



GARY HERBERT,
Governor
SPENCER J. COX
Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah
Department of Commerce
Division of Public Utilities

FRANCINE GIANI
Executive Director

THOMAS BRADY
Deputy Director

CHRIS PARKER
Director, Division of Public Utilities

ACTION REQUEST RESPONSE

REDACTED

TO: Public Service Commission

FROM: Division of Public Utilities:
Chris Parker, Director
Artie Powell, Energy Manager
Charles Peterson, Technical Consultant
Justin Christensen, Utility Analyst

DATE: November 19, 2015

RE: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of the Power Purchase Agreement between PacifiCorp and Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company: Docket No. 15-035-77.

RECOMMENDATION (Approve)

The Division of Public Utilities (Division) recommends that the Commission approve the Non-Firm Power Purchase Agreement (Agreement) between PacifiCorp (Company) and Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company (Tesoro).

In addition, the Division recommends that the Company continue to provide, at least quarterly, hourly power purchased reports so that the Division can continue to monitor this contract. The Division has not received this information since 3rd Quarter 2014. This may be due, in part, to a change in personnel at the Company. The Division does not believe that the lack of this information should hold up approval of this one-year contract.

ISSUE

On October 8, 2015, PacifiCorp filed an Application for Approval of a Non-Firm Power Purchase Agreement with Tesoro. The effective date of the agreement is January 1, 2016 and replaces a current contract that is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2015. The Commission held a scheduling conference on October 14, 2015. Following the scheduling conference, the Commission issued a Scheduling Order requiring comments from the Division of Public Utilities, and any other interested parties, by November 24, 2015. This memorandum serves as the Division's comments and recommendations in this matter.

ANALYSIS

General

Included with the application is a copy of the Non-Firm Purchase Power Agreement between PacifiCorp and Tesoro that is dated October 8, 2015. Tesoro owns, operates and maintains a natural gas cogeneration facility for the generation of electric power located at its Salt Lake City refinery. The nameplate capacity rating of the plant is 25 megawatts (MW) with an expected annual delivery to PacifiCorp [REDACTED].¹ Power sales to PacifiCorp are done on a "net" basis. That is, it is expected that Tesoro will first use the plant's output to supply its own power needs and then sell any excess generation to PacifiCorp.

The Tesoro facility is operated as a qualifying facility (QF) as defined by 18 C.F.R Part 292² and Tesoro has previously provided its FERC self-certification to PacifiCorp. All interconnection requirements have been met and the Tesoro facility is fully integrated with the PacifiCorp system. Under the terms of the QF contract Tesoro has the option, but not the obligation, to deliver the net output to PacifiCorp at the point of delivery. Tesoro is not permitted to sell any portion of the net output to parties other than PacifiCorp; however, it is allowed to offset its own retail load before selling any excess power.

¹ PPA, page 1.

² Ibid., page 5, section 3.2.6

QF Pricing

The Division has reviewed the GRID outputs and concludes that the pricing for this proposed contract reflects the correct facts of this particular facility. The Division also believes that the Company has correctly complied with Commission orders on the method used to determine pricing for a contract under Schedule 38.

Avoided Line Losses

Under the terms of the Commission order in Docket No. 03-035-14, non-firm QF resources are not entitled to a capacity payment, therefore, this Agreement contains energy-only prices. In response to a Division data request, the Company supplied the detailed calculations determining the avoided line loss percentage. The calculations were based upon the method that the Division, Company, and Tesoro have accepted in prior years.³ The calculated percentage was [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Other Comments

The proposed Agreement will remain in place through December 31, 2016. The general terms and conditions of the Agreement appear to be generic in nature and are similar to the previous contract. The primary differences appear to be the pricing terms including the adjustment factor for avoided line losses and Exhibits that detail the particular facts about the facility. The non-price related conditions within the Agreement appear to be reasonable and consistent with previous contracts.

This Agreement constitutes a “New QF Contract” under the PacifiCorp Inter-Jurisdictional Cost Allocation 2010 Protocol and, as such, the costs of those QF provisions are allocated as a system

³ The method agreed to is based upon the locations in the Company’s transmission topology, i.e. the transmission “bubbles,” of the avoided generation sources. The calculation is the ratio of the avoided generation “outside” the bubbles containing the primary load, i.e. the Wasatch Front, to the total generation avoided multiplied by the OATT percentage. The current OATT percentage is 4.26% for real power losses as set forth in Schedule 10 of PacifiCorp’s Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) approved in FERC Docket No. ER11-3643-000. For a discussion of the history of the determination of this method, see the Division’s memorandum to the Commission dated July 21, 2010 and filed July 26, 2010 in the (Miscellaneous) Docket No. 10-999-01.

resource unless any portion of those costs exceed the cost PacifiCorp would have otherwise incurred acquiring comparable resources. In that event, the 2010 Protocol assigns those excess costs on a situs basis to the State of Utah. The rates, terms and conditions in this Agreement are in accordance with the rates, terms and conditions approved by the Commission in Docket No. 03-035-14 and Docket No. 12-035-100 for purchases from qualifying facilities.⁴ PacifiCorp represents that the cost of this Agreement does not exceed the cost that would have been incurred from acquiring other market resources. The Division accepts this representation based upon its prior analysis of the Company's avoided cost reports.

CONCLUSION

The Division believes that the terms of the Tesoro (Refinery) Power Purchase Agreement comply with the Commission's guidelines and order in Docket No. 03-035-14. Additionally, the other contractual arrangements and facts in this matter, in particular the method for calculating the avoided energy costs, have been previously found to be just and reasonable and in the public interest.

cc: Michele Beck, Committee of Consumer Services
Cheryl Murray, Committee of Consumer Services
Bob Lively, PacifiCorp
Paul Clements, PacifiCorp
Daniel Solander, PacifiCorp
William Evans, Parsons Behle and Latimer, attorney for Tesoro

⁴ Tesoro PPA Sec 2.1