



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

SPENCER J. COX
Lieutenant Governor

Public Service Commission

THAD LeVAR
Chair

DAVID R. CLARK
Commissioner

JORDAN A. WHITE
Commissioner

June 7, 2016

Bob Lively
Rocky Mountain Power
1407 W North Temple, Suite 330
Salt Lake City, UT 84116

Re: Docket No. 16-035-14, "In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's 2015 Annual Report of the Blue Sky Program"

Dear Mr. Lively:

The Commission reviewed the March 31, 2016, filing by PacifiCorp, doing business as Rocky Mountain Power (PacifiCorp), of the 2015 Annual Report of the Blue Sky Program (Program) for the period of January 1 through December 31, 2015 (2015 Report). The 2015 Report was filed pursuant to Commission Orders in Docket Nos. 00-035-T01 and 07-035-T13 and provides information responding to items identified in the Commission's May 19, 2015 correspondence in Docket No. 15-035-28 (May Correspondence). The Commission also reviewed the comments of the Division of Public Utilities (Division) and Utah Clean Energy (UCE), filed on April 26 and April 29, 2016, respectively.

The 2015 Report includes: Program financial, participation, and promotional information; community project funding award commitments and projects status; status of available funds; identification of renewable energy certificate (REC) purchases and position reconciliation; a report entitled Blue Sky Redesign Qualitative Research Key Findings; and an analysis of the Blue Sky block rate pricing. PacifiCorp recommends that the Program's 100 kilowatt-hour block rate remain at the current \$1.95 per block.

The Division recommends the Commission acknowledge the 2015 Report as meeting Commission-ordered reporting requirements. In response to the Commission's May Correspondence, the Division provides an explanation of the end-of-year Program REC supply position, notes PacifiCorp does not use REC attributes from Program community projects as a substitute for REC purchases, and identifies the process leading up to the recommendation to continue the Program's current block rate. UCE supports maintaining the Program's current block rate. UCE also provides observations, comments, and recommendations regarding the

Correspondence from Gary L. Widerburg

Docket No. 16-035-14

Page 2

Program's use of RECs, community project funding, administration costs, and the possible effect of PacifiCorp's Solar Subscriber program on Program participation.

Based on the Commission's review of the 2015 Report, the Division's recommendations, and UCE's comments, the Commission acknowledges the 2015 Report as compliant with the relevant reporting requirements. The Commission anticipates PacifiCorp will continue to work with UCE and other stakeholders, through the DSM Advisory Group, on future improvements to the Program.

Sincerely,

/s/ Gary L. Widerburg

Commission Secretary

DW#277200