## In the Matter Of:

In Re: RMP - Sustainable Transportation and Energy Plan Act

## **HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-035-36**

April 18, 2017

*Job Number: 348688* 

1	BEFORE TI	HE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH
2		
3		f the Application
4	Implement Progra	
5	by the Sustainal and Energy Plan	ole Transportation Act
6		
7		
8		HEARING PROCEEDINGS
9		
10	160	lic Service Commission East 300 South, Room 403
11	DATE: Tues	t Lake City, Utah sday, April 18, 2017 Da.m.
12		en S. Bloxham, R.P.R.
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		Job Number: 348688

1	APPEARANCES	Page 2
2	COMMISSIONERS:	
3	Thad LeVar, Chairman David Clark	
4	Jordan A. White	
5	FOR THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:	
6	Justin Jetter ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL	
7	160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84114	
8	Said Lake City, Otali 04114	
9	FOR ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER: Daniel E. Solander	
10	Rocky Mountain Power 1407 West North Temple, Suite 320	
	Salt Lake City, UT 84116	
12	FOR THE OFFICE OF CONSUMER SERVICES:	
13	Robert Moore Office of Consumer Services	
14	160 East 300 South, 2nd Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84111	
15	Sait Lake City, Otali 04111	
16	FOR UTAH CLEAN ENERGY: Sophie Hayes	
17	Utah Clean Energy 1014 Second Avenue	
	Salt Lake City, UT 84103	
18	(801) 363-4046	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-035-36 - 04/18/2017

1	TNIDEY OF EVANIATION	Page 3
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION WITNESS	PAGE
2	DOUGLAS MARX	
3	Examination By: Mr. Solander	5, 11
4	IAN ANDREWS Examination By: Mr. Solander	12
5	F. ROBERT STEWART	
6	Examination By: Mr. Solander	19
7	STEVEN R. McDOUGAL	0.2
8	Examination By: Mr. Solander	23
9	ROBERT DAVIS Examination By: Mr. Jetter	28
10	MYUNGHEE TUTTLE	2.4
11	Examination By: Mr. Jetter	34
12	GAVIN MANGELSON Examination By: Mr. Moore	38
13	DANNY MARTINEZ	4.1
14	Examination By: Mr. Moore	41
15	KATE BOWMAN Examination By: Ms. Hayes	45
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
43		

	Page 4
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	000
3	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Good morning. We're here in
4	Public Service Commission Docket No. 16-035-36 in the
5	Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to
6	Implement Programs Authorized by the Sustainable
7	Transportation and Energy Plan Act. This is the
8	hearing on Phase Two.
9	We'll take appearances starting with Utility.
10	MR. SOLANDER: Thank you, Chairman LeVar,
11	Commissioners White, Clark. Daniel Solander,
12	representing Rocky Mountain Power. I have with me at
13	counsel table Steve McDougal, Director of Revenue
14	Requirements. And the company intends to call Douglas
15	Marx, Ian Andrews, and Rob Stewart as its witnesses.
16	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you.
17	MR. JETTER: Good morning. I'm Justin Jetter
18	with the Utah Attorney General's Office. I'm here
19	today representing the Utah Division of Public
20	Utilities. With me at counsel table is Division
21	witness Robert A. Davis, and the Division also intends
22	to call witness Myunghee Sim Tuttle.
23	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you.
24	MR. MOORE: Robert Moore of the AG's Office
25	representing the Office of Consumer Services. I have

1	Page 5 with me here two utility analysts from the Office,
2	Gavin Mangelson and Danny Garcia Martinez.
3	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you.
4	MS. HAYES: Good morning. Sophie Hayes with
5	Utah Clean Energy. With me at counsel table is
6	Ms. Kate Bowman. She's our only witness today.
7	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Any other
8	preliminary matters? We'll go ahead to Mr. Solander?
9	MR. SOLANDER: Thank you. Rocky Mountain
10	Power appreciates being able to present today on Phase
11	Two of our Application to Implement Programs Authorized
12	by the STEP legislation.
13	Our first witness that we'll be calling is
14	Douglas Marx. He will be testifying in support of the
15	Advanced Substation Metering Program.
16	000
17	DOUGLAS MARX,
18	having been first duly sworn to tell the
19	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. SOLANDER:
22	Q. Good morning, Mr. Marx.
23	A. Hi.
24	Q. Could you please state your name and your
25	current position with Rocky Mountain Power for the
1	

Page 6 1 record. 2. Α. I'm Douglas Marx. I'm the director of standards and technical services in the engineering 3 4 department. And did you prepare and cause to be filed in 5 6 this proceeding direct testimony supporting the Advanced Substation Metering Program? 7 Yes, I did. 8 Α. And do you have any additions or corrections 9 to that testimony you'd like to make at this time? 10 11 No, I do not. Α. 12 0. Have you prepared a summary of that testimony 13 you'd like to share with the Commission this morning? 14 Α. Yes. 15 As the energy sector moves towards 16 distributed renewable generation, more advanced data will be needed about the distribution systems to enable 17 us to more readily connect these generation sources. 18 This project will provide the information 19 20 necessary for distribution circuits without sufficient 21 metering to provide for the development of a 22 progressive electric grid and for the integration of 23 distributed generation resources in those areas. 24 The Advanced Substation Metering Project, if 25 authorized, will enable the Company to purchase and

1	Page 7 install advanced meters on approximately 50 circuits
2	connected to distribution substations that do not have
3	these capabilities.
4	These installations will provide greater
5	visibility into the distribution system and the
6	integration of distributed generation resources in
7	those areas. The Company is proposing a budget of \$1.1
8	million for this project.
9	The substation monitoring and measurement of
10	various electrical quantities will provide the
11	information necessary for the development of a more
12	progressive electric grid, in particular for faster
13	integration of distributed generation resources.
14	Data collection and analysis at the
15	distribution level will be of paramount importance as
16	the company continues to integrate the rapid growth of
17	distributed energy resources into its system.
18	In addition to providing a greater
19	understanding of solutions that will allow the Company
20	to make the grid more progressive, the project will
21	enable increased levels of distributed energy resources
22	on the power grid by providing more visibility into
23	loading levels, load shape and the event information
24	needed to develop the interconnection studies and
25	determine hosting capacities for distribution circuits.

1	Page 8 This information will benefit distributed
2	generation applicants and assist the Company in
3	determining safe switching procedures, cost-effective
4	capital improvement plans, and help identify and
5	control risks associated with the integration of higher
6	penetration levels of distributed energy resources.
7	The management of distributed resources is a
8	critical issue that the Company needs to gain as much
9	information in order to protect the system and our
10	customers. This concludes my summary.
11	Q. Thank you.
12	MR. SOLANDER: I'd move that Mr. Marx's
13	testimony and exhibits as filed be entered into the
14	record.
15	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: If anyone objects to that
16	motion, please indicate to me. I'm not seeing any, so
17	the motion is granted.
18	MR. SOLANDER: Thank you. Mr. Marx is
19	available for cross-examination or questions from the
20	Commission.
21	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Mr. Jetter?
22	MR. JETTER: No questions from the Division.
23	Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Moore?
25	MR. MOORE: No cross.
ı	

1	Page 9 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Ms. Hayes?
2	MS. HAYES: No questions. Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
4	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Just a couple of
5	questions. Thank you, Mr. Marx.
6	Clearly this at least it's hoped that this
7	program will generate some important information. And
8	I know there's interest in that information, and it's
9	been expressed by participants participants in the
10	docket.
11	Is there agreement and consensus around how
12	the information will be shared and to whom it yeah,
13	it will be shared with?
14	THE WITNESS: That's a great question. I
15	don't know if there's any agreements outside of the
16	company. It will be just a standard data that we
17	gather for other circuits.
18	I mean, we have this capability on a lot of
19	our substations. But most of our rural substations
20	don't have this. That's what we're seeing the issues
21	with large scale solar installations coming in that
22	impact our system. So as far as agreements for sharing
23	data, I'm not aware of any outside.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is this the kind of
25	information that's typically available to customers or

Page 10 1 other industry participants if they seek it from the 2 company? 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah. If they put in the proper request, it is available. 4 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. Those are 6 all my questions. 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner White, do you 8 9 have anything? 10 COMMISSIONER WHITE: The one question -- I quess this will probably be a recurring thing about 11 12 some of the issues presented where there was a question 13 about reporting. I think it was addressed initially by 14 the Office. I guess there was, you know, some response 15 to that. Is there any further clarification that the 16 company needs or the party needs in terms of what type 17 of reporting is appropriate for -- again, this is 18 something we'll probably be addressing with some of the 19 20 other things, but specifically the Advanced Substation 21 Metering Program? 22 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not aware of any 23 additional reporting that's required on this other than what's been discussed. 24 25 COMMISSIONER WHITE: Okay. So just to be

```
Page 11
     clear then, the reporting -- the company is clear on
 1
 2
     what type of reporting, and there's not really an issue
     with what has been requested by the Office of the
 3
 4
     Division in their testimony?
               THE WITNESS:
 5
                             Correct.
 6
               COMMISSIONER WHITE: Okay. That's all the
 7
     questions I have. Thank you.
               CHAIRMAN LEVAR: I don't have anything else.
 8
 9
               MR. SOLANDER: If I might redirect on this
     point?
10
11
               CHAIRMAN LEVAR:
                                Sure.
12
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13
     BY MR. SOLANDER:
               When the company provides this information,
14
     it provides it as an aggregated set and data and
15
     doesn't provide individual customer information
16
     relating to loading on the circuit or kind of
17
     identifiable information that will relate to a specific
18
     customer; is that right?
19
2.0
               That's correct. The data this metering will
          Α.
21
     obtain is for the circuit, not for any individual
2.2
     customer on that circuit. You're right.
23
               MR. SOLANDER: Thank you.
24
               CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you, Mr. Marx.
25
               (A discussion was had off the record.)
```

```
Page 12
 1
               MR. SOLANDER:
                               Thank you. Rocky Mountain
 2
     Power would like to call Mr. Ian Andrews in support of
     the STEP Clean Coal Research Projects.
 3
 4
                              --000--
 5
                           IAN ANDREWS,
 6
          having been first duly sworn to tell the
          truth, was examined and testified as follows:
 7
 8
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MR. SOLANDER:
 9
10
               Good morning.
          0.
11
               Good morning.
          Α.
12
          Q.
               Could you please state your name and your
13
     current position with Rocky Mountain Power for the
14
     record?
15
               My name is Ian Andrews. I'm the director of
          Α.
16
     resource development.
               And did you prepare and cause to be filed in
17
     this docket direct testimony in support of Clean Coal
18
19
     Research Projects before the Commission here in STEP
     Two, Phase Two?
20
21
          Α.
               I did.
22
               And do you have any additions or corrections
23
     to that testimony that you'd like to make at this time?
24
          Α.
               I don't.
25
               MR. SOLANDER: I move now that Mr. Andrews'
```

Page 13 1 testimony and the exhibits in support be entered into 2 the record. 3 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: If anyone objects to that 4 motion, please indicate to me. Not seeing any, so the motion is granted. 5 6 MR. SOLANDER: Thank you. 7 0. Have you prepared a summary of your testimony that you'd like to share with the Commission? 8 9 I do have a summary, yes. 10 Thank you. Please proceed. Q. 11 As part of the overall STEP legislation, the Α. 12 company is requesting approval to apply \$5 million in STEP funding over a five-year period to investigate, 13 14 analyze, and research clean coal technology. Clean coal technology is defined as a 15 16 technology that may be researched, developed, or used for reducing emissions for the rate of emissions from a 17 thermal electric generation plant that uses coal as a 18 fuel source. 19 20 To meet that objective, the company proposed 21 to allocate these funds across a number of projects 22 that focus on the capture, reduction, and sequestration of carbon dioxide and the reduction of nitrogen oxides. 23 24 Previously the company presented two 25 projects. These were for the implementation of a

Page 14 neural net system at the Huntington plant and the 1 2 potential demonstration of a NOx control technologies 3 at either Hunter or Huntington plants. These projects 4 were approved by this Commission, and that work is currently in progress. 5 The five Clean Coal Research Projects that 6 are proposed for the remaining funds are -- I'll 7 describe each of these shortly. The first is a coal 8 firing of processed woody wastes consisting of two 9 18-hour tests at Hunter 3 boiler using woody waste 10 11 processed by two Utah firms, Amaron Energy and AEG 12 CoalSwitch. The target heat input is 10 percent, and the 13 primary objective of this test is to determine if these 14 processed fuels behave as drop-in replacements for 15 16 coal. The proposed funding level is \$790,000. 17 The second project is to co-fund a long-term availability test of sustainable energy solution CO2 18 19 cryogenic carbon capture technology at the Hunter plant. The proposed project will consist of modifying 20 21 the test skid and performing a series of long-term 22 operational tests. 23 Demonstrating the reliability of this 24 technology is the critical next step in order to secure 25 funding to scale up this promising technology to a 5 to

1	Page 15 10 megawatt thermal electric equivalent. The proposed
2	funding level is \$1.1 million.
3	The third project is to co-fund the
4	University of Utah's feasibility assessment of
5	commercial scale CO2 geologic sequestration facility
6	adjacent to the Hunter and Huntington plants.
7	The ability to locate identify locations
8	that are suitable for commercial scale CO2 geologic
9	sequestration is a critical issue that must be
10	addressed to reduce the carbon footprint of coal-fired
11	generating stations.
12	This project is called Carbon Safe by the
13	U.S. Department of Energy. This Phase One effort is
14	the first of four phases of USDO funding opportunities.
15	The University of Utah has been awarded \$1.2 million by
16	the USDOE towards this first phase. The proposed
17	amount of STEP funding is \$150,000.
18	The fourth project is a feasibility study to
19	evaluate the use of CO2 for a beneficial use for
20	enhanced natural gas recovery from coal seams,
21	specifically coal seams in the Emery County area.
22	CO2 has the potential to be used for
23	enhancing natural gas recovery from coal beds in much
24	the same way carbon dioxide is currently used for
25	enhanced oil recovery.

1	Page 16 As part of this study, an assessment will be
2	made of the capability of these coal seams to
3	concurrently sequester CO2. The proposed funding level
4	is \$275,000.
5	And, lastly, the fifth project is the
6	evaluation of the potential for integrating solar
7	thermal heat recovery system to provide steam for
8	feedwater heating to the Hunter 3 boiler. The study
9	would be specific to the Hunter plant, taking into
10	account site-specific installation performance and land
11	availability. The proposed funding level is \$187,000.
12	These five projects were presented at
13	technical conferences held on November 7, 2016, and
14	January 24, 2017. This concludes my testimony summary.
15	MR. SOLANDER: Thank you. Mr. Ian Andrews is
16	available for questioning from the Commission or
17	cross-examination.
18	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Mr. Jetter?
19	MR. JETTER: I have no questions. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Moore?
21	MR. MOORE: No questions. Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Ms. Hayes?
23	MS. HAYES: No questions. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Commissioner
25	White?

1	Page 17 COMMISSIONER WHITE: I'll just ask you and
2	you can defer. This may be a question for Mr. McDougal
3	because it was either addressed or not addressed in his
4	rebuttal testimony.
5	The question is there was a with respect
6	to the cryogenic carbon capture, there was a question
7	of whether there would be kind of a pause, I guess, in
8	the funding between Phase One and Phase Two.
9	And there was some discussion in
10	Mr. McDougal's rebuttal testimony about essentially the
11	need for because of the funding partners, the DOE,
12	etc., that that would be problematic.
13	I noticed in the carbon capture and sequester
14	program, there's also phases to that program. Is there
15	that same concern about breaking those up, in other
16	words, taking a pause and evaluating before the funding
17	continues because they're the same funding partners?
18	THE WITNESS: We've not made any obligations
19	or commitments to the SCS for any Phase Two funding for
20	their proposed program.
21	COMMISSIONER WHITE: So that's for the
22	carbon?
23	THE WITNESS: For the cryogenic capture.
24	COMMISSIONER WHITE: There's that same
25	concern?

	Page 18
1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER WHITE: That's all I've got.
3	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
4	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Just to follow up on
5	that line of questioning, so is there a potential
6	problem or impediment if the project is only initially
7	funded through Phase One and then further funding
8	evaluated at that point after the Phase One results are
9	available, or if that creates problems for other
10	participants, what what are those problems?
11	THE WITNESS: Well, the intent of Phase One
12	is to demonstrate long-term availability with the test.
13	They have done we have used this test skid at a
14	couple of other facilities, but the tests have been
15	very short term.
16	We have to demonstrate long-term availability
17	in order to secure DOE funding. So this is kind of a
18	critical next step to demonstrate this long-term
19	availability.
20	So it the results of this this Phase
21	One effort will have an impact on Phase Two. The
22	expectation is that this will this will operate over
23	a long period of time.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And what would what
25	would happen with the Phase Two funding if the

1	Page 19 expectation is not met and and
2	THE WITNESS: I don't know to what extent DOE
3	has any commitments at this time for long-term funding
4	for that Phase Two effort.
5	MR. SOLANDER: Commissioner Clark, if I can
6	represent, Mr. Stewart will address this when he's
7	called as a witness.
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. That
9	concludes my questions. Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: I don't have any questions
11	for Mr. Andrews. Thank you.
12	MR. SOLANDER: Thank you. Rocky Mountain
13	Power's third witness will be Mr. F. Robert Stewart in
14	support of the Commercial Line Extension Program.
15	000
16	F. ROBERT STEWART,
17	having been first duly sworn to tell the
18	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. SOLANDER:
21	Q. Good morning. Could you please state your
22	name and your current position with Rocky Mountain
23	Power for the record?
<b>23</b> 24	Power for the record?  A. My name is F. Robert Stewart. My present

Page 20 1 regulatory liaison department in the regulation. 2 Q. And as part of your duties, is that -- in that position, did you prepare and cause to be filed in 3 4 this docket testimony? 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. And do you have any corrections or additions to that testimony that you'd like to make at this time? 7 Α. No. 8 9 And have you also prepared a summary that you'd like to share with the Commission? 10 11 Yes, I have. My testimony explains and Α. 12 provides support for the proposed Commercial Line 13 Extension Pilot Program. The company is requesting 14 authorization from the Commission to spend \$2.5 million 15 of STEP funds over five years for the line extension 16 pilot pursuant to the legislation. 17 This pilot includes a new tariff, Regulation 18 The proposed Regulation 13 provides an allowance of 20 percent of the cost of backbone 19 20 electrical infrastructure within nonresidential or 21 commercial developments that the developer would 22 otherwise pay. 23 A development is an area where groups of buildings may be constructed at or about the same time. 24 25 Backbone is the primary voltage line which distributes

Page 21 power to and throughout the development to which future 1 2 buildings are connected. 3 The current tariff, Line Extension Regulation 4 12, provides an allowance to commercial buildings but not to commercial developments. It is up to the 5 commercial development -- developer to request and pay 6 for the installation of the backbone at their own 7 8 expense. 9 It is a growing trend for these developers to not request installation of backbone. The result is 10 11 the backbone is installed in a piecemeal fashion as 12 individual commercial buildings are constructed. 13 Piecemeal installations are more expensive 14 and problematic due to multiple mobilizations, lack of 15 an overall design, lack of loop feed reliability until the development is filled in, greater difficulty of 16 17 installation after surface improvements have been completed, and lack of coordination with those 18 19 utilities that the municipalities requires to be 2.0 installed up front. 21 This lack of coordination results in space 22 conflicts, insufficient clearance in dedicated 23 easements, conflicts increased difficulty of 24 construction, and risk of damage in excavating around 25 existing facilities. For these reasons, the company is

	Page 22
1	proposing this innovative utility program in the
2	interest of our utility customers.
3	MR. SOLANDER: Does that conclude your
4	summary?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	MR. SOLANDER: Thank you. I'd ask at this
7	time that Mr. Stewart's testimony and exhibits be
8	entered into the record.
9	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Please indicate to me if
10	anybody objects to that motion? Not seeing any, so the
11	motion is granted.
12	MR. SOLANDER: Thank you. Mr. Stewart is
13	available for cross-examination or questions from the
14	Commission.
15	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Mr. Jetter?
16	MR. JETTER: I have no questions, thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Moore?
18	MR. MOORE: The Office has no questions.
19	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Ms. Hayes?
20	MS. HAYES: No questions. Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Commissioner
22	Clark?
23	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions.
24	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner White?
25	COMMISSIONER WHITE: I have no questions.
1	

```
Page 23
 1
     Thank you.
 2.
               CHAIRMAN LEVAR: I don't either. Thank you.
 3
               MR. SOLANDER: For its final witness, Rocky
 4
     Mountain Power would like to call Mr. Steve McDougal.
 5
                              --000--
 6
                        STEVEN R. MCDOUGAL,
          having been first duly sworn to tell the
 7
          truth, was examined and testified as follows:
 8
 9
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MR. SOLANDER:
10
11
               Good morning. Would you please state your
          Q.
12
     name and current position with Rocky Mountain Power for
13
     the record?
14
               Yes. My name is Steven R. McDougal. I am
15
     the director of revenue requirement.
16
          0.
               And as director of revenue requirement, did
     you prepare and cause to be filed rebuttal testimony
17
     that's before the Commission in Phase Two of this
18
     docket?
19
2.0
          Α.
               Yes.
21
               And do you have any corrections or additions
22
     to that testimony that you'd like to make at this time?
23
          Α.
               No.
24
          0.
               And did you prepare a summary?
25
          Α.
               Yes.
```

	Page 24
1	Q. Would you please proceed?
2	A. Are you going to move for admission?
3	Q. I'll do it after.
4	A. Okay. My rebuttal testimony in Phase Two of
5	this docket addresses the following issues. First, the
6	STEP funding limits. The company will commit to not
7	charge more to the STEP project than authorized in the
8	legislation.
9	Any ongoing O&M occurring after the
10	conclusion of the STEP surcharge will be included in
11	future rate cases, and the company will justify the
12	ongoing level similar to all other O&M expenses.
13	Two, the Clean Coal Project. The company is
14	seeking funds for Phase One of the project. To clarify
15	a point and to try and answer some of the questions
16	that I know are going to come up, it may not have been
17	clear in my rebuttal testimony, but we are only seeking
18	recovery of Phase One costs at this time. We are not
19	seeking funding for Phase Two.
20	If the company proceeds with Phase Two prior
21	to getting approval, the company would need to support
22	the prudence of its decision in a future proceeding,
23	similar to any other investment the company makes.
24	Three, on the commercial line extension
25	program, the company will provide annual reports

Page 25 detailing how the incentives are being used each year 1 2 of the pilot program, and the company will work 3 informally with the regulatory agencies and other -- on 4 other information needs regarding the line extension The company also agreed to modify the 5 6 Regulation No. 13 language as stated in my rebuttal 7 testimony. And, lastly, on confidential information, the 8 9 company believes that sharing information from STEP programs will allow parties to work together on future 10 11 projects that support grid modernization and future 12 innovative technology programs. However, the company 13 does not want to have any type of annual reporting that distributes confidential customer information. 14 15 As supported in the company's application in this docket and the testimony of the company witnesses, 16 17 the company recommends that the Commission find that the Phase Two programs are prudent and consistent with 18 the STEP legislation. And the company respectfully 19 20 requests that the Commission approve all issues under 21 consideration in Phase Two of this docket. Thank you. 22 That concludes my testimony. 23 MR. SOLANDER: Thank you. I would move at 24 this time that Mr. McDougal's rebuttal testimony be 25 entered into the record.

1	Page 26 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: If any party objects to that
2	motion, please indicate to me. Not seeing any, so the
3	motion is granted. Thank you.
4	MR. SOLANDER: Thank you. Mr. McDougal is
5	available for any questions from the Commission or
6	parties.
7	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Jetter?
8	MR. JETTER: I have no questions.
9	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Moore?
10	MR. MOORE: The Office has no questions.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Ms. Hayes?
13	MS. HAYES: No questions. Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner White?
15	COMMISSIONER WHITE: I appreciate it. Just
16	so I make sure I heard you correctly, that
17	clarification regarding the additional phase would be
18	addressed in some other filing or docket or proceeding
19	beyond this and there's no request for approval of that
20	at this juncture?
21	THE WITNESS: Correct. We are not requesting
22	funding. We would either have to request funding in a
23	separate docket or just go through prudence like we
24	would any other capital expenditure in a future
25	proceeding.

1	Page 27 COMMISSIONER WHITE: Is there any again,
2	not the issue of DOE or the funding partners,
3	there's nothing that you need at this juncture to give
4	signals otherwise, that will be a completely different
5	issue?
6	THE WITNESS: Correct.
7	COMMISSIONER WHITE: Okay. That's all I've
8	got. Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. McDougal, thank you
11	for your clarification about reporting relative to the
12	Commercial Line Extension Program. That addressed the
13	questions I had for you on that program, so I don't
14	have anything further. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
15	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: And I don't have any further
16	requests. Thank you, Mr. McDougal.
17	MR. SOLANDER: That concludes Rocky Mountain
18	Power's case today.
19	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you.
20	Mr. Jetter?
21	MR. JETTER: The Division would like to call
22	and have sworn in its first witness, Mr. Robert Davis.
23	000
24	ROBERT DAVIS,
25	having been first duly sworn to tell the

Page 28 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 1 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. JETTER: 4 0. Good morning, Mr. Davis. 5 Α. Good morning. 6 Q. Would you please state your name and 7 occupation for the record. Robert A. Davis. I'm a utility analyst for 8 Α. the Division of Public Utilities. 9 10 Thank you. And in the course of this docket, there's a number of phases going on, so I'm going to be 11 12 a little more specific than I typically would in 13 identifying your testimony. But is it correct that you submitted both 14 15 direct and surrebuttal testimony, direct being 16 Phase Two direct dated March 7, 2017, and the surrebuttal in Phase Two dated April 11, 2017? 17 18 Α. That's correct. 19 Q. Do you have any corrections or edits you'd 20 like to make to those? 21 Α. I do. Just one. On my direct testimony on 22 line 267, I had written in there that it was approval of \$1.745 million. That should have been 23 \$1.175 million. 24 Okay. And with that change, if you are asked 25 Q.

HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-035-36 - 04/18/2017 Page 29 1 the questions in both of those pre-filed testimonies 2 today, would your answers be the same? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Thank you. And have you prepared a brief statement to read into the record of the Division's 5 position? 6 7 Α. I have. 8 0. Please go ahead. Good morning. The Division has reviewed the 9 company's application for implementation of the 10 remaining STEP programs as outlined in the Commission's 11 12 Phase Two scheduling order in this docket. The parties 13 stipulated to add a Phase Three to the proceeding for the Electric Vehicle Program, which is scheduled to be 14 15 heard later in May of this year. The Division finds the company's application 16 and remaining STEP programs, excluding the Electric 17 Vehicle Program, to be in the public interest. 18 Division believes the company should follow the same 19 20 accounting treatment and reporting guidelines for the 21 programs in this phase as those ordered by the 22 Commission in Phase One of this docket. Additionally, the Division recommends the 23

actual expenditures on the Phase Two programs.

company be held accountable to report its progress and

The

24

25

Page 30 Division will audit and track the STEP initiatives 1 2 proposed in this phase case concurrently with those in 3 Phase One. 4 The Division understands, as ordered by the Commission in Phase One of this proceeding, that 5 program related operational, maintenance, 6 administrative and general or OMAG expenses will be 7 included within the STEP budgets throughout the five 8 9 year pilot. However, the Division understands that some 10 11 OMAG expenses are difficult to estimate over the five 12 year pilot. For these OMAG expenses and those expenses that may occur after the STEP pilot for programs deemed 13 14 to continue in the public interest, the company will need to seek recovery in a future rate case. 15 16 company is committed to the reporting and tracking of the OMAG expenses. 17 18 The Division recommends this approval be 19 conditional upon the accounting treatment, reporting 20 requirements, and treatment of OMAG expenses as 21 previously stated. 22 Specifically, the Division recommends 23 approval of the Advanced Substation Metering Program, and approval of the remaining clean coal technology 24 25 programs: CO2 Woody Waste, cryogenic carbon capture,

Page 31 1 carbon capture and sequestration, coal bed methane 2 recovery, and solar thermal recovery technology. 3 The Division's second witness in this phase 4 of the proceeding, Ms. Myunghee Tuttle, will testify on the Commercial Line Extension Program. Thank you. 5 6 That concludes my summary. 7 Thank you. And I want to ask you just a **Q.** follow-up clarifying question. You had mentioned the 8 9 Electric Vehicle Program. 10 Is it fair to say that your opinion on that 11 today is just neutral, that we're not presenting an 12 opinion either way, just that you're not recommending 13 approval today? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 MR. JETTER: Okay. I have no further 16 questions. I would like to move at this time to enter the direct and surrebuttal testimony that I had 17 identified earlier of Mr. Davis into the record. 18 19 CHAIRMAN LEVAR: If any party objects to that 20 motion, please indicate to me. Not seeing any, the 21 motion is granted. Thank you. 22 MR. JETTER: Thank you. I have no further 23 questions, and Mr. Davis is available for cross or questions from the Commission. 24

CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Mr. Moore?

25

	Page 32
1	MR. MOORE: No questions. Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Ms. Hayes?
3	MS. HAYES: No questions. Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Solander?
5	MR. SOLANDER: No questions. Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner White?
7	COMMISSIONER WHITE: I have no questions.
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions.
11	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Davis, just as a
12	clarification, is your recommendation that the
13	Commission should in its order you're recommending
14	that we should approve the programs.
15	Are you recommending that we should outline
16	reporting requirements specifically, or is it your
17	testimony that there's a general understanding of the
18	type of reporting requirements that should be in place?
19	THE WITNESS: I think there's a general
20	understanding. The parties haven't really discussed
21	the format or anything like that, but I think it's
22	already clear.
23	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Consistent with
24	what's in your testimony regarding what the Division
25	would like to see reported?
	MONTA TIVE CO BEE LEDOLCEN:

1	Page 33
2	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. I don't
3	have any further questions.
4	COMMISSIONER WHITE: Sorry. I have one.
5	I guess just following up on what Chair LeVar
6	was asking, there's been discussion of potential
7	workshops. Is that something also that the Division
8	plans to collaborate with the stakeholders to, you
9	know, figure out the correct format how those will
10	apply, or is there any agreement on those?
11	THE WITNESS: I don't know if hasn't
12	really been discussed in detail. But that's the
13	assumption moving forward. Just don't have the detail
14	on how that's to move forward.
15	COMMISSIONER WHITE: Okay. That's all the
16	questions I have. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Do you have anything else?
18	Thank you, Mr. Davis.
19	MR. JETTER: The Division would like to call
20	its second witness, Myunghee Tuttle.
21	000
22	MYUNGHEE TUTTLE,
23	having been first duly sworn to tell the
24	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION

Page 34 BY MR. JETTER: 1 2 Q. Good morning, Ms. Tuttle. 3 Good morning. Α. 4 Would you please state your name. And I'd like you to spell it for the court reporter also this 5 6 morning. 7 Α. Yes. My name is Myunghee Sim Tuttle. M-Y-U-N-G-H-E-E, S-I-M, T-U-T-T-L-E. 8 9 Q. Thank you. And what is your occupation? I'm a utility analyst for the Division of 10 Α. 11 Public Utilities. 12 0. Thank you. And in the course of your 13 employment with the Division of Public Utilities, did you create and file with the Commission a direct 14 testimony -- pre-filed direct testimony dated March 7, 15 2017? 16 17 Yes. Α. Do you have any corrections or edits you'd 18 0. like to make to that? 19 20 No, I do not. Α. 21 Thank you. If you were asked the same 0. 22 questions that were in that pre-filed testimony today, 23 would your answers be the same? 24 Α. Yes. 25 And have you prepared a brief summary of your Q.

Page 35 1 testimony? 2. Α. Yes. Please go ahead. 3 0. 4 The Division has evaluated the company's proposed Commercial Line Extension Pilot Program as 5 outlined in the Commission's Phase Two Scheduling Order 6 in the STEP docket. The Division finds the Commercial 7 Line Extension Pilot Program to be in the public 8 9 interest and recommends that the Commission approve the Commercial Line Extension Pilot Program. 10 11 In addition to the recommendation of 12 approving the Commercial Line Extension Pilot Program, 13 the Division requests that the Commission require the company to include an analysis quantifying the benefits 14 15 of the Line Extension Program in its overall STEP 16 report. 17 Second, the Division recommends that the 18 Commission order the company to provide annual reports 19 showing how the incentives from Regulation 13 are being 2.0 used in each of the respective five years of the pilot. The Division will audit and review the Commercial Line 21 22 Extension Pilot Program with the reports provided by 23 the company. This concludes my summary. 24 0. Thank you. 25 I'd like to move at this time to MR. JETTER:

1	Page 36 enter Ms. Tuttle's direct testimony into the record.
2	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. If any party
3	objects to that motion, please indicate to me. The
4	motion is granted.
5	MR. JETTER: Thank you. I have no further
6	questions, and the witness is available for questions
7	from the other parties or Commission.
8	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Mr. Moore?
9	MR. MOORE: No questions. Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: No questions?
11	MR. MOORE: No questions.
12	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Ms. Hayes?
13	MS. HAYES: No questions. Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Solander?
15	MR. SOLANDER: No questions. Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Good morning,
18	Ms. Tuttle.
19	THE WITNESS: Good morning.
20	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Are you satisfied having
21	reviewed the the responsive testimony of the company
22	that the information that the Division will require to
23	properly evaluate the performance of the program will
24	be available at least as they represented that they
25	will make it available to you?

1	Page 37 THE WITNESS: Yes. The Division, we've we
2	feel that with this information that we'll be able to
3	review the program and see if the program will be
4	effective. Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. That
6	concludes my questions.
7	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner White?
8	COMMISSIONER WHITE: I have no questions.
9	Thanks.
10	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Miss Tuttle, I just have one
11	question or maybe two. For the Office, Mr. Martinez
12	suggested some changes to the tariff language. And
13	then Mr. McDougal suggested some modifications to
14	Mr. Martinez's recommendation.
15	Do you have an opinion on the tariff language
16	as recommended by both Mr. Martinez and Mr. McDougal?
17	THE WITNESS: I do not have an opinion on
18	that.
19	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Thank you. That's
20	all I have. Thank you.
21	MR. JETTER: That concludes the Division's
22	witnesses at the hearing today.
23	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Mr. Moore?
24	MR. MOORE: Thank you. The Office calls
25	Gavin Mangelson as our first witness and asks that he

1	Page 38 be sworn.
2	000
3	GAVIN MANGELSON,
4	having been first duly sworn to tell the
5	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
6	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. MOORE:
8	Q. What is your name, business address, and
9	occupation?
10	A. My name is Gavin Mangelson. I'm a utility
11	analyst for the Office of Consumer Services. My
12	business address is 160 East, 300 South, Salt Lake
13	City, Utah.
14	Q. Did you submit direct testimony on March 7th,
15	2007, in this docket?
16	A. Yes. I submitted eight pages of direct
17	testimony.
18	Q. Do you have any corrections to that testimony
19	or additions?
20	A. Yes. On lines 36 and 148, when referencing
21	the legislation, it should read "an electric grid
22	related project" other than "an electric grid related
23	program."
24	Q. Other than that correction, if I asked you
25	the same questions today as you were asked in your

Page 39 pre-filed testimony, would your answers be the same? 1 2. Α. Yes. Have you prepared a summary of the Office's 3 0. 4 position? Yes, I have. 5 Α. Please provide that. 6 0. Thank you. Good morning, Chairman, 7 Α. Commissioners. 8 In my direct testimony, I identified 9 the benefits of the proposed substation meters and clarified that the substation meters only provide 10 11 information. 12 Advanced substation metering equipment can provide data necessary to identify potential sources of 13 system harm, but cannot affect power flows or otherwise 14 15 resolve or mitigate any identified system constraints. My testimony also noted that no evidence has yet been 16 collected indicating that system constraints are being 17 18 caused by distributed energy resources. 19 The Office recommended that certain reporting 2.0 requirements be included as part of the approval of 21 this program, including program evaluations, any 22 installations that deviate from the application, and that the information collected be made available to 23 interested parties, along with any conclusions that can 24 25 be made or inferred from the data.

1	Page 40 The company indicated that it supports the
2	sharing of information from STEP programs, not
3	including confidential customer information. The
4	company previously agreed to program evaluations in an
5	annual STEP report.
6	With the company's previous agreement and
7	appropriate sharing of information, the Office supports
8	the proposal and agrees that advanced substation meters
9	qualify under the STEP legislation.
10	Q. Does this complete your statement?
11	A. Yes.
12	MR. MOORE: At this time the Office would
13	move for admission of his testimony.
14	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: If any party objects to that
15	motion, please indicate to me. The motion is granted.
16	Thank you.
17	MR. MOORE: This witness is available for
18	cross and questions from the Commission.
19	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. Mr. Jetter, I'll go
20	to you first.
21	MR. JETTER: I have no questions. Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Ms. Hayes?
23	MS. HAYES: No questions. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Solander?
25	MR. SOLANDER: No questions. Thank you.

	Page 41
1	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner White?
2	COMMISSIONER WHITE: I have no questions.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
5	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions. Thank
6	you.
7	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: I don't have any. Thank
8	you.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	MR. MOORE: As their second witness, the
11	Office would call Danny Martinez and ask him to be
12	sworn.
13	000
14	DANNY MARTINEZ,
15	having been first duly sworn to tell the
16	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. MOORE:
19	Q. Could you please state your name, business
20	address, and occupation?
21	A. Yes. My name is Danny Martinez. I'm a
22	utility analyst for the Office of Consumer Services.
23	My business address is 160 East, 300 South in Salt Lake
24	City, Utah.
25	Q. Have you submitted direct rebuttal testimony

together with exhibits in this docket?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any corrections or additions to
this testimony?
A. No.
Q. Have you prepared a summary of this
testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you please provide that summary.
A. Yes. Good morning. My testimony addresses
the Phase Two STEP programs which the company's
commercial which includes the company's Commercial
Line Extension proposal and Clean Coal programs. I
filed direct and rebuttal testimony during Phase Two of
this docket.
In my direct testimony, I addressed issues
associated with the company's Commercial Line Extension
proposal. First, I recommended to the Commission that
the company measure and report the benefits derived by
the company's Commercial Line Extension Program.
The company responded in rebuttal testimony
that it would work with parties to develop methods of
measuring and reporting any program benefits. The
Office supports the company's willingness to work with
parties to develop methods of identifying and reporting

Page 43 any benefits of the program. 1 2 Second, the Office recommended to the 3 Commission tariff language to clarify eligibility 4 requirements with the company's proposed Regulation 13. The company addressed the Office's concerns in rebuttal 5 testimony by modifying the Office's proposed 6 languages -- language. 7 The Office supports the company's modified 8 9 Eligibility tariff language in Regulation 13. direct testimony, the tariff language I proposed was an 10 11 attempt to be consistent with the company's response to 12 OSC Data Request 9.1, concerning language originally 13 stating that developers "may" need to comply with electric vehicle charging requirements. 14 The company's rebuttal testimony is an 15 updated company position that now indicates that all 16 17 eligible developers will be required to meet the electric vehicle charging station requirements. Given 18 this new information, the Office supports the revised 19 20 tariff language proposal put forth by the company. 21 Lastly, regarding my testimony concerning the 22 company's Clean Coal Project, the Office supports the 23 Division's testimony that the company should track and 24 report OMAG expenses for Phase Two Clean Coal Projects 25 in the same manner as proposed in Phase One.

1	Page 44 In conclusion, with the company's assurances
2	provided in rebuttal testimony and the additional
3	Regulation 13 tariff language as the company proposed,
4	the Office supports the company's modified Commercial
5	Line Extension Program.
6	The Office also supports the company's Phase
7	Two Clean Coal Project subject to the requirement that
8	the company track and report OMAG expenses in the same
9	manner as proposed in Phase One. That's the
10	conclusion.
11	MR. MOORE: At this point, the Office would
12	move for admission of his testimony and attached
13	exhibits.
14	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Is there any objection to
15	that motion from any party? The motion is granted.
16	Thank you.
17	MR. MOORE: The witness is available for
18	cross and questions from the Commission.
19	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Jetter?
20	MR. JETTER: I have no questions, thank you?
21	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Ms. Hayes?
22	MS. HAYES: No questions. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Solander?
24	MR. SOLANDER: None. Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
I	

	Page 45
1	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions. Thank
2	you.
3	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner White?
4	COMMISSIONER WHITE: No questions. Thanks.
5	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: I don't either. Thank you.
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7	MR. MOORE: This complete the Office's
8	testimony.
9	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. Ms. Hayes?
10	MS. HAYES: Thank you. Utah Clean Energy
11	calls Kate Bowman as its witness. She will need to be
12	sworn.
13	000
14	KATE BOWMAN,
15	having been first duly sworn to tell the
16	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. HAYES:
19	Q. Good morning, Ms. Bowman. Will you please
20	state your name and position for the record.
21	A. Kate Bowman. I'm the solar project
22	coordinator for Utah Clean Energy.
23	Q. Did you file direct testimony in Phase Two of
24	this docket on March 7th of 2017?
25	A. Yes, I did.

1	Page 46 Q. If I asked you the same questions today as
2	are set forth in that testimony, would your answers be
3	the same?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Have you prepared a summary of your
6	testimony?
7	A. Yes, I have.
8	Q. Would you please present that.
9	A. Yes. The purpose of Utah Clean Energy's
10	testimony is to express support for the company's
11	proposed substation metering project and to provide
12	recommendations to maximize the value proposition for
13	ratepayers who would be funding this program through
14	the STEP program.
15	Distributed energy resources will play a
16	critical role in the grid of the future and will
17	provide valuable grid services while improving
18	reliability and resiliency.
19	Customers are becoming active participants in
20	today's electric grid, and the market for new
21	distributed energy technologies is growing, including
22	rooftop solar, smart inverters, energy storage, meter
23	controllable loads, electric vehicles, and energy
24	efficiency resources.
25	The benefits of distributed energy

1	technologies can be amplified when these technologies
2	are deployed in concert with one another, and increased
3	data visibility into the distribution system can be
4	used to understand how to integrate these technologies
5	cost effectively, and to inform distribution system
6	planning in order to keep costs low for all ratepayers.
7	First, the company notes that the proposed
8	program will provide location-specific data that allows
9	the company to perform interconnection studies more
10	cost effectively. Increased data visibility into real
11	locations on the Utah distribution system can also
12	allow the utility to evaluate the technical basis for
13	existing interconnection rules and ensure that the
14	interconnection rules remain in line with best
15	practices.
16	Second, increased data visibility can be used
17	to inform distribution system planning and identify
18	strategies to keep costs low for all ratepayers in the
19	long term.
20	Distributed solar combined with battery
21	storage and demand response can reduce system peak
22	demand and offer distribution grid benefits, including
23	frequency regulation and voltage support.
24	These benefits vary even based on the
25	technology or technologies deployed and their location.

1	Page 48 And improved data visibility into the distribution
2	system can reveal local grid conditions at specific
3	times within specific context.
4	To date, little data related to the
5	interaction between distributed energy resources and
6	the distribution system has been made available to
7	stakeholders. And without improved data visibility,
8	the company may miss opportunities to accurately
9	account for distributed energy resources in long-term
10	utility planning processes and leverage the benefits of
11	private investments in distributed energy resources to
12	keep costs low for all ratepayers.
13	Utah Clean Energy supports the stated
14	intentions of this proposed program, namely to
15	modernize the grid, enable increasing levels of
16	distributed energy resources, improve customer service,
17	and maintain grid integrity.
18	Utah Clean Energy supports the company making
19	the proposed investments in advanced substation
20	metering infrastructure and to the extent the
21	information the company collects is used to inform the
22	cost-effective integration of distributed energy
23	resources and to facilitate lower cost solutions to
24	customer needs systemwide.
25	We further note that the challenges

Page 49 1 identified by the company are not solely caused by 2 increasing distributed energy resource penetration. 3 For example, changes in loads and the age and condition 4 of distribution grid infrastructure will also impact grid conditions and necessary utility investments. 5 And the benefits of increased data visibility 6 go beyond improved integration of distributed energy 7 resource and can be used to resolve a variety of 8 technical issues that impact customer service and 9 10 satisfaction and inform distribution system planning. 11 Given that the proposed program will be 12 funded using rate payer money in order to maximize the 13 value of the investment for ratepayers, we request that stakeholders be able to access data gained from this 14 15 program, that the company provide regular updates to 16 inform stakeholders as key milestones are reached, and that the company prepare a report outlining key 17 findings when the program has been implemented. 18 19 And on this point in response to some of the 20 questions regarding reporting requirements, we agree 21 with the recommendations made by the Division of Public 22 Utilities and the three specific reporting 23 recommendations that have been made by the Office of 24 Consumer Services. And that concludes my summary. 25 Thank you. Utah Clean Energy now MS. HAYES:

1	Page 50 moves the admission of the direct testimony Phase
2	Two direct testimony of Kate Bowman.
3	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: If any party objects to that
4	motion, please indicate to me. Sorry, did you complete
5	your motion? Sorry.
6	MS. HAYES: Sorry. I spoke over you. I
7	think so. We just move the admission of her direct
8	testimony, Phase Two direct testimony into the record.
9	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Okay. If any party objects,
10	please indicate to me. The motion is granted. Thank
11	you.
12	MS. HAYES: Thank you. Ms. Bowman is now
13	available for questions.
14	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. I'll go to
15	Mr. Moore first.
16	MR. MOORE: No question. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Jetter?
18	MR. JETTER: I have no questions. Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Mr. Solander?
20	MR. SOLANDER: No questions. Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner White?
22	COMMISSIONER WHITE: I think I heard that
23	you're comfortable with the way Mr. Solander
24	represented how the data would be provided in the
25	aggregate rather than customer specific data as it

1	Page 51 would be useful to stakeholders. So it sounds like
2	there's a consensus, I guess, in terms of the path
3	forward to how to provide data from this program?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes. And we understand that
5	sensitive customers' information should be should be
6	protected, and that there are ways to share data with
7	interested parties while still protecting customer
8	information.
9	COMMISSIONER WHITE: That's all the questions
10	I have.
11	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Commissioner Clark?
12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: No questions. Thank
13	you.
14	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Thank you. I don't have any
15	either. Thank you.
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Ms. Hayes, anything else
18	from Utah Clean Energy?
19	MS. HAYES: No. That concludes all of our
20	witness list witnesses.
21	CHAIRMAN LEVAR: Anything else from any
22	party? Okay. Thank you for being here today. Thank
23	you especially for accommodating our audio
24	difficulties, especially to our court reporter.
25	Appreciate you accommodating that today. We're

```
Page 52
     adjourned.
 1
                (The proceedings concluded at 10:15 a.m.)
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	Page 53 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF UTAH )
3	COUNTY OF UTAH )
4	
5	I, Daren S. Bloxham, a Notary Public and
6	Certified Shorthand Reporter, Registered Professional
7	Reporter, hereby certify:
8	THAT the foregoing proceedings were taken
9	before me at the time and place set forth in the
10	caption hereof; that the witnesses were placed under
11	oath to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
12	but the truth; that the proceedings were taken down by
13	me in shorthand and thereafter my notes were
14	transcribed through computer-aided transcription; and
15	the foregoing transcript constitutes a full, true, and
16	accurate record of such testimony adduced and oral
17	proceedings had, and of the whole thereof.
18	I have subscribed my name on this 18th day of
19	April, 2017.
20	
21	Daren S. Bloxham
22	Registered Professional Reporter #335
23	
24	
25	

Index: \$1.1..annual

			Index:	\$1.1annua.
\$	2	<b>able</b> 5:10 37:2 49:14	addressing 10:19	<b>all</b> 10:6 11:6 18:2 24:12
<b>\$1.1</b> 7:7 15:2	<b>20</b> 20:19	access 49:14 account 16:10	adjacent 15:6 administrative	25:20 27:7 33:15 37:20 43:16 47:6,18
<b>\$1.175</b> 28:24	<b>2007</b> 38:15	48:9	30:7	48:12
<b>\$1.2</b> 15:15 <b>\$1.745</b> 28:23	<b>2016</b> 16:13 <b>2017</b> 16:14	accountable 29:24	admission 24:2 40:13 44:12	allocate 13:21 allow 7:19
<b>\$150,000</b> 15:17 <b>\$187,000</b> 16:11	28:16,17 34:16 45:24	<b>accounting</b> 29:20 30:19	advanced 5:15	25:10 47:12 allowance
<b>\$2.5</b> 20:14	<b>24</b> 16:14 <b>267</b> 28:22	accurately 48:8	6:7,16,24 7:1 10:20 30:23 39:12 40:8	20:19 21:4 allows 47:8
<b>\$275,000</b> 16:4		across 13:21	48:19	along 39:24
<b>\$5</b> 13:12	3	Act 4:7	<b>AEG</b> 14:11	already 32:22
<b>\$790,000</b> 14:16	<b>3</b> 14:10 16:8 <b>300</b> 38:12	active 46:19 actual 29:25 add 29:13	affect 39:14 after 18:8 21:17 24:3,9	<b>also</b> 4:21 17:14 20:9 25:5 33:7 34:5 39:16
<b>ooo</b> 4:2 5:16 12:4 19:15	41:23 <b>36</b> 38:20	<b>addition</b> 7:18 35:11	30:13  AG'S 4:24  again 10:18	44:6 47:11 49:4 <b>Amaron</b> 14:11
23:5 27:23 33:21 38:2	5	additional 10:23 26:17	27:1	amount 15:17
41:13 45:13 ————————————————————————————————————	<b>5</b> 14:25 <b>50</b> 7:1	44:2 Additionally	age 49:3 agencies 25:3	amplified 47:1 analysis 7:14 35:14
<b>10</b> 14:13 15:1	7	29:23 additions 6:9 12:22 20:6	aggregated 11:15	analyst 28:8 34:10 38:11
<b>11</b> 28:17 <b>12</b> 21:4	<b>7</b> 16:13 28:16	23:21 38:19 42:3	agree 49:20 agreed 25:5 40:4	41:22 analysts 5:1
<b>13</b> 20:18 25:6 35:19 43:4,9	34:15 <b>7th</b> 38:14 45:24	address 19:6 38:8,12 41:20, 23	<b>agreement</b> 9:11 33:10	analyze 13:14 Andrews 4:15
44:3 <b>148</b> 38:20	9	<b>addressed</b> 10:13 15:10	40:6 agreements	12:2,5,15 16:15 19:11
<b>16-035-36</b> 4:4 <b>160</b> 38:12	<b>9.1</b> 43:12	17:3 26:18 27:12 42:16 43:5	9:15,22 agrees 40:8	Andrews' 12:25
41:23	A	addresses	ahead 5:8 29:8 35:3	annual 24:25 25:13 35:18
<b>18-hour</b> 14:10	ability 15:7	24:5 42:10	00.0	40:5

Index: another..changes

another 47:2	area 15:21		<b>both</b> 28:14	23 30:25 31:1
answers 29:2	20:23	B	29:1 37:16	carbon 13:23
34:23 39:1	<b>areas</b> 6:23 7:7		Bowman 5:6	14:19 15:10,
46:2	around 9:11	backbone	45:11,14,19,21	12,24 17:6,13,
anybody 22:10	21:24	20:19,25 21:7,	breaking 17:15	22 30:25 31:1
		10,11		<b>case</b> 27:18
anyone 8:15	<b>asks</b> 37:25	based 47:24	brief 29:4	30:2,15
13:3	assessment		34:25	,
anything 10:9	15:4 16:1	<b>basis</b> 47:12	budget 7:7	<b>cases</b> 24:11
11:8 27:14		battery 47:20		cause 6:5
32:21 33:17	assist 8:2	becoming	budgets 30:8	12:17 20:3
onnooronoo	associated 8:5	46:19	buildings	23:17
appearances 4:9	42:17	40.19	20:24 21:2,4,	<b>caused</b> 39:18
4.9	assumption	<b>bed</b> 31:1	12	49:1
applicants 8:2	33:13	<b>beds</b> 15:23	business 38:8,	
application 4:5			12 41:19,23	certain 39:19
5:11 25:15	assurances	before 12:19	12 41.10,20	Chair 27:14
29:10,16 39:22	44:1	17:16 23:18		33:5
·	attached 44:12	<b>behave</b> 14:15	C	
apply 13:12		haina 5:10		Chairman 4:3,
33:10	attempt 43:11	being 5:10 25:1 28:15	<b>C02</b> 15:5	10,16,23 5:3,7 8:15,21,24 9:1,
appreciate	Attorney 4:18	35:19 39:17	call 4:14,22	3 10:8 11:8,11,
26:15	audit 30:1		12:2 23:4	24 13:3 16:18,
appreciates	35:21	believes 25:9	27:21 33:19	20,22,24 18:3
5:10	33.21	29:19	41:11	19:10 22:9,15,
	authorization	beneficial		17,19,21,24
appropriate	20:14	15:19	called 15:12	23:2 26:1,7,9,
10:18 40:7	authorized 4:6	hamafit 0.4	19:7	12,14 27:9,15,
approval 13:12	5:11 6:25 24:7	benefit 8:1	calling 5:13	19 31:19,25
24:21 26:19		benefits 35:14	calls 37:24	32:2,4,6,9,11,
28:22 30:18,	availability	39:9 42:19,23	45:11	23 33:2,17
23,24 31:13	14:18 16:11 18:12,16,19	43:1 46:25		36:2,8,10,12,
39:20		47:22,24 48:10	cannot 39:14	14,16 37:7,10,
approve 25:20	available 8:19	49:6	capabilities	19,23 39:7
32:14 35:9	9:25 10:4	best 47:14	7:3	40:14,19,22,24
	16:16 18:9			41:1,4,7 44:14, 19,21,23,25
approved 14:4	22:13 26:5	between 17:8	capability 9:18 16:2	45:3,5,9
approving	31:23 36:6,24,	48:5	10.2	
35:12	25 39:23 40:17 44:17 48:6	<b>beyond</b> 26:19	capacities 7:25	challenges
approximately	44.17 40.0	49:7	capital 8:4	48:25
7:1	awarded 15:15	<b>boiler</b> 14:10	26:24	change 28:25
	aware 9:23	16:8		
<b>April</b> 28:17	10:22		capture 13:22	<b>changes</b> 37:12 49:3
			14:19 17:6,13,	49.3
	Titigation	Comrigos	200 220 1112	<u> </u>

Index: charge..continues

				.gcconcinacs
charge 24:7	CO2 14:18	30:5 31:24	16,20,23 44:3,	conditional
charging	15:8,19,22	32:13 34:14	8 47:7,9 48:8,	30:19
43:14,18	16:3 30:25	35:9,13,18	18,21 49:1,15,	conditions
43:14,18	2221 40:0 40	36:7 40:18	17	
circuit 11:17,	<b>coal</b> 12:3,18	42:18 43:3		48:2 49:5
21,22	13:14,15,18	44:18	company's	conferences
,	14:6,8,16		25:15 29:10,16	16:13
circuits 6:20	15:20,21,23	Commission's	35:4 40:6	
7:1,25 9:17	16:2 24:13	29:11 35:6	42:11,12,17,	confidential
<b>City</b> 38:13	30:24 31:1	Commissioner	20,24 43:4,8,	25:8,14 40:3
41:24	42:13 43:22,24	9:3,4,24 10:5,	11,15,22 44:1,	conflicts
	44:7	8,10,25 11:6	4,6 46:10	21:22,23
clarification	coal-fired	16:24 17:1,21,	complete	21.22,20
10:16 26:17	15:10	24 18:2,3,4,24	40:10 45:7	connect 6:18
27:11 32:12	10.10	19:5,8 22:21,	40.10 40.7	connected 7:2
clarified 39:10	Coalswitch	23,24,25	completed	21:2
Ciarineu 39.10	14:12	26:14,15 27:1,	21:18	Z1.Z
clarify 24:14	collaborate	7,9,10 32:6,7,	completely	consensus
43:3		9,10 33:4,15		9:11
	33:8	36:16,17,20	27:4	
clarifying 31:8	collected	37:5,7,8 41:1,	<b>comply</b> 43:13	consideration
Clark 4:11 9:3,	39:17,23		47.45	25:21
4,24 10:5 18:3,		2,4,5 44:25 45:1,3,4	<b>concern</b> 17:15,	consist 14:20
4,24 19:5,8	collection 7:14	45.1,3,4	25	
22:22,23 27:9,	collects 48:21	Commissioner	concerning	consistent
10 32:9,10		<b>s</b> 4:11 39:8	43:12,21	25:18 32:23
36:16,17,20	combined		,	43:11
37:5 41:4,5	47:20	commit 24:6	concerns 43:5	consisting
44:25 45:1	come 24:16	commitments	concert 47:2	14:9
		17:19 19:3		14.5
<b>clean</b> 5:5 12:3,	coming 9:21		conclude 22:3	constraints
18 13:14,15	commercial	committed	concludes	39:15,17
14:6 24:13	15:5,8 19:14	30:16	8:10 16:14	constructed
30:24 42:13	20:12,21 21:4,	company 4:14	19:9 25:22	
43:22,24 44:7	5,6,12 24:24	6:25 7:7,16,19	27:17 31:6	20:24 21:12
45:10,22 46:9	27:12 31:5	8:2,8 9:16		construction
48:13,18 49:25		10:2,17 11:1,	35:23 37:6,21 49:24	21:24
clear 11:1	35:5,7,10,12,	14 13:12,20,24	43.24	Camarina
24:17 32:22	21 42:12,17,20	20:13 21:25	conclusion	Consumer
24.17 32.22	44:4	24:6,11,13,20,	24:10 44:1,10	4:25 38:11
clearance	Commission	21,23,25 25:2,	oonaliisis -	41:22 49:24
21:22	4:4 6:13 8:20	5,9,12,16,17,	conclusions	context 48:3
Ole and a colo	12:19 13:8	19 29:19,24	39:24	
Clearly 9:6	14:4 16:16	30:14,16	concurrently	continue 30:14
<b>co-fund</b> 14:17	20:10,14 22:14	· ·	16:3 30:2	continues 7:16
15:3	23:18 25:17,20	35:14,18,23		17:17
	26:5 29:22	36:21 40:1,4	condition 49:3	17.17
		42:19,21 43:5,		

Index: control..due

Г				
control 8:5	14:24 15:9	dated 28:16,17	developed	17,21 8:1,6,7
14:2	18:18 46:16	34:15	13:16	39:18 46:15,
		<b>D</b> === 1 = 4 : 0.4		21,25 47:20
controllable	cross 8:25	Davis 4:21	developer	48:5,9,11,16,
46:23	31:23 40:18	27:22,24 28:4,	20:21 21:6	22 49:2,7
coordination	44:18	8 31:18,23	developers	,
21:18,21	cross-	32:11 33:18	21:9 43:13,17	distributes
21.10,21	examination	decision 24:22	21.3 43.13,17	20:25 25:14
coordinator	8:19 16:17	decision 24.22	development	distribution
45:22	22:13	dedicated	6:21 7:11	6:17,20 7:2,5,
	22.13	21:22	12:16 20:23	1
<b>correct</b> 11:5,20	cryogenic		21:1,6,16	15,25 47:3,5,
26:21 27:6	14:19 17:6,23	deemed 30:13		11,17,22 48:1,
28:14,18 31:14	30:25	defer 17:2	developments	6 49:4,10
33:9	00.20	delet 17.2	20:21 21:5	Division 4:19,
correction	current 5:25	defined 13:15	deviate 39:22	20,21 8:22
	12:13 19:22	demond 47.04	ucviale 39.22	11:4 27:21
38:24	21:3 23:12	demand 47:21,	different 27:4	
corrections		22		28:9 29:9,16,
6:9 12:22 20:6	currently 14:5	demonstrate	difficult 30:11	19,23 30:1,4,
23:21 28:19	15:24	18:12,16,18	difficulty	10,18,22 32:24
34:18 38:18	customer	10.12,10,10	21:16,23	33:7,19 34:10,
42:3	11:16,19,22	Demonstrating	21.10,23	13 35:4,7,13,
42.5	19:25 25:14	14:23	dioxide 13:23	17,21 36:22
correctly 26:16			15:24	37:1 49:21
1 00 10	40:3 48:16,24	demonstration		Division's 29:5
cost 20:19	49:9	14:2	direct 5:20 6:6	
47:5,10 48:23	customers	department	12:8,18 19:19	31:3 37:21
cost-effective	8:10 9:25 22:2	6:4 15:13 20:1	23:9 28:2,15,	43:23
8:3 48:22	46:19	0.4 10.10 20.1	16,21 31:17	docket 4:4
0.0 40.22	10.10	deployed 47:2,	33:25 34:14,15	9:10 12:18
costs 24:18		25	36:1 38:6,14,	20:4 23:19
47:6,18 48:12	D	1 1 1 10 10	16 39:8 41:17,	24:5 25:16,21
		derived 42:19	25 42:14,16	26:18,23 28:10
counsel 4:13,	domage 01:04	describe 14:8	43:10 45:17,23	1
20 5:5	damage 21:24			29:12,22 35:7
<b>County</b> 15:21	Daniel 4:11	design 21:15	director 4:13	38:15 42:1,15
		detail 33:12,13	6:2 12:15	45:24
couple 9:4	Danny 5:2	uetaii 33.12,13	23:15,16	<b>DOE</b> 17:11
18:14	41:11,14,21	detailing 25:1	discussed	18:17 19:2
00:40	data 6:16 7:14			27:2
<b>course</b> 28:10		determine 7:25	10:24 32:20	
34:12	9:16,23 11:15,	14:14	33:12	done 18:13
<b>court</b> 34:5	20 39:13,25	determining	discussion	Dougles 4:44
	43:12 47:3,8,	8:3	11:25 17:9	Douglas 4:14
create 34:14	10,16 48:1,4,7	0.3	33:6	5:14,17 6:2
areates 10:0	49:6,14	develop 7:24		drop-in 14:15
creates 18:9	date 48:4	42:22,25	distributed	-
critical 8:8	Jaie 70.7	, -	6:16,23 7:6,13,	due 21:14
	l		1	
	Titiantion	· ·	000 220 1112	

Index: duly..focus

				· dulylocus
duly 5:18 12:6	20:20	essentially	expectation	feasibility
19:17 23:7		17:10	18:22 19:1	15:4,18
27:25 33:23	eligibility 43:3,			
38:4 41:15	9	estimate 30:11	expenditure	feed 21:15
45:15	eligible 43:17	evaluate 15:19	26:24	feedwater 16:8
		36:23 47:12	expenditures	
during 42:14	<b>Emery</b> 15:21		29:25	feel 37:2
duties 20:2	emissions	evaluated 18:8		<b>fifth</b> 16:5
	13:17	35:4	expense 21:8	
		evaluating	expenses	figure 33:9
E	employment	17:16	24:12 30:7,11,	file 34:14 45:23
	34:13		12,17,20 43:24	
each 14:8 25:1	<b>enable</b> 6:17,25	evaluation	44:8	filed 6:5 8:13
35:20	7:21 48:15	16:6		12:17 20:3
	7.21 40.10	evaluations	expensive	23:17 42:14
earlier 31:18	<b>energy</b> 4:7 5:5	39:21 40:4	21:13	filing 26:18
easements	6:15 7:17,21	33.21 40.4	explains 20:11	11111 <b>y</b> 20.10
21:23	8:6 14:11,18	even 47:24	explains 20.11	filled 21:16
	15:13 39:18	event 7:23	express 46:10	final 22:2
<b>East</b> 38:12	45:10,22	event 7.23	expressed 9:9	final 23:3
41:23	46:15,21,22,	evidence 39:16	expressed 9.9	find 25:17
edits 28:19	23,25 48:5,9,		extension	finalinana 40.40
34:18	11,13,16,18,22	EXAMINATION	19:14 20:13,15	findings 49:18
J <del>4</del> .10	49:2,7,25	5:20 11:12	21:3 24:24	finds 29:16
effective 37:4	Energy's 46:9	12:8 19:19	25:4 27:12	35:7
effectively	Ellergy 5 40.9	23:9 28:2	31:5 35:5,8,10,	
47:5,10	engineering	33:25 38:6	12,15,22	firing 14:9
47.5,10	6:3	41:17 45:17	42:13,17,20	firms 14:11
efficiency		examined 5:19	44:5	
46:24	enhanced	12:7 19:18		<b>first</b> 5:13,18
affant 45.40	15:20,25	23:8 28:1	<b>extent</b> 19:2	12:6 14:8
effort 15:13	enhancing	33:24 38:5	48:20	15:14,16 19:17
18:21 19:4	15:23	41:16 45:16		23:7 24:5
eight 38:16	47:40		F	27:22,25 33:23
althan 44:0	ensure 47:13	example 49:3		37:25 38:4
either 14:3	<b>enter</b> 31:16	excavating	facilitate 40.00	40:20 41:15
17:3 23:2	36:1	21:24	facilitate 48:23	42:18 45:15
26:22 31:12			facilities 18:14	47:7
45:5	entered 8:13	excluding	21:25	five 14:6 16:12
electric 6:22	13:1 22:8	29:17		20:15 30:8,11
7:12 13:18	25:25	exhibits 8:13	facility 15:5	35:20
15:1 29:14,17	equipment	13:1 22:7 42:1	fair 31:10	
31:9 38:21,22	39:12	44:13		five-year 13:13
43:14,18			far 9:22	flows 39:14
46:20,23	equivalent	existing 21:25	fashion 21:11	
i i	15:1	47:13		focus 13:22
electrical 7:10			faster 7:12	
			200 220 1110	1

Index: follow..increasing **follow** 18:4 36:5 48:25 impact 9:22 granted 8:17 10,18 49:25 29:19 18:21 49:4,9 13:5 22:11 future 21:1 **heard** 26:16 26:3 31:21 follow-up 31:8 24:11,22 29:15 impediment 36:4 40:15 25:10,11 26:24 18:6 44:15 following 24:5 hearing 4:8 30:15 46:16 37:22 Implement 4:6 33:5 **great** 9:14 5:11 follows 5:19 heat 14:13 16:7 greater 7:4,18 G 12:7 19:18 implementatio 21:16 heating 16:8 **n** 13:25 29:10 23:8 28:1 gain 8:8 33:24 38:5 grid 6:22 7:12, **held** 16:13 implemented 20,22 25:11 41:16 45:16 29:24 **gained** 49:14 49:18 38:21,22 footprint 15:10 **help** 8:4 Garcia 5:2 46:16,17,20 importance **format** 32:21 47:22 48:2,15, 7:15 here 4:3,18 5:1 **gas** 15:20,23 17 49:4,5 33:9 12:19 important 9:7 gather 9:17 **forward** 33:13, **groups** 20:23 higher 8:5 improve 48:16 14 Gavin 5:2 growing 21:9 hoped 9:6 37:25 38:3,10 improved 48:1, 46:21 four 15:14 7 49:7 hosting 7:25 general 30:7 growth 7:16 **fourth** 15:18 32:17,19 However 25:12 improvement frequency guess 10:11,14 8:4 30:10 General's 4:18 47:23 17:7 33:5 improvements **Hunter** 14:3, generate 9:7 guidelines front 21:20 21:17 10.19 15:6 29:20 generating 16:8,9 **fuel** 13:19 improving 15:11 46:17 Huntington **fuels** 14:15 generation Н 14:1,3 15:6 incentives funded 18:7 6:16,18,23 7:6, 25:1 35:19 13 8:2 13:18 49:12 **happen** 18:25 I include 35:14 geologic 15:5, funding 13:13 harm 39:14 14:16,25 15:2, included 24:10 lan 4:15 12:2,5, having 5:18 14,17 16:3,11 30:8 39:20 getting 24:21 15 16:15 12:6 19:17 17:8,11,16,17, includes 20:17 23:7 27:25 identifiable 19 18:7,17,25 **give** 27:3 42:12 33:23 36:20 11:18 19:3 24:6,19 **Given** 43:18 38:4 41:15 26:22 27:2 including 49:11 identified

45:15

**Hayes** 5:4 9:1,

26:12,13 32:2,

44:21,22 45:9,

2 16:22,23

3 36:12,13

40:22,23

22:19,20

**Good** 4:3,17

28:4,5 29:9

45:19

34:2,3 36:17,

19 39:7 42:10

5:4,22 12:10,

11 19:21 23:11

39:21 40:3

46:21 47:22

increased 7:21

21:23 47:2,10,

16 49:6

increasing

48:15 49:2

31:18 39:8,15

49:1

47:17

identifying

28:13 42:25

identify 8:4

15:7 39:13

46:13

24:14

**funds** 13:21

14:7 20:15

further 10:16

18:7 27:14,15

31:15,22 33:3

indicate 8:16	installations	13:13		4,7 44:14,19,
13:4 22:9 26:2	7:4 9:21 21:13	investment	L	21,23,25 45:3,
31:20 36:3	39:22	investment		5,9
40:15		24:23 49:13		
	installed	investments	lack 21:14,15,	level 7:15
indicated 40:1	21:11,20	48:11,19 49:5	18,21	14:16 15:2
indicates	insufficient	40.11,19 49.5	<b>Lake</b> 38:12	16:3,11 24:12
		issue 8:8 11:2	41:23	
43:16	21:22	15:9 27:2,5	41.23	levels 7:21,23
indicating	integrate 7:16		land 16:10	8:6 48:15
39:17	47:4	issues 9:20		leverage 48:10
00.17	71.7	10:12 24:5	language 25:6	levelage 40.10
individual	integrating	25:20 42:16	37:12,15 43:3,	liaison 20:1
11:16,21 21:12	16:6	49:9	7,9,10,12,20	0 40 40
			44:3	like 6:10,13
industry 10:1	integration		_	12:2,23 13:8
inferred 39:25	6:22 7:6,13 8:5	J	languages	20:7,10 23:4,
111101100 00.20	48:22 49:7		43:7	22 26:23 27:21
inform 47:5,17	integrity 48:17	January 16:14	large 9:21	28:20 31:16
48:21 49:10,16	integrity 46.17	January 10.14	large 9.21	32:21,25 33:19
ĺ	intends 4:14,	Jetter 4:17	lastly 16:5 25:8	34:5,19 35:25
informally 25:3	21	8:21,22 16:18,	43:21	
information		19 22:15,16		limits 24:6
6:19 7:11,23	intent 18:11	26:7,8 27:20,	later 29:15	line 18:5 19:14
· ·	intentions	21 28:3 31:15,	least 9:6 36:24	20:12,15,25
8:1,9 9:7,8,12,		22 33:19 34:1	least 9.0 30.24	21:3 24:24
25 11:14,16,18	48:14	35:25 36:5	legislation	
25:4,8,9,14	interaction		5:12 13:11	25:4 27:12
36:22 37:2	48:5	37:21 40:19,21	20:16 24:8	28:22 31:5
39:11,23 40:2,	10.0	44:19,20	25:19 38:21	35:5,8,10,12,
3,7 43:19	interconnectio	juncture 26:20	40:9	15,21 42:13,
48:21	<b>n</b> 7:24 47:9,13,	27:3	10.0	17,20 44:5
infractructura	14		Levar 4:3,10,	47:14
infrastructure		justify 24:11	16,23 5:3,7	lines 20:20
20:20 48:20	interest 9:8	lundin 4:47	8:15,21,24 9:1,	lines 38:20
49:4	22:2 29:18	Justin 4:17	3 10:8 11:8,11,	little 28:12 48:4
initially 10:13	30:14 35:9		24 13:3 16:18,	
18:6	interested	K	20,22,24 18:3	load 7:23
10.0	39:24		19:10 22:9,15,	loading 7:23
initiatives 30:1	) 33.2 <del>4</del>		17,19,21,24	11:17
lmmax-att	into 7:5,17,22	<b>Kate</b> 5:6 45:11,	23:2 26:1,7,9,	11.17
innovative	8:13 13:1 16:9	14,21		loads 46:23
22:1 25:12	22:8 25:25		12,14 27:9,15,	49:3
input 14:13	29:5 31:18	<b>keep</b> 47:6,18	19 31:19,25	
	36:1 47:3,10	48:12	32:2,4,6,9,11,	local 48:2
install 7:1	48:1	<b>key</b> 49:16,17	23 33:2,5,17	locate 15:7
inotalistis:	<del>1</del> 0.1		36:2,8,10,12,	iocate 10.7
installation	inverters 46:22	kind 9:24 11:17	14,16 37:7,10,	location 47:25
16:10 21:7,10,		17:7 18:17	19,23 40:14,	
17	investigate		19,22,24 41:1,	
	1	1	1	1

location-	manner 43:25	43:17	modifying	<b>moving</b> 33:13
specific 47:8	44:9	megawatt 15:1	14:20 43:6	much 8:8
locations 15:7	March 28:16	mentioned	money 49:12	15:23
47:11	34:15 38:14	31:8	monitoring 7:9	multiple 21:14
long 18:23	45:24	<b>met</b> 19:1	Moore 4:24	municipalities
47:19	market 46:20		8:24,25 16:20,	21:19
long-term	Martinez 5:2	meter 46:22	21 22:17,18	<b>must</b> 15:9
14:17,21	37:11,16	metering 5:15	26:9,10 31:25	111uSt 15.9
18:12,16,18	41:11,14,21	6:7,21,24	32:1 36:8,9,11	Myunghee
19:3 48:9	Martinez's	10:21 11:20 30:23 39:12	37:23,24 38:7 40:12,17	4:22 31:4 33:20,22 34:7
<b>loop</b> 21:15	37:14	46:11 48:20	41:10,18	33.20,22 34.7
<b>lot</b> 9:18	Marx 4:15 5:14,		44:11,17 45:7	
low 47:6,18	17,22 6:2 8:18	meters 7:1 39:9,10 40:8	more 6:16,18	N
48:12	9:5 11:24	·	7:11,20,22	
	<b>Marx's</b> 8:12	methane 31:1	21:13 24:7	name 5:24 12:12,15
lower 48:23	Matter 4:5	methods	28:12 47:9	19:22,24
		42:22,25	morning 4:3,17	23:12,14 28:6
M	matters 5:8	might 11:9	5:4,22 6:13	34:4,7 38:8,10
	maximize	milestones	12:10,11 19:21	41:19,21 45:20
<b>M-y-u-n-g-h-e-e</b> 34:8	46:12 49:12	49:16	23:11 28:4,5 29:9 34:2,3,6	namely 48:14
	<b>may</b> 13:16 17:2	million 7:8	36:17,19 39:7	natural 15:20,
made 16:2	20:24 24:16	13:12 15:2,15	42:10 45:19	23
17:18 39:23,25 48:6 49:21,23	29:15 30:13	20:14 28:23,24	most 9:19	necessary
•	43:13 48:8	miss 37:10		6:20 7:11
maintain 48:17	<b>maybe</b> 37:11	48:8	<b>motion</b> 8:16,17 13:4,5 22:10,	39:13 49:5
maintenance	Mcdougal 4:13	mitigate 39:15	11 26:2,3	need 17:11
30:6	17:2 23:4,6,14		31:20,21 36:3,	24:21 27:3
<b>make</b> 6:10 7:20	26:4 27:10,16 37:13,16	mobilizations 21:14	4 40:15 44:15	30:15 43:13
12:23 20:7	,		Mountain 4:5,	45:11
23:22 26:16 28:20 34:19	Mcdougal's 17:10 25:24	modernization	12 5:9,25 12:1,	needed 6:17
36:25		25:11	13 19:12,22	7:24
	<b>mean</b> 9:18	modernize	23:4,12 27:17	needs 8:8
makes 24:23	measure 42:19	48:15	<b>move</b> 8:12	10:17 25:4
making 48:18	measurement	modifications	12:25 24:2	48:24
management	7:9	37:13	25:23 31:16 33:14 35:25	net 14:1
8:7	measuring	modified 43:8	40:13 44:12	neural 14:1
Mangelson 5:2	42:23	44:4	<b>moves</b> 6:15	
37:25 38:3,10	meet 13:20	modify 25:5	1110469 0.10	neutral 31:11
	111661 13.20	_		

<b>new</b> 20:17 43:19 46:20	occur 30:13	<b>order</b> 8:9 14:24 18:17 29:12	46:19	43:24,25 44:6, 9 45:23
43. 19 46.20 next 14:24	occurring 24:9	32:13 35:6,18	particular 7:12	
18:18	<b>off</b> 11:25	47:6 49:12	parties 25:10 26:6 29:12	phases 15:14 17:14 28:11
nitrogen 13:23	offer 47:22	ordered 29:21 30:4	32:20 36:7	piecemeal
None 44:24	<b>Office</b> 4:18,24, 25 5:1 10:14	originally	39:24 42:22,25	21:11,13 pilot 20:13,16,
nonresidential 20:20	11:3 22:18 26:10 37:11,24	43:12	partners 17:11, 17 27:2	17 25:2 30:9,
note 48:25	38:11 39:19	<b>OSC</b> 43:12	party 10:17	12,13 35:5,8, 10,12,20,22
<b>noted</b> 39:16	40:7,12 41:11, 22 42:24 43:2,	otherwise 20:22 27:4	26:1 31:19 36:2 40:14	<b>place</b> 32:18
notes 47:7	8,19,22 44:4,6, 11 49:23	39:14	44:15	Plan 4:7
nothing 27:3	Office's 39:3	outline 32:15	pause 17:7,16	planning 47:6,
noticed 17:13	43:5,6 45:7	outlined 29:11 35:6	pay 20:22 21:6	17 48:10 49:10
November 16:13	<b>oil</b> 15:25	outlining 49:17	payer 49:12 peak 47:21	plans 8:4 33:8 plant 13:18
NOX 14:2	<b>OMAG</b> 30:7,11, 12,17,20 43:24	outside 9:15,	peak 47.21	14:1,20 16:9
number 13:21	44:8	23	8:6 49:2	<b>plants</b> 14:3
28:11	one 10:10 15:13 17:8	over 13:13 18:22 20:15 30:11	percent 14:13 20:19	15:6 <b>play</b> 46:15
0	18:7,8,11,21 24:14,18 28:21	overall 13:11	perform 47:9	point 11:10
<b>O&amp;m</b> 24:9,12	29:22 30:3,5 33:4 37:10	21:15 35:15 own 21:7	<b>performance</b> 16:10 36:23	18:8 24:15 44:11 49:19
objection 44:14	43:25 44:9 47:2 ongoing 24:9,	oxides 13:23	performing 14:21	<b>position</b> 5:25 12:13 19:22,25 20:3 23:12
<b>objective</b> 13:20 14:14	12	Р	<b>period</b> 13:13 18:23	29:6 39:4 43:16 45:20
<b>objects</b> 8:15 13:3 22:10	only 5:6 18:6 24:17 39:10	P-r-o-c-e-e-d-i- n-g-s 4:1	phase 4:8 5:10 12:20 15:13,16	potential 14:2 15:22 16:6
26:1 31:19 36:3 40:14	operate 18:22 operational	<b>pages</b> 38:16	17:8,19 18:7,8, 11,20,21,25	18:5 33:6 39:13
obligations	14:22 30:6	paramount	19:4 23:18 24:4,14,18,19,	power 4:5,12
17:18	<b>opinion</b> 31:10, 12 37:15,17	7:15	20 25:18,21	5:10,25 7:22 12:2,13 19:23
obtain 11:21 occupation	opportunities	part 13:11 16:1 20:2 39:20	26:17 28:16,17 29:12,13,21,	21:1 23:4,12 39:14
28:7 34:9 38:9	15:14 48:8	participants 9:9 10:1 18:10	22,25 30:2,3,5 31:3 35:6	Power's 19:13
41:20		ا ۱۵.۱۵ ا یا ا ق.ق	42:11,14	27:18

Index: practices..recommends

	43:22 44:7	prudence	46:1 49:20
proceeding 6:6	45:21 46:11	24:22 26:23	
	projects 12:3	nrudont 25:18	R
•	• • • ·	prudent 25.16	
	· ·	<b>public</b> 4:4,19	
31.4	·	28:9 29:18	rapid 7:16
proceeds	25.1145.24	30:14 34:11,13	rate 13:17
24:20	promising	35:8 49:21	24:11 30:15
	14:25		49:12
•		purchase 6:25	49.12
14:9,11,15	proper 10:4	purpose 46:9	ratepayers
processes	properly 36:23	1 00 10	46:13 47:6,18
48:10		pursuant 20:16	48:12 49:13
5.45		<b>put</b> 10:3 43:20	
	42:13,18 43:20		reached 49:16
	proposed		read 29:5
·		Q	38:21
•	•	qualify 40:9	readily 6:18
•	· ·	-	real 47:10
·	•	,	
·	· ·	35:14	really 11:2
		quantities 7:10	32:20 33:12
		-	reasons 21:25
	·	· •	
•		1	rebuttal 17:4,
	22:1	5,6 31:8 37:11	10 23:17 24:4,
•	proposition	auestionina	17 25:6,24
· ·			41:25 42:14,21
15,18			43:5,15 44:2
programs 4:6	protect 8:9	· •	recommendati
. •	provide 6:19	1	on 32:12 35:11
, ,	•		37:14
·	·	16:19,21,23	
32:14 40:2		· ·	recommendati
		16,18,20,23,25	<b>ons</b> 46:12
·		24:15 26:5,8,	49:21,23
. •	· ·	10,13 27:13	recommended
29:24		29:1 31:16,23,	37:16 39:19
progressive	provided 35:22	24 32:1,3,5,7,	42:18 43:2
_	44:2	10 33:3,16	72.10 70.2
,	nrovides	34:22 36:6,9,	recommending
• •	_ <del>-</del>	10,11,13,15	31:12 32:13,15
·		37:6,8 38:25	recommends
	·	40:18,21,23,25	25:17 29:23
16:5 18:6 24:7,	providing 7:18,	41:2,5 44:18,	30:18,22 35:9,
13,14 38:22		20,22 45:1,4	
	24:20  processed 14:9,11,15  processes 48:10  program 5:15 6:7 9:7 10:21 17:14,20 19:14 20:13 22:1 24:25 25:2,5 27:12,13 29:14,18 30:6, 23 31:5,9 35:5, 8,10,12,15,22 36:23 37:3 38:23 39:21 40:4 42:20,23 43:1 44:5 46:13,14 47:8 48:14 49:11, 15,18  programs 4:6 5:11 25:10,12, 18 29:11,17, 21,25 30:13,25	proceeding 6:6     24:22 26:18,25     29:13 30:5     31:4  proceeds     24:20  processed     14:9,11,15  program 5:15     6:7 9:7 10:21     17:14,20 19:14     20:13 22:1     24:25 25:2,5     27:12,13     29:14,18 30:6, 23 31:5,9 35:5, 8,10,12,15,22     36:23 37:3     38:23 39:21     40:4 42:20,23     43:1 44:5     46:13,14 47:8     48:14 49:11, 15,18  programs 4:6     5:11 25:10,12, 18 29:11,17, 21,25 30:13,25     32:14 40:2     42:11,13     progress 14:5     29:24  project 6:19,24     7:8,20 14:17, 20 15:3,12,18  provides     12:3, 19 13:21,25     14:3,6 16:12     25:11 43:24  proper 10:4  properly 36:23  proposal 40:8     42:13,18 43:20  proposed     13:20 14:7,16, 20 15:1,16     16:3,11 17:20     20:12,18 30:2     35:5 39:9 43:4, 6,10,25 44:3,9     46:11 47:7     48:14,19 49:11  proposition     46:12  provide 6:19, 24     7:8,20 14:17, 20:12,18 21:4	proceeding 6:6         24:22 26:18,25         projects 12:3, 19 13:21,25         prudent 25:18           29:13 30:5         31:4         19 13:21,25         public 4:4,19           28:9 29:18         30:14 34:11,13         35:8 49:21           processed         14:9,11,15         promising         35:8 49:21           processes         48:10         proper 10:4         purchase 6:25           program 5:15         6:7 9:7 10:21         proper 10:4         purchase 6:25           program 5:15         6:7 9:7 10:21         proper 36:23         purpose 46:9           proposal 40:8         42:13,18 43:20         purpose 46:9           pursuant 20:16         purpose 46:9           purpose 46:9         pursuant 20:16           qualify 40:9         qualify 40:9           qualify 40:9         quantifying 35:14           40:4 42:20,23         46:11 47:7           46:13,14 47:8         48:14,19 49:11           46:13,14 47:8         48:14,19 49:11           46:13,14 47:8         proposition           <

## HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-035-36 - 04/18/2017

Index: record..sequester

17	relating 11:17	requesting	42:21	<b>safe</b> 8:3 15:12
record 6:1 8:14	relative 27:11	13:12 20:13	response	<b>Salt</b> 38:12
11:25 12:14		26:21	10:14 43:11	41:23
13:2 19:23	reliability	requests 25:20	47:21 49:19	
22:8 23:13	14:23 21:15	27:16 35:13		<b>same</b> 15:24
25:25 28:7	46:18		responsive	17:15,17,24
29:5 31:18	remain 47:14	require 35:13	36:21	20:24 29:2,19
36:1 45:20		36:22	result 21:10	34:21,23 38:25
	remaining 14:7	required 10:23	103ait 21.10	39:1 43:25
recovery	29:11,17 30:24	43:17	results 18:8,20	44:8 46:1,3
15:20,23,25	renewable	10.17	21:21	satisfaction
16:7 24:18	6:16	requirement	reveal 48:2	49:10
30:15 31:2	0.10	23:15,16 44:7	Teveal 40.2	49.10
recurring	replacements	requirements	revenue 4:13	satisfied 36:20
10:11	14:15	4:14 30:20	23:15,16	<b>say</b> 31:10
	roport 20:24	32:16,18 39:20	rovious 25:04	<b>3ay</b> 31.10
redirect 11:9,	report 29:24 35:16 40:5	43:4,14,18	review 35:21	scale 9:21
12		49:20	37:3	14:25 15:5,8
#5dups 45:40	42:19 43:24	49.20	reviewed 29:9	
reduce 15:10	44:8 49:17	requires 21:19	36:21	scheduled
47:21	reported 32:25			29:14
reducing 13:17	_	research 12:3,	revised 43:19	scheduling
	reporter 34:5	19 13:14 14:6	risk 21:24	29:12 35:6
reduction	reporting	researched		
13:22,23	10:13,18,23	13:16	risks 8:5	<b>SCS</b> 17:19
referencing	11:1,2 25:13		<b>Rob</b> 4:15	seams 15:20,
38:20	27:11 29:20	resiliency		21 16:2
	30:16,19	46:18	Robert 4:21,24	
regarding 25:4	32:16,18 39:19	resolve 39:15	19:13,16,24	second 14:17
26:17 32:24	42:23,25	49:8	27:22,24 28:8	31:3 33:20
43:21 49:20	49:20,22	40.0	<b>Rocky</b> 4:5,12	35:17 41:10
regular 49:15		resource 12:16	,	43:2 47:16
	reports 24:25	49:2,8	5:9,25 12:1,13	sector 6:15
regulation	35:18,22	resources 6:23	19:12,22 23:3, 12 27:17	360101 0.10
20:1,17,18	represent 19:6	7:6,13,17,21	1441.11	secure 14:24
21:3 25:6	Tepresent 13.0	8:6,7 39:18	role 46:16	18:17
35:19 43:4,9	represented	46:15,24 48:5,	roofton 40:00	seek 10:1
44:3 47:23	36:24	9,11,16,23	rooftop 46:22	30:15
regulatory	representing	3,11,10,23	rules 47:13,14	30.13
19:25 20:1	4:12,19,25	respect 17:5	mumal 0:40	seeking 24:14,
25:3	<del>4</del> .1∠,1∀,∠5	rospostfully	rural 9:19	17,19
20.0	request 10:4	respectfully 25:19		200ior 40:05
relate 11:18	21:6,10 26:19,	20.19	S	senior 19:25
rolated 20:0	22 43:12 49:13	respective		separate 26:23
related 30:6		35:20	0.000	_
38:22 48:4	requested 11:3		<b>S-i-m</b> 34:8	sequester 16:3
		responded		17:13

Index: sequestration..tariff

			idex. sequesti	actoncartr
sequestration	13,23 12:1,9,	49:14,16	strategies	supports 40:1,
13:22 15:5,9	25 13:6 16:15	standard 9:16	47:18	7 42:24 43:8,
31:1	19:5,12,20	Standard 9.16	studies 7:24	19,22 44:4,6
series 14:21	22:3,6,12 23:3,	standards 6:3	47:9	48:13,18
<b>Series</b> 14.21	10 25:23 26:4	otorting 1.0	47.9	surcharge
service 4:4	27:17 32:4,5	starting 4:9	<b>study</b> 15:18	24:10
48:16 49:9	36:14,15	state 5:24	16:1,8	24.10
services 4:25	40:24,25	12:12 19:21	aubicot 44.7	surface 21:17
6:3 38:11	44:23,24	23:11 28:6	subject 44:7	surrebuttal
41:22 46:17	solar 9:21 16:6	34:4 41:19	<b>submit</b> 38:14	28:15,17 31:17
49:24	31:2 45:21	45:20	ala.ma.!44.a.d	20.13,17 31.17
49.24	46:22 47:20	atata d 05.0	submitted	sustainable
set 11:15 46:2	40.22 47.20	<b>stated</b> 25:6	28:14 38:16	4:6 14:18
ahana 7.00	solely 49:1	30:21 48:13	41:25	avvitalaina 0.0
shape 7:23	solution 14:18	statement 29:5	substation	switching 8:3
<b>share</b> 6:13	<b>301011011</b> 14.18	40:10	5:15 6:7,24 7:9	<b>sworn</b> 5:18
13:8 20:10	solutions 7:19	-t-tir 40 40	10:20 30:23	12:6 19:17
ah and 40.40.40	48:23	stating 43:13	39:9,10,12	23:7 27:22,25
<b>shared</b> 9:12,13		station 43:18	40:8 46:11	33:23 38:1,4
sharing 9:22	something		48:19	41:12,15
25:9 40:2,7	10:19 33:7	stations 15:11		45:12,15
<u>'</u>	Sophie 5:4	<b>steam</b> 16:7	substations	7.5.47
<b>short</b> 18:15	0		7:2 9:19	system 7:5,17
shortly 14:8	<b>Sorry</b> 33:4	<b>step</b> 5:12 12:3,	sufficient 6:20	8:9 9:22 14:1
1	<b>source</b> 13:19	19 13:11,13		16:7 39:14,15,
should 28:23		14:24 15:17	suggested	17 47:3,5,11,
29:19 32:13,	sources 6:18	18:18 20:15	37:12,13	17,21 48:2,6
14,15,18 38:21	39:13	24:6,7,10 25:9,	suitable 15:8	49:10
43:23	<b>South</b> 38:12	19 29:11,17		systems 6:17
showing 35:19	41:23	30:1,8,13 35:7,	summary 6:12	
		15 40:2,5,9	8:10 13:7,9	systemwide
signals 27:4	<b>space</b> 21:21	42:11 46:14	16:14 20:9	48:24
Sim 4:22 34:7	specialist	<b>Steve</b> 4:13	22:4 23:24	
	19:25	23:4	31:6 34:25	Т
similar 24:12,			35:23 39:3	
23	specific 11:18	<b>Steven</b> 23:6,14	42:6,9 46:5	T 4 4 1 5 04:0
site-specific	16:9 28:12	Stewart 4:15	49:24	<b>T-u-t-t-l-e</b> 34:8
16:10	48:2,3 49:22	19:6,13,16,24	support 5:14	table 4:13,20
	specifically	22:12	12:2,18 13:1	5:5
skid 14:21	10:20 15:21		19:14 20:12	4-ls- 4-0
18:13	30:22 32:16	Stewart's 22:7	24:21 25:11	<b>take</b> 4:9
smart 46:22		stipulated	46:10 47:23	taking 16:9
	spell 34:5	29:13	aum no mt a d	17:16
Solander 4:10,	spend 20:14		supported	torget 44:40
11 5:8,9,21	•	<b>storage</b> 46:22	25:15	target 14:13
8:12,18 11:9,	stakeholders	47:21	supporting 6:6	tariff 20:17
	33:8 48:7			
	l	l	200 220 1110	l

Index: technical..while

21:3 37:12,15	16 40:13 41:25	together 25:10		34:11,13 49:22
43:3,9,10,20	42:4,7,10,14,	42:1	U	utility 4:9 5:1
44:3	16,21 43:6,10,	towards 6:15		22:1,2 28:8
technical 6:3	15,21,23 44:2,	15:16	<b>U.S.</b> 15:13	34:10 38:10
16:13 47:12	12 45:8,23	13.10	0.0. 10.10	41:22 47:12
	46:2,6,10	track 30:1	under 25:20	
49:9	11- 11-10	43:23 44:8	40:9	48:10 49:5
technologies	tests 14:10,22			
14:2 46:21	18:14	tracking 30:16	understand	V
47:1,4,25	than 10:23	Transportation	47:4	
	24:7 28:12	4:7	understanding	
technology	38:22,24	T.1	7:19 32:17,20	valuable 46:17
13:14,15,16	00.22,24	treatment	7.10 02.17,20	<b>value</b> 46:12
14:19,24,25	their 11:4	29:20 30:19,20	understands	49:13
25:12 30:24	17:20 21:7		30:4,10	49.13
31:2 47:25	41:10 47:25	<b>trend</b> 21:9	University	variety 49:8
10.45	11	truth 5:19 12:7	University	
term 18:15	thermal 13:18	19:18 23:8	15:4,15	various 7:10
47:19	15:1 16:7 31:2	28:1 33:24	until 21:15	vary 47:24
terms 10:17	thing 10:11	38:5 41:16		
10.17		45:16	updated 43:16	vehicle 29:14,
test 14:14,18,	things 10:20	45.10	updates 49:15	18 31:9 43:14,
21 18:12,13	third 15:3	try 24:15	upuales 49.15	18
1004!find 5.40		<b>-</b>	<b>USDO</b> 15:14	
testified 5:19	19:13	Tuttle 4:22		vehicles 46:23
12:7 19:18	three 24:24	31:4 33:20,22	<b>USDOE</b> 15:16	visibility 7:5,22
23:8 28:1	29:13 49:22	34:2,7 36:18	<b>use</b> 15:19	47:3,10,16
33:24 38:5		37:10		48:1,7 49:6
41:16 45:16	through 18:7	Tuttle's 36:1	<b>used</b> 13:16	,
testify 31:4	26:23 46:13	Tuttie 3 00.1	15:22,24 18:13	voltage 20:25
	throughout	<b>two</b> 4:8 5:1,11	25:1 35:20	47:23
testifying 5:14	21:1 30:8	12:20 13:24	47:4,16 48:21	
testimonies	21.130.0	14:9,11 17:8,	49:8	w
	time 6:10 12:23	19 18:21,25	10:10	VV
29:1	18:23 19:3	19:4 23:18	<b>uses</b> 13:18	
testimony 6:6,	20:7,24 22:7	24:4,13,19,20	using 14:10	want 25:13
10,12 8:13	23:22 24:18	25:18,21	49:12	31:7
11:4 12:18,23	25:24 31:16	28:16,17		
13:1,7 16:14	35:25 40:12	29:12,25 35:6	<b>Utah</b> 4:18,19	waste 14:10
17:4,10 20:4,7,		37:11 42:11,14	5:5 14:11	30:25
11 22:7 23:17,	times 48:3	43:24 44:7	15:15 38:13	wastes 14:9
22 24:4,17	today 4:19 5:6,	45:23	41:24 45:10,22	1740100 17.0
25:7,16,22,24	10 27:18 29:2	70.20	46:9 47:11	<b>way</b> 15:24
28:13,15,21		type 10:17 11:2	48:13,18 49:25	31:12
31:17 32:17,24	31:11,13 34:22	25:13 32:18	Hable 45:4	whothor 17:7
· ·	37:22 38:25	Auminally 0:05	<b>Utah's</b> 15:4	whether 17:7
34:15,22 35:1	46:1	typically 9:25	utilities 4:20	while 46:17
36:1,21 38:14,	today's 46:20	28:12	21:19 28:9	
17,18 39:1,8,				

## HEARING PROCEEDINGS DOCKET NO. 16-035-36 - 04/18/2017

Index: White..yet

		 · WIIICCyC
White 4:11	41:9,10 44:17	
10:8,10,25	45:6,11	
11:6 16:25	witnesses 4:15	
17:1,21,24	25:16 37:22	
18:2 22:24,25	25.10 57.22	
26:14,15 27:1,	<b>woody</b> 14:9,10	
7 32:6,7 33:4,	30:25	
15 37:7,8 41:1,	words 17:16	
2 45:3,4	words 17.10	
<b>whom</b> 9:12	work 14:4 25:2, 10 42:22,24	
will 5:14 6:17,	workshops	
19,25 7:4,10,	33:7	
15,19,20 8:1	33.7	
9:7,12,13,16	written 28:22	
10:11 11:18,20		
14:20 16:1	Υ Υ	
18:21,22 19:6,	<b>T</b>	
13 24:6,10,11,		
25 25:2,10	year 25:1 29:15	
27:4 30:1,7,14	30:9,12	
31:4 33:9	<b>years</b> 20:15	
35:21 36:22,	35:20	
23,25 37:3	00.20	
43:17 45:11,19	<b>yet</b> 39:16	
46:15,16 47:8		
49:4,11		
willingness		
42:24		
within 20:20		
30:8 48:3		
without 6:20		
48:7		
witness 4:21,		
22 5:6,13 9:14		
10:3,7,22 11:5		
17:18,23 18:1,		
11 19:2,7,13		
22:5 23:3		
26:21 27:6,22		
31:3 32:19		
33:1,11,20		
36:6,19 37:1,		
17,25 40:17		
L		