CONFIDENTIAL PROCEEDINGS INCLUDED

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of the Power Purchase Agreement between PacifiCorp and Blue Mountain Power Partners, LLC

Docket No. 13-035-115

In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of the Power Purchase Agreement between PacifiCorp and Latigo Wind Park, LLC

Docket No. 13-035-116

HEARING TAKEN AT: Heber M. Wells Building 160 East 300 South, Room 451 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 DATE: Thursday, September 19, 2013 TIME: 9:00 a.m. to 5:27 p.m.

REPORTED BY:

Michelle Mallonee, RPR

	Confidential Hearing 09/19/13 25	3
1	we will use and that we have to designate that. We have a time]
2	frame, a milestone, that we need to designate that. Based on	
3	our interconnection agreement security deposit, we have to	
4	designate it 30 days after that deposit.	
5	Q. Ms. Mikell, does your LGIA executed contract allow	
6	you to change turbine type?	
7	A. I believe it does. I think contractsyou know, there	
8	are contracts thatyou make amendments all the time to	
9	contracts.	
10	Q. After they've been executed?	
11	A. Things change occasionally. I'm not a contract	
12	expert. I'm not an attorney, so.	
13	Q. So you can't point to anything in the LGIA contract	
14	that says that you can change that term?	
15	MR. SACKETT: I'm going to object to the question.	
16	The LGIA is not on trial here.	
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Again, you know,	
18	we've let this line of questioning go far. <mark>The LGIA is part of the</mark>	
<mark>19</mark>	pro forma Open Access Transmission Tariff that is governed by	
20	the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.We do not have	
<mark>21</mark>	jurisdiction in the state of Utah over the terms and conditions of	
<mark>22</mark>	that.	
23	MR. WOOD: I thought that the public and the	
24	Commission might be interested if a contract is being put	
25	forward to be accepted and is contradicted by another term.	

	Confidential Hearing 09/19/13	268
1	MR. WOOD: No, I'm not going to	
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: So this hasn't been	
3	authenticated. This hasn't beenI guess	
4	MR. WOOD: I'm going to ask the witness whether	
5	this represents her project.	
6	MR. JETTER: I think it's asking a lot of the	
7	witness. And we're not here today to create a record for some	
8	party. We're here to provide the Commission the information i	t
9	needs to make decisions on a couple of power purchase	
10	agreements. And we're going way down these side roads into	
11	new evidence that is fairly far beyond what was proposed durin	ng
12	the comments.	
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: You know, I agree. I	
14	feel like we've got a lot of good evidence in your comments, ar	nd
15	whatever. But I understand your point that you're questioning-	
16	you are making an issue as to <mark>whether or not they have proper</mark>	
<mark>17</mark>	site control and whether or not PacifiCorp did its due diligence	
18	And that's at issue, according to you.	
19	But again, I just haven't been convinced yet that	
20	this kind of repetitious line of questioning <mark>is pertinent to the</mark>	
<mark>21</mark>	examination and the consideration of the PPA they had. I	
22	mean	
23	MR. WOOD: I won't go any further, your Honor.	
24	Then let me establish a proffer for our record, that if we were	
25	given the opportunity, we would demonstrate that Latigo does	