

Jennifer E. Gardner (15503)
Western Resource Advocates
150 South 600 East, Suite 2A
Salt Lake City UT 84102
801-413-7355
jennifer.gardner@westernresources.org

Attorney for Western Resource Advocates

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER TO IMPLEMENT PROGRAMS AUTHORIZED BY THE SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY PLAN ACT	Docket No. 16-035-36
--	----------------------

WRA EXHIBIT 1.0

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KENNETH L. WILSON

ON BEHALF OF

WESTERN RESOURCE ADVOCATES

November 9, 2016

1 **I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY**

2 **Q. Please state your name, employer, position and business address.**

3 A. My name is Kenneth L. Wilson. I am employed by Western Resource Advocates (WRA)
4 as an Engineering Fellow with the Clean Energy Program. My business address is 2260
5 Baseline Road, Suite 200, Boulder, Colorado 80302.

6 **Q. Please describe WRA.**

7 A. WRA is a nonprofit conservation organization dedicated to protecting the land, air and
8 water of the Interior West. WRA's Clean Energy Program develops and implements
9 policies to reduce the environmental impacts of the electric power industry by advocating
10 for a western electric system that provides affordable and reliable energy, reduces
11 economic risks, and protects the environment through the expanded use of energy
12 efficiency, renewable energy resources, and other clean energy technologies. WRA has
13 offices in Salt Lake City, Utah; Boulder, Colorado; Carson City, Nevada; and Santa Fe,
14 New Mexico.

15 **Q. Please describe your current work duties, professional experience, and educational
16 background.**

17 A. I am an Engineering Fellow at WRA, specializing in grid infrastructure, renewable
18 energy and utility rate structures. I have provided testimony and comments on these and
19 other issues for WRA in Arizona, Colorado, Nevada and Utah. I am an electrical
20 engineer with over 40 years of experience. I worked at Bell Labs as a systems engineer
21 for 18 years and was subsequently a consulting engineer with my own consulting firm for
22 15 years. I have Master's and Bachelor's degrees in Electrical Engineering from the

23 University of Illinois and Oklahoma State University, respectively. My qualifications
24 are included as WRA Exhibit 1.1.

25 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

26 A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide WRA's policy position and recommendation
27 regarding the Solar and Energy Storage Program proposed by Rocky Mountain Power
28 (RMP) and its use of STEP funding and Blue Sky community funds. WRA supports
29 demonstration projects for battery storage developed by utilities in our region (Arizona,
30 Colorado, Nevada, and now Utah). We believe battery storage is a technically feasible
31 and economical way to solve multiple challenges that utilities face. As the costs of
32 storage continue to decline, I believe it will become more attractive than traditional
33 solutions such as building more transmission lines and more fossil fuel generation. The
34 project RMP is proposing is an excellent example of the type of project that WRA is
35 recommending in other states. We support the proposed project on technical, economic,
36 and policy grounds.

37 **Q. Please summarize your testimony.**

38 A. My testimony supports RMP's proposal to use \$5.05 million of STEP funding to install a
39 stationary battery storage system in combination with an additional \$1.95 million from
40 Blue Sky community funds to install a large-scale, company-owned solar project
41 intended to address an existing transmission voltage problem. RMP has demonstrated
42 this solution is less expensive than other, more traditional solutions to the voltage
43 problem. More importantly, the project will give RMP valuable experience and
44 information on how to effectively operate a combined battery storage/solar system on

45 their grid. This will help advance other advantageous non-wires alternatives in the
46 future.

47 **Q. Please provide your recommendation.**

48 A. WRA recommends that the Commission approve the Solar and Energy Storage Program as
49 proposed by RMP, including the funding amounts and sources as proposed.

50 **II. DISCUSSION**

51 **Q. What is RMP proposing in this docket?**

52 A. RMP has identified a seasonal, low-voltage problem on a particular transmission line that
53 is being caused by high loads from one substation during particular times of the year.
54 The high loads from the substation are predicted to cause the transmission line to have
55 voltages outside of required ranges by 2019. RMP is proposing to solve the low-voltage
56 problem with a 5 MWh battery storage system in combination with a 650 kW solar
57 system. The combination of battery storage and solar will act to keep voltage levels on
58 the transmission line within the required range. The solar resource provides energy
59 during part of the peak load period when voltages would otherwise be low. The battery
60 storage system can be charged during off peak hours and discharged into the grid during
61 peak hours to further reduce the possibility of low voltages.

62 **Q. Did RMP consider other alternatives?**

63 A. Yes. The Company considered three other alternatives: 1) rebuilding the transmission
64 line using a low impedance conductor; 2) building a new transmission substation; or 3)
65 installing an 8 MWh battery storage system.

66 **Q. Is this type of solution technically feasible?**

67 A. Yes. The RMP proposal is a good, innovative non-wires solution to a
68 transmission/distribution voltage problem.

69 **Q. What is a “non-wires” solution?**

70 A. Traditionally, voltage problems on the grid are solved by focusing on conventional
71 infrastructure – i.e., by building more or larger transmission lines or by building more or
72 larger distribution facilities. These are solutions that essentially beef up the “wires” of
73 the grid and, while effective, are costly. Non-wires alternatives can offer more cost-
74 effective solutions to the same voltage problems, but utilize targeted demand side
75 management, demand response, battery storage and distributed generation. Utilities
76 across the country are implementing non-wires solutions for distribution and transmission
77 capacity and reliability problems where they make sense.

78 **Q. Why are non-wires solutions good policy choices?**

79 A. Non-wires solutions to grid congestion and reliability problems give utilities alternatives
80 that can be efficient, cost effective, and in some cases much faster in providing relief. In
81 addition, most non-wires solutions provide more flexibility in siting. Building new
82 feeders, transmission lines, or substations generally requires land, or rights of way, in
83 specific locations at or adjacent to existing facilities. Battery storage solutions can be
84 located in a variety of places along existing feeders or at existing substations. Non-wires
85 solutions that only need energy efficiency require no construction. In addition to these
86 locational advantages, non-wires solutions can provide other advantages such as long-

87 term reduction in overall energy use or long-term reduction of load during peak hours.

88 For these and other reasons, non-wires solutions are good policy choices.

89 **Q. Is the proposed solution cost effective?**

90 A. Yes. The financial analysis provided by RMP shows that the proposed solution, at \$7.0
91 million, is a more cost effective solution than the other three. Specifically, it is expected
92 to cost \$1 million less than rebuilding the transmission line, \$7 million less than installing
93 a new transmission substation, and nearly \$500,000 less than installing a larger 8 MWh
94 battery storage system.

95 **Q. Is the proposed project a permanent solution to the low voltage condition?**

96 A. No solution is permanent. Load growth could make any of the four alternatives
97 insufficient in 20 years. RMP has stated its proposed solution is still economically
98 attractive over a 15-year period. At that time, the Company and the Commission may
99 wish to consider new alternatives. Notably, a solution involving battery storage can be
100 easily augmented in the future with a larger battery system. Thus, approving this project
101 at this time will facilitate effective “scaling up” in the future, as necessary.

102 **Q. Is there value in the proposed project beyond the economics of solving the**
103 **transmission voltage problem?**

104 A. Yes, the proposed project will provide RMP with a good operational test of how battery
105 storage can be used to solve distribution and transmission capacity and reliability
106 problems and how battery storage can be used to integrate distributed solar generation
107 into the grid. This operational knowledge will be valuable in the future when battery
108 storage will be economically viable in many other situations on the RMP grid.

109 **Q. What storage technology should RMP consider for this project?**

110 A. I refer to battery storage in this testimony because, for the size of the project being
111 proposed, batteries are really the only suitable technology. Pumped-hydro storage and
112 pumped-air storage are larger scale technologies that require siting near an appropriate
113 resource. Flywheels and super capacitors can provide high levels of current for short
114 periods of time, but cannot accommodate the hours of storage needed for this project. On
115 the other hand, there are several battery storage technologies that could be used for this
116 project. The most likely technology for projects of this size is lithium ion batteries.
117 Many companies provide lithium ion battery solutions, and there are many existing
118 commercial installations across the country. Another technology of interest is “flow
119 batteries,” which use two chemical components dissolved in liquids that are pumped past
120 a membrane. When RMP issues an RFP for the proposed project, they will no doubt
121 receive multiple technical solutions proposed by various vendors. RMP can choose the
122 best vendor with the best technology to meet the project’s needs.

123 **Q. Will RMP be able to capture additional value from the battery storage system,**
124 **above and beyond solving the voltage problem as proposed?**

125 A. Yes. When the battery storage system is not needed for voltage support, it can be used
126 for other services, such as energy arbitrage (energy shifting), peak shaving, and
127 frequency regulation. These services can give the battery system year-round value. RMP
128 will have the opportunity to test the use of the battery storage system to capture
129 additional value for customers with these additional services. This will be important for
130 the future when battery storage is more cost effective and has a higher utilization rate.

131 **Q. Please describe the value of these other services (i.e., energy arbitrage, peak shaving,**
132 **and frequency regulation) provided by battery storage.**

133 A. Peak shaving is the ability of energy storage to reduce the impact of peak energy demand
134 on the grid. This minimizes the need for generators to ramp up production when power
135 prices are high. Energy arbitrage is the ability of batteries to “fill up” with cheap power
136 (either from nighttime power sources or renewables like wind and solar) and use that
137 stored energy to provide power to customers at a lower price during periods of high load
138 when energy is expensive. This has the benefit of lowering customers’ bills. Frequency
139 regulation is an ancillary service necessary for grid reliability that involves balancing
140 instantaneous electric supply and demand. Energy storage can provide this service by
141 charging or discharging into the grid as needed when supply and demand are not in
142 balance. In total, the battery system will no doubt be able to provide additional benefits,
143 both economic and operational, that have not been included in the analysis, making the
144 proposed project even more beneficial in the long run.

145 **Q. Is production from the proposed solar facility valuable when not needed for voltage**
146 **support on the project?**

147 A. Yes. The proposed 650 kW solar facility will produce energy year round that can be used
148 by the grid to save fuel costs.

149 **Q. Is the use of STEP funds appropriate for purchasing the battery storage system**
150 **proposed in this project?**

151 A. Yes. The Sustainable Transportation and Energy Plan Act, or STEP, was signed into law
152 (U.C.A. § 54-20-101, *et seq.*) on March 29, 2016. STEP allows the Public Service

153 Commission to authorize RMP to implement tariffs to provide funding for a number of
154 programs, one of which is the battery storage system proposed in this project.
155 Specifically, § 54-20-105(1)(h) permits the Commission to authorize RMP to implement
156 “innovative utility programs” in the interest of the utility’s customers, including “a
157 *battery storage* or electric grid related project” (emphasis added).

158 **Q. Is the use of Blue Sky funds appropriate for the purchase of the solar installation for**
159 **this project?**

160 A. Yes. STEP allows the Commission to authorize RMP to implement tariffs to provide
161 funding for a number of programs, one of which is the purchase of the solar installation
162 proposed in this project. That statutory provision allows RMP to invest in “electric grid
163 related project[s].” Rocky Mountain Power proposes to use Blue Sky community project
164 funds – specifically, the Utah Blue Sky tariff Schedule 70 – to pay for the solar
165 installation included in this project. The “Qualifying Initiatives” section of Schedule 70,
166 item 2, provides that Blue Sky funding can be used “for research and development
167 projects encouraging Renewable Energy in order to accelerate marketability of
168 Renewable Technologies.” Therefore, the use of Blue Sky funds is appropriate and aligns
169 with the goals of the STEP program to support the greater use of renewable energy.

170 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

171 A. Yes.