

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2015 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2015 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In providing your feedback, PacifiCorp requests that the stakeholders identify whether they are okay with the Company posting their comments on the IRP website.

Yes No May we post these comments to the IRP webpage?

Date of Submittal 7/10/2014

*Name: [REDACTED]

Title: [REDACTED]

*E-mail: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

*Organization: Utah Association of Energy Users

Address: [REDACTED]

City: [REDACTED] State: [REDACTED] Zip: [REDACTED]

Public Meeting Date comments address: 6/18/2014 Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting: [REDACTED]

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

DSM cost-benefit tests to be used in determining IRP resources

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

Utah DSM programs that pass the Utility Cost Test have been considered potential DSM resources in prior IRPs, while DSM programs from other states are typically required to pass other DSM cost tests. Some DSM programs that score low on the Ratepayer Impact Test can have significantly disparate impacts on participants and non-participants. Further discussion is requested on the appropriate cost-benefit tests and characteristics of DSM programs that should properly be considered as potential IRP resources, for Utah and for other states.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields