

- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

In the Matter of the Application of Rocky
Mountain Power to Increase the Deferred
EBA Rate through the Energy Balancing
Account Mechanism

)
)
)
)
)
)

DOCKET NO. 14-035-31
REPORT AND ORDER

ISSUED: October 27, 2014

SHORT TITLE

**Rocky Mountain Power
Energy Balancing Account (“EBA”) 2013 Deferred Balance**

SYNOPSIS

The Commission approves an uncontested settlement stipulation and increases rates by \$25.3 million, effective November 1, 2014, to recover the January 2013 through December 2013 EBA deferred balance from customers. The settlement stipulation allocates approximately 30 percent of the revenue increase to residential customers and 70 percent of the revenue increase to commercial and industrial customers. The rate increase ranges from approximately 0.7 percent to 2.3 percent, depending on rate schedule and contract.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPEARANCES..... iii

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND BACKGROUND 1

II. SETTLEMENT STIPULATION SUMMARY 3

A. EBA DEFERRED ACCOUNT BALANCE..... 4

B. SPREAD OF THE EBA COSTS TO CLASSES AND RATES..... 4

III. PARTIES' POSITIONS 5

A. PACIFICORP 5

B. DIVISION..... 6

C. OFFICE 7

IV. DISCUSSION, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS..... 8

V. ORDER 9

STIPULATION DOCUMENTS..... 12

DOCKET NO. 14-035-31

- iii -

APPEARANCES

Yvonne Rodriguez Hogle, Esq.
Rocky Mountain Power

For PacifiCorp, dba Rocky Mountain Power

Patricia E. Schmid, Esq.
Utah Attorney General's Office

" Division of Public Utilities

Rex Olsen, Esq.
Utah Attorney General's Office

" Office of Consumer Services

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND BACKGROUND

This matter is before the Public Service Commission of Utah (“Commission”) on the March 17, 2014, application (“Application”) of PacifiCorp, dba Rocky Mountain Power, (“PacifiCorp”) for authority to increase rates through Electric Service Schedule No. 94 (“Schedule 94”), Energy Balancing Account (“EBA”) Pilot Program. The Commission approved use of the EBA to set rates in Docket No. 09-035-15¹, pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-13.5, which became effective March 25, 2009. The Commission first set rates using the EBA to collect the deferred net power cost (“NPC”) incurred pursuant to the Report and Order issued in Docket Nos. 10-035-124, 09-035-15, 10-035-14, 11-035-46, and 11-035-47 (“2011 GRC”).² On February 27, 2013, the Commission approved EBA rates pursuant to an uncontested stipulation in Docket No. 12-035-67 (“2011 EBA”).³ On October 29, 2013, the Commission approved EBA

¹ See *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism*, Docket No. 09-035-15, (Corrected Report and Order; March 3, 2011).

² See *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations; In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism; In the Matter of the Application of the Utah Association of Energy Users for a Deferred Accounting Order Directing Rocky Mountain Power to Defer Incremental REC Revenue for Later Ratemaking Treatment; In the Matter of the Application of the Utah Industrial Energy Consumers for a Deferred Accounting Order Directing Rocky Mountain Power to Defer Incremental REC Revenue for Later Ratemaking Treatment; and In the Matter of the Application of the Utah Office of Consumer Services for a Deferred Accounting Order Directing Rocky Mountain Power to Defer all Bonus Depreciation Allowed for 2010 through 2011 by the Small Business Jobs Act as Amended*, Docket Nos. 10-035-124, 09-035-15, 10-035-14, 11-035-46, and 11-035-47, respectively, (Report and Order; September 13, 2011).

³ See *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Increase Rates by \$29.3 Million or 1.7 Percent through the Energy Balancing Account*, Docket No. 12-035-67, (Report and Order; February 27, 2013).

DOCKET NO. 14-035-31

- 2 -

rates pursuant to an uncontested stipulation in Docket Nos. 13-035-32 and 13-035-T14 (“2012 EBA”).⁴

The statutory parties in this case are the Division of Public Utilities (“Division”) and the Office of Consumer Services (“Office”). In addition, the Commission granted intervention to the Utah Association of Energy Users (“UAE”).

PacifiCorp’s Application requested approval to recover approximately \$28.3 million in total deferred EBA costs (“EBAC”) and interest over the period November 2014 through November 2015. As described in the Application, the \$28.3 million is comprised of the following components: (1) \$27.6 million, representing 70 percent of approximately \$39.4 million, the difference between the actual EBAC and the base EBAC in current base rates for the period beginning January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013; (2) a credit of approximately \$1.1 million in additional wheeling revenues; and (3) approximately \$1.8 million in accrued interest. The Application requested approval to revise Schedule 94 by adding the \$28.3 million to the currently effective deferred balance of approximately \$31.4 million.⁵

In accordance with the Commission’s April 1, 2014, scheduling order, on July 29, 2014, the Division filed its EBA audit report and direct testimony. On August 28, 2014, the

⁴ See *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Increase the Deferred EBA Rate through the Energy Balancing Account Mechanism*, Docket No. 13-035-32, and *In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power’s Proposed Revisions to Electric Service Schedule 94, Energy Balancing Account (EBA) Pilot Program*, Docket No. 13-035-T14, (Report and Order; October 29, 2013).

⁵ The \$31.4 million includes (1) \$20.0 million, representing the third and final annual installment of the \$60.0 million total cost recovery of deferred NPC approved in the 2011 GRC; (2) \$3.9 million, representing the second and final annual installment of the total \$7.8 million of deferred EBAC approved for recovery in the 2011 EBA; and (3) \$7.5 million, representing the first installment of the total \$15.0 million total cost recovery of deferred EBAC approved for recovery by the Commission from the 2012 EBA in Docket No. 13-035-32.

Office and UAE filed direct testimony and PacifiCorp filed supplemental direct testimony in response to the Division's audit report. On September 23, 2014, PacifiCorp, the Division, and the Office filed rebuttal testimony. On October 1, 2014, the Division filed errata rebuttal testimony. On October 2, 2014, PacifiCorp filed a motion to amend the procedural schedule extending the filing date of surrebuttal testimony due to ongoing settlement discussions.

On October 2, 2014, the Commission issued an order amending the procedural schedule to extend the filing date of surrebuttal testimony. On October 6, 2014, PacifiCorp filed a settlement stipulation ("Settlement Stipulation") signed by PacifiCorp, the Division, the Office and UAE ("Stipulating Parties") addressing the spread of the proposed EBAC to classes and rate schedules, among other things. On October 8, 2014, the Commission held a hearing to examine the Settlement Stipulation.

At hearing, PacifiCorp, the Division and the Office provided testimony and statements supporting Commission approval of the Settlement Stipulation. No party provided testimony in opposition to approval of the Settlement Stipulation. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Commission issued a bench order approving the Settlement Stipulation, approving recovery of EBAC in the amount of \$25.3 million, effective November 1, 2014. This order memorializes that bench order.

II. SETTLEMENT STIPULATION SUMMARY

A copy of the Settlement Stipulation, which contains 25 numbered paragraphs and an exhibit, is attached to and incorporated in this order. For convenience, a summary of some of

the terms in the Settlement Stipulation is provided herein. This summary and other discussion of the terms of the Settlement Stipulation in this order are not intended to modify the terms of the Settlement Stipulation, and the language in the Settlement Stipulation controls.

A. EBA DEFERRED ACCOUNT BALANCE

The Stipulating Parties agree the Commission should allow PacifiCorp to recover EBAC in this case in the amount of \$25.3 million, of the approximately \$28.3 million PacifiCorp requested in its Application. The reduction to \$25.3 million is based on an unspecified adjustment in the amount of \$3.0 million. The Stipulating Parties also agree to a number of items to facilitate the Division's review and audit of future EBA filings and to improve the exchange of information between PacifiCorp and the Division in the EBA audit process.

B. SPREAD OF THE EBA COSTS TO CLASSES AND RATES

Consistent with the Settlement Stipulation approved by the Commission in Docket No. 13-035-184,⁶ and as noted above, the Stipulating Parties agree that the \$25.3 million balance in this case shall be added to the \$31.4 million deferred balance currently being collected in Schedule 94, with the total balance to be collected over one year beginning November 1, 2014. The prior balances shall continue to be collected without interest accruing during the collection period. However, the \$25.3 million balance will accrue interest during the collection period.

⁶ See *In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations*, Docket No. 13-035-184, (Report and Order; August 29, 2014).

The Stipulating Parties agree the Commission should spread the approved rate change as set forth in Exhibit A of the Settlement Stipulation. Exhibit A shows approximately 30 percent of the \$25.3 million increase is allocated to the residential class, and about 70 percent to the commercial, industrial, and other classes. The annual rate impact of the \$25.3 million increase to customers ranges from approximately 0.7 percent to 2.3 percent.

The Stipulating Parties agree that no part of the Settlement Stipulation, the formulae, or methods used in its development or a Commission order approving it, shall be considered precedential in any future docket except with regard to the issues expressly identified and resolved by the Settlement Stipulation.

III. PARTIES' POSITIONS

The Stipulating Parties indicate Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-1 authorizes the Commission to approve a settlement if the settlement is just and reasonable in result. The Stipulating Parties further represent the Settlement Stipulation “as a whole is just and reasonable in result and in the public interest.”⁷ Three of the parties signing the Settlement Stipulation, PacifiCorp, the Division, and the Office, testified at hearing recommending the Commission approve the Settlement Stipulation. No intervening party opposes the Settlement Stipulation.

A. PACIFICORP

At hearing, PacifiCorp provided an overview of the process leading to the Settlement Stipulation. PacifiCorp asserts substantial evidence was presented and reviewed prior

⁷ See Settlement Stipulation at 6, Paragraph 22.

to the parties engaging in settlement discussions. For example, over the course of the case PacifiCorp filed the testimony of four witnesses in support of its request, including accompanying exhibits and supporting workpapers. PacifiCorp also notes that three other parties filed testimony. PacifiCorp provided responses to 20 filing requirements, responded to 211 data requests from intervening parties, and provided more than 2,400 documents to parties as parties prepared cases in response to PacifiCorp's Application.

PacifiCorp also provides a summary of the Settlement Stipulation. Following this summary, PacifiCorp explains the Stipulating Parties worked in good faith to achieve a workable agreement. PacifiCorp notes the agreement in the Settlement Stipulation reflects compromise and each party may have its own view of the value of the agreement. PacifiCorp states it supports the Settlement Stipulation, believes it is in the public interest, and recommends the Commission approve it as filed.

B. DIVISION

The Division testifies to its full support of the Settlement Stipulation, including the agreed-upon recovery of \$25.3 million and rate spread, as just, reasonable and in the public interest. The Division further indicates that its testimony raised several issues with regard to certain plant outages, trade purposes, trade approvals, and other matters.

The Division expresses concern about the current EBA audit process, noting several problems with the exchange of information between the Division and PacifiCorp. The Division asserts PacifiCorp failed to provide responses to some Division data requests in a timely

manner. Moreover, according to the Division, some responses provided by PacifiCorp did not adequately explain why certain costs should be recovered. The Division also notes it became aware of additional trading reports and other documents that PacifiCorp failed to identify or disclose. Finally, the Division disputes PacifiCorp's claims of required customer consent and proprietary rights as reasons for withholding key source documents. The Division believes PacifiCorp has a statutory responsibility to provide such documents regardless of its agreements with other third parties or customers.

The Division testifies the Settlement Stipulation contains steps that, if followed, will address these issues. However, the Division states that if improvements to the EBA audit process are not made by PacifiCorp in the next EBA case, the Division intends to seek penalties and may recommend the EBA be terminated. Notwithstanding these concerns, the Division maintains the Settlement Stipulation is in the public interest, and recommends it be approved.

C. OFFICE

The Office testified the \$3.0 million adjustment included in the Settlement Stipulation reflects three adjustments proposed by the Office in its testimony. The Office also states the proposed \$25.3 million EBA amount is appropriately spread to rate schedules and contract customers and is consistent with the NPC allocator method proposed by the Office and approved by the Commission in Docket No. 11-035-T10.⁸ The Office testified the Settlement

⁸ See *In the Matter of the Rocky Mountain Power Proposed Schedule 94, Energy Balancing Account (EBA) Pilot Program Tariff*, Docket No. 11-035-T10, (Order; May 1, 2012).

Stipulation results in a just and reasonable increase in EBA rates and therefore recommends the Commission approve the Settlement Stipulation.

IV. DISCUSSION, FINDINGS, AND CONCLUSIONS

The Stipulating Parties represent a diversity of interests and the major customer groups. These parties agree the Settlement Stipulation is in the public interest, and all of its terms and conditions will produce fair, just and reasonable results. No intervening party opposes the Settlement Stipulation.

As we have noted in previous orders, settlements of matters before the Commission are, by statute, encouraged at any stage of our proceedings.⁹ The Commission may approve a stipulation or settlement after considering the interests of the public and other affected persons, if it finds the stipulation or settlement in the public interest.¹⁰ In reviewing a settlement, the Commission may also consider whether it was the result of good faith, arms-length negotiation.¹¹ When reviewing a settlement involving a rate increase, the Commission may limit factors and issues to be considered in its determination of just and reasonable rates.¹²

Our consideration of the Settlement Stipulation is guided by Utah statutory provisions in Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-1, *et seq.*, encouraging informal resolution of matters

⁹ See Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-1. See also, *In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company to Adjust Rates for Natural Gas Service in Utah, et al.*, Docket Nos. 04-057-04, 04-057-11, 04-057-13, 04-057-09, 05-057-01, (Report and Order; January 6, 2006, at 26).

¹⁰ See *Utah Dept. of Admin. Services v. Public Service Comm'n*, 658 P.2d 601, 613-14 (Utah 1983).

¹¹ See *id.* at 614, n.24.

¹² See Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-1(4).

brought before the Commission. Based on our consideration of the evidence before us, the testimony and recommendations of the parties, and the applicable legal standards, we find approval of the Settlement Stipulation to be in the public interest and find it constitutes a reasonable and lawful basis for establishing just and reasonable rates. Based upon the foregoing, the Commission approves the Settlement Stipulation.

Our approval of the Settlement Stipulation, as in similar cases, is not intended to alter any existing Commission policy or to establish any Commission precedent.

V. ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing discussion, findings and conclusions, we order:

1. We approve the Settlement Stipulation that was filed on October 6, 2014.
2. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Settlement Stipulation, we authorize PacifiCorp to collect \$25.3 million from customers, beginning November 1, 2014. This amount shall be added to the deferred balances currently being collected in the EBA, per the terms and conditions of the Settlement Stipulation.
3. PacifiCorp shall file revised Electric Service Schedule No. 94, Energy Balancing Account (EBA) Pilot Program tariff sheets consistent with this order within seven days of this order.

DOCKET NO. 14-035-31

- 10 -

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, this 27th day of October, 2014.

/s/ Ron Allen, Chairman

/s/ David R. Clark, Commissioner

/s/ Thad LeVar, Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Gary L. Widerburg
Commission Secretary
DW#261668

Notice of Opportunity for Agency Review or Rehearing

Pursuant to §§ 63G-4-301 and 54-7-15 of the Utah Code, an aggrieved party may request agency review or rehearing of this Order by filing a written request with the Commission within 30 days after the issuance of this Order. Responses to a request for agency review or rehearing must be filed within 15 days of the filing of the request for review or rehearing. If the Commission does not grant a request for review or rehearing within 20 days after the filing of the request, it is deemed denied. Judicial review of the Commission's final agency action may be obtained by filing a petition for review with the Utah Supreme Court within 30 days after final agency action. Any petition for review must comply with the requirements of §§ 63G-4-401 and 63G-4-403 of the Utah Code and Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY that on the 27th day of October, 2014, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the following as indicated below:

By Electronic-Mail:

Data Request Response Center (datarequest@pacificorp.com)
PacifiCorp

David L. Taylor (dave.taylor@pacificorp.com)
Yvonne R. Hogle (yvonne.hogle@pacificorp.com)
Rocky Mountain Power

Gary A. Dodge (gdodge@hjdllaw.com)
Hatch, James & Dodge

Kevin Higgins (khiggins@energystrat.com)
Neal Townsend (ntownsend@energystrat.com)
Energy Strategies

By Hand-Delivery:

Division of Public Utilities
160 East 300 South, 4th Floor
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Office of Consumer Services
160 East 300 South, 2nd Floor
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Administrative Assistant

DOCKET NO. 14-035-31

- 12 -

STIPULATION DOCUMENTS

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER TO
INCREASE THE DEFERRED EBA RATE
THROUGH THE ENERGY BALANCING
ACCOUNT MECHANISM

Docket No. 14-035-31

SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

This Settlement Stipulation (Stipulation) is entered into in Docket No. 14-035-31 by and among the parties whose signatures appear on the signature pages hereof (collectively referred to herein as the “Parties” and individually as a “Party”).

1. All Parties have conducted settlement discussions over the course of several days. No intervening party opposes this Stipulation.

2. The Parties recommend that the Public Service Commission of Utah (Commission) approve the Stipulation and all of its terms and conditions. The Parties request that the Commission make findings of fact and reach conclusions of law based on the evidence filed in this proceeding and on this Stipulation and issue an appropriate order thereon.

3. The Parties agree that the Commission should allow Rocky Mountain Power (“RMP” or the “Company”) to recover Energy Balancing Account (EBA) costs in this matter in the amount of \$25.3 million, of the approximate amount of \$28.3 million that the Company requested in this Docket, based on an unspecified adjustment in the amount of \$3.0 million.

4. For all hedge transactions (prompt month and forward transactions), which exclude system balancing transactions (real-time through balance-of-month transactions), the

Company agrees to put in place a process for contemporaneously capturing trade purposes. The process will include documenting trade purpose through the weekly Commercial Objectives report, a report applying to all weekly trades regardless of notional value, and setting forth the trading strategy and objectives for the week and the rationale supporting the strategy and objectives.

5. The Company agrees to also document trade purposes, through an additional narrative specific to the trade, for trades that deviate from the strategy and objectives set forth in the Commercial Objectives report. The process will be discussed and documented in cooperation with the Division of Public Utilities (DPU) within 90 days of the approval of this Stipulation. This process will be subject to change over time as conditions warrant. The Parties agree this process for trade purpose documentation does not apply to transactions entered into prior to this Stipulation, and instead the Parties will work in good faith to review trade purpose for those trades utilizing the relevant documents and information archived by the Company as well as discussing directly with Company personnel, where applicable.

6. The Company acknowledges that it has an obligation to provide industrial customer billing information related to curtailment buy-through. In advance of the EBA application filing in future cases, the Company agrees to proactively seek customer permission to provide the documentation that is needed for the DPU audit. The Company will seek to negotiate in future contracts with industrial customers the right to provide the information to the DPU as needed for audit purposes without specific customer consent.

7. The Company agrees to provide, upon request in future EBA cases, a contact at the IntercontinentalExchange (ICE) and to coordinate requests for ICE data with the ICE representative.

8. The Parties agree that the DPU may request, outside of a formal EBA case, additional information from the Company concerning certain trades. The Company agrees to provide the requested information if available.

9. The Company agrees to continue to provide in its quarterly EBA reports trade information consistent with EBA filing requirement 6(b). This information will be provided in the same format and detail as the Company's response to DPU data request 3.1 in this case. The Company agrees to make its best efforts to provide the fourth quarter trade information in EBA filing requirement 6(b) annually, on February 15, but no later than March 1, prior to an annual EBA filing on March 15. When the fourth quarter information is provided, it will be provided in the same format and detail as DPU data request 3.1 in this case and include data for all four quarters. This information will be filed again with the Company's EBA application filing and will replace the current FR 6-2, 6-3, 6-4 and 6-5 spreadsheets. The SAP reconciliations that are currently shown in the FR 6-2, 6-3, 6-4, 6-5 spreadsheets will also be provided in the annual EBA application filing.

10. The Company agrees to provide within 90 days of approval of this Stipulation a comprehensive list of documents, policies, and reports used or relied on by traders in trading activity, including a description of how the information is generally used. This information will include a notation of the time periods during which the information is used relative to the evaluation of transactions in a future EBA proceeding. This list shall be comprehensive for items

that are currently used, and the Company shall use reasonable efforts to provide the items that were used prior to the date of this Stipulation and are relevant for evaluation of future EBA application filings. The Company and the DPU shall meet to review the list and shall work in good faith to complete the list to the satisfaction of both parties.

11. The Company agrees to answer all data requests timely, subject to it raising any objections to scope or questions regarding the request with the DPU as soon as practicable. If a data request response is to be late, the Company will notify the requesting party of the date on which the response will be provided, which becomes the new due date for response unless the requesting party objects and files a motion to compel or another request for agency action. The DPU agrees to raise any issues related to completeness of Company responses or questions regarding responses with the Company as soon as practicable.

12. The Company agrees to make the personnel who prepared the relevant information in support of the EBA application filing available in person or by phone with reasonable notice to review relevant material with the DPU as needed.

13. After the DPU has selected its sample trades, the Company and the DPU agree to meet in person with staff and documentation on hand, at DPU offices, to discuss the trades included in the DPU sample and any relevant data, documents, policies and reports concerning those trades.

14. The Company agrees to file a notice of the impending EBA application filing annually on January 15.

15. Beginning November 1, 2014, the Company will record the competitive price for non-brokered transactions.

16. The Company will inform the DPU of any updates to the Policy Documents¹³ that affect hedging including a detailed explanation of the reason(s) for the update. The Company will also continue to include description and explanation of and changes to PacifiCorp's current risk management policies in the semi-annual hedging report.

17. Consistent with the Settlement Stipulation in Docket No. 13-035-184 (2014 GRC), the Parties agree that, effective November 1, 2014, all deferral balances currently being collected in the EBA from Docket Nos. 10-035-124, 12-035-67 and 13-035-32, shall be added together with the \$25.3 million balance in this case, subject to Commission approval of this Stipulation, with the total balance to be collected over one year beginning November 1, 2014.

18. Consistent with the Settlement Stipulation in the 2014 GRC, the Parties further agree that such prior EBA balances shall continue to be collected from customers without interest during the collection period, but that the \$25.3 million balance in this case, subject to Commission approval of this Stipulation, will accrue interest during the collection period, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

19. The Parties agree to the spread and the rate design of the approved rate change, including the balances to be consolidated with the \$25.3 million balance in this case, for rate schedules as set forth in Exhibit A, attached hereto. The EBA spread is consistent with the NPC Allocator method ordered by the Commission in Docket No. 11-035-T10.

20. The Parties request that the Commission issue its order in this Docket in time for the approved rate change to become effective November 1, 2014.

¹³ Policy Documents include the Corporate Governance and Approvals Process, Risk Management Policy and Front Office Procedures and Practices, including the signing authorities maintained in SAP.

21. The Parties agree that no part of this Stipulation or the formulae and methodologies used in developing the same or a Commission order approving the same shall in any manner be argued or considered as precedential in any future case except with regard to issues expressly called-out and resolved by this Stipulation. This Stipulation does not resolve and does not provide any inferences regarding, and the Parties are free to take any position with respect to any issues not specifically called-out and settled herein.

22. Not all Stipulating Parties agree that each aspect of this Stipulation is supportable in isolation. Utah Code Annotated Section 54-7-1 authorizes the Commission to approve a settlement so long as the settlement is just and reasonable in result. While the Stipulating Parties are not able to agree that each specific component of this Stipulation is just and reasonable in isolation, all of the Stipulating Parties agree that this Stipulation as a whole is just and reasonable in result and in the public interest.

23. All negotiations related to this Stipulation are confidential, and no Party shall be bound by any position asserted in negotiations. Except as expressly provided in this Stipulation, and in accordance with Utah Administrative Code R746-100-10.F.5, neither the execution of this Stipulation nor the order adopting it shall be deemed to constitute an admission or acknowledgement by any Party of the validity or invalidity of any principle or practice of regulatory accounting or ratemaking; nor shall they be construed to constitute the basis of an estoppel or waiver by any Party; nor shall they be introduced or used as evidence for any other purpose in a future proceeding by any Party except in a proceeding to enforce this Stipulation.

24. The Parties request that the Commission consider this Stipulation at the hearing scheduled in this docket. The Company, the Division and the Office each will, and any other

Party that has intervened in these proceedings may, make one or more witnesses available to explain and offer further support for this Stipulation. As applied to the Division and the Office, the explanation and support shall be consistent with their statutory authority and responsibility.

25. This Stipulation may be executed by individual Parties through two or more separate, conformed copies, the aggregate of which will be considered as an integrated instrument.

[the remainder of this page is intentionally left blank]

DATED this 6th day of October, 2014.

/s/ R. Jeff Richards
R. Jeff Richards
Yvonne R. Hogle
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER
201 South Main Street, Suite 2300
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

/s/ Chris Parker
Chris Parker
DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
500 Heber Wells Building
160 East 300 South, 4th Floor
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

/s/ Gary Dodge
Gary Dodge
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

/s/ Michele Beck
Michele Beck
OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES
500 Heber Wells Building
160 East 300 South, 2nd Floor
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Rate Spread
Rocky Mountain Power
Estimated Effect of Proposed Changes
on Revenues from Electric Sales to Ultimate Consumers in Utah
Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011
Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013

Line No.	Description (1)	Sch No. (2)	GRC Step 2	GRC	Settlement			EBA-Total (9)		
			Present Revenues (\$000) (3)	NPC Allocator (\$000) (4)	EBA-2014 (\$000) % (5)	EBA-1 (\$000) (6)	EBA-2 (\$000) (7)		EBA-3 (\$000) (8)	
Residential										
1	Residential	1,3	\$710,371		\$7,481	1.1%	\$4,162	\$379	\$2,328	\$14,351
2	Residential-Optional TOD	2	\$340		\$4	1.1%	\$2	\$0	\$1	\$7
3	AGA/Revenue Credit	--	\$37							
4	Total Residential		\$710,747	\$186,767	\$7,485	1.1%	\$4,164	\$379	\$2,329	\$14,358
Commercial & Industrial & OSPA										
5	General Service-Distribution	6	\$477,242		\$6,230	1.3%	\$2,470	\$225	\$1,938	\$10,863
6	General Service-Distribution-Energy TOD	6A	\$32,126		\$419	1.3%	\$166	\$15	\$130	\$731
7	General Service-Distribution-Demand TOD	6B	\$1,783		\$23	1.3%	\$9	\$1	\$7	\$41
8	<i>Subtotal Schedule 6</i>		\$511,151	\$166,497	\$6,672	1.3%	\$2,645	\$241	\$2,076	\$11,635
9	General Service-Distribution > 1,000 kW	8	\$154,785	\$55,469	\$2,223	1.4%	\$922	\$84	\$692	\$3,921
10	General Service-High Voltage	9	\$247,563		\$4,624	1.9%	\$1,642	\$150	\$1,439	\$7,855
11	General Service-High Voltage-Energy TOD	9A	\$3,184		\$59	1.9%	\$21	\$2	\$19	\$101
12	<i>Subtotal Schedule 9</i>		\$250,747	\$116,867	\$4,684	1.9%	\$1,663	\$152	\$1,457	\$7,956
13	Irrigation	10	\$13,111		\$183	1.4%	\$72	\$7	\$57	\$319
14	Irrigation-Time of Day	10TOD	\$1,294		\$18	1.4%	\$7	\$1	\$6	\$31
15	<i>Subtotal Irrigation</i>		\$14,405	\$5,026	\$201	1.4%	\$79	\$7	\$63	\$350
16	Electric Furnace	21	\$375		\$7	1.9%	\$2	\$0	\$2	\$12
17	General Service-Distribution-Small	23	\$139,760	\$39,440	\$1,581	1.1%	\$699	\$64	\$492	\$2,835
18	Back-up, Maintenance, & Supplementary	31	\$5,325		\$99	1.9%	\$5	\$0	\$31	\$136
19	Contract 1	--	\$26,082	\$13,775	\$342	1.3%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$342
20	Contract 2	--	\$30,487	\$17,269	\$692	2.3%	\$0	\$0	\$215	\$907
21	Contract 3	--	\$64,574		\$1,206	1.9%	\$458	\$42	\$375	\$2,081
22	AGA/Revenue Credit	--	\$4,490							
23	Total Commercial & Industrial & OSPA		\$1,202,181	\$414,343	\$17,708	1.5%	\$6,474	\$590	\$5,403	\$30,175
24	Total Commercial & Industrial		\$1,171,609	\$400,568	\$17,366	1.5%	\$6,474	\$590	\$5,403	\$29,833
Public Street Lighting										
25	Security Area Lighting	7	\$2,965	\$521	\$21	0.7%	\$0	\$0	\$7	\$27
26	Street Lighting - Company Owned	11	\$5,089	\$895	\$36	0.7%	\$0	\$0	\$11	\$47
27	Street Lighting - Customer Owned	12	\$4,059	\$714	\$29	0.7%	\$0	\$0	\$9	\$38
28	Metered Outdoor Lighting	15	\$1,145	\$394	\$16	1.4%	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$21
29	Traffic Signal Systems	15	\$640	\$150	\$6	0.9%	\$3	\$0	\$2	\$12
30	<i>Subtotal Public Street Lighting</i>		\$13,897	\$2,674	\$107	0.8%	\$3	\$0	\$33	\$144
31	Security Area Lighting-Contracts (PTL)	--	\$1	\$0						
32	Street Lighting-Contract (77)	--	\$17	\$0						
33	AGA/Revenue Credit	--	\$5	\$0						
34	Total Public Street Lighting		\$13,919	\$2,674	\$107	0.8%	\$3	\$0	\$33	\$144
35	Total Sales to Ultimate Customers		\$1,926,847	\$603,784	\$25,300	1.3%	\$10,642	\$970	\$7,766	\$44,677

Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah
Blocking Based on Adjusted Actual and Forecasted Loads
Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011
Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013

Forecasted	Step 2 - 9/1/2013		Present EBA		Proposed EBA		
	Units	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
Schedule No. 1- Residential Service							
Total Customer	8,214,897						
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	8,074,116	\$5.00	\$40,370,580				
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	7,581	\$10.00	\$75,810				
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	1,248,801,465	8.8498 ¢	\$110,516,432	1.74%	\$1,922,986	2.15%	\$2,376,103
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	1,034,266,177	11.5429 ¢	\$119,384,311	1.74%	\$2,077,287	2.15%	\$2,566,763
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	584,936,494	14.4508 ¢	\$84,528,003	1.74%	\$1,470,787	2.15%	\$1,817,352
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	3,463,390,447						
<i>First 400 kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	<i>1,745,473,822</i>	<i>8.8498 ¢</i>	<i>\$154,470,942</i>	<i>1.74%</i>	<i>\$2,687,794</i>	<i>2.15%</i>	<i>\$3,321,125</i>
<i>All add'l kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	<i>1,717,916,625</i>	<i>9.8913 ¢</i>	<i>\$169,924,287</i>	<i>1.74%</i>	<i>\$2,956,683</i>	<i>2.15%</i>	<i>\$3,653,372</i>
Minimum 1 Phase	133,006	\$7.00	\$931,042				
Minimum 3 Phase	194	\$14.00	\$2,714				
Minimum Seasonal	0	\$84.00	\$0				
kWh in Minimum	1,397,932						
kWh in Minimum - Summer	619,354						
kWh in Minimum - Winter	778,578						
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	6,332,792,515		\$680,204,121		\$11,115,537		\$13,734,715
Schedule No. 3- Residential Service							
Total Customer	420,054						
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	418,089	\$5.00	\$2,090,445				
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	240	\$10.00	\$2,400				
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	69,051,504	8.8498 ¢	\$6,110,920	1.74%	\$106,330	2.15%	\$131,385
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	45,544,092	11.5429 ¢	\$5,257,109	1.74%	\$91,474	2.15%	\$113,028
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	14,206,867	14.4508 ¢	\$2,053,006	1.74%	\$35,722	2.15%	\$44,140
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	157,368,786						
<i>First 400 kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	<i>88,771,226</i>	<i>8.8498 ¢</i>	<i>\$7,856,076</i>	<i>1.74%</i>	<i>\$136,696</i>	<i>2.15%</i>	<i>\$168,906</i>
<i>All add'l kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	<i>68,597,560</i>	<i>9.8913 ¢</i>	<i>\$6,785,190</i>	<i>1.74%</i>	<i>\$118,062</i>	<i>2.15%</i>	<i>\$145,882</i>
Minimum 1 Phase	1,725	\$7.00	\$12,073				
Minimum 3 Phase	0	\$14.00	\$0				
Minimum Seasonal	0	\$84.00	\$0				
kWh in Minimum	20,531						
kWh in Minimum - Summer	8,862						
kWh in Minimum - Winter	11,669						
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	286,191,780		\$30,167,219		\$488,284		\$603,339
Schedule No. 2 - Residential Service Optional Time-of-Day							
Total Customer	4,325						
Customer Charge - 1 Phase	4,240	\$5.00	\$21,200				
Customer Charge - 3 Phase	0	\$10.00	\$0				
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	285,071	4.3560 ¢	\$12,418				
Off-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	1,033,062	(1.6334) ¢	(\$16,874)				
First 400 kWh (May-Sept)	682,832	8.8498 ¢	\$60,429	1.74%	\$1,051	2.15%	\$1,299
Next 600 kWh (May-Sept)	470,837	11.5429 ¢	\$54,348	1.74%	\$946	2.15%	\$1,168
All add'l kWh (May-Sept)	199,280	14.4508 ¢	\$28,798	1.74%	\$501	2.15%	\$619
All kWh (Oct-Apr)	1,906,444						
<i>First 400 kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	<i>941,736</i>	<i>8.8498 ¢</i>	<i>\$83,342</i>	<i>1.74%</i>	<i>\$1,450</i>	<i>2.15%</i>	<i>\$1,792</i>
<i>All add'l kWh (Oct-Apr)</i>	<i>964,708</i>	<i>9.8913 ¢</i>	<i>\$95,422</i>	<i>1.74%</i>	<i>\$1,660</i>	<i>2.15%</i>	<i>\$2,052</i>
Minimum 1 Phase	85	\$7.00	\$598				
Minimum 3 Phase	0	\$14.00	\$0				
Minimum Seasonal	0	\$84.00	\$0				
kWh in Minimum	582						
kWh in Minimum - Summer	236						
kWh in Minimum - Winter	346						
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	3,259,975		\$339,681		\$5,609		\$6,930

Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah
Blocking Based on Adjusted Actual and Forecasted Loads
Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011
Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013

	Step 2 - 9/1/2013		Present EBA		Proposed EBA		
	Forecasted Units	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
Schedule No. 6 - Composite							
Customer Charge	161,759	\$54.00	\$8,734,986				
All kW (May - Sept)	7,236,066	\$18.12	\$131,117,516	1.58%	\$2,071,657	2.32%	\$3,041,926
All kW (Oct - Apr)	8,823,903	\$14.54	\$128,299,550	1.58%	\$2,027,133	2.32%	\$2,976,550
Voltage Discount	612,787	(\$0.93)	(\$569,892)				
All kWh	5,746,434,279						
kWh (May - Sept)	2,584,270,137	3.8127 ¢	\$98,530,468	1.58%	\$1,556,781	2.32%	\$2,285,907
kWh (Oct - Apr)	3,162,164,142	3.5143 ¢	\$111,127,934	1.58%	\$1,755,821	2.32%	\$2,578,168
Seasonal Service	0	\$648.00	\$0				
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	5,746,434,279		\$477,240,562		\$7,411,392		\$10,882,551
Schedule No. 6B - Demand Time-of-Day Option - Composite							
Customer Charge	384	\$54.00	\$20,736				
All On-peak kW (May - Sept)	28,701	\$18.12	\$520,062	1.58%	\$8,217	2.32%	\$12,065
All On-peak kW (Oct - Apr)	32,100	\$14.54	\$466,734	1.58%	\$7,374	2.32%	\$10,828
Voltage Discount	0	(\$0.93)	\$0				
All kWh	21,133,170						
kWh (May-Sept)	11,014,981	3.8127 ¢	\$419,968	1.58%	\$6,635	2.32%	\$9,743
kWh (Oct-Apr)	10,118,189	3.5143 ¢	\$355,584	1.58%	\$5,618	2.32%	\$8,250
Seasonal Service	0	\$648.00	\$0				
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	21,133,170		\$1,783,084		\$27,845		\$40,886
Schedule No. 6A - Energy Time-of-Day Option - Composite							
Customer Charge	28,731	\$54.00	\$1,551,474				
Facilities kW (May - Sept)	861,704	\$6.41	\$5,523,523				
Facilities kW (Oct - Apr)	1,039,237	\$5.38	\$5,591,095				
Voltage Discount	32,411	(\$0.60)	(\$19,447)				
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	57,731,948	11.7307 ¢	\$6,772,362	2.56%	\$173,372	3.75%	\$253,964
Off-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	58,399,436	3.5318 ¢	\$2,062,551	2.56%	\$52,801	3.75%	\$77,346
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	85,611,702	9.8056 ¢	\$8,394,741	2.56%	\$214,905	3.75%	\$314,803
Off-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	75,991,996	2.9603 ¢	\$2,249,591	2.56%	\$57,590	3.75%	\$84,360
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	277,735,082		\$32,125,890		\$498,669		\$730,472
Schedule No. 7 - Security Area Lighting							
<i>MERCURY VAPOR LAMPS</i>							
4,000 Lumen Energy Only	23	\$5.68	\$131.00	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$1
7,000 Lumen	44,936	\$16.38	\$736,052	0.21%	\$1,546	0.92%	\$6,772
7,000 Lumen Energy Only	265	\$8.05	\$2,133	0.21%	\$4	0.92%	\$20
20,000 Lumen	11,546	\$26.78	\$309,202	0.21%	\$649	0.92%	\$2,845
<i>SODIUM VAPOR LAMPS</i>							
5,600 Lumen New Pole	3,488	\$14.60	\$50,925	0.21%	\$107	0.92%	\$469
5,600 Lumen No New Pole	1,747	\$12.23	\$21,366	0.21%	\$45	0.92%	\$197
9,500 Lumen New Pole	23,053	\$15.47	\$356,630	0.21%	\$749	0.92%	\$3,281
9,500 Lumen No New Pole	22,349	\$13.31	\$297,465	0.21%	\$625	0.92%	\$2,737
16,000 Lumen New Pole	2,610	\$19.46	\$50,791	0.21%	\$107	0.92%	\$467
16,000 Lumen No New Pole	2,641	\$17.13	\$45,240	0.21%	\$95	0.92%	\$416
22,000 Lumen	118	\$21.07	\$2,486	0.21%	\$5	0.92%	\$23
27,500 Lumen New Pole	3,232	\$23.51	\$75,984	0.21%	\$160	0.92%	\$699
27,500 Lumen No New Pole	3,175	\$21.23	\$67,405	0.21%	\$142	0.92%	\$620
50,000 Lumen New Pole	1,165	\$28.30	\$32,970	0.21%	\$69	0.92%	\$303
50,000 Lumen No New Pole	1,834	\$25.99	\$47,666	0.21%	\$100	0.92%	\$439
<i>SODIUM VAPOR FLOOD LAMPS</i>							
16,000 Lumen New Pole	4,676	\$19.46	\$90,995	0.21%	\$191	0.92%	\$837
16,000 Lumen No New Pole	5,069	\$17.13	\$86,832	0.21%	\$182	0.92%	\$799
27,500 Lumen New Pole	1,127	\$23.51	\$26,496	0.21%	\$56	0.92%	\$244
27,500 Lumen No New Pole	1,609	\$21.23	\$34,159	0.21%	\$72	0.92%	\$314
50,000 Lumen New Pole	9,901	\$28.30	\$280,198	0.21%	\$588	0.92%	\$2,578
50,000 Lumen No New Pole	11,569	\$25.99	\$300,678	0.21%	\$631	0.92%	\$2,766
<i>METAL HALIDE LAMPS</i>							
12,000 Lumen New Pole	0	\$29.40	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
12,000 Lumen No New Pole	242	\$21.79	\$5,273	0.21%	\$11	0.92%	\$49
19,500 Lumen New Pole	104	\$34.34	\$3,571	0.21%	\$7	0.92%	\$33
19,500 Lumen No New Pole	92	\$27.43	\$2,524	0.21%	\$5	0.92%	\$23

Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah
Blocking Based on Adjusted Actual and Forecasted Loads
Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011
Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013

	Forecasted Units	Step 2 - 9/1/2013		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
32,000 Lumen New Pole	415	\$36.69	\$15,226	0.21%	\$32	0.92%	\$140
32,000 Lumen No New Pole	535	\$29.72	\$15,900	0.21%	\$33	0.92%	\$146
107,000 Lumen New Pole	23	\$57.58	\$1,324	0.21%	\$3	0.92%	\$12
107,000 Lumen No New Pole	104	\$49.10	\$5,106	0.21%	\$11	0.92%	\$47
Subtotal	157,648		\$2,964,728		\$6,226		\$27,275
kWh Included	12,321,574						
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Customers	7,865						
Total (kWh)	12,321,574		\$2,964,728		\$6,226		\$27,275
Schedule No. 8 - Composite							
Customer Charge	3,565	\$68.00	\$242,420				
Facilities kW	4,772,324	\$4.62	\$22,048,137				
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	1,975,920	\$15.10	\$29,836,392	2.02%	\$602,695	2.93%	\$874,206
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	2,667,179	\$10.87	\$28,992,236	2.02%	\$585,643	2.93%	\$849,473
Voltage Discount	1,901,244	(\$1.10)	(\$2,091,368)				
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	250,201,729	4.8999 ¢	\$12,259,635	2.02%	\$247,645	2.93%	\$359,207
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	596,020,623	3.8356 ¢	\$22,860,967	2.02%	\$461,792	2.93%	\$669,826
Off-Peak kWh	1,230,693,339	3.3019 ¢	\$40,636,263	2.02%	\$820,853	2.93%	\$1,190,643
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	2,076,915,691		\$154,784,682		\$2,718,627		\$3,943,355
Schedule No. 9 - Composite							
Customer Charge	1,710	\$247.00	\$422,370				
Facilities kW	8,310,024	\$2.12	\$17,617,251				
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	3,430,491	\$13.32	\$45,694,140	2.23%	\$1,018,979	3.43%	\$1,567,309
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	4,733,270	\$9.03	\$42,741,428	2.23%	\$953,134	3.43%	\$1,466,031
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	471,006,782	4.4379 ¢	\$20,902,810	2.23%	\$466,133	3.43%	\$716,966
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	1,240,617,545	3.3371 ¢	\$41,400,648	2.23%	\$923,234	3.43%	\$1,420,042
Off-Peak kWh	2,826,442,915	2.7873 ¢	\$78,781,443	2.23%	\$1,756,826	3.43%	\$2,702,203
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	4,538,067,242		\$247,560,090		\$5,118,306		\$7,872,552
Schedule No. 9A - Energy TOD - Composite							
Customer Charge	108	\$247.00	\$26,676				
Facilities Charge per kW	247,208	\$2.12	\$524,081				
On-Peak kWh	24,112,579	8.2002 ¢	\$1,977,280	2.48%	\$49,037	3.84%	\$75,928
Off-Peak kWh	18,605,127	3.5251 ¢	\$655,849	2.48%	\$16,265	3.84%	\$25,185
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	42,717,706		\$3,183,886		\$65,302		\$101,112
Schedule No. 10 - Irrigation							
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Primary	6	\$121.00	\$726				
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Secondary	2,641	\$37.00	\$97,717				
Monthly Cust. Serv. Chg.	11,758	\$14.00	\$164,612				
All On-Season kW	374,044	\$7.04	\$2,633,270	1.69%	\$44,502	2.49%	\$65,568
Voltage Discount	4,469	(\$1.97)	(\$8,804)				
First 30,000 kWh	79,033,048	7.0156 ¢	\$5,544,643	1.69%	\$93,704	2.49%	\$138,062
All add'l kWh	49,786,304	5.1855 ¢	\$2,581,669	1.69%	\$43,630	2.49%	\$64,284
Total On Season	128,819,352		\$11,013,833		\$181,837		\$267,914
Post Season							
Customers	5,098	\$14.00	\$71,372				
kWh	42,136,180	4.8055 ¢	\$2,024,854	1.69%	\$34,220	2.49%	\$50,419
Total Post Season	42,136,180		\$2,096,226		\$34,220		\$50,419
Unbilled	0		\$0				
TOTAL RATE 10	170,955,532		\$13,110,059		\$216,057		\$318,332
Schedule No. 10-TOD							
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Primary	3	\$121.00	\$363				
Annual Cust. Serv. Chg. - Secondary	260	\$37.00	\$9,620				
Monthly Cust. Serv. Chg.	1,144	\$14.00	\$16,016				
All On-Season kW	46,123	\$7.04	\$324,706	1.69%	\$5,488	2.49%	\$8,085
Voltage Discount kW	2,564	(\$1.97)	(\$5,051)				
On-Peak kWh	2,538,780	13.8603 ¢	\$351,883	1.69%	\$5,947	2.49%	\$8,762
Off-Peak kWh	9,267,796	4.0252 ¢	\$373,047	1.69%	\$6,304	2.49%	\$9,289
Total On Season	11,806,576		\$1,070,584		\$17,739		\$26,136

Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah
Blocking Based on Adjusted Actual and Forecasted Loads
Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011
Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013

	Step 2 - 9/1/2013		Present EBA		Proposed EBA		
	Forecasted Units	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
Post Season							
Customers	551	\$14.00	\$7,714				
kWh	4,517,896	4.8055 ¢	\$217,107	1.69%	\$3,669	2.49%	\$5,406
Total Post Season	4,517,896		\$224,821		\$3,669		\$5,406
Unbilled	0		\$0				
TOTAL RATE 10-TOD	16,324,472		\$1,295,405		\$21,408		\$31,542

Schedule No. 11 - Street Lighting - Company-Owned System

	Units	Price	Revenue Dollars	Rate	Revenue Dollars	Rate	Revenue Dollars
<i>Sodium Vapor Lamps (HPS)</i>							
5,600 Lumen - Functional	40,532	\$11.80	\$478,278	0.21%	\$1,004	0.92%	\$4,400
9,500 Lumen - Functional	220,174	\$12.78	\$2,813,824	0.21%	\$5,909	0.92%	\$25,887
9,500 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	136	\$11.50	\$1,564	0.21%	\$3	0.92%	\$14
9,500 Lumen - S1	301	\$46.54	\$14,009	0.21%	\$29	0.92%	\$129
9,500 Lumen - S2	170	\$38.05	\$6,469	0.21%	\$14	0.92%	\$60
16,000 Lumen - Functional	19,524	\$16.94	\$330,737	0.21%	\$695	0.92%	\$3,043
16,000 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	84	\$15.25	\$1,281	0.21%	\$3	0.92%	\$12
16,000 Lumen - S1	1,223	\$47.83	\$58,496	0.21%	\$123	0.92%	\$538
16,000 Lumen - S2	742	\$39.34	\$29,190	0.21%	\$61	0.92%	\$269
27,500 Lumen - Functional	26,455	\$21.14	\$559,259	0.21%	\$1,174	0.92%	\$5,145
27,500 Lumen - Functional @ 90%	42	\$19.03	\$799	0.21%	\$2	0.92%	\$7
27,500 Lumen - S1	1,173	\$51.48	\$60,386	0.21%	\$127	0.92%	\$556
27,500 Lumen - S2	0	\$43.01	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
50,000 Lumen - Functional	11,790	\$26.02	\$306,776	0.21%	\$644	0.92%	\$2,822
125,000 Lumen	0	\$51.54	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
<i>Metal Halide Lamps (MH)</i>							
9,000 Lumen - S1	42	\$48.74	\$2,047	0.21%	\$4	0.92%	\$19
9,000 Lumen - S2	513	\$40.27	\$20,659	0.21%	\$43	0.92%	\$190
12,000 Lumen - Functional	117	\$20.13	\$2,355	0.21%	\$5	0.92%	\$22
12,000 Lumen - S1	0	\$50.65	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
12,000 Lumen - S2	1,540	\$42.17	\$64,942	0.21%	\$136	0.92%	\$597
19,500 Lumen - Functional	337	\$22.13	\$7,458	0.21%	\$16	0.92%	\$69
19,500 Lumen - S1	84	\$53.69	\$4,510	0.21%	\$9	0.92%	\$41
19,500 Lumen - S2	373	\$45.20	\$16,860	0.21%	\$35	0.92%	\$155
32,000 Lumen - Functional	10	\$25.78	\$258	0.21%	\$1	0.92%	\$2
32,000 Lumen - S1	0	\$55.33	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
32,000 Lumen - S2	0	\$46.86	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps (No New Service) (MV)</i>							
4,000 Lumen	8,417	\$11.09	\$93,345	0.21%	\$196	0.92%	\$859
7,000 Lumen	10,540	\$13.83	\$145,768	0.21%	\$306	0.92%	\$1,341
10,000 Lumen	281	\$19.40	\$5,451	0.21%	\$11	0.92%	\$50
10,000 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$17.46	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
20,000 Lumen	1,685	\$24.43	\$41,165	0.21%	\$86	0.92%	\$379
<i>Incandescent Lamps (No New Service) (INC)</i>							
500 Lumen	0	\$11.99	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
600 Lumen	126	\$4.24	\$534	0.21%	\$1	0.92%	\$5
2,500 Lumen	21	\$17.11	\$359	0.21%	\$1	0.92%	\$3
4,000 Lumen	332	\$20.43	\$6,783	0.21%	\$14	0.92%	\$62
6,000 Lumen	550	\$23.82	\$13,101	0.21%	\$28	0.92%	\$121
10,000 Lumen	21	\$31.47	\$661	0.21%	\$1	0.92%	\$6
<i>Fluorescent Lamps (No New Service) (FLOUR)</i>							
21,000 Lumen	10	\$27.85	\$279	0.21%	\$1	0.92%	\$3
<i>Special Service (No New Service)</i>							
50,000 Lumen - Flood	42	\$39.04	\$1,640	0.21%	\$3	0.92%	\$15
Subtotal	347,387		\$5,089,243		\$10,687		\$46,821
kWh Included	17,077,687						
Customers	834						
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	17,077,687		\$5,089,243		\$10,687		\$46,821

Schedule No. 12 - Street Lighting - Customer-Owned System

1. Energy Only, No Maintenance

	Units	Price	Revenue Dollars	Rate	Revenue Dollars	Rate	Revenue Dollars
<i>High Pressures Sodium Vapor Lamps</i>							
5,600 Lumen	112,356	\$1.83	\$205,611	0.21%	\$432	0.92%	\$1,892
9,500 Lumen	141,986	\$2.50	\$354,965	0.21%	\$745	0.92%	\$3,266
16,000 Lumen	126,742	\$3.66	\$463,876	0.21%	\$974	0.92%	\$4,268
27,500 Lumen	54,240	\$6.52	\$353,645	0.21%	\$743	0.92%	\$3,254

Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah
Blocking Based on Adjusted Actual and Forecasted Loads
Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011
Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013

	Forecasted Units	Step 2 - 9/1/2013		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
50,000 Lumen	74,391	\$10.02	\$745,398	0.21%	\$1,565	0.92%	\$6,858
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>							
9,000 Lumen	6,486	\$2.55	\$16,539	0.21%	\$35	0.92%	\$152
12,000 Lumen	18,071	\$4.46	\$80,597	0.21%	\$169	0.92%	\$741
19,500 Lumen	29,386	\$6.17	\$181,312	0.21%	\$381	0.92%	\$1,668
32,000 Lumen	28,769	\$9.77	\$281,073	0.21%	\$590	0.92%	\$2,586
<i>Non-listed Luminaries kWh</i>	8,033,000	6.5279 ¢	\$524,386	0.21%	\$1,101	0.92%	\$4,824
<i>Subtotal kWh</i>	48,815,242		\$3,207,402		\$6,736		\$29,508
<i>Unbilled</i>							
<i>Total</i>	48,815,242		\$3,207,402		\$6,736		\$29,508
<i>Customer</i>	445						
2a - Partial Maintenance (No New Service)							
<i>Incandescent Lamps</i>							
2,500 Lumen or Less	78	\$8.96	\$699	0.21%	\$1	0.92%	\$6
2,500 Lumen or Less @ 85%	0	\$7.62	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
4,000 Lumen	35	\$12.19	\$427	0.21%	\$1	0.92%	\$4
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps</i>							
4,000 Lumen	48	\$4.64	\$223	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$2
7,000 Lumen	563	\$7.00	\$3,941	0.21%	\$8	0.92%	\$36
10,000 Lumen	0	\$9.08	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
20,000 Lumen	82	\$13.33	\$1,093	0.21%	\$2	0.92%	\$10
54,000 Lumen	0	\$28.38	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
<i>High Pressure Sodium Vapor Lamps</i>							
5,600 Lumen	29,589	\$4.08	\$120,723	0.21%	\$254	0.92%	\$1,111
9,500 Lumen	12,331	\$5.37	\$66,217	0.21%	\$139	0.92%	\$609
9,500 Lumen @ 85%	10	\$4.56	\$46	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
9,500 Lumen - Decorative	7,256	\$6.96	\$50,502	0.21%	\$106	0.92%	\$465
16,000 Lumen	3,205	\$6.52	\$20,897	0.21%	\$44	0.92%	\$192
16,000 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$5.54	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
16,000 Lumen - Decorative	954	\$8.27	\$7,890	0.21%	\$17	0.92%	\$73
22,000 Lumen	0	\$8.26	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
27,500 Lumen	5,284	\$9.59	\$50,674	0.21%	\$106	0.92%	\$466
27,500 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$8.16	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
27,500 Lumen - Decorative	107	\$11.93	\$1,277	0.21%	\$3	0.92%	\$12
50,000 Lumen	9,005	\$14.00	\$126,070	0.21%	\$265	0.92%	\$1,160
50,000 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$11.89	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
50,000 Lumen - Decorative	156	\$15.56	\$2,427	0.21%	\$5	0.92%	\$22
125,000 Lumen	0	\$26.38	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>							
9,000 Lumen - Decorative	458	\$9.19	\$4,209	0.21%	\$9	0.92%	\$39
12,000 Lumen	943	\$13.57	\$12,797	0.21%	\$27	0.92%	\$118
12,000 Lumen @ 85%	0	\$11.54	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
12,000 Lumen - Decorative	642	\$11.09	\$7,120	0.21%	\$15	0.92%	\$66
19,500 Lumen	575	\$13.71	\$7,883	0.21%	\$17	0.92%	\$73
19,500 Lumen - Decorative	4,421	\$14.13	\$62,469	0.21%	\$131	0.92%	\$575
32,000 Lumen	397	\$14.58	\$5,788	0.21%	\$12	0.92%	\$53
32,000 Lumen - Decorative	471	\$15.79	\$7,437	0.21%	\$16	0.92%	\$68
<i>Fluorescent Lamps</i>							
1,000 Lumen	3	\$3.75	\$11	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
21,800 Lumen	68	\$13.92	\$947	0.21%	\$2	0.92%	\$9
<i>Subtotal kWh</i>	4,499,863		\$561,767		\$1,180		\$5,168
<i>Unbilled</i>							
<i>Total</i>	4,499,863		\$561,767		\$1,180		\$5,168
<i>Customer</i>	235						
2b - Full Maintenance (No New Service)							
<i>Incandescent Lamps</i>							
6,000 Lumen	32	\$17.73	\$567	0.21%	\$1	0.92%	\$5
10,000 Lumen	11	\$23.40	\$257	0.21%	\$1	0.92%	\$2
<i>Mercury Vapor Lamps</i>							
7,000 Lumen	42	\$8.03	\$337	0.21%	\$1	0.92%	\$3
20,000 Lumen	0	\$15.30	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
54,000 Lumen	85	\$32.48	\$2,761	0.21%	\$6	0.92%	\$25
<i>Sodium Vapor Lamps</i>							
5,600 Lumen	5,268	\$4.68	\$24,654	0.21%	\$52	0.92%	\$227
9,500 Lumen	16,472	\$6.16	\$101,468	0.21%	\$213	0.92%	\$934
9,500 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$5.55	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0

Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah
Blocking Based on Adjusted Actual and Forecasted Loads
Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011
Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013

	Forecasted Units	Step 2 - 9/1/2013		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
16,000 Lumen	5,387	\$7.47	\$40,241	0.21%	\$85	0.92%	\$370
16,000 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$6.72	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
22,000 Lumen	0	\$9.44	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
27,500 Lumen	3,409	\$10.99	\$37,465	0.21%	\$79	0.92%	\$345
50,000 Lumen	2,395	\$16.02	\$38,368	0.21%	\$81	0.92%	\$353
50,000 Lumen @ 90%	0	\$14.42	\$0	0.21%	\$0	0.92%	\$0
<i>Metal Halide Lamps</i>							
12,000 Lumen	1,064	\$15.58	\$16,577	0.21%	\$35	0.92%	\$153
19,500 Lumen	628	\$15.73	\$9,878	0.21%	\$21	0.92%	\$91
32,000 Lumen	853	\$16.72	\$14,262	0.21%	\$30	0.92%	\$131
107,000 Lumen	85	\$33.05	\$2,809	0.21%	\$6	0.92%	\$26
<i>Subtotal kWh</i>	2,114,324		\$289,644		\$608		\$2,665
<i>Unbilled</i>							
<i>Total</i>	2,114,324		\$289,644		\$608		\$2,665
<i>Customer</i>	102						
kWh Street Lighting	55,429,429		\$4,058,813		\$8,524		\$37,341
Customers	782						
Unbilled			\$0				
Total	55,429,429		\$4,058,813		\$8,524		\$37,341
Schedule 15.1 - Metered Outdoor Nighttime Lighting							
Annual Facility Charge	20,558	\$11.00	\$226,138				
Annual Customer Charge	531	\$72.50	\$38,498				
Annual Minimum Charge	0	\$127.50	\$0				
Monthly Customer Charge	6,467	\$6.20	\$40,095				
All kWh	15,717,486	5.3437 ¢	\$839,895	0.57%	\$4,787	2.47%	\$20,745
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	15,717,486		\$1,144,626		\$4,787		\$20,745
Schedule 15.2 - Traffic Signal Systems							
Customer Charge	29,744	\$5.50	\$163,592				
All kWh	5,662,763	8.4049 ¢	\$475,950	2.01%	\$9,567	2.45%	\$11,661
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	5,662,763		\$639,542		\$9,567		\$11,661
Schedule No. 21 - Electric Furnace Operations - Limited Service - Industrial							
<i>Primary Voltage</i>							
Customer Charge	36	\$121.00	\$4,356				
Charge per kW (Facilities)	9,527	\$4.10	\$39,061				
First 100,000 kWh	491,863	6.5264 ¢	\$32,101	4.05%	\$1,300	6.70%	\$2,151

Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah
Blocking Based on Adjusted Actual and Forecasted Loads
Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011
Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013

	Forecasted Units	Step 2 - 9/1/2013		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
All add'l kWh	0	5.4799 ¢	\$0	4.05%	\$0	6.70%	\$0
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Subtotal	491,863		\$75,518		\$1,300		\$2,151
<u>44KV or Higher</u>							
Customer Charge	24	\$121.00	\$2,904				
Charge per kW (Facilities)	38,097	\$4.10	\$156,198				
First 100,000 kWh	2,267,423	5.1346 ¢	\$116,423	4.05%	\$4,715	6.70%	\$7,800
All add'l kWh	528,653	4.4977 ¢	\$23,777	4.05%	\$963	6.70%	\$1,593
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Subtotal	2,796,076		\$299,302		\$5,678		\$9,393
Total	3,287,939		\$374,820		\$6,978		\$11,544

Schedule No. 23 - Distribution Voltage - Small Customer - Composite

Customer Charge	936,624	\$10.00	\$9,366,240				
kW over 15 (May - Sept)	375,308	\$8.55	\$3,208,883	1.57%	\$50,379	2.17%	\$69,633
kW over 15 (Oct - Apr)	363,172	\$8.60	\$3,123,279	1.57%	\$49,035	2.17%	\$67,775
Voltage Discount	9,858	(\$0.48)	(\$4,732)				
First 1,500 kWh (May - Sept)	307,226,245	11.6096 ¢	\$35,667,738	1.57%	\$559,983	2.17%	\$773,990
All Add'l kWh (May - Sept)	310,947,215	6.5088 ¢	\$20,238,932	1.57%	\$317,751	2.17%	\$439,185
First 1,500 kWh (Oct - Apr)	429,169,061	10.6859 ¢	\$45,860,577	1.57%	\$720,011	2.17%	\$995,175
All Add'l kWh (Oct - Apr)	371,983,629	5.9947 ¢	\$22,299,303	1.57%	\$350,099	2.17%	\$483,895
Seasonal Service	0	\$120.00	\$0				
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	1,419,326,150		\$139,760,220		\$2,047,260		\$2,829,652

Schedule No.31 - Back-Up, Maintenance, and Supplementary Power - Composite

Secondary Voltage

Customer Charge per month	0	\$127.00	\$0				
Facilities Charge, per kW month	0	\$4.66	\$0				
Back-up Power Charge							
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0.6419	\$0				
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0.3210	\$0				
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$60.48	\$0				

Primary Voltage

Customer Charge per month	35	\$577.00	\$19,941				
Facilities Charge, per kW month	166,568	\$3.66	\$609,639				
Back-up Power Charge							
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	1,073,035	\$0.6248	\$670,432				
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	79,254	\$0.3124	\$24,759				
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$43.59	\$0				

Transmission Voltage

Customer Charge per month	13	\$646.00	\$8,682				
Facilities Charge, per kW month	71,234	\$2.08	\$148,167				
Back-up Power Charge							
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	90,487	\$0.4906	\$44,393				
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	6,354	\$0.2453	\$1,559				
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$41.97	\$0				
Subtotal			\$1,527,572		\$0		\$0

Supplemental billed at Schedule 6/8/9 rate

Schedule 8

Facilities kW	58,046	\$4.62	\$268,173				
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	0	\$15.10	\$0	2.02%	\$0	2.93%	\$0
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	58,046	\$10.87	\$630,960	2.02%	\$12,745	2.93%	\$18,487
Voltage Discount	58,046	(\$1.10)	(\$63,851)				
On-Peak kWh (May - Sept)	4,826,571	4.8999 ¢	\$236,497	2.02%	\$4,777	2.93%	\$6,929
On-Peak kWh (Oct - Apr)	17,172,547	3.8356 ¢	\$658,670	2.02%	\$13,305	2.93%	\$19,299
Off-Peak kWh	22,120,759	3.3019 ¢	\$730,405	2.02%	\$14,754	2.93%	\$21,401

Schedule 9

Facilities kW	52,999	\$2.12	\$112,358				
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	52,999	\$13.32	\$705,947	2.23%	\$15,743	3.43%	\$24,214
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	0	\$9.03	\$0	2.23%	\$0	3.43%	\$0
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	4,903,975	4.4379 ¢	\$217,634	2.23%	\$4,853	3.43%	\$7,465

Rocky Mountain Power - State of Utah
Blocking Based on Adjusted Actual and Forecasted Loads
Base Period 12 Months Ending June 2011
Forecast Test Period 12 Months Ending May 2013

	Forecasted Units	Step 2 - 9/1/2013		Present EBA		Proposed EBA	
		Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars	Price	Revenue Dollars
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	213,357	3.3371 ¢	\$7,120	2.23%	\$159	3.43%	\$244
Off-Peak kWh	10,541,630	2.7873 ¢	\$293,827	2.23%	\$6,552	3.43%	\$10,078
Subtotal			\$3,797,740		\$72,889		\$108,118
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total (Aggregated)	59,778,839		\$5,325,312		\$72,889		\$108,118
Contract 1							
Fixed Customer Charge	12		\$2,856				
Customer Charge	879,806		\$1,572,416				
kW High Load Hours	1,294,638		\$8,420,564	1.74%	\$146,518	3.14%	\$264,406
kWh High Load Hours	231,454,981		\$8,027,542	1.74%	\$139,679	3.14%	\$252,065
kWh Low Load Hours	312,515,610		\$8,058,413	1.74%	\$140,216	3.14%	\$253,034
Total	543,970,591		\$26,081,792		\$426,413		\$769,505
Contract 2							
Customer Charge	12						
Interruptible kWh	717,800,152		\$30,487,147	0.78%	\$237,800	2.98%	\$908,517
Total	717,800,152		\$30,487,147		\$237,800		\$908,517
Contract 3 - Composite							
Customer Charge	12	\$646.00	\$7,752				
Facilities Charge per kW - Back-Up kW Back-Up	693,457	\$2.08	\$1,442,391				
Regular, per On-Peak kW day	6,274,249	\$0.4906	\$3,078,147				
Maintenance, per On-Peak kW day	0	\$0.2453	\$0				
Excess Power, per kW month	0	\$41.97	\$0				
kW Supplemental							
On-Peak kW (May - Sept)	334,617	\$13.32	\$4,457,098	2.23%	\$99,393	3.43%	\$152,878
On-Peak kW (Oct - Apr)	1,495,903	\$9.03	\$13,508,004	2.23%	\$301,228	3.43%	\$463,325
kWh Supplemental							
On-Peak kWh (May-Sept)	83,697,066	4.4379 ¢	\$3,714,392	2.23%	\$82,831	3.43%	\$127,404
On-Peak kWh (Oct-Apr)	449,581,129	3.3371 ¢	\$15,002,972	2.23%	\$334,566	3.43%	\$514,602
Off-Peak kWh	838,320,905	2.7873 ¢	\$23,366,519	2.23%	\$521,073	3.43%	\$801,472
Total	1,371,599,100		\$64,577,275		\$1,339,092		\$2,059,680
Rate No. 77 - Security Lighting, 08THIK0077							
Customer	1						
20,000 Mercury Vapor	972	\$17.7751	\$17,277				
All kWh	135,421						
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	135,421		\$17,277		\$0		\$0
Lighting Contract - Post Top Lighting - 08PTLD000N/08PTLD000R							
Energy Only Res	62	\$2.18	\$135				
Energy Only Non-Res	213	\$2.1858	\$466				
Subtotal	275		\$601		\$0		\$0
KWH Included	7,972						
Customers	5						
Unbilled	0		\$0				
Total	7,972		\$601		\$0		\$0
Annual Guarantee Adjustment							
Residential			\$36,561				
Commercial			\$3,441,282				
Industrial			\$842,691				
Irrigation			\$206,453				
Public Street & Highway Lighting			\$4,662				
Other Sales Public Authorities			\$0				
Total AGA			\$4,531,648		\$0		\$0
TOTAL - ALL CLASSES	23,734,642,547		\$1,926,847,722		\$31,857,259		\$45,096,647

