

March 19, 2018

# VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Utah Public Service Commission Heber M. Wells Building, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, UT 84114

Attention: Gary Widerburg Commission Secretary

RE: Docket No. 14-035-114 - In the Matter of the Investigation of the Costs and Benefits of PacifiCorp's Net Metering Program Emergency Waiver for Level 3 Interconnection Review Processing Timeframes

On March 6, 2018 the Public Service Commission of Utah ("Commission") issued a Notice of Filing and Comment Period ("Notice") requesting comments on Rocky Mountain Power's ("Company") March 2, 2018 Motion for Emergency Waiver of Level 3 Interconnection Review Processing Timeframes ("Motion"). On March 12, 2018, the Division of Public Utilities ("Division") and Utah Clean Energy ("UCE") each filed comments. The Company appreciates the review and comments of the interested parties. Consistent with the Notice, the Company submits reply comments below.

## **Response to Division's Comments**

The Division recommends approval of the Company's application conditioned upon the Company filing with the Commission an interconnection queue management plan within 45 days of receiving Commission approval of the Motion. The Division was generally supportive of a queue system; however, it noted that the Motion included limited information about how the queue would be managed and requests the Company work with interested parties to develop a queue system and file a detailed plan. As part of this plan, the Division requests the Company file status reports on a quarterly basis that, at minimum, include the following information:

- Date when the application was placed in the queue
- How long the application took to process
- Completion of the System Impact Study ("SIS")
- Date of approval

The Company agrees with the Division's request, consistent with its Motion, as follows "[f]urther, the Company recommends working with interested parties to more fully develop a new queue system for Level 3 interconnection review processes that could be incorporated into modifications to the rule." The Company appreciates the Division's willingness to participate in the development of the queue.

Utah Public Service Commission March 19, 2018 Page 2

### **Response to UCE's Comments**

UCE does not agree that a waiver of the processing timeframes should be granted for the duration of the Transition Program, recommending the Company be granted an additional 15-30 business days (for a total of 45-60 business days) to complete a SIS for a period of six months. UCE also claims that the Company has not provided sufficient justification that a queue system is necessary and states that 15-30 additional business days should be granted, and that specific studies can be handled on a case-by-case basis.

#### Timeframe for the Waiver

For now, the Company agrees to a six-month waiver, as proposed by UCE. The Company agrees there is a possibility that the deceleration of Level 1 and 2 applications the Company has recently experienced from the surge caused by the Schedule 135 sunset date, could also mean a deceleration of Level 3 applications. However, the Company is also aware of the possibility that it could receive a growing number of Level 3 of applications because the Company's system is becoming increasingly saturated with customer generation facilities already connected to its system. Concurrently, the Company would also expect SIS to continue to increase in complexity as circuit penetration increases. However, as the Company works with stakeholders to develop a queue system, the Company will make a filing requesting additional time if it appears it will be necessary.

#### Queue System

UCE recommends a temporary extension of the current processing deadlines instead of a queue system. Level 3 applications are more complex in nature and the Company believes a queue system could be developed in a manner that more efficiently processes the Level 3 interconnections, with differentiation for applications that must be reviewed sequentially and those that do not. Over half of the applications are within the system where circuits, stations and the transmission system is approaching maximum export capacities. Both the generation interconnection queue and the net metering interconnection queue are managed by the same engineering resources because both types of projects must be considered as systems on the circuits. The Commission is well aware of the current backlog related to the Company's generation interconnection queue; thus, it is not surprising that the Company would be experiencing a delay in its net metering interconnection queue, in particular since all projects must be studied sequentially.

## Conclusion

Both the Division and UCE agree that quality SIS are crucial to the safe interconnection of the proposed systems. Adequate review of the interconnection of the proposed systems should be properly balanced with customer certainty and transparency. The Company believes these objectives can be balanced by a well-developed queue system and commits to work with parties to develop a plan. The Company respectfully requests the Commission grant the Company's request for a waiver of Utah Administrative Code Rule 746-312-10 (2)(f)(iii) for a period of six months, subject to the Company working with stakeholders to develop a queue system for Utah Public Service Commission March 19, 2018 Page 3

processing applications within 45 days of Commission approval. During the six-month waiver, the Company commits to filing quarterly status reports as recommended by the Division.

Sincerely,

Joelle R. Steward

Vice President, Regulation

CC: Service List - Docket No. 14-035-114

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on March 19, 2018, a true and correct copy of Rocky Mountain Power's **REPLY COMMENTS** was served by email on the following Parties in Docket No. 14-035-114:

Division of Public Utilities		
Chris Parker	ChrisParker@utah.gov	
William Powell	wpowell@utah.gov	
Erika Tedder	etedder@utah.gov	
Consultants:	bmcdonald@daymarkea.com	
	mneal@daymarkea.com	
	sfaryniarz@daymarkea.com	
	mloiacono@daymarkea.com	
	cbencomo-jasso@daymarkea.com	
Utah Office of Consumer Servi		
Michele Beck	mbeck@utah.gov	
Cheryl Murray	cmurray@utah.gov	
Bela Vastag	<u>bvastag@utah.gov</u>	
Assistant Utah Attorney Gener	al	
Patricia Schmid	pschmid@agutah.gov	
Justin Jetter	jjetter@agutah.gov	
Robert Moore	rmoore@agutah.gov	
Steven Snarr	stevensnarr@agutah.gov	
UCARE		
Michael D. Rossetti	solar@trymike.com	
Stanley T. Holmes	stholmes3@xmission.com	
Sierra Club		
Travis Ritchie	travis.ritchie@sierraclub.org	
Casey Roberts	casey.roberts@sierraclub.org	
Derek Nelson	derek.nelson@sierraclub.org	
Energy Strategies		
Kevin Higgins	khiggins@energystrat.com	
Neal Townsend	ntownsend@energystrat.com	
Utah Solar Energy Association		
Elias Bishop	ebishop@utsolar.org	
Chad Hofheins	chad@synergypowerpv.com	
Amanda Smith	ASmith@hollandhart.com	
Ryan Evans	revans@utsolar.org	
Ballard Spahr		
Jerold G. Oldroyd	oldroydj@ballardspahr.com	
Theresa A. Foxley	foxleyt@ballardspahr.com	
Parsons Behle & Latimer		

W/11' L D	1 0 1 11	
William J. Evans	bevans@parsonsbehle.com	
Vicki M. Baldwin	vbaldwin@parsonsbehle.com	
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & S	•	
Peter J. Mattheis	pjm@bbrslaw.com	
Eric J. Lacey	elacey@bbrslaw.com	
Dashar Varata & Larana		
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry	1-h a show @DVL law firms a sour	
Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.	kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com	
Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.	Jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com	
Utah Clean Energy		
Sarah Wright	sarah@utahcleanenergy.org	
Kate Bowman	kate@utahcleanenergy.org	
Sophie Hayes	sophie@utahcleanenergy.org	
USAF Utility Law Field Support Center		
Capt. Thomas A. Jernigan	Thomas.jernigan@us.af.mil	
Mrs. Karen White	Karen.white.13@us.af.mil	
PacifiCorp, dba Rocky Mountain Power		
Data Request Response Center	datarequest@pacificorp.com	
D. Matthew Moscon	dmmoscon@stoel.com	
Jana Saba	jana.saba@pacificorp.com	
Yvonne Hogle	yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com	
Daniel Solander	daniel.solander@pacificorp.com	
Salt Lake City Corporation		
Tyler Poulson	tyler.poulson@slcgov.com	
Hatch James & Dodge		
Gary A. Dodge	gdodge@hjdlaw.com	
Interstate Renewable Energy C		
Sara Baldwin Auck	sarab@irecusa.org	
Vivint Solar		
Stephen F. Mecham	sfmecham@cnmlaw.com	
Devrous Kinchern Hermig, D.C.		
Parsons Kinghorn Harris, P.C.	in Only have an	
Jeremy R. Cook	jrc@pkhlawyers.com	
E-Quant Consulting LLC		
Robert Swenson	Roger.swenson@prodigy.net	
	Koger.swenson w prodigy.net	
Keyes, Fox & Wiedman LLP		
David Wooley	Dwooley@kfwlaw.com	

IBEW Local 57		
Arthur F. Sandack, Esq	asandack@msn.com	
Callister Nebeker & McCullous	gh	
Brian W. Burnett, Esq.	brianburnett@cnmlaw.com	
J. Kennedy & Associates	I	
Stephen J. Baron	Sbaron@jkenn.com	
Greeenberg Traurig		
Meshach Y. Rhoades, Esq.	rhoadesm@gtlaw.com	
Wal-mart Stores		
Steve W. Chriss	Stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com	
SW Enorgy Efficiency Project		
SW Energy Efficiency Project Christine Brinker	chrinker@gwonergy.org	
	cbrinker@swenergy.org	
Summit County		
David L. Thomas	dthomas@summitcounty.org	
Western Resource Advocates		
Jennifer Gardner	jennifer.gardner@westernresources.org	
Nancy Kelly	nkelly@westernresources.org	
Penny Anderson	penny.anderson@westernresources.org	
Energy Freedom Coalition of A	merica	
Kevin Fox	kfox@kflaw.com	
HEAL Utah		
Michael Shea	michael@healutah.org	
Auric Solar, LLC		
Elias Bishop	elias.bishop@auricsolar.com	
Salt Lake County	I	
Donald H. Hansen	dhansen@slco.org	
Jennifer Bailey	jenbailey@slco.org	
Sunrun, Inc.	I	
Kevin Fox	kfox@kflaw.com	
Vote Solar		
Rick Gilliam	rick@votesolar.org	
Legend Ventures, LLC (dba Legend Solar, LLC)		
Nathan K. Fisher	nathanf@fisherhunterlaw.com	

Intermountain Wind and Solar, LLC	
Brian W. Burnett. Esq.	bburnett@kmclaw.com
Dale Crawford	dale@imwindandsolar.com
Doug Shipley	doug@imwindandsolar.com
Mark Allred	mark@imwindandsolar.com
Mark Richards	markrichards@imwindandsolar.com
Doug Vause	dougvause@imwindandsolar.com
Park City Municipal Corporation	
Luke Cartin	Luke.Cartin@parkcity.org
Thomas A. Daley	tdaley@parkcity.org

Katie Savai \_

Katie Savarin Coordinator, Regulatory Operations