



Appendix 1

Report Requirements

Item	Specific Requirement	Docket No & Date	Report Reference
1	Annual filing date of the Company's demand-side Annual report be filed by May 1.	Docket No. 12-035-116. Order January 15, 2013 p. 2	The Commission granted the Company an extension to May 23, 2016. See Docket No. 16-035-17.
2	In the executive summary table, include the estimate of megawatt savings at the time of system peak corresponding to the mega-watt hour savings for energy efficiency programs.	Docket No. 09-035-27. Order December 21, 2009 p. 3	Executive Summary and Planning Process sections.
3	In the executive summary table, include the IRP megawatt and megawatt-hour targets for the year and savings results.	Docket No. 09-035-27. Order December 21, 2009 p. 3	Executive Summary, Table 2. See also item #4.
4	The Company shall report IRP planned Class I and Class II DSM megawatts for Utah. The Company may use the program level DSM megawatt hour forecasts approved in the proceedings addressing the forecast of annual DSM expenditures filed by November 1 pursuant to Docket No. 09-035-T08, order dated August 25, 2009, in place of program level planned IRP megawatt hours when program level DSM megawatt hours are unavailable in the IRP.	Docket No. 13-035-71. Order September 11, 2013 pp 6-7	Executive Summary, Table 2.
5	In the executive summary table, include the lifetime megawatt-hour savings in addition to first year savings.	Docket No. 09-035-27. Order December 21, 2009 p. 3	Executive Summary, page 5 of 46.
6	Provide the calculations for reported savings and identify if reported savings are ex-post or ex-ante estimates.	Docket No. 09-035-27. Order December 21, 2009 p. 3	Due to the complexity of calculating savings for each individual measure and project, calculations are completed in the Company's proprietary software system, DSMC. For reported savings delineation, see Annual Report page 5 of 46, footnote 2; page 8, footnote 15; and page 22. See Item 7.
7	Clearly state for each program and measure whether all reported savings are ex-post or ex-ante.	Docket No. 11-035-74. Order July 14, 2011 p. 7	See Item 6.

8	Provide DSM capacity benefits in terms of system coincident peak and for each individual program.	Docket No. 11-035-74. Order February 15, 2012	Executive Summary, Table 2.
9	Include all five cost-effectiveness tests, including portfolio analysis in addition to the program and measure levels.	Docket No. 09-035-27. Order October 7, 2009 p. 14	Appendix 2 Cost Effectiveness.
10	Company shall perform cost effectiveness tests assuming its most recent IRP avoided costs.	Docket No. 09-035-27. Order October 7, 2009 p. 14	Appendix 2 Cost Effectiveness.
11	Accurately and clearly report cost-effectiveness results to avoid confusion.	Docket No. 11-035-74. Order July 14, 2011 p. 8	See Annual Report.
12	Company is required to perform cost effectiveness tests using initial avoided cost assumptions only for new programs or existing programs that incur significant changes.	Docket No. 12-035-117. Order January 15, 2013 p. 1	Initial avoided cost assumptions were not used because there were no new programs or significant changes to existing programs.
13	Provide cost-effectiveness results with associated decrement values and related inputs such that results regarding the associated year's performance of the Company's peak reduction programs are available in the record, subject to confidentiality requirements of Utah Administrative Code R746-100-16.	Orders issued June 12, 2012 pp. 3-4 in Docket No. 12-035-57, and September 11, 2013 p. 8 in Docket No. 13-035-71	See Confidential Appendix 8.
14	For Irrigation Load Control program, capacity savings should be stated in kilowatts, not megawatts.	Docket No. 12-035-57. Order June 12, 2012 p. 2	Peak Reduction Programs section.
15	Provide irrigation load control program data regarding loads available for curtailment, actual curtailment achieved, and capacity and energy reduction payments in its Utah DSM annual report.	Docket No. 13-035-20. Order March 15, 2013 p. 5	Peak Reduction Programs section and Confidential Appendix 8.
16	The term "reported" includes both ex-post (verified) energy savings and ex-ante (estimated) energy savings. Include results of ex-post impact evaluations or the schedule for completion of ex-post impact evaluations to be conducted for program year.	Docket No. 09-035-27. Order October 7, 2009 pp. 13-14	Evaluation Section and Appendix 5 Program Evaluations.