

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

IN THE MATTER OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN)	
POWER’S SUSTAINABLE)	
TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY PLAN)	DOCKET NO. 16-035-36
(“STEP”) ACT INITIATIVES)	
)	

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

GAVIN MANGELSON

FOR THE OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES

MARCH 7, 2017

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF GAVIN MANGELSON

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is Gavin Mangelson; I am a Utility Analyst for the Office of Consumer
3 Services (Office). My business address is 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City, Utah
4 84111.

5 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE.**

6 A. I received a B.A. in Economics from the University of Utah in 2008. I have worked
7 for the Office for three and a half years, during which I have testified before the
8 Public Service Commission (Commission) in four other dockets and have submitted
9 comments in numerous dockets. Prior to my employment with the Office I was a
10 Financial Analyst for the Utah Department of Technology Services where I worked in
11 forecasts and rate design.

12 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

13 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the position of the Office regarding the
14 proposal of Rocky Mountain Power Company (Company) to include Advanced
15 Substation Metering as part of the STEP program. I will address the direct benefits of
16 substation meters and their role in identifying and implementing solutions to system
17 constraints.

18 **Q. WHAT IS BEING PROPOSED IN REGARDS TO SUBSTATION METERS?**

19 A. The Company is proposing to spend \$1.1 million dollars between 2017-2019 to
20 purchase and install meters on approximately 50 circuits connected to distribution
21 substations. This proposal is being made as part of the five-year STEP pilot program.

22 **Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY WHICH MATERIALS FILED OR PRESENTED BY**
23 **THE COMPANY IN THIS PROCEEDING SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT**
24 **SUBSTATION METERING.**

25 A. The proposal to include Advanced Substation Metering as part of the Innovative
26 Utility Program under STEP is supported by the Application on pages 20-23, the
27 Direct Testimony of Douglas L. Marx, and by Exhibit “C,” to the Application, all
28 filed on September 12, 2016. Substation meters were also explained in the technical
29 conference held on December 6, 2016, the presentation materials were filed with the
30 Commission the same day.

31 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE PROPOSAL FOR SUBSTATION METERS**
32 **PERTAINS TO THE STEP PROGRAM.**

33 A. The Company’s request is made pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 54-20-105, Innovative
34 Utility Programs. According to the Direct Testimony of Mr. Marx (lines 25-26) the
35 request for substation meters should be classified under section 54-20-105(1)(c)
36 “an electric grid related program” and/or section 54-20-105(1)(h) “any other
37 technology program.”

38 The statute states that the Commission *may* authorize such a program if it determines
39 that it is “in the interest of large-scale utility customers.” Section 54-20-105(1).

40 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF A SUBSTATION METER.**

41 A. Unlike a typical meter attached to a home which measures and records customer
42 usage, a substation meter is a device capable of measuring load levels and load
43 profile, in addition to the power flow at the circuit to which it is connected. The
44 purpose of the substation meter is to provide accurate information about a portion of

45 the system in order to better understand localized system demands and potential
46 system constraints.

47 **Q. WHAT DOES THE COMPANY ASSERT ARE THE BENEFITS OF THE**
48 **SUBSTATION METERING PROGRAM?**

49 A. The Company asserts the primary benefit of the proposed meters as their ability to
50 provide system information. According to the Direct Testimony of Mr. Marx, the
51 installation of the proposed substation meters will provide “greater data visibility of
52 the distribution system and integration of distributed energy resources” (Marx Direct
53 lines 36-37). Such information is expected to be useful in identifying system
54 constraints, particularly those that may be caused by Distributed Energy Resources
55 (DER).

56 Exhibit “C” contains nine bullet points that address benefits of the
57 information obtained from the proposed meters in terms of the various types of
58 potential harm that the information is expected to identify, particularly load
59 imbalances and harmonic disturbances caused by DER. Several of the bullet points
60 employ specifically technical language in describing the types of potential constraints
61 in a manner that appears designed to make a more impressive statement of benefits.
62 Further, the second bullet point may be interpreted to indicate that the meters have
63 broader technical abilities than they actually have, when it states: “Assists in
64 preventing load imbalances.” Ultimately, a careful reading shows that the principle
65 benefits asserted by the Company are derived from the fact that the meters will
66 provide information about power flows on the grid, that this information can be used
67 to identify potential stresses impacting the reliability of electrical equipment, as well

68 as to identify necessary solutions to existing system constraints. Mr. Marx does not
69 discuss in detail the risks introduced to the system by DER, but states that the
70 proposed meters will “help identify and control risks associated with the integration
71 of significant penetration of distributed energy resources” (Marx Direct lines 54-55).

72 As additional benefits, Mr. Marx further states that metering “will provide
73 information necessary for a more progressive grid” (Marx Direct line 41-42), and the
74 seventh bullet point in Exhibit “C” states that the proposed meters will “Reduce time
75 delays of approvals for customers seeking distributed generation interconnections,”
76 however the existence of time delays within the current approval process is not
77 otherwise supported in the exhibit.

78 My testimony addresses the potential benefits listed below:

- 79 • Whether substation meters facilitate increased penetration of DER,
- 80 • Whether substation meters may reduce time delays for DER interconnections,
- 81 • Whether DER has been shown, in this proceeding, to create problems on the
82 system,
- 83 • Whether substation meters have been shown, in this proceeding, to remedy
84 certain potential problems, and
- 85 • How substation meters may facilitate a “more progressive grid.”

86 I will conclude by providing the Office’s position regarding the proposed advanced
87 substation metering program.

88 **Q. HOW DO SUBSTATION METERS FACILITATE INCREASING LEVELS OF**
89 **DER?**

90 A. Substation Meters do not directly facilitate the integration of DER on a circuit.
91 Rather, the information provided by substation metering can verify that the additional
92 DER will not compromise the integrity of system components operating on the
93 circuit, or provide the information necessary to determine what measures are required
94 to allow the circuit to accommodate additional DER.

95 **Q. HOW DO SUBSTATION METERS REDUCE TIME DELAYS FOR**
96 **CUSTOMERS SEEKING DER INTERCONNECTIONS?**

97 A. The supporting documents do not provide additional information about existing time
98 delays in the current approval process, however, potential time delays were the
99 subject of limited discussion at the December 6, 2016 technical conference. In that
100 discussion Company representatives indicated that a customer seeking a DER
101 interconnection may face significant delays if the Company suspected and could not
102 immediately verify that the intended circuit may be near capacity for DER. Such a
103 case would require a more time intensive study to determine the viability of the
104 circuit to host additional DER, a study that would not otherwise be necessary if the
105 information was already available through the proposed substation meter installations.

106 **Q. DO CURRENT LEVELS OF DER CREATE PROBLEMS ON THE**
107 **DISTRUBUTION SYSTEM?**

108 A. At this time the Company does not have any information that constraints are indeed
109 occurring. The Office asked the Company for any documentation, records, or
110 evidence regarding this potential issue in a data request (OCS DR No. 8.2). The
111 Company indicated in its response that “to date, and due to the low levels of single-
112 phase sources, no evidence has been noted of load imbalances attributable to

113 distribution energy resources.” Although this response only addresses one of the
114 potential sources of harm stated in the supporting documents, in fact, the Company
115 did not provide any evidence that DER was currently causing any of the potential
116 problems described in the proposal.

117 **Q. DO SUBSTATION METERS HAVE THE ABILITY TO REDUCE,**
118 **ELIMINATE, OR CONTROL ANY OF THE POTENTIAL HARM THAT**
119 **THE COMPANY ASSERTS COULD BE CAUSED BY DER?**

120 A. No, a substation meter has the ability to provide information about how the system is
121 functioning on the circuit to which it is attached, and cannot directly resolve any of
122 the effects such as load imbalances or harmonic issues. The purpose of these meters
123 is to obtain information about potential system constraints so that solutions can be
124 identified and implemented. The Company provided no evidence to suggest that the
125 meters themselves could resolve any problems.

126 **Q. THE COMPANY HAS ALSO ASSERTED THAT INSTALLATION OF**
127 **SUBSTATION METERS WILL ALLOW FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A**
128 **MORE PROGRESSIVE GRID. WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE?**

129 A. The Company did not clearly define what it meant by a more progressive grid, so I
130 asked for additional clarification in a data request. According to the Company’s
131 response to OCS Data Request No. 8.1:

132 The term “progressive grid” as used here is intended to convey the ability for
133 the electric grid to operate effectively and efficiently as emerging technologies
134 and communication networks become a more integrated component of the
135 grid as compared to traditional electric systems. These technologies may
136 include, but are not limited to, advanced metering, distributed generation,
137 distribution automation, etc.

138 Basically, a progressive grid is one that integrates diverse energy resources and has a
139 greater ability to respond to load changes, as opposed to a system that flows power
140 from principle sources and possesses fewer tools to measure and control the current
141 on the system. Although more advanced metering technology may be necessary in
142 order to develop a “progressive grid,” it is important to acknowledge that this asserted
143 benefit is basically another description of the general benefit of the information made
144 available by the installation of substation meters.

145 **Q. WHAT IS THE POSITION OF THE OFFICE REGARDING THE PROPOSAL**
146 **TO INCLUDE SUBSTATION METERS IN THE STEP PROGRAM?**

147 A. The Office agrees that Advanced Substation Metering qualifies under the STEP
148 legislation, particularly as an “electric grid related program” or “any other technology
149 program” and therefore the proposal should be acknowledged and approved by the
150 Commission. The Office also agrees that the data and information yielded by the
151 installation of the proposed meters may help to identify potential sources of stress on
152 the system.

153 The Office recognizes however, that no evidence yet exists that the system is
154 being unduly stressed in the ways that the meters intend to verify, and that the
155 proposed metering units in and of themselves do not possess the capability to resolve
156 any such issues. If the Commission approves this substation metering proposal, the
157 Office recommends that the Commission also order certain reporting requirements.

158 At a minimum, these requirements should include:

- 159 1. Annual and final comprehensive program evaluation reports as outlined in
160 the Company’s STEP Reporting Plan.¹
- 161 2. A final report of actual meter installation locations, including the reasoning
162 for any deviations from the originally targeted locations.
- 163 3. Any and all determinations that can be made or inferred from the
164 information provided by the meters, including harm that can be
165 substantiated, as well as those harmful effects that cannot be substantiated,
166 as they have been described in Exhibit “C” of the Application.

167 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

168 A. Yes.

¹ Rebuttal Testimony of Steven R. McDougal filed November 23, 2016 in Docket No. 16-035-36, Phase 1, Lines 246 – 304.