

Sophie Hayes (12546)
UTAH CLEAN ENERGY
1014 2nd Ave.
Salt Lake City, UT 84103
801-363-4046
sophie@utahcleanenergy.org
Attorneys for Utah Clean Energy

Gary A. Dodge (0897)
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, UT 84101
801-363-6363
gdodge@hjdllaw.com
Attorneys for SunEdison

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power’s
Proposed Revisions to Electric Service
Schedule No. 37, Avoided Cost Purchases
from Qualifying Facilities

In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power’s
Schedule No. 37, Avoided Cost Purchases
from Qualifying Facilities

DOCKET NOS. 14-035-T04, 14-035-55

**Utah Clean Energy and SunEdison,
LLC’s Comments on the
Commission’s Notice of Intent to
Alter the December Order**

On December 30, 2014, the Commission issued an Order on Review (“December Order”), modifying its initial decision in this docket with regard to two issues: (1) elimination of the capacity and energy payment option and (2) removal of the SCCT capacity cost component during the period of resource sufficiency.

On January 9, 2015, PacifiCorp filed a petition for reconsideration, review or rehearing of the December Order (“Petition for Review of December Order”).

On January 16, the Commission issued a Notice of Intent to Alter Order on Review and Order Staying Portion of Order on Review (“Notice of Intent”), stating:

[T]he Commission provides notice pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 54-7-14.5 of its intent to alter the December Order by eliminating the option for a QF to be paid a separate rate for its capacity and energy, consistent with the decision on this topic reached in the October Order. Parties are invited to comment on the Commission’s notice of intent to alter the December Order no later than Monday, February 2, 2015.

Notice of Intent, pages 4-5.

On January 26, 2015, consistent with the timeline prescribed by Utah Code Ann. Section 63G-4-301, Utah Clean Energy and Sun Edison, LLC (“Responding Parties”) filed a Response in Opposition to Rocky Mountain Power’s Petition for Reconsideration Review or Rehearing of the Commission’s December 30, 2014 Order on Review and Motion for Stay (“Opposition Response”).

The Responding Parties respectfully request that the Commission consider their Opposition Response as responsive to the Commission’s invitation to comment on the Commission’s Notice of Intent by Monday, February 2, 2015, and to incorporate that filing by reference herein.

Dated this 2nd day of February, 2015.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

UTAH CLEAN ENERGY

/s/ _____
Sophie Hayes
Counsel for Utah Clean Energy

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE

/s/ _____
Gary A. Dodge
Attorneys for SunEdison, LLC