

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2015 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2015 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In providing your feedback, PacifiCorp requests that the stakeholders identify whether they are okay with the Company posting their comments on the IRP website.

Yes No May we post these comments to the IRP webpage?

Date of Submittal 8/27/2014

*Name: Christopher Thomas

Title: **Executive Director**

*E-mail: Christopher@healutah.org

Phone: 801-364-5110

*Organization: HEAL Utah

Address: 824 S 400 W Suite B-111

City: **Salt Lake City**

State: **UT**

Zip: **84101**

Public Meeting Date comments address: **8/7/2008**

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

Renewables Resources Performance and Cost Summary (2014\$) – slide #26 of the slide deck

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

I am writing to request the inclusion of two additional solar PV resources. These solar resources would be called: “PV Poly-Si Fixed Tilt So. Utah PPA” and “PV Poly-Si Single Tracking So. Utah PPA.” The capacity factors and costs per KW for these two resources would represent the average of capacity values and costs of recently signed Qualifying Facility Power Purchase Agreements for solar projects in Southern Utah.

This would be analogous to including a resource under Geothermal called “Generic Geothermal PPA 90% CF” – meant to capture the possibility of signing a contract with a third party to deliver power.

I would be interested to see if the average of the Southern Utah QF solar projects might have significantly different characteristics from the solar resources already included in the renewables resource table listed on page 26 – from either the standpoint of cost or capacity factor.

Additionally, while the cost and capacity factors of the various Southern Utah QF contracts might be confidential, the average should not be confidential, since it would be impossible to figure out the characteristics of any one project from the average.

Thank you for considering this comment.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

* Required fields

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields