



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comments on Docket 15-035-04

1 message

Lynn Curt <hulatoe@hotmail.com>
Reply-To: hulatoe@hotmail.com
To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Aug 28, 2015 at 9:25 AM

I'm writing to express my concern that PacifiCorp's latest resource plan would continue investments in aging coal plants, putting ratepayers on the hook for as much as 1.3 billion dollars over the next decade to prolong the lives of over a dozen coal plants.

There is widespread public support for investing in wind and solar. Wind is the cheapest form of electricity generation and utility scale solar projects are competitive with fossil fuels and becoming steadily cheaper each year.

Please reject PacifiCorp's preferred portfolio which tethers Utah's to coal for the next two decades and locks ratepayers into a billion dollars in coal costs. Instead, please demand that PacifiCorp come up with a new plan that takes advantage of our state's bountiful renewable resources and decreases our reliance on risky coal.

Lynn Curt
3912 S Pinetree Dr
Holladay, UT 84124



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment to Docket No. 15-035-04

1 message

Ken Smith <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Reply-To: Ken Smith <kcsmith5@msn.com>
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Fri, Aug 28, 2015 at 8:54 AM

Aug 28, 2015

Utah Public Service Commission

Dear Service Commission,

PacifiCorp's 20-year plan is deeply flawed as it continues to rely heavily on fossil fuels and does not include a utility funded increase in renewable energy sources. I am very disappointed that PacifiCorp is planning to spend an estimated \$1 billion or more on pollution controls to prolong the life of over a dozen aging coal smokestacks, and keep Utah hooked on dirty and increasingly expensive coal-fired energy for many years. There are cleaner, safer, and less risky alternatives.

It is time for PacifiCorp to come clean and acknowledge the true cost of coal, including the economic costs of pollution controls and the human cost of public health and well-being. I do not want my energy dollars spent to prop up aging coal plants. I urge the Commission to critically evaluate PacifiCorp's long range plan and have them commit to an orderly and expeditious transition to clean energy, investing in the abundant and affordable renewable energy resources in Utah.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Smith
1599 N 1770 E
Logan, UT 84341-2900
kcsmith5@msn.com



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Comments on Docket 15-035-04

1 message

Linden Alder <Alderphoto@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Alderphoto@gmail.com
To: psc@utah.gov

Fri, Aug 28, 2015 at 12:37 AM

I'm writing to express my concern that PacifiCorp's latest resource plan would continue investments in aging coal plants, putting ratepayers on the hook for as much as 1.3 billion dollars over the next decade to prolong the lives of over a dozen coal plants.

There is widespread public support for investing in wind and solar. Wind is the cheapest form of electricity generation and utility scale solar projects are competitive with fossil fuels and becoming steadily cheaper each year.

Please reject PacifiCorp's preferred portfolio which tethers Utah's to coal for the next two decades and locks ratepayers into a billion dollars in coal costs. Instead, please demand that PacifiCorp come up with a new plan that takes advantage of our state's bountiful renewable resources and decreases our reliance on risky coal.

Linden Alder
230 Woodland Drive
Park City, UT 84098



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Public Comment to Docket No. 15-035-04

1 message

Corey Ersfeld <sierra@sierraclub.org>
Reply-To: Corey Ersfeld <corey.sample@yahoo.com>
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Thu, Aug 27, 2015 at 6:04 PM

Aug 27, 2015

Utah Public Service Commission

Dear Service Commission,

PacifiCorp's 20-year plan is deeply flawed as it continues to rely heavily on fossil fuels and does not include a utility funded increase in renewable energy sources. I am very disappointed that PacifiCorp is planning to spend an estimated \$1 billion or more on pollution controls to prolong the life of over a dozen aging coal smokestacks, and keep Utah hooked on dirty and increasingly expensive coal-fired energy for many years. There are cleaner, safer, and less risky alternatives.

It is time for PacifiCorp to come clean and acknowledge the true cost of coal, including the economic costs of pollution controls and the human cost of public health and well-being. I do not want my energy dollars spent to prop up aging coal plants. I urge the Commission to critically evaluate PacifiCorp's long range plan and have them commit to an orderly and expeditious transition to clean energy, investing in the abundant and affordable renewable energy resources in Utah.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Corey Ersfeld
909 E Laird Ave
Salt Lake City, UT 84105-1818
corey.sample@yahoo.com