ACTION REQUEST

Date: February 4, 2015

FROM:	Public Service Commission	Due:	March 6, 2015 *
SUBJECT:	PacifiCorp's Affiliated Interest Report for 2013		14-035-66
	(Company Name, Case Number, etc.)		
5/21/2012			
5/31/2013			
13-035-100			
(6) PACIFICORP'S AFFILIATED INTEREST REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED			
DECEMBER 31, 2013 In the Matter of PacifiCorp's Affiliated Interest Report			

This is a request for the Division to provide analysis, evaluation results, and the basis for conclusions and recommendations regarding the following:

- _____ Review for Compliance and Make Recommendations
- _____ Review Application and Make Recommendations
- _____ Analyze the Complaint
- _____ Review Notice and Make Recommendations
- _____ Review Request for Agency Action and Make Recommendations
- _____ Respond in Accordance with the Notice of Filing and Request for Comments
- _____ Investigate
- X Other Explanation and Statement of Issues to be Addressed (See Below):

The Commission appreciates the Division's January 30, 2015, action request response reviewing PacifiCorp's 2013 Affiliated Interest Report. To further improve the Commission's understanding of the Division's review, we have some follow-up questions.

*In the event the Commission issues an order or notice providing dates for comments and/or testimony in this docket:

- The Division shall respond consistent with the order or notice;
- The order or notice, including any deadlines, shall supersede and replace this action request; and
- This action request shall be deemed withdrawn.

For IASA Services, the Division states it tested sample billings and found no indications that the billings included markup amounts. What did the Division's test entail and what did the Division learn about PacifiCorp's pricing practices that indicated the billings included no profit markup amounts?

For non-IASA Services that were part of the sampling of transactions, the Division states it found no pricing amounts that were obviously inappropriate. What did the Division learn about PacifiCorp's "business standard pricing" and what led the Division to conclude PacifiCorp's pricing amounts were not obviously inappropriate?

*In the event the Commission issues an order or notice providing dates for comments and/or testimony in this docket:

- The Division shall respond consistent with the order or notice;
- The order or notice, including any deadlines, shall supersede and replace this action request; and
- This action request shall be deemed withdrawn.