

Public Service Commission

THAD LeVAR Chair DAVID R. CLARK Commissioner JORDAN A. WHITE Commissioner

State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT

Governor

SPENCER J. COX Lieutenant Governor

October 12, 2017

Mr. Bob Lively Data Request Response Center

Rocky Mountain Power PacifiCorp

1407 West North Temple, Suite 330 825 NE Multnomah St., Suite 2000

Salt Lake City, UT 84116 Portland, OR 97232

Re: Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Implement Programs Authorized by the

Sustainable Transportation and Energy Plan Act - RMP Reporting Template for STEP

Programs: Docket No. 16-035-36

Dear Mr. Lively:

The Public Service Commission of Utah ("PSC") reviewed the September 1, 2017 filing by PacifiCorp, doing business as Rocky Mountain Power ("RMP"), proposing a Reporting Template for STEP projects ("Reporting Template"). The filing was made pursuant to the PSC's May 24, 2017, Phase Two Report and Order in this docket. RMP proposes that for each PSC-approved STEP project it will file a separate report concurrent with its year-end Results of Operations Report, filed annually in April. The PSC also reviewed the October 4, 2017 action request response filed by the Division of Public Utilities ("DPU") and the October 6, 2017 comments of the Office of Consumer Services ("OCS").

According to the DPU, the Reporting Template is intended to inform stakeholders of the STEP program's progress and funding. The DPU notes RMP's Reporting Template is a work in progress and may need to be revised annually to keep stakeholders adequately informed on the progress of the STEP programs. The DPU recommends parties be given an opportunity to file comments with the PSC on the program's progress, along with any changes needed, after each annual STEP project report filing. The DPU states the Reporting Template is compliant with the PSC's relevant orders and is supported by the parties. Accordingly the DPU recommends the PSC approve RMP's Reporting Template.

Correspondence from Gary L. Widerburg Docket No. 16-035-36 Page 2

The OCS summarizes issues it raised through data requests with RMP regarding operations, maintenance, administrative, and general costs and the commercial line extension program. It also provides RMP's responses to those data requests. The OCS concludes that, based on its review of the Reporting Template and the assurances made in RMP's responses to OCS Data Requests 20.1 and 20.2, no modifications to the Reporting Template are needed at this time. The OCS supports RMP's proposal regarding technical conferences to be held after the annual report filing. The OCS recommends that following the annual filing of the STEP report the PSC provide an opportunity for parties to submit comments regarding the specific projects as well as suggested modification to the reports.

Based on the PSC's review of RMP's filing, the DPU's review and recommendation, and the OCS's comments, the Reporting Template is approved, subject to the DPU's and OCS's observation that parties should be afforded the opportunity to file comments and suggest report modification after each report is filed.

Sincerely,

/s/ Gary L. Widerburg PSC Secretary