

PacifiCorp - Stakeholder Feedback Form

2015 Integrated Resource Plan

PacifiCorp (the Company) requests that stakeholders provide feedback to the Company upon the conclusion of each public input meeting and/or stakeholder conference calls, as scheduled. PacifiCorp values the input of its active and engaged stakeholder group, and stakeholder feedback is critical to the IRP public input process. PacifiCorp requests that stakeholders provide comments using this form, which will allow the Company to more easily review and summarize comments by topic and to readily identify specific recommendations, if any, being provided. Information collected will be used to better inform issues included in the 2015 IRP, including, but not limited to the process, assumptions, and analysis. In providing your feedback, PacifiCorp requests that the stakeholders identify whether they are okay with the Company posting their comments on the IRP website.

Yes No May we post these comments to the IRP webpage?

Date of Submittal 7/31/2014

*Name: John Klingele

Title: [Click here to enter text.](#)

*E-mail: jklingeprp@hotmail.com

Phone: (509)457-6085

*Organization: WA Customer

Address: 1312 West Walnu Street

City: Yakima

State: WA

Zip: 98902-3124

Public Meeting Date comments address: 7/18/2018

Check here if not related to specific meeting

List additional organization attendees at cited meeting:

[Click here to enter text.](#)

***IRP Topic(s) and/or Agenda Items:** List the specific topics that are being addressed in your comments.

July Peak Temperature

Graph (pg 87)

Check here if any of the following information being submitted is copyrighted or confidential.

***Respondent Comment:** Please provide your feedback for each IRP topic listed above.

If this graph is to be in the final IRP the text needs to include:

How the peak load was identified (Peak hour vs. highest 24 hour consumption). How it was territorial (full state, PP&L system, PP&L users[which subtracts other utilities that get their power through PP&L's system], if parts of the system or territory were left out or some other means were used in specific places.

How the mean temperatures were calculated ie High + Low/2, or the sum of 24 hours of readings/24. Where were the temperature readings obtained. Readings from Portland Airport would be different than Pendleton Airport or Bend downtown and would yield differing impressions for Oregon data.

Data Support: If applicable, provide any documents, hyper-links, etc. in support of comments. (i.e. gas forecast is too high - this forecast from EIA is more appropriate). If electronic attachments are provided with your comments, please list those attachment names here.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Recommendations: Provide any additional recommendations if not included above - specificity is greatly appreciated.

[Click here to enter text.](#)

Thank you for participating.

* Required fields