

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2015 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2015 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In providing your feedback, PacifiCorp requests that the stakeholders identify whether they are okay with the Company posting their comments on the IRP website.

Yes No May we post these comments to the IRP webpage?

Date of Submittal 8/14/2014

*Name: Robert Graber

Title: **SVP – Energy Economics**

*E-mail: Robgrab@bluecastleproject.com

Phone: (503) 540-3021

*Organization: Blue Castle Holdings, Inc.

Address: 1145 South 800 East Suite 117

City: **Orem**

State: **Utah**

Zip: **84097**

Public Meeting Date comments address: **8/7/2014**

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting:

N/A

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.
Resource Supply Options Performance and Cost Summary for Advanced Fission Reactor

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

We believe that it is crucial that the cost of the advanced fission resource option reflects not only the most current costs but also the costs specific to Utah. One example of this is the state's tax policy, primarily Utah's Alternative Energy Development Incentive (AEDI). The AEDI is a post-performance, non-refundable tax credit for 75% of new state tax revenues (including, state, corporate, sales and withholding taxes) over the life of the project, or 20 years, whichever is less. The actual amount and duration of this incentive is determined by the Office of Energy Development (OED) on a case-by-case basis. BCH has determined the incentive would be valued at approximately \$1.5 billion to the Blue Castle Project. In the 2015 IRP PacifiCorp is using cost estimates based on the Vogtle nuclear plant currently under construction in Georgia. BCH believes it would be more appropriate to use costs which specifically reflect those of the Blue Castle Nuclear Project near Green River, Utah, rather than Vogtle or a generic nuclear plant. The Blue Castle Project is far enough along in its licensing to better understand these Utah specific costs, specifically the above-mentioned \$1.5 billion tax incentives. Further, we have been, and continue to be, in discussions with nuclear systems suppliers to incorporate the most current cost estimates for the Blue Castle Nuclear Project.

* Required fields

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated. We recommend that BCH be permitted to review the IRP Supply Side Resources, Performance and Cost Summary for Advanced Fission nuclear resource and provide justifiable updates

Thank you for participating.