipulation, there's no discussion of rate
22	spread. If those costs were put into a new
23	subaccount within the EBA, would previous rate
24	spread agreements that apply to the EBA necessarily
25	apply to that new portion?

	Page 39
1	A Well, the stipulation doesn't address that
2	allocation. The EBA, of course, has its own
3	allocation at the moment based on the last rate
4	case. Other than that, that's the reality. I'm not
5	sure how else to answer that question, but the
6	parties did not agree on addressing an allocation in
7	the EBA in this proceeding.
8	Q Just a few other minor questions. With
9	respect to the revisions to Schedule 135 for the
10	load research study, is it your anticipation that
11	after the workshop Rocky Mountain Power will file a
12	tariff filing with respect to that the needs for
13	that load research study?
14	A Well, the changes in Schedule 135 what
15	we anticipate is that with a Commission order
16	adopting the stipulation, we will make a compliance
17	filing. There are some changes, I think, to be made
18	to Schedule 135 and then a new tariff for 136, which
19	would be the new transition program. 135 will add
20	language that requires participation if called upon
21	on a randomly selected basis for the research study
22	purposes. After a new export credit proceeding is
23	initiated, we'll host a workshop, discuss data, work
24	through that with parties, and potentially if the
25	parties are in agreement file a new load research

Page 40 1 study for the Commission's consideration for the 2 export credit proceeding.

0 Thank you. That answers that question. 3 4 The stipulation refers to, for transition customers, an annualized billing period. Now, the annualized 5 billing period is defined in statute for net 6 metering customers. Transitional customers are 7 8 not -- they don't appear to be under that net 9 metering statute. Is the same annualized billing 10 period intended to apply that applies to the 11 statutory net metering program?

12 A Yes, and it would go through the billing 13 period ending March for all customers other than 14 irrigation where it goes through October, and that 15 will be defined in the tariff that we would make in 16 compliance.

The stipulation gives the same language 17 0 for unused credits that the statute gives for the 18 net metering program, either to the low-income 19 20 program or for some other use as determined by the 21 Commission. Is there any reason with this 22 stipulation -- particularly the provisions of the 23 stipulation with respect to the EBA -- that we should consider crediting those unused credits for 24 25 the Transitional Program to the EBA rather than to

Page 41 1 the Lifeline as the net metering unused credits are 2 being credited? I'm trying to remember how this question 3 Α 4 started so I can answer it in the proper format. Would you like me to ask it more clearly? 5 0 I understood the question. I mean, I 6 А think that's a reasonable point of discussion and 7 consideration by the Commission. It wasn't 8 discussed by the parties, so it's not part of the 9 stipulation. But it does allow for other Commission 10 11 determination about how the expiring export credits 12 would be accounted for. 13 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okav. That's 14 all the questions I have. Thank you. BY COMMISSIONER WHITE: 15 16 Just one more follow-up. In terms of the 0 transition customers with the new type of netting, 17 will that require new meters, and, if so, has the 18 19 Company explored that yet or is that something to be 20 discussed in the proceeding? 21 Α No. The Transition Program will require 2.2 new meters; it requires a profile meter. We're 23 already on that. 24 That's COMMISSIONER WHITE: Okav. 25 all I've got. Thanks.

1	Page 42 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you,
2	Ms. Steward. We would ask that you remain here for
3	the remainder of the hearing in case questions come
4	up after all the witnesses. And I think next would
5	be Mr. Moore.
6	MR. MOORE: We would like to call
7	Michele Beck.
8	MICHELE BECK,
9	having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, was
10	examined and testified as follows:
11	BY MR. MOORE:
12	Q Could you please state your name and
13	business address for the record?
14	A Michele Beck. My business address is
15	160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City.
16	Q What is your position with the Office of
17	Consumer Services?
18	A I am the director of the Office.
19	Q In that capacity, did you participate in
20	the discussions and negotiations that led to the
21	settlement stipulation at issue before the
22	Commission today?
23	A Yes. I was an active participant in such
24	discussions.
25	Q Do you have a statement in support of the

1	Page 43 Page 43
2	A Yes.
3	Q Please proceed.
4	A The Office participated in this proceeding
5	both in the litigation aspects and settlement
6	discussions with the purpose of representing
7	residential and small commercial customers,
8	including those with and without rooftop solar. It
9	has long been the view of the Office that the net
10	metering rate design needs to be changed to ensure
11	the distribution generation customers pay their fair
12	share of the utility system costs. On the other
13	hand, the Office opposed the specific solution
14	initially proposed in this docket. Throughout the
15	docket, the Office has worked toward a more
16	reasonable compromise path that would lead to
17	transparent and cost-based rate design for
18	distributed generation customers without creating
19	significant rate shocks that we typically try to
20	avoid in designing rates.
21	In my direct testimony, the Office
22	proposed one such option to transition away from net
23	metering. In rebuttal testimony, the Office revised
24	its position partially in response to issues
25	raised by other parties and presented a joint

1	Page 44 proposal with the Division of Public Utilities.
2	Ultimately, the settlement reflects many similar
3	principles but a different set of details around
4	which a majority of the parties could find
5	agreement.
6	The Office supports the settlement as
7	being in the public interest for several reasons.
8	First and foremost, the settlement provides a path
9	to a rationalized rate design for distributed
10	generation customers. We applied gradualism to the
11	implementation and accomplished it in two steps.
12	Starting November 15th, the rate design paradigm
13	changes. Importantly, the compensation for exports
14	of excess energy generated from distributed
15	generation is separated from the consumption of
16	energy served by the utility system. This provides
17	the transparency to understand how distributed
18	generation customers use the system and to
19	separately value the energy and other potential
20	benefits they provide the system. The process of
21	calculating that value in the upcoming export credit
22	proceeding will certainly be complex and likely
23	controversial, but a primary benefit of establishing
24	the process in this matter is that the debate will
25	be focused and the evidence can be limited to a
1	

1	Page 45 distinct set of costs and benefits.
2	I also note that the provisions for
3	certainty during the transition period and
4	grandfathering of existing net metering customers
5	strike a reasonable balance among the various
6	interests involved in this docket. Further, the
7	Office is optimistic that the communications plan
8	and the agreement to work on additional customer
9	protections will provide significant value to
10	customers.
11	In summary, the Office believes this
12	settlement is just and reasonable in result, and I
13	urge the Commission to approve it.
14	MR. MOORE: I have no further
15	questions.
16	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
17	Commissioner White, do you have questions for
18	Ms. Beck?
19	COMMISSIONER WHITE: I don't. Thank
20	you.
21	COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
22	Commissioner Clark?
23	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions.
24	Thank you.
25	BY COMMISSIONER LEVAR:

I have one. Does the Office have any with respect to the unused credits for the onal period? Should those remain with the me program as they are for the net metering
onal period? Should those remain with the
-
me program as they are for the net metering
me program up they are for the net metering
or since the stipulation gives the
on some discretion on that issue, is there
on to consider those being credited to the
As Ms. Steward indicated, we did not
this and I really feel like I would like to
sistent with the terms of the settlement.
ree that that is a potential outcome worth
ation.
It's an outcome that would be within the
rs of the stipulation; is that correct?
Well, not precisely. I believe that the
ion creates a tariff that says that the
credits go to low-income or the let me
the words an alternative as approved by
ission. So I don't think it would be
to say that the stipulation in any way
ed that the Commission would make an
e ruling today or as part of approving this
ion. I think that's an interesting concept
ree that that is a potential outcome worth ation. It's an outcome that would be within the

	Page 47
1	questions, so it would certainly be my preference
2	that alternate treatment of such credits take place
3	in a different setting. So maybe as part of
4	compliance, you know, some kind of an add-on to the
5	compliance phase of this proceeding or in a
6	different setting. I feel like it's there's a
7	lot of parties. We kind of have an agreement to
8	have a subset of the parties here speaking to you
9	today, so that leaves the other parties without an
10	ability to weigh in on it, so I would not prefer
11	that outcome.
12	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. I
13	appreciate your answer. I don't have anything else.
14	Mr. Moore?
15	MR. MOORE: We have no further
16	witnesses.
17	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. I'll
18	go to Ms. Smith next.
19	MS. SMITH: I'd like to call
20	Mr. Ryan Evans to the stand, please.
21	RYAN EVANS,
22	having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, was
23	examined and testified as follows:
24	BY MS. SMITH:
25	Q Mr. Evans, would you please state your

1	name, address, title, and position with the
2	organization?
3	A Yes. My name is Ryan Evans. I'm the
4	president of Utah Solar Energy Association. Our
5	business address is 5406 West 11000 North,
6	Suite 103 in Highland, Utah 84003.
7	Q Did you participate through Utah Solar
8	Energy Association in this docket and settlement
9	proceeding?
10	A Yes. I and others representing the
11	association participated actively in the
12	negotiations. We support the negotiation, or the
13	agreed-upon stipulation, we have been a party to
14	this docket since 2015 and an active participant the
15	past year via submission of motions, direct
16	testimony, and rebuttal testimony. The Association
17	has also been a party of the settlement discussions
18	over the past nine months. The settlement process
19	facilitated by Dr. Laura Nelson of the Office of
20	Energy Development has allowed parties to develop a
21	path forward that addresses the needs of the
22	industry in the short and midterm while addressing
23	through a new docket the determination of future
24	export credit rates for distributed solar energy.
25	The Association supports the stipulated

1	Page 49 agreement and appreciates the many, many hours of
2	work by all parties to develop an acceptable
3	compromise. While it is certainly not a perfect
4	solution for all, it is one that does allow the
5	industry to continue to participate in this market.
6	And it's the Association's expectation that the
7	export credit proceeding will be conducted with the
8	utmost transparency in the process as well as data
9	presented. We would also encourage the Commission
10	to approve the stipulated agreement.
11	Q Does this conclude your testimony?
12	A Yes, it does.
13	MS. SMITH: Do you have questions?
14	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
15	Mr. Clark, do you have any questions?
16	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions.
17	Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Commissioner
19	White?
20	COMMISSIONER WHITE: No questions.
21	Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I don't have any
23	either, so thanks, Mr. Evans. Anything else,
24	Ms. Smith?
25	MS. SMITH: No further testimony.
1	

Page 50 1 Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I think when I was doing appearances before, I failed to go to the 3 4 phone. Do we have Mr. Mach and Mr. Gilliam on behalf of Vote Solar on the phone? 5 6 MR. MACH: Daniel Mach. I apologize if I'm interrupting, but I think I heard someone ask 7 if Vote Solar is on the line. And I am representing 8 9 Vote Solar, and we also have Rick Gilliam on the phone as well. 10 11 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: This is 12 Thad LeVar. Do you intend to present Mr. Gilliam as 13 a witness telephonically this morning? MR. MACH: We do intend to -- we put 14 in a written testimony last week, and in the cover 15 16 letter we indicated that we sought to appear telephonically, so Rick is available on the line if 17 18 the Commission would like to ask any questions. 19 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And so you'd 20 just like to present him for questions at this 21 point? Mr. Mach? 2.2 MR. MACH: I'm sorry, Commissioner. 23 I was unable to understand the question. COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I think I'm 24 25 understanding you. We've already had a motion that

1	Page 51 entered Mr. Gilliam's testimony into the record, so
2	that's been done. Is it your intent just to submit
3	him for questions if any of the Commissioners have
4	questions for Mr. Gilliam; is that correct?
5	MR. MACH: Correct. Mr. Gilliam is
6	available to answer questions if needed. We did
7	confer with the Company which indicated that they do
8	not intend to cross-exam Mr. Gilliam, but if the
9	Commissioners themselves have any questions, he is
10	available.
11	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. And
12	just for everybody's benefit, we signed a contract
13	last week for a better audio, so it will be improved
14	in the future. Commissioner Clark, do you have any
15	questions for Mr. Gilliam?
16	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions.
17	Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Commissioner
19	White?
20	COMMISSIONER WHITE: No questions.
21	Thanks.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And I don't have
23	any, so I think that concludes that for Vote Solar.
24	Mr. Mach, is that correct?
25	MR. MACH: That's correct. Thank you

Page 52 1 very much. 2 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I believe we'll 3 go to Ms. Hayes next, then. 4 MS. HAYES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 Utah Clean Energy will call Sarah Wright to make a 6 statement. 7 SARAH WRIGHT, having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, was 8 9 examined and testified as follows: BY MS. HAYES: 10 11 Good morning. Please state your name and Q 12 position for the record. 13 My name is Sarah Wright. I'm the Α 14 executive director of Utah Clean Energy. 15 Will you describe your participation in Q this docket? 16 17 On behalf of Utah Clean Energy, I А Yes. participated in testimony development and reviewed 18 over the course of the docket -- I participated in 19 20 the settlement discussions before the Commission 21 today that led to the settlement proposal before the 22 Commission today. 23 0 Please state Utah Clean Energy's position with respect to the settlement proposal. 24 25 Utah Clean Energy supports the settlement Α

1	Page 53 proposal as just and reasonable and in the public
2	interest.
3	Q Please explain how Utah Clean Energy came
4	to this conclusion?
5	A As with any settlement agreement, the
6	proposal before the Commission represents
7	compromises from all parties. There are certain
8	terms Utah Clean Energy supports more than other
9	terms. Utah Clean Energy views the agreement as a
10	whole as just and reasonable and in the public
11	interest in result. Therefore, I will limit my
12	comments to the general highlights from Utah Clean
13	Energy's perspective.
14	As the Commission knows, Utah Clean Energy
15	works to enable a cleaner, more diversified, and
16	more resilient electricity grid which takes full
17	advantage of distributed energy resources such as
18	rooftop solar. As a result, we sought to ensure
19	that the option to go solar remains viable for
20	customers at various income levels and that
21	customers who have already gone solar are not
22	penalized for their investment. The settlement
23	proposal provides a reasonable grandfathering period
24	for existing net metering customers that is
25	consistent with the grandfathering periods

Page 54 throughout the country, and it allows customers to 1 2 recoup their investments made under the net metering 3 paradigm. The settlement proposal also creates a 4 transition from the net metering paradigm to a post-net metering paradigm, and it tends to ease the 5 transition in a predictable and stable way with 6 minimal economic impact for customers who install 7 8 solar over the next three years. Given that the 9 structure of compensation for exports is changing away from monthly netting, it is important to keep 10 11 the compensation level relatively similar to the current credit that is close to retail. Utah Clean 12 13 Energy views the transition as a reasonable path 14 forward to a new rooftop solar paradigm.

15 Utah Clean Energy is concerned that 15-minute netting will be confusing to residential 16 customers. It will make it hard for them to control 17 their load to use their energy during that 15-minute 18 19 netting, but notes that the settlement proposal is 20 clear that this netting interval is not intended to 21 be precedential or presumed the default net metering 2.2 interval in subsequent export proceedings.

Q Vote Solar submitted some testimony on the settlement proposal with a recommendation regarding the 15-minute netting interval. Do you have a

1	Page 55
2	A Yes. Vote Solar commented that 15-minute
3	netting is not well-defined in the stipulation and
4	should be clarified to mean that energy import and
5	exports are netted in each 15-minute interval before
6	any import or export rate is applied to the net
7	amount. This recommendation is consistent with my
8	understanding of the parties' agreement in concept,
9	and I support including more clear language in a
10	Commission order approving the settlement proposal.
11	I also support including clarifying language in the
12	Company's subsequent tariff filings.
13	Q Do you have any final remarks on the
14	
	settlement proposal?
15	settlement proposal? A Yes. The settlement proposal is the
15	A Yes. The settlement proposal is the
15 16	A Yes. The settlement proposal is the result of a lot of hard work and compromise from all
15 16 17	A Yes. The settlement proposal is the result of a lot of hard work and compromise from all parties involved, and Utah Clean Energy sincerely
15 16 17 18	A Yes. The settlement proposal is the result of a lot of hard work and compromise from all parties involved, and Utah Clean Energy sincerely appreciates everyone involved for their efforts.
15 16 17 18 19	A Yes. The settlement proposal is the result of a lot of hard work and compromise from all parties involved, and Utah Clean Energy sincerely appreciates everyone involved for their efforts. While each party came to the settlement negotiations
15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. The settlement proposal is the result of a lot of hard work and compromise from all parties involved, and Utah Clean Energy sincerely appreciates everyone involved for their efforts. While each party came to the settlement negotiations from a different perspective and worked to pull the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. The settlement proposal is the result of a lot of hard work and compromise from all parties involved, and Utah Clean Energy sincerely appreciates everyone involved for their efforts. While each party came to the settlement negotiations from a different perspective and worked to pull the agreement in a different direction, ultimately, we
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. The settlement proposal is the result of a lot of hard work and compromise from all parties involved, and Utah Clean Energy sincerely appreciates everyone involved for their efforts. While each party came to the settlement negotiations from a different perspective and worked to pull the agreement in a different direction, ultimately, we were able to reach an agreement that I believe will

	Page 56
1	A Yes.
2	MS. HAYES: Ms. Wright is available
3	for questions.
4	COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
5	Commissioner White, do you have anything?
б	BY COMMISSIONER WHITE:
7	Q With respect to the more precise language
8	you were referring to, is this something that would
9	be in your opinion a material change to the
10	settlement or something that can be dealt with
11	through the actual tariff filing? Is this
12	something you're asking for a modification to the
13	settlement or on the Company's part to make that
14	more clear in the tariff filing?
15	A Well, I was asking for two things: to have
16	it be clear in the tariff, but also to be I think
17	that Ms. Steward explained that that is the intent
18	of the settlement and to perhaps include some
19	language in the Commission order that makes that
20	clear of how the 15-minute netting would work. So
21	it's two-fold.
22	COMMISSIONER WHITE: No further
23	questions.
24	COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
25	Commissioner Clark?

Page 57 1 COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions. 2 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And I don't have any, so thank you, Ms. Wright. Anything else, 3 4 Ms. Hayes? MS. HAYES: Nothing from me. 5 Thank 6 you. 7 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you. Ι 8 think we'll go to Western Resource Advocates next. 9 Ms. Gardner? 10 MS. GARDNER: Thank you. Western 11 Resource Advocates calls Steven S. Michel. 12 STEVEN S. MICHEL, 13 having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 14 BY MS. GARDNER: 15 16 Good morning, Mr. Michel. Will you please 0 state your name, title, and business address for the 17 18 record? 19 Α My name is Steven Michel. I'm the energy program -- I'm sorry -- the energy policy director 20 21 for Western Resource Advocates. My office address 2.2 is 409 East Palace Avenue, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 23 87501. And, Mr. Michel, did you previously file 24 0 testimony in this proceeding? 25

1	Page 58 A I did.
2	Q Did you also file testimony in opposition
3	to the settlement stipulation?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q And at this time do you have any changes
6	or modifications that you would like to make to any
7	of your prefiled testimony?
8	A I do have one minor change to the
9	testimony in opposition to the stipulation. On page
10	1, line 14, the sentence begins, "The parties other
11	than WRA have entered into a settlement
12	stipulation." I would like to strike the word "the"
13	and capitalize the "P" in the word "parties" so that
14	it reads "Parties other than WRA have entered into a
15	stipulation," so it doesn't leave the impression
16	that every party but WRA entered into this
17	stipulation. That's all the changes I have.
18	Q Okay. Thank you. And, Mr. Michel, at
19	this time will you please briefly summarize your
20	opposition testimony for the Commission?
21	A Yes. My testimony describes WRA's
22	opposition to the settlement stipulation. The
23	testimony provides the reasons for WRA's opposition
24	and the modifications to the stipulation that WRA
25	believes the Commission should require before

1	Page 59 approval. My testimony identifies four features of
2	the stipulation that are of concern, and they are
3	1) the 15-minute measurement interval for imports
4	and exports of transition customers, 2) the
5	immediate collection by PacifiCorp of export credit
6	values through the EBA or another mechanism, 3) the
7	uncertainty for transition customers if the
8	240 megawatts in caps are reached before the end of
9	the transition period, and 4) the stipulation's
10	failure to resolve whether residential rooftop solar
11	customers should remain in the residential class.
12	With regard to a 15-minute measurement
13	interval, I testified that it would be confusing to
14	customers and the economic impact is uncertain.
15	These concerns can be mitigated with hourly
16	measurement. I also testified that a 15-minute
17	measurement interval does not provide an actionable
18	price signal for customers. I am unaware of any
19	jurisdiction in the United States that requires a
20	15-minute measurement for residential customers.
21	For these reasons, I urge the Commission
22	to condition its approval of the stipulation on
23	hourly rather than 15-minute measurement intervals
24	for transition customer usage and export.
25	WRA's second concern with the stipulation
1	

1	Page 60 is that it allows PacifiCorp to recover from its
2	customers the value of export credits through the
3	EBA or another pass-through mechanism without any
4	showing that the Company's current revenues are
5	insufficient. I testified that if the 240 megawatt
6	transition cap is achieved, the additional revenues
7	will be roughly 20 million per year. The
8	20-million-dollar pass-through is a charge that
9	would not exist absent this stipulation.
10	Achieving good environmental outcomes
11	often depends on minimizing the economic impacts of
12	the good results. I testified that this explicit
13	recovery of unjustified revenues will likely be
14	understood unfairly to represent and quantify the
15	subsidized cost of rooftop solar to Utah's non-solar
16	customers and may jeopardize Utah's acceptance of
17	distributed solar. To address this concern, I
18	recommended the proposed pass-through of export
19	credit values not be permitted until the conclusion
20	of PacifiCorp's next general rate case in Utah.
21	WRA's third concern involves the
22	240 megawatt Transition Program caps. While the
23	caps are reasonable, if they are reached before the
24	export credit proceeding ends, those post-cap
25	transition customers will have the economics of
1	

Page 61 1 their future usage and exports governed by the 2 then-unknown outcome of the export credit 3 proceeding. That uncertainty will, in turn, likely 4 halt rooftop solar development until the uncertainty This could be very disruptive to the 5 is resolved. solar industry and Utah's economics -- economy in 6 7 To remedy this concern, I recommend that general. 8 stipulation approval by conditioned on PacifiCorp 9 notifying the Commission and parties when 75 percent of any of the caps are achieved and that this 10 11 notification trigger a proceeding to ensure the 12 transition is not disrupted.

13 Finally, I testified that the stipulation 14 does not resolve important issues in this case, but 15 instead moves them to a new proceeding while at the same time ending net metering and substituting the 16 17 short-lived interim program. One of most concerning issues in this docket has been PacifiCorp's proposal 18 19 to assign future solar DG customers to a separate 20 rate class. There's a strong record in this case 21 that a separate rate class is not warranted. 22 Leaving the issue unresolved creates uncertainty 23 that will hurt the solar industry, and my testimony recommends that the Commission decide now that solar 24 25 DG customers should not be assigned to a separate

1	Page 62 rate class.
2	I conclude my testimony by saying that I'm
3	concerned the settlement preserves viability for the
4	Utah solar industry in the short term by
5	jeopardizing the long-term sustainability of solar
6	DG in Utah. That said, with the several
7	modifications I recommend, the stipulated outcome
8	can provide the public interest benefits that I
9	believe it should.
10	Q Thank you, Mr. Michel. We heard this
11	morning from Company witness, Joelle Steward. She
12	provided live testimony. Do you have any response
13	to the live testimony provided by Ms. Steward?
14	A I have some just a very brief response
15	to two of the issues that Ms. Steward raised. The
16	first had to do with WRA's or my recommendation that
17	the 15-minute interval be changed to an hourly
18	interval. Ms. Steward testified that was a key
19	compromise and important part of the stipulation. I
20	have testified that hourly is more appropriate
21	because it is tested and understandable by
22	customers. It is difficult for me to conceive that
23	it will be easy to explain to a residential customer
24	that their monthly bill is going to be in kilowatt
25	hours measured every 15 minutes. That seems like a

	Page 63
1	very difficult prospect for a residential customer
2	to comprehend.
3	Secondly, given that the 15-minute
4	interval is not precedential and that there's little
5	data on the impact it's going to have, it is in my
6	mind not consistent to also conclude that it's very
7	important in the key provision of this stipulation
8	if it's not going to have any precedent. My
9	concern, as I said in my testimony, is that a
10	15-minute interval does create a status quo that
11	will be difficult to unwind.
12	The second issue I would just briefly
13	address has to do with the pass-through of export
14	credit values through the energy balancing account.
15	And I would simply say that I think even the Company
16	itself has indicated the validity of the concern
17	that I addressed in my testimony, which is that this
18	is a pass-through of revenues that the Company has
19	not in any way justified as needing to maintain
20	recovery of its cost of service. And I simply refer
21	the Commission to Ms. Steward's November 16th of
22	last year's testimony, page 37, the question was,
23	"Would approval of the proposed tariff changes in
24	this filing result in an over-collection of revenues
25	to the Company?" In line 721, as part of the

	Page 64
1	answer, the Company, Ms. Steward, testified, "To
2	minimize the future impact on other customers, the
3	Company proposes to defer the difference in revenue
4	associated with the new rates on Schedule 5. In
5	this way, the filing will be revenue neutral for the
6	Company." She then goes on to testify that "the
7	difference between the new rates and the revenues
8	from the new rates and existing rates could be
9	reconciled as part of the Company's next rate case."
10	So the Company itself has acknowledged that
11	over-collection of revenues is an issue that would
12	be of concern and I believe should be of concern.
13	And that's the extent of my response to her earlier
14	testimony.
15	Q Mr. Michel, does that conclude the summary
16	of your position this morning?
17	A Yes, it does.
18	MS. GARDNER: Mr. Michel is available
19	for questions from the Commission at this time.
20	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you.
21	Commissioner Clark?
22	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions.
23	Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
25	Commissioner White?

1	Page 65 COMMISSIONER WHITE: No questions.
2	Thanks.
3	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: I don't have any
4	either. Thank you, Mr. Michel. Mr. Russell?
5	MR. RUSSELL: Thank you,
6	Mr. Chairman. I believe we have Mr. Townsend on the
7	phone. His testimony has already been moved into
8	admission, but I believe we have at least one
9	correction to make. And I'm going to let him make
10	it, but it's on page 8 of his testimony, line 162.
11	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Let me swear
12	Mr. Townsend in before we do that.
13	NEAL TOWNSEND,
14	having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, was
15	examined and testified as follows:
16	BY MR. RUSSELL:
17	Q Mr. Townsend, is there a correction to
18	your prefiled testimony that you would like to make?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Could you identify that correction by line
21	and what the correction is, please?
22	A The correction would be on page 8, line
23	162. The word "non-commercial," strike "non" from
24	the beginning of that to just say "commercial."
25	Q Just so we can make it clear because your
1	

1	Page 66 voice didn't come across all that loudly, there's a
2	word, the word "non-commercial" on line 162 of your
3	testimony should read "commercial"?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q Okay. Thank you. I don't have any other
6	questions for Mr. Townsend at this time, but we'll
7	open it up to questions from the Commission.
8	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Mr. White, do
9	you have anything for Mr. Townsend?
10	BY COMMISSIONER WHITE:
11	Q I just wanted to clarify harking back
12	to the earlier question from Ms. Steward it is
13	not the recommendation of UAE to isolate these what
14	you refer to as "above-market costs" in other
15	words, discuss those in a future proceeding the
16	allocation of those. Are you requesting the
17	Commission to condition or modify the settlement to
18	address those allocation concerns in the order
19	addressing the settlement stipulation?
20	A I think I heard you. I think you're
21	asking what am I asking the Commission to do
22	regarding the allocation of the cost of the new
23	program; is that correct?
24	Q Yes, correct.
25	A My recommendation is that until there can

	Page 67
1	be an analysis performed that identifies the
2	benefits that every class receives from these
3	programs that meets the satisfaction of the
4	Commission, these above-market costs should be
5	assigned to the classes that participate in the net
6	metering program. To be clear, the market-based
7	costs would continue to be allocated across all
8	customer classes, so my recommendation is
9	specifically addressing the above-market portion of
10	the cost of this program.
11	Q So just to clarify, you're suggesting that
12	those above-market costs would be immediately
13	assigned to the respective classes that you're
14	referring to upon the November 15th in other
15	words, the potential discussion about allocation
16	based upon cost and benefits would not occur in the
17	EBA proceeding, it would, again, be addressed in the
18	order and then immediately occur upon when those
19	costs begin to be incurred, I guess?
20	A I apologize. I couldn't quite follow that
21	question.
22	Q Sorry. That was a very long, compound
23	question. I guess what I'm asking is you're not
24	looking for the Commission to you are looking for
25	the Commission to actually make that determination

	Page 68
1	now about how those above-markets costs should be
2	allocated. You're not asking the Commission to
3	defer that question to a future EBA proceeding; is
4	that correct?
5	A You know, that would be up to the
6	Commission as to how they wanted to handle it. They
7	think the additional information that they would
8	have in a future EBA proceeding they could defer
9	the decision until then. I'm just not aware at this
10	point of what additional information you might have,
11	so that would be up to the discretion of the
12	Commission.
13	COMMISSIONER WHITE: I have no
14	further questions.
15	COMMISSIONER LEVAR:
16	Commissioner Clark?
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions.
18	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: And I don't have
19	anything for you, Mr. Townsend. Thank you.
20	Anything else, Mr. Russell?
21	MR. RUSSELL: No. Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you,
23	Mr. Townsend. I think we should take a short break
24	just to see if we have anymore questions from the
25	Commission, to recall any witness, or the witnesses
1	

Page 69 that have been made available that haven't testified 1 2 to us today. Why don't we break for about five minutes and return by that clock at 10:25. So we're 3 in a brief recess. 4 5 (A recess was taken.) COMMISSIONER LEVAR: We're back on 6 the record. Thank you for indulging our short 7 break. The Division indicated that Mr. Chris Parker 8 could be available for questions. We would like to 9 ask him to come to the stand. 10 11 CHRIS PARKER, 12 having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, was 13 examined and testified as follows: BY COMMISSIONER LEVAR: 14 15 I have one question. Is this stipulation 0 just and reasonable in result? 16 17 А Yes. 18 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Okay. 19 Commissioner White, any questions? 20 COMMISSIONER WHITE: No further 21 questions. 2.2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions. 23 Thank you. 24 COMMISSIONER LEVAR: Thank you, 25 Mr. Parker. Before we adjourn, any other matters

1	Page 70 from any other party? Okay. We are adjourned.
2	Before I say we're adjourned, I just want to say we
3	do appreciate and recognize the significant work and
4	effort that went into this stipulation. At the same
5	time, we recognize and appreciate the position of
6	the parties that oppose the stipulation, and so we
7	will take this matter under advisement and issue a
8	decision on this in a reasonable time. Thank you.
9	We're adjourned.
10	(The hearing concluded at 10:30 a.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Page 71 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF UTAH)
3	COUNTY OF SUMMIT)
4	
5	I, Mary R. Honigman, a Registered Professional
6	Reporter, hereby certify:
7	THAT the foregoing proceedings were taken before
8	me at the time and place set forth in the caption hereof;
9	that the witness was placed under oath to tell the truth,
10	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that the
11	proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and
12	thereafter my notes were transcribed through computer-aided
13	transcription; and the foregoing transcript constitutes a
14	full, true, and accurate record of such testimony adduced
15	and oral proceedings had, and of the whole thereof.
16	I have subscribed my name on this 24th day of
17	September, 2017.
18	Maryon the
19	Mary R. Honigman
20	Registered Professional Reporter #972887
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Index: \$1,600..445

			Index	· \$1,000445
\$	18,19	18 1:11 17:8	17:1	324 3:7
\$1,600 18:23	136 39:18	19 18:19	225 3:7	35 24:7
24:10	14 58:10	192 16:21	23 18:7	36 5:5
\$60 20:19	14-035-114 1:2 6:4	2	24 33:6	37 5:5 63:22
1			240 59:8 60:5,	38 5:6
	148 4:6	2 20:20 59:4	22	
1 20:20 58:10 59:3	1480 4:11	20 31:9 60:7	27513 3:12	385 222-1618 2:22
10 2:17 3:21	15 15:2 16:19 17:25 21:6	20-million- dollar 60:8	29 33:10,14	4
100 2:21 3:11	62:25	2015 25:12	2A 4:2	4 59:9
103 48:6	15-minute 18:16 27:21, 22,24 28:18,	48:14	2nd 3:2	400 2:17 3:7,
11 22:21	21 29:1 33:1, 8 36:24 37:5,	2017 1:11 15:2 16:19 17:25	3	21
1100 2:9	9,17 54:16, 18,25 55:2,5 56:20 59:3,	2018 24:11	3 16:3,10	409 57:22
11000 48:5	12,16,20,23 62:17 63:3,10	25:4	20:20 31:7 59:6	41 5:5
12 17:7 35:9	15th 44:12	2019 18:23 24:10	30 22:2 24:2 33:18	412750 1:14
122 31:16	16 20:4	2020 18:23		42 5:9
128 3:16	160 42:15	24:10	300 1:8 2:6,13 42:15	435 336-3206 3:17
13 5:4 16:20 24:17	16th 63:21	2032 15:23 18:20 19:21	32 23:4 34:6,7	435 615-5025 4:12
135 39:9,14,	170 18:5	2035 15:21	323 2:21	445 4:11

45 5:9	69 5:25	2:10	9:00 1:12	accommodati on 9:25
47 5:12	7	801 839-4811	А	
		2:18 3:22		accomplish 14:25
4th 1:8	70 18:7	84003 48:6	A-n-t-c-z-a-k	1.1.20
5		04003 40.0	8:18	accomplished
.	721 63:25	84017 3:16		44:11
			a.m. 1:12	
5 17:19 64:4	746-312-13	84060 4:12		account 15:9 23:10 29:6
504 044 0005	20:18		ability 34:11 47:10	30:21 38:5
501 314-8205 3:12	746-312-2	84101 2:22 3:7		63:14
	35:9	0.7	able 15:20	accounted
52 5:15		84102 4:3	55:22	41:12
	75 28:8 29:22 61:9		above-market	
5406 48:5	01.9	84103 3:3	38:6	achieve 25:20
56 5:16	8	84111 2:10,17		35:20
30 3.10		3:21 4:7	abrupt 20:14 25:17	achieved
57 5:19	8 30:22 32:6		23.17	60:6 61:10
	0 00.22 02.0	84114 1:9 2:7,	absent 60:9	
58 16:22	801 363-4046	14		achieves 26:25
	3:3	87501 57:23	AC 34:17,24	
6	801 487-9911		35:3,10	Achieving
	4:3	9	acceptable	60:10
6 30:22 32:6			49:2	acknowledge
600 4:2	801 521-4409	9 21:12 31:13		d 64:10
UUU 4.2	3:8		acceptance 60:16	
65 5:22	801 535-7250	9.2 18:25		actionable 59:17
	4:7		accepted	00.11
66 5:22	801 578-6929	9.4 19:1	15:2	actions 24:6
		-	-	28:7

Index: active..Amanda

			_	_
active 42:23 48:14	21 60:17 63:13	28:25	agreed 10:19 24:15 26:23	22:1,17 29:25 41:10 49:4
actively 48:11	addressed 23:15 38:8	advocate 19:18 25:24	agreed-upon 48:13	allowed 48:20
actual 56:11	63:17	Advocates 4:1 9:16 27:5,	agreement	allowing 9:25
actuality 31:6	addresses 37:5 48:21	11 57:8,11,21	10:17 11:16 24:19 25:23	allows 54:1 60:1
actually 31:25 32:2 34:25 38:10	addressing 39:6 48:22	Advocating 14:18	35:22 39:25 44:5 45:8 47:7 49:1,10 53:5,9 55:8,	already 6:10, 19 8:18 26:7
add 22:15	adjusted 23:9	affairs 13:19	55.5,9 55.6, 21,22	41:23 50:25 53:21
34:25 39:19	adjustments	affected 31:6	agreements 38:24	also 4:14
add-on 47:4	32:21,24	affects 31:12	agrees 33:14	6:12,21 7:2 8:14 11:3,13, 14 20:15
adding 34:23	administrativ e 20:18	after 11:24 12:12 17:14	all 10:19 11:4,	22:11,15 24:11,23
addition 14:12 20:22 30:9	administrativ ely 35:1	19:21 21:6,23 22:9 24:2 36:19 38:1,14 39:11,22 42:4	5,6,24 12:23 16:17 18:8,13 26:24 31:12 32:13 36:5,16	31:16 33:11 34:24 45:2 48:17 49:9 50:9 54:3
additional 13:9 28:6 30:2 33:4,21	adopt 26:17 adopting	again 20:2 33:21	40:13 41:14, 25 42:4 49:2, 4 53:7 55:16 58:17	55:11 56:16 58:2 59:16 63:6
34:22 45:8 60:6	35:16 39:16	against 12:6 18:15 19:3,5,	allocation	alternate 46:23 47:2
Additionally 25:1 27:23	adoption 33:1 advance 24:8	7 agree 15:11	30:20 31:19 38:8,11 39:2, 3,6	alternating 34:17
address 22:4 27:19 39:1 42:13,14	advantage 53:17	19:18 21:25 22:7 24:1 25:4 39:6 46:12	allocations 31:21,25	alternative 46:19
48:1,5 57:17,	adverse		allow 21:7	Amanda 8:7

Index: amended..balance

amended	11:25	15:2 16:18,23	35:25 46:19	Association's
11:24	11.25	21:9 34:21	33.23 40.19	49:6
	anyone 10:2		approving	
America 3:9	12:5 13:8	applied 33:4	46:23 55:10	attached 31:7
9:4		44:10 55:6		
	anything 37:10 47:13	opplies 04.5	argue 34:11	attention 27:9
among 45:5	49:23 56:5	applies 24:5 40:10		30:14
amount 23:14	57:3		argues 27:19 28:2 34:14	Attorney
24:11 29:14		apply 17:17	20.2 04.14	7:12,23
36:6 55:7	apologize	19:3,4,6,20	around 8:4	
	10:16 50:6	20:3 24:13	44:3	ATTORNEY'S
amounts 34:5	0.0-	38:24,25 40:10		4:9
	appear 9:25 40:8 50:16		aspects 27:7	
analysis 25:11 31:8	40.0 00.10	appreciate	36:25 37:7 43:5	audio 51:13
25.11 51.0	appearance	47:13	43.5	
annualized	10:4		assertion	Auric 14:15
19:9 40:5,9		appreciates 49:1 55:18	28:20 35:10	available 8:23
	appearances	49.1 55.16		34:23 36:13
another 16:22	2:1 6:7,23 7:2 50:3	approaching	asserts 31:4	50:17 51:6,10
19:13 23:10 59:6 60:3	50.5	12:25		56:2 64:18
59.0 00.5	appearing		assign 61:19	
answers 40:3	8:16 9:22	appropriate		Avenue 3:2 4:11 57:22
		10:22 26:24	assigned	7.1101.22
Antczak 3:6	applicable	28:23 32:13 33:20,24 38:1	61:25	avoid 43:20
8:16,17	17:2 19:17,22 20:9 30:11	62:20	associated	
	20.0 00.11		64:4	away 43:22
anticipate	applicants	approval 6:14		54:10
39:15	17:6	59:1,22 61:8 63:23	association	
		03.23	2:15 8:8,10	B
anticipation 39:10	application	approve	9:21 14:16 27:5 30:15	
	20:8,19,22 22:9	45:13 49:10	48:4,8,11,16,	back 38:3
anybody	<i>LL</i> .J		25	
_	applications	approved		balance
	Titication	-	■ 200_220_1112	

Index: balancing..Cary

r				
25:24 29:25 45:5	53:6 55:5 58:25 59:8 60:23	18 44:20 45:1 62:8	27:15 58:19 63:12	calls 12:17 57:11
balancing 15:9 23:10 29:6 30:21	beginning 20:16 36:15	best 25:9	bring 12:21	came 53:3 55:19
63:14	20.10 00.10	better 51:13	broad 6:19	can't 28:21
ballot 24:5	begins 16:6 17:24 58:10	between 23:8 64:7	broadly 22:3	cannot 35:21
banking 15:5 17:3 26:14	behalf 6:22, 24 7:3 8:17, 25 9:3,9,15,	bill 19:3,4 62:24	Broadway 2:17 3:21	cap 15:1 18:2, 5 20:5 26:2 28:8 29:22
based 28:15 34:19 39:3	21 10:8 27:10 30:15 36:4 50:5 52:17	billing 19:9 31:24 40:5,6, 9,12	burdensome 29:23	34:19,22 35:10 60:6
basis 27:21 37:12 39:21	behind-the- meter 31:20	bills 19:5,8	business 42:13,14 48:5 57:17	capacity 16:17 18:10 34:24 35:5,6
became 25:17	being 29:14, 15 31:25 41:2	bit 38:18	C	42:19
Beck 5:7 7:25 42:7,8,14	44:7 46:7,25	both 13:2 37:11 43:5	calculating	capitalize 58:13
45:18	believe 10:15 11:14 25:25 46:16 52:2	bottom 21:13	23:13 44:21	capped 16:16
become 17:15 27:25	55:22 62:9 64:12	Boulevard 3:11	calculation 23:13	caps 18:9 34:15,17 59:8 60:22,23
becomes 7:18 32:3	believes 45:11 58:25	Box 3:16 4:11	calculations 29:18	61:10
before 1:1 6:7 10:11,17	benefit 32:2 44:23 51:12	brief 13:3 14:20 21:7	call 42:6 47:19 52:5	Carolina 3:12 carry 19:6
13:23 20:5 36:16 42:21 50:3 52:20,21	benefits 1:3	62:14	called 39:20	Cary 3:12
	6:5 22:5 31:5,	briefly 25:7	calling 38:2	

Index: case..commissioner

case 14:3 28:3 29:7 39:4 42:3	43:10 62:17	clarifying 55:11	close 21:6 54:12	6:3,11,14 7:8 9:24 10:14,23 12:11 13:24
60:20 61:14, 20 64:9	changes 19:18 20:15, 17,19 25:17	Clark 2:3 5:5 36:21,23	Club 3:5 8:17	14:21 16:8,12 17:22 18:2 19:13 20:6,
cases 27:8 29:9	26:18 30:21 32:6 39:14,17 44:13 58:5,17 63:23	37:21 45:22, 23 49:15,16 51:14,16 56:25 57:1	Coalition 3:9 9:4	10,12 21:18 22:10 23:1,22 24:3,18 25:12
cents 18:25 19:1	changing	64:21,22	Coalville 3:16	27:16 28:9 30:4,5 33:3, 12,24 36:13,
certain 13:5	54:9	class 17:3,16 19:17,20,22 28:12 30:7,11	collaborativel y 25:2	16,19 38:20 39:15 40:21 41:8,10 42:22
17:12 20:2 27:7 53:7	charge 60:8 charges 19:4,	31:6,11,18, 21,25 32:2 59:11 61:20,	colleagues 36:20	45:13 46:6, 20,22 49:9 50:18 52:20,
certainly 44:22 47:1 49:3	5,7,19	21 62:1	collection 33:10,15 59:5	50:18 52:20, 22 53:6,14 55:10 56:19 58:20,25
certainty	Chris 5:23 7:16	classes 30:21 31:13	come 42:3	59:21 61:9,24 63:21 64:19
15:14,22 26:6 30:10 45:3	Citizens 14:18	clean 3:1 9:9, 10,11 14:17 22:7 52:5,14,	coming 11:21	Commission' s 16:20 35:4
Chair 2:3	City 1:9 2:7, 10,14,17,22	17,23,25 53:3,8,9,12, 14 54:12,15	commented 55:2	40:1 commissione
Chairman 52:4	3:3,7,21 4:3, 5,7,9,10,12 10:6,9 14:17,	55:17	comments 13:4 35:12	r 2:3,4 5:5,6, 9,16,22,25
challenging 36:8	19 42:15	cleaner 53:15	53:12	6:2 7:1,9,20 8:3,12,24 9:6, 13,19 10:2,10
chance 10:3	clarification 33:4,5,12,22 38:14	clear 29:1 33:6 34:7,19 54:20 55:9 56:14,16,20	commercial 17:5 18:6 43:7	11:1,4,9,17, 23 12:18 13:7,10 36:14,21,23
change 35:24 56:9 58:8	clarified 55:4	clearly 33:10	Commission 1:1,7 2:2,3	37:21,23,25 38:13,16 41:13,15,24
changed	clarify 11:13	41:5		

Index: Commissioners..contract

	-	-	-	-
42:1 45:16,	Company's	53:7	53:4 60:19	46:13
17,19,21,22,	14:2 25:7,10	00.7	00.100.10	10.10
23,25 47:12,	28:13 38:3			
17 49:14,16,	55:12 56:13	conceive	concurrent	consideration
, ,		62:22	29:11	s 22:5
18,20,22	60:4 64:9			
50:2,11,19,				l
22,24 51:11,	compensate	concept	condition	considered
14,16,18,20,	15:6	46:24 55:8	59:22	22:13
22 52:2 56:4,	1010			
5,6,22,24,25		concern	conditioned	considers
57:1,2,7	compensatio	27:24 32:25	61:8	32:20
64:20,21,22,	n 21:21 24:4	34:4,6 59:2,	01.0	32.20
24,25	32:8 44:13			
	54:9,11	25 60:17,21	conduct 12:8	consistent
	, ,	61:7 63:9,16	30:2	19:10 24:14
Commissione		64:12		46:11 53:25
rs 51:3,9	complete			55:7 63:6
	17:6,8	concerned	conducted	
commitment		33:18 54:15	49:7	
23:24 24:5	completing	62:3		consumer
	20:7	02.0	confer 51:7	7:21,24,25
	20.7			14:14 25:3
communicati		concerning		42:17
on 24:22	complex 35:1	61:17	conference	
	44:22		34:3	CONSUMESR
		00000000		2:12
communicati	aamplianaa	concerns	confusing	2.12
ons 45:7	compliance	27:16,19		
	10:20 11:8	28:14,15	54:16 59:13	consumption
company 7:6	18:24 22:16	30:19 31:2		31:24 44:15
12:16 13:16,	25:13 39:16	32:17,19	confusion	
21 14:6,8	40:16 47:4,5	33:16 59:15	34:25	
15:7 16:19				contemplatin
18:23 21:7	comprehend	conclude		g 26:8
22:8,11,17	63:2	22:1 36:2	conjunction	
23:5,7 24:14		49:11 55:25	21:1	continue
25:11,17		62:2 63:6		26:20 49:5
29:16 30:23	compromise	64:15	consider	20.20 40.0
33:14 34:20	25:21,22	UT.1J	40:24 46:7	
	28:19 35:18,		TU.2T TU.1	continuity
36:5 41:19	20 43:16 49:3	concludes		20:13
51:7 62:11	55:16 62:19	37:22 51:23	consideration	
63:15,18,25			40:1 41:8	aantract
64:1,3,6,10	oomnromises	aanalusian		contract
	compromises	conclusion	I	
Litigation Services 800-330-1112				

Index: contracts..Daniel

	I		I	I
51:12	31:1,9 38:6 60:15 63:20	creating 43:18	cross- examination 6:17,19 12:8	27:23 28:21 29:25 30:10, 20 31:10,13
contracts 29:8 31:14 control 54:17	cost-based 43:17	credit 15:5, 13,17,22 18:3,13,17,	cross- examine 12:5	32:11 45:8 59:24 62:23 63:1
controversial 44:23	costs 1:3 6:5 22:4 30:20 31:5 38:11, 19,22 43:12 45:1	18,22,25 19:3,6 20:9 21:1,14,20 23:16 24:3,9 26:16,21 29:5	cross- subsidies 26:3	customer's 18:14,15 19:4 33:7
conversion 35:2 conversions	COUNCIL 3:14	30:20 33:21 34:5,12 38:6 39:22 40:2 44:21 48:24 49:7 54:12	Culley 3:10 9:2,3	customers 15:9,14,19,21 16:24 17:2,7, 12,15 18:7,8,
35:8	counsel 7:24	49.7 54.12 59:5 60:19,24 61:2 63:14	cumbersome 36:18	20 19:15,16, 19,21 20:7, 11,23 21:22,
convinced 25:17	country 54:1	credited 41:2 46:7	cumulative 16:16 18:10 37:13	23 22:17 23:6,17 25:10,15,18
cooperatively 24:8,21 25:20	County 3:14 9:1 14:17	crediting 40:24	current 14:9	26:7 28:11 30:7 32:7,13 40:4,7,13
copies 13:6	course 25:15 39:2 52:19	credits 19:12	15:16,19 16:9,13,25 17:1 18:11	41:17 43:7, 11,18 44:10, 18 45:4,10
copy 13:9 15:24	court 8:14	22:23 23:3,5, 8,14 28:3 29:13 34:9	31:4 34:15,17 35:10 37:8, 10,13 54:12	53:20,21,24 54:1,7,17 59:4,7,11,14,
Corporation 4:5 10:6	cover 11:13, 14 50:15	40:18,24 41:1,11 46:2, 18 47:2 60:2	60:4	18,20 60:2, 16,25 61:19, 25 62:22 64:2
correct 35:11 46:15,21 51:4,5,24,25	create 24:24 63:10	criticizes 28:4	26:7 37:3 customer	D
cost 20:24 25:14 29:15	creates 15:3 26:6,16 46:17 54:3 61:22	cross-exam 51:8	14:24 16:17 18:14 19:5,25 20:14 22:19 24:25 26:12	Daley 4:10 10:8
				Daniel 4:16

Index: data..Division

50:6	defer 64:3	determination 38:8 41:11 48:23	different 34:11 37:17 44:3 47:3,6	discussion 13:2 38:21 41:7
data 22:13 33:9,15 39:23	defined 34:9 35:6 40:6,15	40.23	55:20,21	41.7
49:8 63:5 date 1:11 11:7 18:1,2	delivery 22:18	determine 15:12 21:21 28:7,10 33:24	difficult 62:22 63:1,11	discussions 36:10 42:20, 24 43:6 48:17 52:20
24:2	depends 60:11	determined 19:13 20:12	diligent 35:19	dismiss 30:4
Dave 8:25 David 2:3 3:15	describe 16:12 17:22 21:17 23:1,21	40:20 determining 22:14	direct 14:4 18:11 31:7 34:15 43:21 48:15	disrupted 61:12
day 17:24	25:7 27:15 28:13 30:16 52:15	develop 24:21 25:2	direction 55:21	disruptive 61:5
days 21:6	described	48:20 49:2	director 7:17,	distinct 45:1
DC 18:11 34:16,19	34:5 describes	developed 30:11	25 9:11 13:19 42:18 52:14 57:20	distributed 26:8 28:10 30:6 32:7
deal 12:11	58:21 design 32:14	development 33:25 48:20 52:18 61:4	disagrees 32:25	43:18 44:9, 14,17 48:24 53:17 60:17
dealt 56:10	43:10,17 44:9,12	device 35:7	discretion 46:6	distribution 43:11
debate 26:20, 22 44:24	designing 43:20	DG 61:19,25 62:6	discuss	diverse 14:11
decide 30:6 61:24	desire 12:8	difference 8:15 23:8	22:12 25:4 33:15 39:23 46:10	27:1 diversified
default 54:21	detail 37:1,6	64:3,7	discussed	53:15
defeat 29:10	details 44:3	differences 35:1	10:25 33:17 34:2 41:9,20	Division 2:5 7:10,14,16,17

Index: docket..Evans

		•		•
14:13 44:1	E	effect 17:16 18:17 19:23	31:3	ensure 43:10 53:18 61:11
docket 1:2 6:4 11:5 14:1 21:19 22:8,10 29:21 38:9	each 36:19 55:5,19	effective 24:11	enable 53:15 encourage 49:9	entered 29:9 51:1 58:11, 14,16
43:14,15 45:6 48:8,14,23 52:16,19	earlier 18:4 64:13	effects 35:7	end 18:1 19:9	entire 19:20
61:18	earnings 29:16	efficiencies 35:2	20:14 59:8	entirely 34:7
document 24:17	ease 54:5	effort 32:22 35:19 36:8	ending 40:13 61:16	entitled 22:22 23:20
DODGE 2:16 3:20	East 1:8 2:6, 13 4:2 42:15	efforts 55:18	ends 17:25 60:24	environmenta I 60:10
donated 19:12	57:22 easy 62:23	either 18:1 40:19 49:23	energy 2:15 3:1,9 8:8,9 9:3,9,10,11,	envisioned 46:22
done 26:19 51:2	EBA 29:8,10, 16 38:9,11,	electricity 53:16	22 14:16,17, 18 15:6,8,9 17:4 18:22	equals 29:15
dthomas@ summitcount y.org 3:17	19,23,24 39:2,7 40:23, 25 46:8 59:6	elements 25:22	19:2,3,7 23:10 27:5 29:5 30:15,21 44:14,16,19	equipment 37:2
duly 13:12 42:9 47:22	60:3 economic 19:14 28:24	eligibility 20:3	48:4,8,20,24 52:5,14,17,25 53:3,8,9,14,	established 38:20
52:8 57:13	54:7 59:14 60:11	eligible 32:13	17 54:13,15, 18 55:4,17 57:19,20	establishes 14:23
duplicative 29:23	economics 60:25 61:6	eliminates 15:4	63:14 Energy's	establishing 24:4 44:23
during 15:18 19:17 34:12 45:3 54:18	economy 61:6	Eliminating 26:13	52:23 53:13 enough 35:21	Evans 5:11 8:9 47:20,21,
		elsewhere		

Index: even..filing

r				1
25 48:3 49:23	6:15 18:21	expire 21:24	59:5,24 60:2, 18,24 61:2	failed 50:3
even 63:15	exceptions 17:12 20:3	expiring 41:11 46:18	63:13	failure 59:10
every 12:12 58:16 62:25	excess 17:4 19:6 44:14	explain 24:25 53:3 62:23	exported 15:6 18:13 20:9 21:21 31:22 32:9	fair 26:25 36:6 43:11
everybody 12:20	exclude 29:8	explained 56:17	exports 18:14	Fe 57:22
everybody's	Excuse 7:1		23:9 44:13 54:9 55:5	features 59:1
51:12	executive	explains 23:4	59:4 61:1	fee 20:18,19, 23
everyone 10:16 55:18	9:10 52:14	explicit 60:12	expressed 34:17,24 35:10	feel 46:10
evidence 22:3 28:16,	Exhibit 23:12 28:4 29:18 31:6	explicitly 34:8 35:7	expresses	47:6
23,24 33:20 44:25	exist 60:9	explored 41:19	27:24	fees 19:19 20:16,17,20, 22,25
			extends 24:9	
evidentiary 33:25	existing 45:4 53:24 64:8	export 15:13, 17,22 18:3,	extent 64:13	few 16:8 39:8
EXAMINATIO N 5:1,4,8,12,	exists 18:21	17,18 19:2 20:6 21:1,14, 20 22:14,23	F	Fifth 2:6,13
15,18,21,24	expectation 49:6	23:2,5,8,14, 16 24:3 26:16,18,21	facilitate	file 14:1 22:9 27:3 39:11,25 57:24 58:2
examined 13:13 42:10		28:2 29:5,13, 24 30:2,20	22:11 33:14	
47:23 52:9 57:14	expected 22:13	33:7,16,21 34:3,5,9,12	facilitated 48:19	filed 11:7,15, 24 13:5,8 14:4 27:6,10
example 23:12 29:19	expense 29:7	37:12 38:6 39:22 40:2 41:11 44:21	facilities	30:14
31:2	expenses 29:12	48:24 49:7 54:22 55:6	19:15	filing 10:20 11:8 14:7
exception	20.12		fact 34:23	

Index: filings..grid

18:24 25:13 39:12,17 56:11,14	focused 44:25	Freedom 3:9 9:3	28:3 29:6,9 53:12 60:20 61:7	gives 40:17, 18 46:5
63:24 64:5	follow 38:17	fresh 26:21		glide 26:11
filings 55:12	follow-up 41:16	front 10:4	General's 7:12,23	goes 40:14 64:6
final 18:3 20:6 35:12 55:13	follows 13:13 42:10 47:23	fuel 29:11 full 53:16	generated 44:14	gone 53:21
Finally 24:16 29:17 61:13	52:9 57:14 forecast	further 29:13	generating 16:16	good 6:2 7:11 8:20 9:2,8,14, 20 13:15
find 22:22 44:4	29:19	33:12 38:14 45:6,14 47:15 49:25 56:22	generation 14:24 16:17 20:14 22:19	52:11 57:16 60:10,12
first 13:12	foremost 44:8	future 15:12 21:22 28:1	25:1 26:9,12 28:11 30:1,6 31:20 32:7,11	governed 61:1
14:25 16:8 27:19 28:18 32:25 42:9 44:8 46:25	form 17:1 31:18	30:12 34:13 38:9 48:23 51:14 61:1,19	35:5,6 43:11, 18 44:10,15, 18	gradualism 44:10
47:22 52:8 57:13 62:16	format 41:4 forward 10:21	64:2 G	generators 18:14	grandfathered 16:25 17:4,
five 32:19	11:8 12:13		.	10,13 19:24
fixed 15:5 18:12,20	14:23 21:12 32:16 36:9 48:21 54:14	gap 21:7	Gilliam 4:15 6:13,22 32:16 50:4,9,12 51:4 5 8 15	grandfatherin g 15:16 17:14 21:24 24:15
flexibility 26:17	found 22:24	Gardner 4:2 5:19 9:14,15 11:11,12 57:9,10,15	51:4,5,8,15 Gilliam's 51:1	21.24 24.15 26:5 45:4 53:23,25
Floor 1:8 2:6, 13	four 27:18 59:1	64:18	give 37:1	grant 6:21
	Fourth 34:4	gave 8:18	given 54:8 63:3	granted 6:11, 20 12:3
flow 38:19	FOX 3:11	general 10:17		grid 15:7

Index: group..incurred

r				
18:13 53:16 group 14:11	HEAL 3:19 14:17	28:23 37:9 59:15,23 62:17,20	impact 31:11 54:7 59:14 63:5 64:2	improved 51:13
growth 29:25	heard 50:7 62:10	hours 31:22 49:1 62:25	impacts 28:25 37:19 60:11	inappropriate 28:4 30:8
н	hearing 1:6 36:15 42:3	however 7:16 23:17 25:24	implement	incentive 19:14
Hall 4:10	help 37:6	32:22 34:7	25:2	include 11:18,24
halt 61:4	here 6:3 7:13, 17 9:11,16	hundred 23:7,18 34:8	implementati on 24:22 44:11	14:13 20:19 56:18
hand 43:13	12:20 42:2 47:8	hurt 61:23	implications	includes 15:15 20:15
hard 13:6 35:21 54:17 55:16	high-level 13:2	<u> </u>	27:25 implied 29:19	21:4 including
Harrison 3:11	higher 31:25	identified 17:13,20 33:11	import 55:4,6	21:22 31:13 35:7 43:8 55:9,11
HATCH 2:16 3:20	Highland 48:6	identifies 22:3 24:20	important 26:11 29:2	income 53:20
having 8:8 13:12 42:9	highlights 53:12	59:1	54:10 61:14 62:19 63:7	incorrectly 31:3
47:22 52:8 57:13	Honigman 1:13	identify 13:5	Importantly 44:13	increase 29:16
Hayes 3:2 5:15 9:7,8,9	host 39:23	illustrative 29:18	imports 59:3	increases
52:3,4,10 56:2 57:4,5	hour 15:4 19:1 26:14	illustrious 23:12	impression 58:15	20:20 incremental
heading 24:17	hourly 27:20	immediate 59:5	improperly 34:15	20:24
				incurred

Index: INDEX..justified

29:15	installed 20:25	interests 27:1 45:6	inverter 35:2	J
INDEX 5:1	instead 15:5 61:15	interim 61:17	Investigation 1:3 6:4	JAMES 2:16 3:20
11:10	insufficient 60:5	intermediary 30:3	investment 26:8,10 53:22	Jennifer 4:2 9:15
46:9 50:16 51:7 63:16	integrity 35:18	Intermountain 14:15	investments 54:2	jennifer. gardner@
industry 15:14 25:19 48:22 49:5	intend 7:15 8:22 10:7	interrupting 50:7	involved 45:6 55:17,18	westernresou rces.org 4:4
61:6,23 62:4	11:18 12:5 13:1 22:6 50:12,14 51:8	interval 27:20,22 28:21 36:24	involves 60:21	jeopardize 60:16
35:8	intended 21:18,20	28:21 36:24 37:5,17,18 54:20,22,25 55:5 59:3,13,	irrigation 40:14	jeopardizing 62:5
information 24:24	23:22 40:10 54:20	17 62:17,18 63:4,10	isolation 38:4,7	Jetter 2:6 7:11,12
initially 43:14	intent 51:2 56:17	intervals 18:16 27:24 33:8 59:23	issue 38:17 42:21 46:6	Job 1:14
25:13	interconnecti on 17:6 20:8, 16,21 21:5	intervenors 11:5	64:11	Joelle 5:3 7:8 12:17 13:11, 18 62:11
39:23	35:5	into 16:25	issued 20:6	joint 43:25
install 22:18 54:7	interest 25:9 26:1 44:7 53:2,11 62:8	17:4 29:9 38:22 51:1 58:11,14,16	issues 18:3 20:10 22:10 24:3 43:24	Jordan 2:4
installations 16:21 17:9 19:25 34:21	interesting	introduce 7:7	61:14,18 62:15	jurisdiction 59:19
19.20 34.21	46:24	10:18 16:7		justified

Index: Justin..Mach

39:20 40:17 55:9,11 56:7,	legislation 24:5,9,12	levels 53:20	lively 26:20
19		Lifeline 41:1	LLC 3:6
large 18.8	-		
	20.20,20	•	LLP 3:11
last 39:3	less 18:22	00.0 02.22	load 22:19
50:15 51:13 63:22	let 7:2 11:17 12:1,4,7	Lighting 31:14	39:10,13,25 54:18
Lastly 21:3 25:3 28:9 30:4 32:5	22:23 37:3 38:17 46:18	like 10:12 12:19 16:7	location 17:11
34:14	let all 12:9	32:25 36:4 41:5 42:6	long 13:21 43:9
later 22:1	letter 50:16	50:18,20	
Laura 48:19	Levar 2:3 5:6,	58:6,12 62:25	long-term 62:5
LAW 2:21 3:6	9,20 8:3,12, 24 9:6,13,19	likely 26:20 44:22 60:13 61:3	losses 23:9
lead 43:16	4,9,17,23		lot 47:7 55:16
least 31:8	36:14 37:23		low-income
leave 58:15	42:1 45:16, 21,25 47:12,		19:12 25:5 40:19 46:4,18
leaves 47:9	17 49:14,18, 22 50:2,11, 12,19,24	line 23:9 31:2, 16 50:8,17 58:10 63:25	lower 31:19
Leaving 61:22	51:11,18,22 52:2 56:4,24 57:2.7 64:20.	litigation 43:5	lowers 15:1
	24		M
led 42:20 52:21	level 20:19,20	63:4	
Legend 14:15	54:11	live 62:12,13	Mach 4:16 50:4,6,14,21,
	 55:9,11 56:7, 19 Iarge 18:8 Iast 39:3 50:15 51:13 63:22 Lastly 21:3 25:3 28:9 30:4 32:5 34:14 Iater 22:1 Laura 48:19 LAW 2:21 3:6 Iead 43:16 Ieast 31:8 Ieave 58:15 Ieaves 47:9 Leaving 61:22 Ied 42:20 52:21 	55:9,11 56:7, 19 24:5,9,12 large 18:8 legislative 23:20,23 last 39:3 50:15 51:13 63:22 less 18:22 let 7:2 11:17 12:1,4,7 let 7:2 11:17 12:1,4,7 Lastly 21:3 25:3 28:9 30:4 32:5 34:14 let all 12:9 later 22:1 letter 50:16 Laura 48:19 Levar 2:3 5:6, 9,25 6:2 7:1, 9,20 8:3,12, 24 9:6,13,19 lead 43:16 10:2,10 11:1, 4,9,17,23 12:18 13:10 least 31:8 36:14 37:23 38:16 41:13 leave 58:15 21,25 47:12, 17 49:14,18, 12:19,24 leaves 47:9 22 50:2,11, 12,19,24 Leaving 61:22 52:21 level 20:19,20 54:11	55:9,11 56:7, 19 24:5,9,12 Lifeline 41:1 large 18:8 23:20,23 light 26:22 last 39:3 less 18:22 light 26:22 50:15 51:13 22:23 37:3 31:14 63:22 let 7:2 11:17 12:1,4,7 Lastly 21:3 22:23 37:3 38:17 46:18 30:4 32:5 38:17 46:18 like 10:12 30:4 32:5 38:17 46:18 12:19 16:7 30:4 32:5 38:17 46:18 12:19 16:7 34:14 let all 12:9 32:25 36:4 41:5 42:6 46:10 47:6,19 50:18,20 58:6,12 62:25 later 22:1 letter 50:16 46:10 47:6,19 Jock 11:1 9,20 8:3,12, 24 9:6,13,19 lead 43:16 10:2,10 11:1, 44:22 60:13 jock 13:18 38:16 41:13 1imit 53:11 least 31:8 38:16 41:13 1imit 53:11 leave 58:15 21,25 47:12, 16 50:8,17 jock 14:12 52:2 56:4,24 57:2,7 64:20, 61:22 52:2 56:4,24 57:2,7 64:20, for 42:20 54:11 63:4

Index: made..minimizing

	•	•	•	•
22 51:5,24,25	Mary 1:13	means 17:1 28:22	31:7	19,24 58:18 62:10 64:15, 18
made 25:13	material		meter 37:11	10
26:7 39:17	35:24 56:9	measure	41:22	
54:2		37:11		Michel's
				28:15,20
	Matt 6:24		metering 1:4	29:20 30:5
Main 2:9 3:15		measured	6:5 14:2 15:1,	20.20 00.0
	matt.	18:10,15 33:7	17,19 16:9,	
maintain		62:25	13,15,22,24	Michele 5:7
maintain	moscon@		17:15,25	7:25 42:7,8,
63:19	stoel.com		19:11,24	14
	2:11	measurement	20:23 21:6,23	
majority 44:4		27:20 59:3,	24:13,25	
	matter 1:2 6:8	12,16,17,20,	26:3,6 30:22	microphones
		23		8:13
make 8:13	10:14,24		31:4,10,12	
9:5,11 10:4,7	44:24		32:1 40:7,9,	
11:2 12:9		measures	11,19 41:1	midterm
18:23 32:5	matters 10:11	24:6	43:10,23 45:4	48:22
39:16 40:15			46:4 53:24	
46:22 52:5		Mecham	54:2,4,5,21	might 12:9
	Matthew 2:9		61:16	
54:17 56:13		2:20,21 8:20,		36:18 38:1
58:6		21		
	maximum		meters 20:24	million 60:7
makes 8:15	18:22	mechanism	22:18 37:13,	
27:18 31:16		15:10 23:11	14 41:18,22	
56:19	may 11:21	38:20 59:6		mind 63:6
50.19	22:3 23:15,17			
		60:3	methodology	una ina al
making 7:2	30:11 35:23		23:13 34:10,	mind-
8:10 30:8	60:16	meet 25:4	12	boggling
				27:22
	maybe 12:24		Mexico 57:22	
many 14:9	38:14 47:3	megawatt		minimal 54:7
44:2 49:1	00.14 47.0	60:5,22		
			mic 37:4	
	mean 41:6			minimize
March 40:13	55:4	megawatts		64:2
		16:21,22	Michel 5:17	
market 23:8	_	18:6,7 59:8	9:17 27:10,	
26:18 49:5	meaning		17,18 28:14,	minimizing
	31:11	Meredith's	22 29:19	60:11
			57:11,12,16,	
Marsac 4:11				

Index: minimum..new

minimum 19:5	monetization 19:2	13:14 21:11 36:12	nameplate 18:10 35:6	Nelson 48:19
minor 32:20, 24 39:8 58:8	monthly 15:4 17:3 19:5,8 54:10 62:24	most 14:18 36:10 61:17	Neal 4:15 5:20 6:11,20 9:23 30:14	net 1:3 6:5 14:2 15:1,16, 19 16:9,13, 15,21,24
minutes 62:25 Miscellaneou	months 17:7, 8 24:2 48:18	motion 6:20, 21 11:2,10, 13,19,23 12:2 50:25	necessarily 35:11 38:24	17:15,25 19:10,24 21:6,23 24:13,25 26:2,6 30:22
s 24:18,20 mischaracteri zes 31:1	Moore 2:13 5:9 7:22 42:5, 6,11 45:14 47:14,15	motions 48:15	necessary 7:18 22:14 30:1 33:5,22 34:22	31:4,9,10,12 32:1 40:6,8, 11,19 41:1 43:9,22 45:4
misleading 28:5	more 26:17 28:23 32:10 34:25 37:1,6	Mountain 2:8 6:25 13:20 14:12 39:11	need 13:9 33:25	46:4 53:24 54:2,4,21 55:6 61:16
mitigated 59:15	38:1 41:5,16 43:15 53:8, 15,16 55:9 56:7,14 62:20	move 6:23 11:3 32:13 36:1	needed 51:6	netted 18:15 31:23 33:8 55:5
model 14:24 26:4,12	Moreover 35:4	moves 61:15	63:19 needs 10:4	netting 15:4,5 17:3 18:16 26:13,14
modification 35:25 56:12	morning 6:2 7:11,13,15	moving 12:13 much 52:1	13:7 33:10 39:12 43:10 48:21	27:20 28:18 29:1 33:1 36:24 37:5,8 41:17 54:10,
modifications 27:8 35:14,17 58:6,24 62:7	8:20 9:2,8,14, 16,20 13:15 50:13 52:11 57:16 62:11	must 17:6	negative 25:18	16,19,20,25 55:3 56:20
modify 18:24	64:16	N	negotiation 48:12	neutral 64:5
moment 39:3	Moscon 2:9 5:4 6:24 7:6 10:11,13 11:3,6,18,20	name 7:4 13:16 42:12 48:1,3 52:11,	negotiations 42:20 48:12	new 14:23 15:11,17 17:5,11 20:2, 7,12,19,24
Monday 1:11	12:15,16,25	13 57:17,19	55:19	21:5,8,9 24:4

Index: next..overall

	•	•	•	-
26:12,15,22	29:17 32:21	October	37:2,7	55:10 56:19
29:8,21 31:17	45:2	40:14		
32:3,7,8,10,	-	-		
14 37:14			operationally	ordered
38:22,25	noted 33:13	offered 35:14	37:16	25:12
· ·				
39:18,19,22,		office 0:40		
25 41:17,18,	notes 54:19	office 2:12	opinion 56:9	organization
22 48:23		4:9 7:13,21,		48:2
54:14 57:22	nothing 22:7	23,25 14:14	opportunity	
61:15 64:4,7,	57:5	42:16,18	9:24 21:9	others 48:10
8	07.0	43:4,9,13,15,	0.2721.0	
		21,23 44:6		
	notification	45:7,11 46:1	opposed	otherwise
next 15:3	61:11	48:19 57:21	37:17 38:4,7	17:2,17
22:6 42:4			43:13	19:16,20,22
47:18 52:3				25:24
54:8 57:8	notifying 61:9	often 60:11		20.21
60:20 64:9			opposing	
	November	once 28:7	35:16	outcome
				26:25 46:12,
nine 48:18	15:2 16:19	29:21		14 47:11 61:2
	17:25 25:12		opposition	62:7
non-	44:12 63:21	one 6:8,10	9:18 11:15	
precedential		7:7 8:22 9:22	13:4 27:3	
29:1	0	10:14 13:7	35:13 58:2,9,	outcomes
29.1		18:21 26:2	20,22,23	60:10
non-		41:16 43:22		autlined 2014
residential	Oaks 3:11	46:1 49:4	optimistic	outlined 20:4
18:8		58:8 61:17	45:7	
	ablact 44.00			outside 26:16
	object 11:20	one-hour	option 43:22	28:3 29:6,9
non-signing		37:18	53:19	20.0 20.0,0
35:15	objection	57.10	00.10	
	11:10,12,25			over 19:6
	12:2,13,14	ongoing	options 25:5	48:18 52:19
non-solar		36:10		54:8
60:15	30:17,24	-		
	36:20		order 8:4	
North 3:12,15		opened 15:12	15:13 17:4	over-
48:5	objections	29:21	18:3 20:6,10	collection
40.0	27:6		22:10,12 24:3	63:24 64:11
	21.0	operational	25:12 33:23	
note 6:8,13		operational	37:11 39:15	
Í	obtain 26:10	28:24 36:25		overall 25:7
L				

Index: oversize..phase

29:2 31:11	Palace 57:22	participate 6:10,13 31:15	parties' 55:8	25:14 32:2,24
oversize 19:15	panel 12:12	42:19 48:7 49:5	party 11:9 35:22 48:13,	percent 23:7, 18 28:8 29:22
overstatemen t 31:16	paradigm 26:15,22 32:8,10 44:12	participated 43:4 48:11 52:18,19	17 55:19 58:16	31:9 34:9 61:9
overview	54:3,4,5,14	02.10,10	pass-through 15:10 23:11	perfect 49:3
14:20	paragraph 18:19 20:4	participation 39:20 52:15	29:14 60:3,8, 18 63:13,18	perhaps 56:18
own 39:2	22:2 23:4 24:7,11 33:6, 10,13,18	particular 36:7	past 36:7 48:15,18	period 17:14 19:10,17 21:5
owners 20:2	34:6,7 parameters	particularly 40:22	path 14:23 26:11 43:16	24:15 26:9 33:20,24 40:5,6,10,13
F	46:15	parties 6:9,16	44:8 48:21 54:13	45:3 46:3 53:23 59:9
P.c 2:16	Park 4:9,12 10:9 14:19	10:15,19,24 11:6 12:4	pay 20:23	periods 21:4
P.C. 3:20	Parker 5:23	14:7,9 15:11 19:18 21:24 22:6 23:2,25	43:11	53:25
P.O. 3:16 4:11	7:16	24:1,7,12,21, 23 25:1,4,20,	payments 15:8	permitted 60:19
Pacificorp 59:5 60:1 61:8	part 27:11 29:2,10 35:9 36:10 41:9 46:23 47:3	23 26:23 27:2,4 28:20 32:23 33:19 34:2,11	penalized 53:22	perspective 37:2 53:13 55:20
Pacificorp's 1:3 6:5 60:20 61:18	56:13 62:19 63:25 64:9	35:15,20 36:5,6 38:9 39:6,24,25	pending 16:23	pertaining 10:20 14:2,7
package 29:2	partially 43:24	41:9 43:25 44:4 47:7,8,9 48:20 49:2	people 8:5	pertains 16:9
paid 23:5	participant 42:23 48:14	53:7 55:17 58:10,13,14 61:9	perceive 26:3	phase 25:14
		01.0	perceived	47:5

Index: Phillip..program

Phillip 2:16 3:20 9:21	post-cap 60:24	46:16	president 8:9 48:4	14:5,9,10 15:12 18:4,18 20:7 21:2,14,
phone 50:4,5, 10	post-net 54:5	predeterminat ion 30:8	pressure 31:12	20.7 21.2,14, 20 22:1,6,9, 16 23:16 24:4 25:14,16
place 21:9 47:2	post- transition 23:16 28:7	predictable 54:6	presumed 54:21	26:21 28:7 29:21,24 30:2,3 33:16,
plan 24:22 45:7	potential 6:16 25:4 38:4 44:19 46:12	prefer 47:10 preference 12:22 47:1	previous 38:23	21 34:3,12 38:12 39:7,22 40:2 41:20 43:4 44:22 47:5 48:9
pleases 10:13 PLLC 2:21	potentially 31:21 39:24	prefiled 10:19 11:7 58:7	previously 13:8,23 33:13 57:24	49:7 57:25 60:24 61:3, 11,15
point 11:22 22:18 29:17 41:7 50:21	Poulson 4:6 10:5	prejudice 6:16	price 32:11 59:18	proceedings 1:6 6:1 54:22
POLICH 3:6 policy 57:20	power 2:8 6:25 7:6 13:20 14:12 18:13 19:3,7 20:10 21:22	preliminary 6:8 10:11,14, 24	primary 44:23 principles 44:3	process 25:16 33:19 34:1 37:16,19 44:20,24 48:18 49:8
portion 24:19 38:25	29:7,8,12 32:3,9 35:8 39:11	prepared 25:11	probably 29:23	processing 21:5
position 13:16 38:3 42:16 43:24	precedent 63:8	present 4:14 8:22 12:10 50:12,20	procedural 21:25	proffered 35:17
46:2 48:1 52:12,23 64:16	precedential 18:17 22:8 54:21 63:4	presented 22:4 43:25 49:9	procedures 37:8	profile 37:11 41:22
positions 35:17	precise 56:7	preserves 62:3	proceed 43:3 proceeding	program 1:4 6:6 15:1,3,17, 18,20,21
	precisely			

Index: programmatic..rating

			I	
16:10,13,16,	proposes	provisions	Q	raised 27:16
22,24,25	27:12 64:3	15:16 22:15		28:14 30:17
17:5,15,20,		23:24 26:6		33:17 43:25
23,24,25	•	40:22 45:2		46:25 62:15
18:2,5,12	proposing		qualifying	
19:11,12	27:8 38:11		17:7	
20:1,5,14,17		prussell@		raises 30:19
21:7,8,22	prospect 63:1	hdjlaw.com	quantifiable	32:19
24:13 25:5		3:22	22:4	
26:4,13,15,22	anoto oti on o			randomly
28:8,19 29:22	protections	prussell@	quantify	22:17 39:21
30:22 31:4,9,	25:3 45:9	hjdlaw.com	quantify 60:14	
12,15,17,22		2:18	00.14	moto 15:00
32:4,8,14	proud 36:8			rate 15:23
33:2 34:10,15		public 4.4.7	question 37:4	17:3,16
39:19 40:11,	provide 13:2	public 1:1,7	39:5 40:3	18:13,25
19,20,25	14:20 15:14	2:2,5 6:3	41:3,6 50:23	19:17,22
41:21 46:4,5	21:8 29:11	7:10,14,17	63:22	21:21 22:14
57:20 60:22	32:10 44:20	14:13 26:1		24:4 26:16,18
61:17	32.10 44.20 45:9 59:17	44:1,7 53:1,	questions	28:3 29:7,9
	45.9 59.17 62:8	10 62:8	7:19 8:23	30:10 31:11
	02.0		12:11 13:1,5	32:12 38:21, 23 39:3
programmatic		pull 55:20	36:13,17,19,	
32:6	provided		21 37:22 39:8	43:10,17,19 44:9,12 55:6
	23:17 62:12,	purchase	41:14 42:3	
proper 41:4	13	15:8 29:7,8,	45:15,17,23	60:20 61:20, 21 62:1 64:9
		11 32:3	47:1 49:13,	21 02.1 04.9
proporty	provides 15:7	11 32.3	15,16,20	
property 17:12 20:1	18:12 19:14		50:18,20	rates 13:19
17.12 20.1	20:13 23:6,12	purpose	51:3,4,6,9,15,	15:6,13,17
	26:11 28:22	29:10 43:6	16,20 56:3,23	18:18 19:19
proposal 44:1	34:8 35:22		57:1 64:19,22	20:9 26:16
52:21,24	38:18 44:8,16	purposes	07.104.10,22	28:1 32:9
53:1,6,23	53:23 58:23	22:20 39:22		43:20 48:24
54:3,19,24	00.20 00.20	22.20 33.22	quo 27:25	64:4,7,8
55:10,14,15			63:10	
61:18	providing 8:1	put 7:15		rather 6:15
	9:17	10:17 32:16	R	27:21 28:22
proposed		38:22 50:14		38:2 40:25
14:2 35:13	provision			59:23
43:14,22	20:13 63:7	puts 26:2		00.20
60:18 63:23	20.10 00.1	Pare 20.2	R.P.R. 1:13	
				rating 34:16

HEARING, DOCKET NO. 14-035-114 - 09/18/2017 Index: rationalized..repercussions

rationalized 44:9	22:4	33:9 34:16 61:24	reference 16:20 24:10	32:11
re-evaluated	reasons 26:2 44:7 58:23 59:21	reconciled 64:9	referring 56:8	relies 31:1
21.1	55.21	04.9	refers 40:4	rely 34:15
reach 32:23 35:21 55:22	rebuttal 14:4 43:23 48:16	record 10:22 13:17 34:1 42:13 51:1	reflects 29:24 44:2	remain 15:20 17:2 19:16 28:11 30:7
reached 10:15 14:6,8	receive 20:8	52:12 57:18 61:20	refundable	31:5 42:2 46:3 59:11
18:2 20:5 28:8 29:22 59:8 60:23	received 10:21	recoup 54:2	20:25	remainder
read 27:12	receiving	recover 15:8	regard 59:12	42:3
38:21	21:10	23:5,7 60:1	regarding 15:22 23:2	remaining 19:11
reads 58:14	recently 14:19	recovered 29:14	29:4 36:24 54:24	remains 19:10 33:2
reality 39:4	recommend 61:7 62:7	recovery 22:23 23:14,	regulations 25:3	53:19
really 46:10	recommendat	15,17 28:2 29:5,11,13,15 34:4,8,13	regulatory	remarks 55:13
reason 40:21 46:7	ion 29:4,20 30:5 34:6 54:24 55:7	60:13 63:20	13:19 23:20, 23 24:6	remedy 61:7
reasonable 25:9 26:1,9,	62:16	reduce 31:21	rejected 28:17	remember 41:3
24,25 28:16 29:6,25 32:5,	recommendat ions 27:18	reduction 31:19	relation 34:21	Renewable
12 41:7 43:16 45:5,12 53:1,	recommende	reductions	37:7	14:18 18:22
10,23 54:13 55:23 60:23	d 60:18	31:24	relatively 54:11	repeat 37:3
reasonably	recommends 28:6 32:20	refer 36:25 63:20	relevant	repercussion s 25:18

Index: report..Sarah

report 34:20	39:20 41:22 59:19	response 28:13 30:23	reviewed 52:18	20:18 35:8
reporter 1:13 8:14,18	research 22:19 39:10,	32:18 34:18 35:13 43:24 55:1 62:12,14	revised 43:23	rules 35:5
represent 14:11 23:24	13,21,25	64:13 responses	revisions 39:9	ruling 46:23 runaway 26:2
60:14	residential 17:5 18:6,24 27:23 28:10,	13:4	Rick 4:15	Russell 2:16
representing 7:13,23 8:7, 21 27:1 43:6	12,20 30:6,7 31:17 43:7 54:16 59:10,	result 31:18 45:12 53:11, 18 55:16,24	6:12,22 50:9, 17	3:20 5:22 9:20,21
48:10 50:8	11,20 62:23 63:1	63:24	ridiculous 28:22	Ryan 5:11 8:9 47:20,21 48:3
53:6	resilient 53:16	resulting 31:24	RMM-1 31:6	S
request 6:12 21:4	resolution	results 60:12	Robert 2:13 7:22	said 62:6 63:9
requested 6:9	14:6,8 resolve 59:10	retail 26:16 32:9,12 54:12	Rocky 2:8 6:25 13:19	sake 8:13
requests 21:5	61:14	retained 29:3	14:12 39:11	Salt 1:9 2:7, 10,14,17,22
require 22:16 33:12 37:11, 14 41:18,21	resolved 21:18 61:5	retaining 17:13 20:3	rolling 37:13	3:3,7,21 4:3, 5,7 10:5
58:25	Resource 4:1 9:15 27:4,11	return 26:10	rooftop 31:17 43:8 53:18 54:14 59:10	14:16 42:15 same 6:21
required 35:2 37:1,3	57:8,11,21	revenue 64:3, 5	60:15 61:4	23:19 32:5 37:12 40:9,17
requirement 34:23	resources 53:17	revenues	room 10:3 12:1,15,19,23	61:16 Santa 57:22
requires	respect 39:9, 12 40:23 46:2	60:4,6,13 63:18,24 64:7,11	roughly 60:7	Sarah 5:14
20:17 24:7,12	52:24 56:7	07.7,11	rule 6:15	9:10 52:5,7,

13	21:3,14 22:22 23:4,22 24:20	31:1 63:20	sfmecham@ gmail.com	59:18
save 38:14	seeks 33:3	Services 2:12 7:21,24 8:1	2:23	signatories 14:10,13
say 36:4 46:21 63:15	seems 62:25	14:14 42:17	share 43:12	signed 51:12
		set 15:13 27:1 33:19 34:19	shifting 25:15	-
saying 33:23 62:2	selected 22:17 39:21	44:3 45:1	shocks 43:19	significant 43:19 45:9
says 46:17	selectively 30:25	setting 26:15 47:3,6	short 48:22 62:4	signing 23:24 32:23 35:20 36:5
schedule 18:7 21:25 31:13 34:1	sense 12:9	settled 23:2	short-lived 61:17	similar 44:2
39:9,14,18		settlement	•	54:11
64:4	sentence 58:10	9:18 10:18 11:15 13:3 14:21,22	shortly 22:12	simply 63:15,
Schedules	separate	15:25 16:7	should 6:14	20
30:22 32:6	26:15 32:8	17:19 21:12	10:21 12:24	
	38:5 61:19,	25:8,16 27:12	15:12 24:18	since 12:22
scheduling	21,25	30:9,17 32:23	27:20 28:11,	36:17 46:5
34:2	21,20	33:3 36:3	17 29:3,21	48:14
J4.2			30:4,7 33:16,	40.14
	separated	42:21 43:1,5		
scope 22:13	44:15	44:2,6,8	23 40:24 46:3	sincerely
33:15		45:12 46:11	55:4 58:25	55:17
	separately	48:8,17,18	59:11 61:25	
second 26:5	31:23 44:19	52:20,21,24,	62:9 64:12	sit 10:3
28:2 29:4	51.25 44.19	25 53:5,22		SIL 10.3
33:9 59:25		54:3,19,24	showing 60:4	
63:12	September	55:10,14,15, 10 56:10 13		sitting 8:5
00.12	1:11 16:20	19 56:10,13, 18 58:3,11,22	shows 31:8	
		62:3	31043 01.0	small 17:5
Secondly	served 44:16	02.5		18:6 43:7
63:3			Sierra 3:5	
		several 26:1	8:17	
section 16:6	service 1:1,7	44:7 62:6		Smith 5:12
17:20 20:15	2:2 6:3 17:11		signal 32:11	8:6,7 47:18,

Index: solar..stipulation

19,24 49:13, 24,25	sorry 37:3 50:22 57:20	spread 38:22, 24	33:6 34:4 59:19	15:24 21:11 24:16 25:6 27:2 36:2,12,
solar 2:19 6:22 8:8,9,21	sought 50:16 53:18	stable 54:6	stating 27:6	22 42:2 46:9 56:17 62:11, 13,15,18 64:1
14:15,16 24:9 25:5,19 27:6 28:10 31:17, 20 35:18 43:8	source 24:25	stakeholders 14:12 15:15 22:12 33:17	status 17:10, 13 27:25 63:10	Steward's 63:21
48:4,7,24 50:5,8,9 51:23 53:18, 19,21 54:8, 14,23 55:2	South 1:8 2:6, 9,13,21 3:7 4:2,6 42:15	stand 12:21, 24 13:1 16:1 36:17 47:20	statute 40:6, 9,18	stipulated 48:25 49:10 62:7
59:10 60:15, 17 61:4,6,19, 23,24 62:4,5	speaking 12:6 47:8	standpoint 28:24	statutory 40:11	stipulation 7:4,7 8:2,11
Solar's 32:15	Special 31:14	start 8:5 22:7	stay 17:10 20:1	9:12 10:18 11:25 12:7 13:3,6,8
35:9	specific 31:10 32:2 34:1 43:13	started 41:4	stay-out 23:21,23	14:11,21,22, 25 15:7,15,25
solely 31:5	Specifically	Starting 44:12	STEPHEN	16:4,14,15 17:14,19,23 18:9,19 20:4
solution 43:13 49:4	24:1	state 4:6	2:21	21:3,13 22:22 23:25 24:2,
someone 50:7	specifies 18:9	13:16 25:19 42:12 47:25	steps 44:11	14,23 25:25 26:23,25 27:3,7,12
something	speculation	52:11,23 57:17	Steve 8:21	28:5,25 29:24 30:18 32:21
41:19 56:8, 10,12	28:16 spelling 8:19	statement 8:1,10 9:5,12 10:7,9 42:25	Steven 2:20 5:17 9:17 27:10 57:11,	33:3,5 34:18 35:14,19,22, 23,24 36:3 38:18,21
Sophie 3:2 9:9	spent 36:6	52:6 55:25	12,19	39:1,16 40:4, 17,22,23
sophie@ utahcleanene rgy.org 3:4	spoken 10:15 12:13	statements 12:10	Steward 5:3 7:8 10:12,18 12:15,17 13:1,11,15,18	41:10 42:21 46:5,15,17, 21,24 48:13 55:3 58:3,9,
		states 27:21		

HEARING, DOCKET NO. 14-035-114 - 09/18/2017 Index: stipulation's..terms

12,15,17,22, 24 59:2,22,25	23:20	Suite 2:9,17, 21 3:7,11,21	sworn 13:12 42:9 47:22	tariffs 22:16
60:9 61:8,13 62:19 63:7	subject 6:16 17:15 19:21	4:2,6 48:6	52:8 57:13	tax 18:22 24:9
stipulation's 59:9	20:11 submission	summarize 32:17 58:19	system 17:7 19:25 22:19 32:3 43:12	tculley@ kfwlaw.com 3:13
straight 29:14	48:15	summary 45:11 64:15	44:16,18,20	
streaming 8:14,15	submit 33:19 51:2	summer 36:7	System's 18:22	tdaley@ parkcity.org 4:13
Street 2:9 3:15 4:6	submitted 16:18 54:23	Summit 3:14 9:1 14:17	systems 16:17	telephone 4:14 6:10,13 9:23 10:1
31:13	subsection 16:9	Sunrun 9:3	т	telephonic
stress 35:21		support 8:2, 10 9:12 21:25	table 7:24	6:14
strike 45:5 58:12	subsequent 19:7 54:22 55:12	23:25 24:1,8, 12 25:24 26:12 28:16	12:20,21 18:19	telephonically 50:13,17
strong 61:20	subset 47:8	36:3 42:25 48:12 55:9,11	tables 8:5 12:23	Ten 13:22
structure 17:16 19:23	subsidized 60:15	supporting 14:24	take 6:7 9:23 47:2	tends 54:5
54:9 study 31:1	substituting 61:16	supports 44:6 48:25	TAKEN 1:7	term 38:5 62:4
33:20,24 39:10,13,21 40:1	such 6:18	52:25 53:8	takes 53:16	terminate 24:12
subaccount 38:23	20:11 42:23 43:22 47:2 53:17	surrebuttal 14:5	tariff 20:12 39:12,18 40:15 46:17	terms 16:7,8 20:11 21:24
subheading	suggested 36:15	sustainability 62:5	55:12 56:11, 14,16 63:23	23:2,25 24:1, 23 34:18,24 41:16 46:11
	8			

Index: tested..treatment

53:8,9	Thadeus 3:10	29:20 33:18 60:21	timestamped 37:14	track 7:5 34:20
tested 62:21	than 6:15 18:23 22:1 27:21 31:25	Thomas 3:15 8:25	timing 30:1	tracked 31:23
13:13,23 42:10 47:23 52:9 57:14	32:12 37:10 38:2 39:4 40:13,25 53:8	thought 30:1	title 48:1 57:17	transferable 17:11 20:2
59:13,16 60:5,12 61:13 62:18,20 64:1	58:11,14 59:23	three 22:1 27:4 54:8	titled 21:14	transition 14:23 15:3, 18,21 17:20,
testifies 38:15	their 12:10 15:22 17:2,6, 8 19:15,16 21:24 43:11 53:22 54:2,18	through 15:9, 21,23 17:1 18:20 23:10 25:15,16	today 6:18 7:18 8:11 12:7 42:22 46:23 47:9 52:21,22	18,21 17:20, 23,24 18:1,5, 12,20,25 19:16,17,19, 21,25 20:5,9, 16 21:8,23
testify 7:3 64:6	55:18 61:1 62:24	29:16 34:9 36:16 39:24 40:12,14 48:7,23 56:11	together 36:6,11	23:6 26:13 28:8,19 29:22 31:22 33:2
testimony 9:17 10:20 11:5,7,15,21, 24 14:1,5	themselves 51:9	59:6 60:2 63:14	Tom 4:10 10:8	34:10,14 39:19 40:4 41:17,21 43:22 45:3
27:3,6,10,13, 17 30:14 31:3,8 32:16	then- unknown 61:2	throughout 43:14 54:1	topics 13:6	54:4,6,13 59:4,7,9,24 60:6,22,25
36:3 38:2 43:21,23 48:16 49:11,	thereafter 22:12	time 1:12 10:23 20:11	totaling 16:21	61:12
25 50:15 51:1 52:18 54:23 57:25 58:2,7,	therefore	21:4,7 26:9 33:13,17,22 36:6 46:25	toward 43:15	transitional 40:7,25 46:3
9,20,21,23 59:1 61:23 62:2,12,13	22:7 25:19 29:15 32:12 35:9 53:11	58:5,19 61:16 64:19	Townsend 4:15 5:20 6:11,21 9:23, 25 30:14,19,	transparency 26:17 34:16, 22 44:17 49:8
63:9,17,22 64:14	things 56:15	time-of-use 28:1	25 38:15	transparent 32:10 43:17
Thad 2:3 9:2 50:12	third 15:11 26:11 28:6	timestamp 37:15	Townsend's 38:2	treatment

Index: trigger..value

33:15 38:7 41:17	3 40:8 54:2	38:7 60:19 61:4	4:3,7,12 7:12, 14,22 8:8,9
typical 27:23	understand 24:19 28:21 37:6 44:17	unused 19:11	9:9,11,21 14:16,17,18 18:21 25:10
typically 43:19	50:23	46:2	27:5 30:15 48:4,6,7 52:5, 14,17,23,25
U	understandab le 62:21		53:3,8,9,12, 14 54:12,15 55:17,23
UAE 6:12,20	understandin g 27:16 30:16 32:17 38:10	26:21 44:21	60:20 62:4,6 Utah's 25:9
30:17 35:17 38:5	50:25 55:8	urge 45:13 59:21	60:15,16 61:6
ultimately 32:9 44:2 55:21	understood 41:6 60:14	usage 18:15 33:7 37:12 59:24 61:1	Utah.gov 24:24
unable 50:23	undertaken 32:22 35:19	use 12:24 19:13 31:20	Utilities 2:5 7:10,14,17 14:14 44:1
unallocated 31:10	unfairly 60:14 United 59:19	40:20 44:18 54:18	utility 43:12 44:16
unaware 59:18	units 31:24	Users 2:15 9:22 27:5 30:15	utmost 49:8
uncertain 59:14	unjustified 60:13	uses 15:5	V
uncertainty 59:7 61:3,4,	unnecessary 30:3 33:11	using 36:17 38:5	Val 3:6 8:16
22 under 16:13	unresolved	Utah 1:1,7,9 2:2,7,10,14,	validity 63:16 value 19:11
15 17:23 31:4,21 32:1,	until 20:10	15,17,22 3:1, 3,7,16,19,21	23:9 26:18 34:19 44:19,
	41:17 typical 27:23 typically 43:19 U UAE 6:12,20 30:17 35:17 38:5 Ultimately 32:9 44:2 55:21 unable 50:23 unable 50:23 Unallocated 31:10 unaware 59:18 uncertain 59:14 uncertainty 59:7 61:3,4, 22 under 16:13, 15 17:23	41:17 understand typical 27:23 understand 24:19 28:21 37:6 44:17 typically understandab typically understandin sis sis typically understandin sis sis ultimately sis sis understood 41:6 60:14 sis sis undertaken sis undertaken sis units 31:24 unaware units 31:24 sis units 31:24 uncertain sis sis sis sis unseessary sis sis sis sis uncertainty unresolved sis unresolved sis sis under 16:13,	41:17 61:4 typical 27:23 understand 24:19 28:21 37:6 44:17 50:23 unused 19:11 40:18,24 41:1 46:2 typically 43:19 understandab le 62:21 unwind 63:11 U understandab le 62:21 upcoming 26:21 44:21 UAE 6:12,20 30:17 35:17 38:5 understandin 9 27:16 30:16 32:17 38:10 50:25 55:8 urge 45:13 59:21 ultimately 32:9 44:2 55:21 understood 41:6 60:14 usage 18:15 33:7 37:12 59:24 61:1 unable 50:23 undertaken 32:22 35:19 use 12:24 19:13 31:20 40:20 44:18 54:18 unable 50:23 unfairly 60:14 user 22:24 19:13 31:20 40:20 44:18 54:18 unallocated 31:10 units 31:24 Users 2:15 9:22 27:5 30:15 uncertain 59:18 unjustified 60:13 uses 15:5 uncertain 59:14 unnecessary 30:3 33:11 using 36:17 38:5 under 16:13, 15 17:23 unresolved 61:22 Utah 1:1,7,9 2:2,7,10,14, 15,17,22 3:1,

Index: values..Wright

21 45:9 60:2	W	whichever	32:10 33:4,7,	word 58:12,	
		18:4	25 34:20	13	
			39:11,16,19		
values 19:6	waive 21:4	while 10.05	40:15 41:18,		
59:6 60:19	walve 21.4	while 12:25	21 44:22,24	words 46:19	
63:14		26:19 31:19	45:9 49:7		
	waiver 6:19	48:22 49:3	51:13 52:5,15	work 24:8,21,	
vantczak@	20:17	55:19 60:22	53:11 54:16,	24 25:2 26:19	
antczaklaw.		61:15	17 55:22	36:10 39:23	
com 3:8			57:16 58:19	45:8 49:2	
	want 9:23	White 2:4 5:5,	60:7,13,25	55:16,23	
	11:2,13	16,22 37:24,	61:3,23 62:23	56:20	
various 45:5		25 38:13	63:11 64:5	00.20	
53:20	warranted	41:15,24	00.11 04.0		
	61:21	45:17,19		worked 13:21	
via 4:14 48:15	-	49:19,20	Wind 14:15	25:20 43:15	
		51:19,20		55:20	
	way 12:14	56:5,6,22	withdraw		
viability 62:3	46:21 54:6	64:25	35:23	works 17:23	
	63:19 64:5	04.20	00.20	53:15	
viable 53:19				00.10	
	website 24:24	whole 25:8	within 17:7		
		53:10	38:23 46:14	workshop	
view 25:7				22:11 33:14	
43:9	week 50:15	will 6:23 8:1,	without 33:23	39:11,23	
	51:13	8,19 9:17	35:25 43:8,18		
views 53:9		11:13,14	47:9 60:3	worth 46:12	
54:13	weigh 47:10	12:3,6 13:5	11.0 00.0		
	U	15:8,13,20,22			
		16:16,24	witness 5:2	WRA 27:19	
Vivint 2:19	well-defined	17:2,8,10,15	6:12,20 7:3,4,	32:25 35:17	
6:22 8:21	55:3	18:1,9,14,16,	7,15 8:19,22	58:11,14,16,	
14:14		23 19:2,4,6,	9:1,4,16,22	24	
	West 2:17,21	12,16,21	10:6,9 12:12,		
Vote 27:6	3:7,21 48:5	20:1,3,8,11,	21,24 15:25	WRA'S 58:21,	
32:15 35:9,18	,	23,24,25	36:17,19	23 59:25	
50:5,8,9		21:7,25 22:8,	50:13 62:11	60:21 62:16	
51:23 54:23	Western 4:1	11,15 23:5,7		00.21 02.10	
55:2	9:15 27:4,11	24:21,23 25:2	witnesses		
	57:8,10,21	26:20 27:22,	6:9,14 12:6,	Wright 5:14	
		26.20 27.22, 24 29:16	10,24 36:16	9:10 52:5,7,	
	whether	24 29.16 31:17,20,23	42:4 47:16	13 56:2 57:3	
	59:10	51.17,20,23	72.777.10		

written 50:15		
Y		
year 48:15 60:7		
year's 63:22		
years 13:22 22:2 54:8		
yet 26:19 41:19		