

opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13",

1 message

Fred Davis <DAVISFR@uvu.edu>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:01 PM

To: Public Service Commission

As a solar power customer I am in opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

Fred Davis

298 W 300 S

Orem, Utah 84058



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brad Midgley

 To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:02 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

It is problematic on many levels:

- It implies a grandfathering system that will be troublesome to administer and to qualify homeowners for.
- There is not sufficient outside input on the cost/benefit of the proposal and not enough time to evaluate this.
- Raising rates for one type of user instead of all users of the grid breaks the promise to enhance infrastructure and spread its cost across all users.
- The change appears to be designed to stop solar adoption which will have the side effect of slowing attempts to use clean energy at a time when we need to be accelerating it.

Thank you for accepting our comments.

Brad Midgley Highland, Utah bmidgley@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14: public comments

1 message

Therese Huhtala <therese.huhtala@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:03 PM

To: Utah Public Service Commission

Docket No.: 16-035-T14

Subject: Public Comments-Rocky Mountain Power will stifle rooftop solar without even having to raise rates

Rocky Mountain Power is attempting to keep Utahans from installing rooftop solar without even having to raise rates. Two weeks ago, it asked this Commission to allow a retroactive rate and fee increase for new rooftop solar customers, and its request comes with a shortened timeline, an insufficient cost-benefit analysis, and no public witness hearing. Even though this Commission is yet to determine whether Rocky Mountain Power will be successful in its 2014 proposal to raise fees and increase rates for solar customers (see Docket #14-035-114), the power company wants to set a deadline of December 9, 2016: those who apply for net metering after this date will be subject to the proposed increases if such increases are granted by this Commission after the hearing on August 14-18, 2017.

But even if rates and fees remain as-is after August 2017, the solar industry--and therefore our air quality-will sufer in the meantime. Rocky Mountain Power itself stated that "the net metering program has experienced exponential growth since the Company's initial request for a fixed net metering facilities charge in the 2014 GRC" (RMP cover letter, page 3). There has been "a growth rate of 200 percent in just two years," and "a 160 percent growth in just one year" at the close of 2016 (*Id.*). Growth in Utah's solar industry is exactly what the country, our state, and our cities have been wanting and hoping for; what other reason would the state and federal governments provide such generous energy tax credits but to make solar a more economically viable option for more citizens? Rocky Mountain Power itself has contributed to this endeavor But now that the very thing we have all been working toward is starting to occur, Rocky Mountain Power wants to stop it in its tracks without sufficient justification, without a public witness hearing, and without a sufficient cost-benefit analysis. The fact that so many are using solar is not a justifiable reason for this Commission to determine that those who have not yet purchased solar will suffer the uncertainty of higher rates/fees in the upcoming months before the Commission's determination of the proposal in Docket #14-035-114.

We are all solar customers—the sun is available for all of Rocky Mountain Power's customers and, therefore, we should not delineate between those who have rooftop solar panels now and those who are yet to install them. The same requirements to which Rocky Mountain Power must adhere for raising rates/fees for some or all of its net metering customers should also apply to its request to retroactively raise rates/fees for customers who install solar between December 10, 2016, and August/September of 2017. Almost a year of stifled solar will affect our health and our jobs, and I urge the Commission to reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal under Docket #16-035-T14.

Thank you for your consideration in this very important matter.

Therese Huhtala Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Rick Breiling <uspoly4ric@aol.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:04 PM

Dear Commissioners and all those involved:

I am very very opposed to and would like to clearly state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13 filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular under the Public Service Commission to suspend the that not let it take dect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

No rate increases that strangle solar ener in any way should be implemented!

This is a huge step backward in every way would uge you instead to require all new construction to have at least 50% of available space be required to install solar panels. If they install solar panels, their property tax goes dov if they do not, their property tax is increase. That way the tax stays level and it costs the taxpayer nothing. AN will breath easier with cleaner ailf existing homes or businesses install solar panels, they get a lower property tax rate, in ten years, if you have not installed solar panels, you pay higher property tax. Rhislips all just common sense.

Just because RMP makes political contributions, they should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for n rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean &cetent energy. Again, this is a huge step in the wrong direction. Instead, I would get you to move the refineries, not the prison, and take this action on new construction to help clean our air

Thank you, for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I would respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request, a urgently require all new construction to install solar panels NOW

If you have any questions, or if I can be of any further assistance, please do not hesitate to ask.

Mr. Richard (Rick) Breiling U.S. Poly Enterprise, Inc. Vice President -- Retail Sales Western Sales Associates President and CEO 11932 Bluf View Drive Sandy, Utah 84092 Direct Phone:801-553-1887

CC Governor Gary Herbert



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

thomas hansen <thansen89@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: thomas hansen <thansen89@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:04 PM

Dear Commissioners,

as a solar user I am very concern that the power company is trying to change the solar system . I have had my system for one year and I am upset that I will be charged in the future with higher costs. In good faith I had placed the system on my home with the idea I would repay my out lay of money in 8 years. I am concern that it will possble take twice to three times as long to pay for system. I I fee that the power company has said one thing and then when people started to put systems in they have changed there outlook on solar and lam concern.

please do not alow them to make changes to the system that is in place in turn causing me and other to have to pay more for our system.

If you need anyother info please feel free to call me or emal me tom Hansen 8019713079



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Taylor Austin raylor Austin raylor austin <a href="mailto:raylor: bs

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:06 PM

Dear Commissioners:

I am opposed to PacificCorp-RMP's "Advice No 16-13:., filed November 9, 2016 that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customer. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal ratemaking process for its proposed changes.

I am a advocate of clean energy. I feel that solar customers are being punished for their actions in helping keep are environment more clean. I feel that this proposal punishes free enterprise and will create a hardship for the businesses that provide this service as well as their customers. I feel that it would be appropriate to find a third party to evaluate a fair cost for the utilization of the power grid, for maintenance of the power equipment and for all costs that are relevant to a solar customers when their power leaves their homes. Please do not make such a important discussion without looking at who it helps and who it hurts.

Thank you for accepting this public input and I hope you will extend the deadline on this critical issue.

Taylor Austin 801-928-6605



Opposition to Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13"

1 message

Zachary Allen <zachlallen@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:08 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I would urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am very concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Best,

Zach



Fwd: Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cherae Federico <rcfed5@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:08 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am adamantly opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Now, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particularurge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes. It seems unprofessional and unethical that Rocky Mountain Power has left the least amountion for public comment or for anyone but RMP to be able to provide research or analysis for consideration. I also don't like that they have chosen the week of

the Thanksgiving holiday for the deadline for public comment to discourage participation. This deadline is unreasonable and should be extended.

I feel that Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & Écient energy. Not only do I have family members who have roof top solarmy close friend is the founder of Intermountain Wind and Solar and has employed my husband. He's grown this business for the last 8 years and it's shame to allow a monopoly to come in and bulldoze all of their hard work and aspirations. Rooftop solar adds value to the power system and grid. This rate increase would impose a 3-tiered rate system on solar customers only that would double or triple the payback period for a solar investment. This rate increase is the mostanti-solar and anti-business rate proposal to date across the nation. If accepted, it very well could destroy the solar industry overnight therefore eliminating thousands of jobs and would resulting in us no longer having a livelihood. Destroying the solar industry seems like a disregard for the quality of our air and other environmental concerns. I feel that this is also a loss of a freedom to choose how I power my home. I'm astounded by this proposal and view it as a drastic overreach by a government regulated monopoly

Rocky Mountain Power's rate increases will &ctively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significantly harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry and eliminate consumer choice in energy production.

I would like to sincerely thank you for accepting public input. I do hope you will extend the deadline for public comment. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Thank you,

Cherae Federico Centerville, Ut rcfed5@gmail.com



Solar power rate change

1 message

Carl Thummel <cthummel@genetics.utah.edu> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:09 PM

I am writing to voice my strong opposition to the proposed rate change for solar power customers of Rocky Mountain Power. I ask the PSC to deny Schedule 136 and its related Schedule 5 tarif f.

We installed solar panels on our house over one year ago. Our motivation to do this was two-fold - to help the environment and to save money over the long run. We have seen both of these objectives met. More than 90% of our power is now covered by the solar panels, saving us significant money. At the same time, we are reducing the need to power our house through fossil fuels. In spite of these advantages, I am amazed that we are one of the only houses in our neighborhood that has solar panels. The sun beats down on our city most of the year, yet few people rely on solar power. It does not make sense! The main barrier is financial. It costs a lot of money to install the panels in the first place. Asking customers to then pay a fee for their solar power would be a mistake. This provides only more reasons to rely on fossil fuels. We need more support for solar power, not less. It is time for Rocky Mountain Power to step up and renovate their electrical grid to accommodate more solar electricity. Lets move forward, not backward. Salt Lake City should be all solar. Let's use the sun in our favor. Please don't provide further disincentives for doing the right thing to save our planet.

thank you, Carl Thummel

Carl Thummel & Suzanne Mansour 2352 S. Lakeline Drive Salt Lake City, UT 84109



RMP power rate

1 message

handymanstu@bajabb.com <handymanstu@bajabb.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:11 PM

Dear commissioners:

I wanted to voice myopposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed No⊚, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particularl would like to urge you, the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9thas Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require RMP to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes. I feel like all they are doing is trying to make up for lost revenue.

Thanks you for your time,

Stuart Williamson



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Doug Shipley <soulride17@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Doug Shipley <soulride17@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:12 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am adamantly opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov . 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular , I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes. It seems unprofessional and unethical that Rocky Mountain Power has left the least amount of time for public comment or for anyone but RMP to be able to provide research or analysis for consideration. I also don't like that they have chosen the week of

the Thanksgiving holiday for the deadline for public comment to discourage participation. This deadline is unreasonable and should be extended.

I feel that Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & ef ficient energy. This change will personally impact me because not only do I have solar on my roof, but my husband is the founder of Intermountain Wind and Solar . He's grown this business for the last 8 years and it's shame to allow a monopoly to come in and bulldoze all of our hard work and aspirations. Rooftop solar adds value to the power system and grid. This rate increase would impose a 3-tiered rate system on solar customers only that would double or triple the payback period for a solar investment. This rate increase is the most anti-solar and anti-business rate proposal to date across the nation. If accepted, it very well could destroy the solar industry overnight. This would resulting in us no longer having a livelihood. Destroying the solar industry seems like a disregard for the quality of our air and other environmental concerns. I feel that this is also a loss of a freedom to choose how I power my home. I'm astounded by this proposal and view it as a drastic overreach by a government regulated monopoly.

Rocky Mountain Power's rate increases will ef fectively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significantly harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry and eliminate consumer choice in energy production.

I would like to sincerely thank you for accepting public input. I do hope you will extend the deadline for public comment. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Thank you,

Doug Shipley



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Lisa Reinhart < lisa.reinhart@gmail.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: Chris Reinhart <mmmsquid@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:12 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and allow the Commission time to complete their analysis to provide reasonable rates for net metering.

I am concerned by this proposal because I am a strong advocate for renewable energy development and this is a slap in the face to Utah's progressive achievement in the solar and wind industry. Just last year I purchased \$14,000 worth of solar panels to power my 2,700 sq. ft home. I thought it was unattainable and unaffordable for a middle income family like mine to do the right thing. I felt my children and this world deserved it and it was my duty as a human being. I am also concerned that even though I read through the Docket, I really don't understand what tariff is proposed nor do I understand how the net metering charge is established. I am an educated woman who has a career tied to Utah energy development, yet I can't figure out how net metering is established. For such an important topic, I would expect a little more public outreach and education.

When I was told I would pay RMP the ~\$10.00 a month for net metering, I asked... for what? What service am I receiving if I don't use RMP energy? The answer lies in those months in which I use more energy than my panels generate. Last year, that was 2 months out of 12. So, okay... I will pay the \$10/mo to have a backup. It was never explained to me from RMP where that money actually goes, and even though Google knows all... it doesn't know this. Even when I asked the RMP employee installing my box... he didn't have a logical answer other than the one I mentioned above.

I have personally been very aware of and involved in Utah coal mining and related policy. The fact is, Clean Coal does not exist in Utah. Clean Coal is a fluffy way of saying stricter emission standards for coal plants, which due to our unique geography and location of plants it is not financially reasonable in Utah. Under the next administration, the regulation to clean up power plants will lose all momentum. This proposal seems to be RMP's adjustment to a loss in revenue from coal power and conversion to solar power. Of course they want to promote coal power because they own the coal mines. It's completely logical that if they can prevent the people from going solar, they may be able to save their coal mines and keep coal alive. I understand it takes power and mining to make solar panels, but we must reduce our dependence on non-renewables. Coal mining is no longer a lucrative business, it does not make financial sense to support it politically. With thoughtful planning, solar production, installation, and maintenance could help mend the broken job force that once relied on coal mining.

I took a huge risk by purchasing those solar panels last year. In the end, I didn't put a dime down and I only pay \$150 a month on my 7 year loan. I also pay Rocky Mountain Power that \$10/mo. for the box on the side of my house to measure how much energy I use and how much I give back. When I use more energy than I produce, I pay for it, just like everyone else. When my non-solar neighbors have their air conditioning blasting during those hot summer days, my panels are soaking up the sun and putting that energy back into the grid... thus helping the RMP distribute power. But do they pay me for my investment or helping them regulate power availability? Of course not. So why should I have to pay more for that box to help them maintain a system I barely use? This, I urge you and RMP to convince me of. Not by allowing the price to go up, but by educating me... and with real effort. If RMP truly warrants additional funding from solar owners, please explain that to the people who want it most.

Please look out for the interests of the state's resources and it's citizens. RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. At a minimum, there should be an unbiased case made for any adjustments. Furthermore, a real effort to get those interested involved in the decision should be made. The filing was made on Nov. 9th and

comments are only taken until Nov. 22nd. If my solar company hadn't made me aware, I never would have known. What kind of advertising or public awareness was made. This is a big deal and that is less than a 2 week comment period, which is clearly not a reasonable effort to get public comments. Rooftop solar has so much value to the people in Utah, the power system and the grid. Please don't contribute to its demise.

Taken from your own website: "Governor Gary R. Herbert recognizes energy as one of the four cornerstones of Utah's strength, along with education, job creation, and self-determination. In recognition of this priority, the Governor's Office of Energy Development (OED) was created in 2011 to advance Utah's diverse energy sector through planning, policy and direct engagement with the private sector; and thereby to foster economic growth through energy development and conservation activities and through the provision of affordable, reliable energy.

Thank you for accepting my comments. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Lisa Reinhart
West Bountiful, UT
lisa.reinhart@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Doug Shipley <doug@imwindandsolar.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:13 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am adamantly opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nøy2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particularinge the Public Service Commission to suspend the trainfd not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes. It seems unprofessional and unethical that Rocky Mountain Power has the least amount of time for public comment or for anyone but RMP to be able to provide research or analysis fo consideration. I also don't like that they have chosen the week of

the Thanksgiving holiday for the deadline for public comment to discourage participation. This deadline is unreasonable and should be extended.

I feel that Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & effect energy. This change will personally impact me because not only do I have solar on my roof, but my husband is the founder of Intermountaid & Solar He's grown this business for the last 8 years and it's shame to allow a monopoly to come in and bulldoze all of our har work and aspirations. Rooftop solar adds value to the power system and grid. This rate increase would impose a tiered rate system on solar customers only that would double or triple the payback period for a solar investment. This rate increase is the mostnti-solar and anti-business rate proposal to date across the nation. If accepted, it very well could destroy the solar industry overnight. This would resulting in us no longer having a livelihood. Destroying the solar industry seems like a disregard for the quality of our air and other environmental concerns. that this is also a loss of a freedom to choose how I power my home. I'm astounded by this proposal and view it a drastic overreach by a government regulated monopoly

Rocky Mountain Power's rate increases will feeftively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significant harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry and eliminate consumer choice igyepterduction.

I would like to sincerely thank you for accepting public input. I do hope you will extend the deadline for public comment. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, reject PacifiCorp-RN current rate change request.

Thank you,





Intermountain W ind & Solar

p: 801-298-5255 m: 801-698-4456

a: 1953 W 2425 S, Woods Cross, UT

w: imwindandsolar.com e: doug@imwindandsolar.com









CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message, including attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. Unauthorized use, distribution, reproduction, or disclosure of it is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please permanently delete this message, including attachments, and please notify us immediately that you received it in error

Thank you, Intermountain Wind and Solar



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Bryson Evans brysonevans6@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:13 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, which would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I would urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

Thank you for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. Respectfully, I request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Regards,

Bryson Evans Bountiful Utah brysonevans6@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Commet

1 message

Brad Kramer
 <br/

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:13 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brad Kramer
Marketing Program Manager Sales Experience

vivint.Solar

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mike Hincks <mikehincks@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:13 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Mike Hincks



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jon Osmond <jp.osmond@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:14 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jon Osmond



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brandon Burris

Solcius.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:14 PM

No to the Rocky Mountain power monopoly

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Allen Frost <allen.frost@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:14 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Allen Frost, allen.frost@gmail.com, American Fork, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Andrew W alton <andrew.walton22@icloud.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:14 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Andrew Walton - andrew.walton22@me.com- SLC



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brad Stephenson brad.c.stephenson@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:15 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Bradley Stephenson



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Erin Hullinger <e.v.hullinger@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:15 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Erin Fisher, e.v.hullinger@gmail.com, Lehi, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Elizabeth Dalton <elizabethdalton17@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:15 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

It is incredibly unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our environment, especially here in Utah. Solar energy gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Dalton 480 E 1900 N North Ogden, UT 84414

209.679.1640



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brandon Burris

 To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:15 PM

No to the Rocky Mountain power monopoly!

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mike Harken <mdharken@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:16 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is highly unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. Not only does this affect our health from air pollution, we are also destroying thousands of jobs, including my own as a drafter. I would plea that this proposal is declined. It benefits no one.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Michael Harken, Eagle Mountain, Mdharken@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Heriberto Rivera heriberto.rivera@vivintsola.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:16 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Heriberto "Rico" Rivera 801-541-5834

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jim Chatterley <jim@cfs2010.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:16 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: Intermountain Wind and Solar <info@imwindandsolar.com>

Dear Commissioner s,

- I strongly oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes. The window of time is much too short to allow for responsible comments from the public and professionals involved with solar design.
- RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & ef ficient energy that can be provided with solar energy.
- Today I printed out the draft of my design for a 7200 watt solar design I will be submitting for building permits this next week. Now without any clear understanding of the impact of the rate increase for home owner's like myself I will have to delay both the financing and justification to proceed with the project.

Please provide a detailed written justification on how increasing electrical power rates for net meeting services is justified.

- With the goals published by Rocky Mountain Power and the State of Utah to encourage investment for renewable energy How can RMP speak from both sides of the mouth?
- How can the state of Utah offer tax incentives that will encourage solar power investment <> and at the same time allow rate increase that target the same industry

Thank you for accepting public input, we expect the deadline will be extended.

I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.



CFS 2010 - Consultants

Jim L. Chatterley PE

Consultants For Sustainability

- Orem, Utah 84097 ·Cost-effective, Functional, Sustainable - Design Services
- Civil, Framing & Structural Engineering Services Cell Phone: 801 874 9663
- Construction For Service Design-Builders E-mail: Jim@CFS2010.com
- •Complete, Fast, Service (Choose only two)

E-mail: Jim@CFS2010.com

California Civil Eng. Lic. 22917 Utah Civil Eng. Lic. 274066-2203 www.CFS2010.com

684 East 1200 North



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.



I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power

1 message

Alyssa Smith <alyssa.mac.smith@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:17 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Daniel Gray <danielthegray11@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:17 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Daniel Gray, DanielTheGray11@gmail.com, Orem, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brad Warner

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:17 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I write this letter in opposition to Rocky Mountain Powers very aggressive bill that will ultimately destroy rooftop solar in Utah.

I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking efect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process. I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

destiny wignall <wignalldestiny@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:17 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Destiny Wignall

Wignalldestiny @gmail.com



Advice No. 16-13.

1 message

Jeremy Long <longj30@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:17 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, **Jeremy Long** 801.380.7923



Oppose Rocky Mountain Power against Solar

1 message

marta macdonald curran <martamc12@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:17 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process. They are adversely affecting public choice to use solar energy.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Marta Macdonald martamc12@gmail.com Provo, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Thomas Heckman <the_heckmans@att.net>
Reply-To: Thomas Heckman <the_heckmans@att.net>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:17 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Thomas Heckman



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jessica Thompson <jessica.thompson@vivintsolar.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:18 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar Solar is clean energy! I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. Every year, especially in the winer we get poor air quality and people get sick. Solar is a small step to help improve this.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13. Save Solar in UTAH!!

Sincerely,

Jess Thompson

-

Jess Thompson • Inside Sales Rep

jessica.thompson@vivintsolarcom • P: 8774044129option 1

simply brighter vivintsolarcom

3301 N. Thanksgiving Way Suite 500. Lehi, Utah 84043

Description: Description: Description: Description:
Description: Description: Macintosh
HD:Users:lhong:Desktop:vivintsolar_email-01[1].ipg

Thanks for going GREEN!

The information in this e-mail is for the use of the designated recipients.offly is email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated and may also constitute an attorney/client communication or work product subject to copyright or constitutes a trade secret, and therefore, is privileged and confidential. If you are not an identified recipient of this e-mail, you are instructed not to review it or any to immediately permanently delete this e-mail, and are further directed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or any attachments.

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket#16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Dave Clark <dave_clark88@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:18 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I would like to take this time to voice my opposition to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power"Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of near unlimited energy. Utah's air quality NEEDS to improve, and I believe rooftop solar is an essential part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

David Clark, dave clark88@hotmail.com, Pleasant Grove, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Richard Marback <richard.marback@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:18 PM

In regard to rooftop solar, please suspend and reject the tarif f that is scheduled to begin December 9th 2016.

I am asking that you require Rocky Mountain Power to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I was planning to add roof top solar to my home in 2017. This tarif f greatly impacts several key choices relating to that decision. Rooftop solar adds value to the power system and grid and solar should be encouraged, not discouraged.

Thank you for accepting public input on this, respectively, I feel that the deadline should be extended. I ur ge you to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request on roof top solar.

In many states solar is having a positive impact on the community and the environment. Let's not halt these positive changes by adding charges that are simply punitive.

Respectfully,

Richard Marback 513 N 325 W Ivins, UT 84738 435.228.0528



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jason Deelstra <jdeelstra@vivintsolarcom>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:18 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jason Deelstra, Alpine, UT

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

cameron snyder <pri>principaltrpt@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:18 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I **oppose** the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Cameron Snyder, principaltrpt@gmail.com, Woods Cross Utah

Cameron Snyder Trumpet Performance/Educator Cell-435-851-1536 www.cameronsnyder.net

E-mail- principaltrpt@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Meg Milkanin <milknmegs@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:18 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Megan Milkanin SLC, UT

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Natalie Luna <JCNKDL@hotmail.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:19 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Natalie Laird, jcnkdl@hotmail.com, Orem, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ace Cardwell <abc.ace07@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:19 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Andrew cardwell

ABC.ace07@gmail.com



Re: Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jeanette <pri>princess0228.jj@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:19 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Jensen, Grantsville, UT

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Casey Moon <cmpelectric1@gmail.com>
To: "Psc@utah.gov" <Psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:19 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Casey Moon CMP Electric, LLC (801)857-1432



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

BrianAndCrystal Hunter

 To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:20 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy.

My seven-year-old son, Zachary, has terrible respiratory issues. As a parent, I look at the smog in our valley and worry for his future. I have lived in Utah for more than 20 years and I have considered relocating my family because of this issue. I don't believe rooftop solar will entirely solve our air quality issues, but I do believe it should be part of the solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brian Hunter, bandchunter@gmail.com; Draper, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Steven Janke <perfhex@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:20 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Steven Janke

1215 N 300 W Lehi, UT 84043 C: (208) 390-8623 perfhex@gmail.com

perinex@gmail.com

The information in this e-mail is for the use of the designated recipients only

. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated and may also constitute an attorney/client communication or attorney work product subject to copyright or constitutes a trade secret, and therefore, is privileged and confidential. If you are not an identified recipient of this e-mail, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, to immediately permanently delete this e-mail, and are further directed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or any attachments.



Attn

1 message

Darrell Olsen <darrell.olsen@vivintsolarcom>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:21 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for allowing my input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Darrell Olsen • darrellbertoch@hotmail.com C: 385-535-2559

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ben Young

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:21 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ben Young

608 N. Ridge Drive, Provo, UT 84606

bencannonyoung@gmail.com

801-319-8843



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Eric Fox <ericbfox@gmail.com> To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:21 PM

Hello Utah Public Service Commission,

I am writing to signal my displeasure with the recently proposed rate hike by Rocky Mountain Power that would effectively and artificially remove the cost effectiveness of solar energy. As our country and world continue to careen down the path of ever increasing CO2 emissions, and wherever on the divide of man-made climate change you may be, a diversified panel of energy production options remains our surest way forward.

Please critically evaluate this proposed rate hike by Rocky Mountain Power. Decentralized and sustainable energy production options have great potential to improve both society and the environment. Please avoid letting the greed of RMP overwhelm this important chance to invest in our future with renewable energy options.

Thank you for your time.

Eric Fox 1728 S Wright CT Salt Lake City, UT 84105 801-793-9747



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

TeAnna Rasmussen <terasmussen@vivintsolar.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:20 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect onDecember 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal ratemaking process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

TeAnna Rasmussen, Provo Utah

terasmussen@vivintsolar.com vivint .solar 801.229.7765

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jordan Stevens <jordan5151@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:21 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jordan Stevens jordan5151@hotmail.com Orem, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Rich Larson <richlarson23@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:22 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am writing to comment on Rocky Mountain Power's Advice # 16-13. I am a strong advocate for developing renewable energy sources and believe it is in the public interest to promote and encourage adoption of solar energy production.

I believe that RMP's proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers, and this proposal has not gone through the normal rate-making review process. I'd like to see this proposal rejected and prevented from taking effect on Dec 9th as proposed, with a recommendation to go through the normal rate-making process and full cost/benefit study.

Thank you for being willing to listen to public comments on this topic.

Sincerely, Rich Larson American Fork, UT (17 year resident)



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brandon Huls <b49ers8@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:22 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brandon Huls

Springville, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kiley Bradley <garcia.kiley@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:23 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Kiley Bradley

Solar Energy Consumer, Solar Company Employee, Clean Air Advocate!

garcia.kiley@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Sean Paul <sean.paul42@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:23 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarsuch as myself. My fiance and I have made numerous ofers on homes in Salt Lake County within the past few weeks and are looking in particular for our starter home that is solar-ready. If the proposed rate structure and tariff are adopted, it will become prohibitively expensive for us to install and operate solar equipment on our own home. Please do not remove the financial incentive associated with helping to save the environment through responsibly installing a clean energy generating system on our new home. Our winter inversions in Salt Lake County are bad enough without adding to it with the energy consumption in a home that is considerably less carbon-neutral than the one we are planning.

I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Sean Paul

sean.paul42@gmail.com

Sandy, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

David Sixta <davsix59@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: "davsix59@yahoo.com" <davsix59@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:23 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

David & Lily Sixta, Springville, UT

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Alison Godlewski <alisongodlewski@gmail.com>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:23 PM

Please do not allow Rocky Mountain Power's proposed rate hike on solar to go through. We need to keep solar cost effective to fight climate change, and for economic reasons too! Keep the solar companies in business. Keep our population adding solar power to their houses. Do not allow this movement to be stifled! Thank you for listening.

Alison Godlewski 15 year resident of Park City, UT

Alison



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Liz lpellum@live.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:24 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Pellum, Ipellum @live.com, Draper, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jacob Royston < jacob.royston1@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:25 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jacob Royston, jacob.royston1@gmail.com, Lehi, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

julie walker <juliejuleswalker@yahoo.com> Reply-To: julie walker <juliejuleswalker@yahoo.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov> Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:21 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarl am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Julie Walker



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Andrew Christensen <andrewchristensen21@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:25 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Further, I find it disturbing that just two years ago in 2014, Rocky Mountain Power claimed a cost-shit of \$4.65 (while still ignoring all the environmental and other benefits (avoided energy costs, reduced line lose, avoided capacity investment, grid resiliency, air quality, job creation in Utah) net metering provides, and now has come back with this proposal claiming a cost shift of nearly \$400 per year. This type of action erodes public trust, and makes me question Rocky Mountain Powers true intention.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Andrew Christensen, andrewchristensen21@gmail.com, Saratoga Springs, UT



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

jeffrey kenney <jmkenney@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:25 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

I support the continued subsidy/incentives for individuals putting solar on their houses. This industry is having a positive impact on Utah's economy with job growth and I believe it will only grow more. The incentive is also making this a reality for many Utah homeowners. It seems short sighted to disincentive this program as it helps with Utah having cleaner air, which I think is something we all value for our future generations. I am sure you have heard better arguments more articulate than mine, however please do NOT support Rocky Mountain and PacificCorp's proposal!!!

Jeff Kenney

(C) 978.906.5440

(E) jmkenney@gmail.com

(W) www.linkedin.com/in/jeffreymkenney



Rocky Mountain Power Rate (RMP) request Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Paul Domgaard <c8551@aol.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:26 PM

To: psc@utah.gov, hstephenson@le.utah.gov, dmccay@le.utah.gov

Dear Commissioners,

In 2013 my family installed enough solar panels (panels) on the roof of our home to bring our monthly electric bills down to the minimum charge for most of the year.

We signed in good faith a "Net Metering Agreement" (NMA) with Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) that we expected to last for 30 years or more.

RMP is a monopoly and there are good reasons to allow them to operate as such.

Continually changing our original Net Metering Agreement should not be one of them.

Our panels have not changed.

Our ability to recoup our monetary investment in the panels has not changed.

The quality of the Kilo Watts Hours (Kwh) of electrical energy delivered to the grid has not changed.

We do not offer our Kwh put back on the grid for sale to the general public.

We do get credit for Kwh put back on the grid for use only in our account with RMP as outlined in our NMA

Why do the fees associated with our NMA keep changing?

Sometimes we draw Kwh's from the RMP grid and we expect to pay the current rate for the service rendered.

Any other contract I enter into within our community the "Rule of Law" does not allow changes to the contract without mutual consent of the contracted parties.

We agree that the PSC serves an important role to check the RMP and how they operate the "Public Trust" they have been given.

When we started the minimum monthly charge was \$5.00 now its \$9.00.

Before we installed the panels we would experience brown outs and power outages on a regular basis during the summer months.

Since the panels installation using NMA we executed with RMP our home quality of power has been much better .

We took the initiative to purchase the panels for our home to improve the power quality in the home.

We provided a power generating point in the electric grid that is a benefit to us and our fellow electric power grid users.

I have heard a radio advertisement promoting RMP's Solar Farm Project.

RMP promotes 20 years of level monthly electric power payments to customer that sign up for the program. Its renewable, Green and Sustainable.

When we put our original proforma together We figured of the 25 year warrantied life of the panels it would take 17 years of level rate operation to recover the cost of the panels installation.

We committed ourselves to eight years of free board after the capital costs where recovered would make a wise investment for the family

The last couple years the minimum monthly charge has increased 180 percent.

Some people occupy them selves complaining about how panels owners take advantage of the "system".

Its easy to complain about what your neighbor has done while you yourself have taken none of the monetary risk to improve the energy conditions of their homes.

We have paid our electrical energy dollars forward.

This is a benefit to the entire electrical grid.

We have seen the electric power quality at my home improve.

We do not burden the RMP grid much since we have provided a "point of electrical power generation."

Fees need to remain as outlined in the original NMA.

We have no way of raising income to cover additional fees.

As with any investment conditions need to be predictable in order for the projections to realize the desired result.

By spending our household energy dollars forward to purchase the panels, we expect to realize a small monetary savings over the long term life of our home.

This requires that the NMA does not continue to change.

There is no guarantee that we will be successful to realize a return on our investment.

We do not expect RMP and/or anyone ease to back us up if our investment does not work out,

We do request that the conditions of the original NMA be up held.

This action is more socially responsible than complaining about what the neighbor is or is not doing.

In March each year our Net Metering Agreement Zeros out.

In 2014 we had a balance of 900+ KWH swept from our account.

We understand it is part of the NMA.

Since this time we have purchased some Kwh from RMP during the dark months of the year.

I believe the Kwh from our panels put on to the grid does not go any further than the transformer box that services 3 homes in our neighbor homes even on the best production days.

The impact of our panels are minimal on the electrical grid as a whole.

We do not waste huge amount of the Kwh poduced shipping it long distances.

We request that the conditions of the original NMA be upheld.

I would like this letter to be entered as part of the public comments for the above referenced Docket.

Respectfully Summited Paul R. Domgaard Riverton, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

krwilliams@scubedinfo.com <krwilliams@scubedinfo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:26 PM

Dear Commission:

I am writing you to voice my opposition to Pacificorp-Rocky Mountain Power's advice Number 16-13. I recently moved to Utah from out of state so I could help build the solar industry in this great state. Howevel believe that RMP's proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. This is not fair

Would you please suspend the tarif and please prevent RMP's proposal from taking \(\ext{eff}\) to n December 9th? In my non-utility perspective, this seems to bypass their typical rate-making process. Would you please force them to use the normal process that has been set up to protect all rate payers, not just those who are seeking a renewable future for our world via solar Following a normal rate-making process would ensure equality and fairness to all.

Utah has most recently been showcased as an ideal state for business to thrive. Howeveallowing RMP's proposal to advance will retard that general perspective by putting the needs of a large, semi-monopolistic organization over the small solar businesses that are a great employment driver in Utah. This not only subrogates the needs of small business to big business, but also ignores the long-term benefits that a renewable power source will have to Utah and to our planet. Please consider this in your deliberations.

Thank you very much for listening and for being willing to consider the needs of Utah's public--it will make afeifence.

Kind regards,

Kent R. Williams krwilliams@scubedinfo.com Lehi, Utah 84043



Solar

1 message

Kash Tollis <kash.tollis1@vivintsolar.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:26 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Kash Montana, Kash.Tollis@vivintsolar.comSalt Lake City, UT

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jonathan Anderson <jonathan.anderson@vivintsolarcom> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:26 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Anderson

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Jocelyn Taylor <jocejtaylor@gmail.com> To: PSC@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:26 PM

Please, please, do the right thing. Backwards legislation and greedy corporations have got us into the mess we are currently in. It's time we start looking towards a future of sustainable energy - a future of solar power. Please do not hike your rates for non-metering. We NEED solar power. It's time to work together to save the planet, and stop being motivated by greed alone. Now more than ever before.

Thank you,

Jocelyn Taylor



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cali Young <mrscaliyoung@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:26 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Cali Young

Provo, UT 84606

mrscaliyoung@gmail.com

801-874-9777



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jonathan Anderson <jonathan.anderson012@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:27 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jonathan S. Anderson



Recent proposal put forth by Rocky Mountain Power

1 message

Daniel Hartsock <danielhartsock@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:26 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Daniel Hartsock - Orem, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Nick Hansen <nick.h.hansen@icloud.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:27 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I whole-heartedly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Nick Hansen,

Lehi, Utah

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Reed Fruehan <reed.fruehan@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:27 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Reed Fruehan, Alpine, UT reed.fruehan@gmail.com



Docket# 16-035 T14 Public Comment Thank You

1 message

Tim Barba <etimbarba@icloud.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:28 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is <u>unfair</u> for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Tim Barba, etimbarba@icloud.com 801-391-4719 Salt Lake City, UT

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Monte Winegar <monte.winegar@vivintsolarcom> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:28 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Monte Winegar • Project Manager • IT monte.winegar@vivintsolar.com • P:801.900.5525 • F:801.812.8440

1800 W. Ashton Blvd. Lehi, UT 84043

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

David <davidslcutah@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:29 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am in opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I encourage you to suspend the tarif f. Rocky Mountain power should be forced to use the normal rate making process. I also feel that the deadline for comment is unusually short and has been timed by Rocky Mountain power to when people are focused on end of the year holidays. I have recently made, what is for me, a massive investment in clean energy and clean air for the state of Utah. My investment of over \$30,000 shows how much I care about the environment. Furthermore there are lots of solar jobs on the line and I'm afraid that if this new net metering agreement goes into effect that solar will die a quick death in Utah just as it did in Nevada. Also I fear that the grandfathering clause Will eventually be eliminated also. That would be extremely unfair for all of the people who have signed a net metering agreement with Rocky Mountain power. Anyone who signed this agreement has done so knowing that they will be able to recoup their investment over the course of many years. I personally am suf fering from the poor air quality in Utah. And so do many other people. I have heard the air-quality, on bad days, is worse than Beijing. I personally run Merv 16 air filters 24 hours a day in my house as it makes a big dif ference in my energy level. What will happen if air pollution gets worse and more people have to take the same measure? That will mean more ener gy use and worse air quality if rooftop solar is allowed to die in the state of Utah. That's a scary statistic and one of many reasons we need to promote clean air in the state of Utah and keep the status quo with net metering. Thank you for taking the time to read my comments. Respectfully, David Chapman

11368 S. Pepper Circle

Sandy, UT 84092 530-545-3600 Davidslcutah@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Adam McLaughlin <adam.mclaughlin@vivintsolarcom>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:29 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Adam McLaughlin, a guy who really wants to keep his job.

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Monte Winegar <montew@signatureitgroup.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:29 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Monte Winegar

Signature IT Group, Inc.

Cell 801.854.8192

Email montew@signatureITgroup.com





Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ashley Allen <ashleycallen12@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:29 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ashley Allen Ashleycallen12@gmail.com Lindon, Utah

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Caleb Coe <ccoe13@icloud.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:30 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

I dont understand why this would even be a thing? They're just trying to be more of a monopoly which is somehow legal? Also, they want to just destroy the population of Utah with their grimy ass pollution... so yeah go eco friendly energy and no to the money hungry power company.

Thanks.

-Coe

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cory Barton <coryjbarton@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:30 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Cory Barton, coryjbarton@gmail.com, Orem, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mckae Nielsen <mbnsoftball@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:30 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Mckae Nielsen, mbnsoftball@gmail.com, Spanish Fork, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cory Sleater <csliggidy15@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:30 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power that seeks to change the rate structure for solar customers in UT. I am emailing you now to let you know that it is of dire importance that the Public Service Commission suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect as proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal ratemaking process which disables them from monopolizing the power industry in UT. And business opportunities aside, for the sake of humanly and, more generally, telestial posterity, we need to maximize eco-friendly power harnessing.

Thank you for hearing me out on this significant issue.

Sincerely, Cory Bobrowski, 343 s 500 e apt 116, SLC 84102



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Monte Winegar <mwinegar@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:31 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Monte Winegar 909-275-6899 mwinegar@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tony Holt <roger.holt@vivintsolarcom>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:31 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Roger Holt, roger.holt@vivintsolar.com, Sandy, UT

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:30 PM

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Cc: Paula Christiansen <pwchristiansen@yahoo.com>

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016. Please suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9th. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. The proposed increase in rates will make rooftop solar uneconomical in Utah. Rocky Mountain Power should include all the benefits of solar when doing their analysis, not just a select few benefits.

I am deeply concerned about Utah's environment, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar shouldbe part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Bryan Christiansen

Sandy, UT

bryan christiansen@yahoo.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Keith Buys krbuys@hotmail.com
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:32 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I wish to state my strong opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed No®, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particularge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

The current issue is just another attempt for coky Mountain Power (hereafter RMP) ounfairly penalize those who take advantage of the Federal and State rebates which encourage clean solar power. Rocky Mountain Power wants to raise the rates of the "Net Meter" customer so that they can, in effect, be the recipient of those government incentives and the home owner won't be able to break even on their investment in solar or ford to install a solar system in the first place. Does this government believe in safe, clean, power when it is available or doesn't it? I hope that you will continue to support the people you have been put in a position to protect as you have done in the past

RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy.

I used to constantlyeceive information in the mail fromRocky Mountain Power talking about thermostat control and other ways to save power so that there would be enough for all customers. Now they complain that those with solar panels on the roof and who put power back into the grid are unfairly afecting those who don't have solar powerThey are worried about their bottom line (which is natural) but they shouldn't be allowed to protect their bottom line at the expense of the customers that they have been given the opportunity to serve. What RMP has done with legislation and rules in Nevada has efectively killed solar power in that state A state with so much potential for clean efficient power. I hope that will never be allowed to happen here in Utah.

Thank you for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Sincerely,

Keith R Buys 775 West 1000 North West Bountiful, UT 84087 krbuys@hotmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tony Holt <holttwins@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:34 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Anthony Holt, holttwins@gmail.com, Taylorsville, UT

Sent from my iPad



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cade Blatter <cadeblatter@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:34 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I appreciate your time. I want to take a second to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge you to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I feel that RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers. I think it is up to the consumer to allow them to get their power from where they choose. RMP is trying to limit access to clean and efficient energy and they should not be allowed to do this. This will greatly affect where I choose to live.

Thank you for accepting public input. I respectfully request that you reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Cade Blatter
Salt Lake City UT
cadeblatter@gmail.com



Opposition to Rocky Mountain Proposals

1 message

Michael Pearson <michael.pearson@vivintsolarcom> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:33 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

_

Michael R. Pearson

Thousand Oaks: Customer Success Manager

michael.pearson@vivintsolar.com

Phone: 877-404-4129 Select option 3 Ext. 33653

Fax: 801-765-5708

Simply Brighter / vivintsolar.com 3301 N Thanksgiving Way Suite 500, Lehi, UT 84043

The information in this e-mail is for the use of the designated recipients onlyhis email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated and may also constitute an attorney/client communication or attorney work product subject to copyright or constitutes a trade secret, and therefore, is privileged and confidential. If you are not an identified recipient of this e-mail, you are instructed not to review or any attachments, to immediately permanently delete this e-mail, and are further directed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or any attachments.

each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ben Wallgren <BCW1984@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:34 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ben Wallgren, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Michelle Garner <michelle.garner@vivintsolarcom> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:35 PM

I oppose PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power advice No. 16-13, Please do not unfairly charge solar customers for peak power. Let the customers decide what kind of power they choose. Thank you for taking time to read my email.

Michelle Garner

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ron Stringham <rstringham@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:35 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I wrote earlier today to express my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I would like to add to my coments, as follows:

If you haven't already read the following article, please do.

RASH RATEMAKING: LESSONS FROM NEVADA'S NEM REFORMS by Devin Hartman

http://www.ourenergypolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/rstreetreport.pdf

Please consider the guidance given in this article, as a way for Utah's Public Service commission to better protect the interests of Utah's solar ratepayers.

Respectfully,

Ronald Stringham Orem, UT rstringham@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jana Kodel <jlkodel@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:37 PM

Dear Commissioners,

Rocky Mountain Power has recently proposed, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend this action. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jana Kodel C- 801-706-5780 E- jlkodel@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

erachel23 <erachel23@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:37 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain PowellAdvice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe as a cleaner, more reliable source of energyUtah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powerd reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Rachel Sumsion

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G ITE Device



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kryssi Heckman <kristin.heckman@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:37 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Kristin Stahlecker Heckman Composer 615-945-7493



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Dan Stone <dstone_44@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:39 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am strongly opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I strongly urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I strongly feel that this rate change is bad for the state of Utah. First of all the timing of this is extremely unfair and does not allow the public a fair and ample opportunity to voice our concerns and opinions. This deadline should be pushed back until sometime next year at the earliest. This is V ery shady and sneaky practices with the short deadline and timing around all the holidays.

Also, all of the data I have seen is coming directly from Rocky Mountain Power. What ever happened to a third party unbiased review of the data? It's also interesting to note that Rocky Mountain Power just built a solar farm and are trying to get people to sign up for that. Sounds like they just want to take over the market.

Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy.

Utah has some of the worst air quality in the country and this proposal would be a contributor to making it worse. It is important that we do what we can to improve our air quality and solar is one way that we can do that.

Also I believe that rates should be even cheaper for those with solar. They contribute to the grid and many places even pay those who produce more than they use. How can they pay those customers yet here in Utah they want to charge them more? Sounds more like Rocky Mountain Power wants to double dip at our expense not only in our pocket books but our health and our children's health.

Next, this is bad for small businesses all across our state. One of the great things about Utah is how business friendly we are. We support small businesses and start-ups. We should not allow a monopoly to destroy a large number of small businesses. This will negatively impact the lives of many people and many people will lose their jobs. How can you justify taking away someone's income and livelihood for a large corporation based solely on because they said so! This would devastate the solar industry, takes away consumer choice, and is a profound overreach by a government regulated monopoly.

Rocky Mountain makes so much in profits I find it hard to believe that this is about anything other than controlling the solar and utility market. I am not opposed to changes but they need to be fair and evaluated through a third unbiased party.

I am not aware of all of Utah's laws or all individual city laws, however I strongly feel that any city that has laws requiring businesses and households to be tied into the grid must be given the option to disconnect without any form of penalty. If you are going to force us to pay for a service we don't want we should be allowed to choose whether we want that service or not.

I am a Rocky Mountain Power customer that has never had any issues. I do not have solar panels but I do support small business and a healthy environment. I would like to have the option in the future to add panels without rate hikes if I ever decide to. It is very important that you reject this proposal!!

Please carefully read over all our input and data when making your decisions.

Thank you Commissioners for accepting public input even though the deadline should be extended. I request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change.

Thank you for your time,

Daniel Stone Syracuse Utah Dstone_44@yahoo.com 919-798-4103



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Gabe Engman <gengman@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:40 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Gabriel Engman, gengman@gmail.com, Eagle Mountain, UT



PacificCorp-RMP "advice No. 16-13"

1 message

Ralph Rohr <rarohr@infowest.com> Reply-To: rarohr@infowest.com To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:40 PM

Stop! Do not pass Go!

Why am I as a responsible conserving user of energy with foresight and at considerable capital expense now going to be penalized by the large power conglomerate's proposal to charge me higher rates? Do not let the proposed tariff take effect. Let's talk about this. This is corporate tyranny, and not in good faith public service. Please respond to my concerns.

Thank you,

L. Ralph Rohr (retired and on limited income)



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jeff Hansen <hansen_jeffrey@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Jeff Hansen <hansen_jeffrey@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:41 PM

To whom it may concern:

I wanted to express my **opposition**to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power Advice No. 16-13 that would change rates for roof-top solar companies on December 9th.

The worse thing as a society that we can do is make short term decisions that could have a negative impact on an industry that in 20 to 30 years could be the norm for the vast majority of residential power generation. We need to think long term, not just short term and the precedent it will create.

Nevada is a mess due to a similar decision that ef fectively killed solar growth in a state where the sun should be a valued resource of energy. The solar industry is currently providing many jobs in the state and is growing steadily as it becomes more economically feasible for many customers. Without a doubt allowing Rocky Mountain Power to raise the costs for rooftop solar customers will dramatically hurt the growth of solar impacting Utah jobs and harming the environment.

This needs to be looked at as a long term decision. I would like to see more innovation from Rocky Mountain Power to adapt to changing energy sources. This tarif f would again give all the 'power' to a monopolistic source to dictate the future of critical energy choices in our state.

Thank you for accepting my input to such an important issue. I appreciate your time and consideration.

Regards,

Jeff Hansen hansen_jef frey@yahoo.com Draper, UT



Rocky mountain power proposal

1 message

Sami Dkhissi <sami.dkhissi@vivintsolar.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:41 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Sami Dkhissi a concerned Utahan

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Sorun Wood <sorunwood@gmail.com>
To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:42 PM

Regarding Rocky Mountain Power proposing to hike the rates on net-metering for solar customers, making solar a bad investment. I think that we need to invest in solar to move to a clean energy economy in order to fight climate change and protect our winters. I'm an avid skier and I have noticed the efects of climate change on skiing, especially this year . The resorts in Utah have all had to push back there opening dates. Climate change will dramatically effect the snow in Utah. It might not be next year or in five years but if we do nothing to combat climate change, in who knows how long. The snow will be gone or minimized. Why is that a big deal? Because Utah thrives economically on snow , because we are a ski/snowboard driven state. "Utah, the greatest snow on earth." People come from around the world to ski here. The snow generate so many jobs and tons of money. By no mean is this going to solve climate change, but we need to do everything we can to protect our environment. I hope you can make the right choice. Thank you for your time.

Sent from my iPhone



Fwd: Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Bryan Godlewski
bgottagoski@gmail.com>
To: Psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:42 PM

Please do not allow Rocky Mountain Power's proposed rate hike on solar to go through. We are starting to gain some momentum against climate change. We need to foster this and do what's right for our planet and economy Keep the solar companies in business. We don't want to lose these jobs like Nevada did.

In addition, the families that already did solar should not have the rug pulled out from under them.

Thank you,

Bryan Godlewski



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Thomas Hayes <tj.hayes789@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:41 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am 100% opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP "Advice-No. 16-13, filed Nov, 9, 2016 that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I would also urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect on December 9th as Rocky mountain has proposed and require Rocky Mountain Power to use the NORMAL rate-making process for it's proposed changes.

I feel that this move is a robbery of the free market for Utahans regarding their choice of power for their home. This is a massively unreasonable increase in charges for solar customers and would greatly restrict if not kill free trade in Utah for power and would limit access to clean and efficient energy. I am in a phase of life where my family and I are looking for a home to purchase, on which I have planned to install solar and many of my friends are looking forward to doing the same. If this increase is passed, then my right to choose how I want to power my home will essentially be robbed as it will kill solar growth in Utah. I am the type of person that wants to be as independent as possible and owning my own solar system would enable me to take steps toward my own self sufficiency. Passing this rate change would essentially rob me of that choice. Please don't take away my ability to own clean, economical, and environmentally friendly power by approving this robbery of a rate change.

I thank the Commissioners in advance for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interest of the ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Thomas J Hayes Bountiful, Ut tj.hayes789@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Porter Rosenkrantz <porter.rosenkrantz@vivintsolarcom>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:42 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Porter Rosenkrantz

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jesse Olsen <jesseolsen801@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:44 PM

To whom it may regard,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jesse Olsen



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jordan Penrod <jordan.penrod@vivintsolarcom>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:44 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Cyrus Penrod Cyry700@gmail.com Lindon, UT

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



(no subject)

1 message

Naelon Achermann <naelonachermann0@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:44 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power , and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Naelon Achermann



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cody Oram <codyeoram@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:45 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Cody Oram - Highland, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ellie Pruden <ellienkate@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:45 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ellie Pruden, ellienkate@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Rocky Mountain Power

1 message

Adam Rossander <a_rossander@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:46 PM



Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain PowerAdvice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I we the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariffand prevent it from taking fect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solal am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powerd reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely

Adam Rossandera rossander@hotmail.com

vivint. solar

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:46 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Bryan Clark



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment - Ben Smith

1 message

Benjamin Smith <benpsmth@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:47 PM

Dear Concerned State Government.

Learning of PacifiCorp-Rocky mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13 has left me opposed to the Advice and very concerned of our state's future and the influence it has on the rest of the nation.

I understand that this proposal raises the rates of solar energy residences. This is **unreasonable** in that citizens shouldn't pay more to a fossil fuel company for using clean energy, **unsubstantiated** in that Rocky Mountain's reasoning for increasing rates is anti-competitive and monopolistic, and **unfathomable** to participate in when the effects of such a proposal on the solar industry are vividly illustrated next door in Nevada; there is next to no solar interest in Nevada.

Having said that, I would ask that you suspend the tariff to prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking effect on December 9th. They need to stick to their normal rates. W e, as a people, as a state concerned with air quality, must not let regulations suppress the use of clean-energy alternatives.

Please consider what has happened in Nevada. Consider the perception you give to your state's residents and the nation when you pass laws that hinder air quality and a free market. It is simply un-American. We cannot support an industry that is mature and declining when the alternative sources are becoming. Especially in a state that has a myriad of solar companies, it is better for the economy, jobs, and the environment.

Sincerely,

Ben Smith, benpsmth@gmail.com, Lehi, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jennifer Bakker <jbxanthos@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:47 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Jennifer Xanthos jbxanthos@gmail.com Alpine, UT

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Benjamin Reid <testpilot93@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:48 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Reid, testpilot93@hotmail.com, Pleasant Grove, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Keith Wilson <keithlwilson@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:48 PM

Dear Public Service Commission:

First, I want to just let you know, I realize you are in a difficult position. Thank you for taking the time to read my email. It's important to me, as someone who believes in green energy options.

I want to be clear, I feel it's unfair to allow Rocky Mountain Power to abuse their power by forcing unfair fees for installing roofstop solar.

I officially oppose Pacificorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

Please suspend the tariff and prevent Rocky Mountain's Power's proposal from taking place December 9th.

Please have them use the normal price. It makes no sense.

I believe you should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the cost of rooftop solar. You are punishing people for wanting to go green. These people want to save the environment. For me, I personally have asthma, and ANY efort to promote green energy, use less fossil fuels helps me breath better. It's a medical issue for me. By trying to charge unfair dollar amounts, you are increasing fossil fuel usage, and you increase pollution.

I have signed afidavits regarding previous criminal cases regarding illegal pollution, and I feel like this would be on the similar line as illegally polluting, if you don't allow solar on roofs.

Thanks again for taking the time to read this.

Keith Wilson 33 S 475 W Spanish Fork, Utah 84660



Oppose Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Jeffery Kile <jkile02@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:48 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jeff Kile, jkile02@gmail.com, SLC, UT



FW: Solar 1 message

Bull, Douglas A [US] (TS) <Douglas.Bull@ngc.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:46 PM

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

I am opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. This is anti-solar and anti-competition.

Douglas Bull 801-451-7370 Cell (801) 549-7912

CONFIDENTIALITY SATEMENTThis electronic message contains information that may be confidential or pr ivileged. The information is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) named above. Any disclosure, copying, or distribution of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify me immediately by telephone at (801) 525-3994 or by e-mail reply and delete this message.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brady James Reese bradyj.reese@gmail.com
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:49 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brady James Reese, bradyj.reese@gmail.com, Alpine, UT



Solar

1 message

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:51 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am adamantly opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes. It seems unprofessional and unethical that Rocky Mountain Power has left the least amount of time for public comment or for anyone but RMP to be able to provide research or analysis for consideration. I also don't like that they have chosen the week of the Thanksgiving holiday for the deadline for public comment to discourage participation. This deadline is unreasonable and should be extended.

I feel that Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & £cient energy Not only do I have family members who have roof top solarmy close friend is the founder of Intermountain Wind and Solar. He's grown this business for the last 8 years and it's shame to allow a monopoly to come in and bulldoze all of their hard work and aspirations. Rooftop solar adds value to the power system and grid. This rate increase would impose a 3-tiered rate system on solar customers only that would double or triple the payback period for a solar investment. This rate increase is the moshtisolar and anti-business rate proposal to date across the nation. If accepted, it very well could destroy the solar industry overnight therefore eliminating thousands of jobs and would resulting in us no longer having a livelihood. Destroying the solar industry seems like a disregard for the quality of ou air and other environmental concerns. I feel that this is also a loss of a freedom to choose how I power my home. I'm astounded by this proposal and view it as a drastic overreach by a government regulated monopoly

Rocky Mountain Power's rate increases will **&**ctively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significantly harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry and eliminate consumer choice in energy production.

I would like to sincerely thank you for accepting public input. I do hope you will extend the deadline for public comment. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Thank you,

Brandon Federico



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

agfanfam@netzero.net <agfanfam@netzero.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:50 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am writing to voice my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMPs "Advice No. 16-13" filed 9 Nov 2016, which would allow Rocky Mountain Power to change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Rocky Mountain Power should have to follow the normal rate making process, rather than try to circumvent the process by using a holiday weekend for public input. I urge you to suspend this action until the normal process can be applied.

As a recent solar customer, I am outraged by Rocky Mountain Power's attempt to discourage the use of natural, renewable, clean energy in an attempt to solely protect their bottom line. Solar power is beneficial to the environment and the community, and I have several friends and neighbors who are interested in solar power as well. Allowing this proposal to be approved will cripple, if not totally destroy, the solar power industry. Additionally, this proposal will now punish people like me who have decided to invest in solar power by unfairly doubling or tripling the time needed to pay of our investment. Imagine the outrage if the housing community suddenly decided to double or triple the interest rate on mortgage loans just so that industry could protect their investment. The government would not allow such a thing to happen.

Rather than trying to find ways to discourage solar power initiatives, Rocky Mountain Power should be encouraged and incentivized to invest in solar power and pass those incentives on to the consumers. Imagine the benefits if more people were encouraged to lease their roof space to install solar panels and generate clean, renewable energy. The costs for Rocky Mountain Power to generate power using inefficient hydroelectric dams, wind mills, and fossil fuel generators would be significantly reduced and would also improve the environment. It would be a true win-win for both the corporation and the customer.

Thank you for your time and consideration for public input into this issue. I respectfully request that, at a minimum, the deadline for considering this very important issue be extended so that proper studies can be conducted.

Respectfully,

Kirk Douglass 963 West 7900 South Willard, UT 84340 Cell:801-920-1402

Work: 801-586-9330

Home email: agfanfam@netzero.net Work email: kirk.douglass@us.af.mil



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mike <mike@imwindandsolar.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:52 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am adamantly opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed N@y2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particularinge the Public Service Commission to suspend the taxifd not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes. It seems unprofessional and unethical that Rocky Mountain Power has the least amount of time for public comment or for anyone but RMP to be able to provide research or analysis fo consideration. I also don't like that they have chosen the week of the Thanksgiving holiday for the deadline for p comment to discourage participation. This deadline is unreasonable and should be extended.

I feel that Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & effect energy. This change will personally impact me because not only do I have solar on my roof, but my husband is the founder of Intermountaid & Solar He's grown this business for the last 8 years and it's shame to allow a monopoly to come in and bulldoze all of our har work and aspirations. Rooftop solar adds value to the power system and grid. This rate increase would impose a tiered rate system on solar customers only that would double or triple the payback period for a solar investment. This rate increase is the mostnti-solar and anti-business rate proposal to date across the nation. If accepted, it very well could destroy the solar industry overnight. This would resulting in us no longer having a livelihood. Destroying the solar industry seems like a disregard for the quality of our air and other environmental concerns. that this is also a loss of a freedom to choose how I power my home. I'm astounded by this proposal and view it a drastic overreach by a government regulated monopoly

Rocky Mountain Power's rate increases will feetively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significant harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry and eliminate consumer choice introduction.

I would like to sincerely thank you for accepting public input. I do hope you will extend the deadline for public comment. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, reject PacifiCorp-RN current rate change request.

Thank you,



Mike Telford

Chief Design Officer, NABCEP

Intermountain W ind & Solar

p: 801-298-5255 m: 801-710-7202

a: 1953 W 2425 S, Woods Cross, UT

w: imwindandsolar.com e: mike@imwindandsolar.com









Please consider the environment before printing this email.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message, including attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. Unauthorized use, distribution, reproduction, or disclosure of it is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please permanently delete this message, including attachments, and please notify us immediately that you received it in error . Thank you, Intermountain Wind and Solar .



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Russ Jenson <russjensonslc@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Russ Jenson <russjensonslc@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:53 PM

I want to log my personal opposition to to PacificCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

I have Solar on my house and want Solar adoption to grow

I would like the Commission to Suspend the tariff from taking effect on December 9th.

Rocky Mountain should follow the normal rate-making process.

Thank you for reading this.

Russ Jenson 2667 Capricorn Way Holladay, UT 84124



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Greg Johnson <greg.marion@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Greg Johnson <greg.marion@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:53 PM

- Address your comment email to: psc@utah.gov
- Subject line: Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment
- **Greeting:** Dear Commissioners,
- Paragraph #1: State your opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.
- Paragraph #2 (optional): Summarize the key reasons why you feel RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. Consider expressing how such changes would personally impact you. Also, feel free to include that you have read articles and studies from other regions where they have found rooftop solar to have value to the power system and grid.
- Last paragraph: Thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. Respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Dear Commissioners:

I am a rooftop solar owner. We will die before we recoup the cost of our solar panels even with the incentives and rates currently available. We bought solar panels because we want our neighbors, children and grandchildren to breathe clean air. I am opposed to PacifiCorp RMP Advice no. 16-13 filed Nov 9 2016. I urge you to use the normal rate making process for your proposed changes.

I am so discouraged that I even have to write this email urging you to do this.. Utah Power and Light is putting profit before the health of Utah citizens. Solar panels produce clean efficient energy. Do not agree to a rate change that discourages rooftop solar. Be wise. Be brave. I thank you for reading my comments.

Sincerely,

Marion Johnson 343 E Edith Ave. SLC Utah 84111



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brandon Hale

 To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:53 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I write to voice my opposition to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power,"Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brandon Hale, brandonhale6@gmail.com, American Fork, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Loralee Kurzius <ljkurzius@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:54 PM

Commissioners,

I highly oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov . 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers!

Please, we beg you, the Public Service Commission, to suspend this absurd tariff and the December 9th deadline that Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Any changes should be required to go through the normal rate-making process.

We have seen first hand, how the power company in Nevada has devastated the solar industry there. Family members and friends have been left footing a huge bill for panels that don't pay of f. It is simply criminal to do this in a state where the sun is so abundant and air conditioning bills are easily \$600 a month. Utah is more progressive than that! We can and should openly embrace the chance to utilize the abundant resource that the sun is in our state.

After much study and research, we invested in solar panels. Our family is trying to live green, and shrink the footprint we leave behind for following generations. We power our home and two cars purely from the sun and still feed excess electricity back into the grid that Rocky Mountain can then sell to others for a profit. We should not be punished for making the effort to be environmentally conscious. We need to be encouraging all to take advantage of the clean and efficient energy that falls from the sky like manna from heaven. I understand that Rocky Mountain Power is running scared as more and more households recognize the many benefits and turn

to solar, but they, like every other industry, must embrace the future rather than fight it and make positive changes.

Thank you for accepting public in-put, but please, extend the deadline. Again, we beg you, for the sake of our great state, to reject Pacific-Corp-RMP's current rate change request in all it's absurdity.

Loralee Kurzius 1867 N. 3675 W. West Point, UT 84015

ljk@gmail.com

801-721-1094

Sent from my iPad



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Angel Rogers <angelfoodcake2@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:54 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Angel Rogers

angefoodcake2@gmail.com



Fwd: Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Bryan Godlewski
bgottagoski@gmail.com>
To: Psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:42 PM

Please do not allow Rocky Mountain Power's proposed rate hike on solar to go through. We are starting to gain some momentum against climate change. We need to foster this and do what's right for our planet and economy Keep the solar companies in business. We don't want to lose these jobs like Nevada did.

In addition, the families that already did solar should not have the rug pulled out from under them.

Thank you,

Bryan Godlewski



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Thomas Hayes <tj.hayes789@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:41 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am 100% opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP "Advice-No. 16-13, filed Nov, 9, 2016 that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I would also urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect on December 9th as Rocky mountain has proposed and require Rocky Mountain Power to use the NORMAL rate-making process for it's proposed changes.

I feel that this move is a robbery of the free market for Utahans regarding their choice of power for their home. This is a massively unreasonable increase in charges for solar customers and would greatly restrict if not kill free trade in Utah for power and would limit access to clean and efficient energy. I am in a phase of life where my family and I are looking for a home to purchase, on which I have planned to install solar and many of my friends are looking forward to doing the same. If this increase is passed, then my right to choose how I want to power my home will essentially be robbed as it will kill solar growth in Utah. I am the type of person that wants to be as independent as possible and owning my own solar system would enable me to take steps toward my own self sufficiency. Passing this rate change would essentially rob me of that choice. Please don't take away my ability to own clean, economical, and environmentally friendly power by approving this robbery of a rate change.

I thank the Commissioners in advance for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interest of the ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Thomas J Hayes Bountiful, Ut tj.hayes789@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Porter Rosenkrantz <porter.rosenkrantz@vivintsolarcom>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:42 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Porter Rosenkrantz

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jesse Olsen <jesseolsen801@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:44 PM

To whom it may regard,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jesse Olsen



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jordan Penrod <jordan.penrod@vivintsolarcom>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:44 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Cyrus Penrod Cyry700@gmail.com Lindon, UT

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



(no subject)

1 message

Naelon Achermann <naelonachermann0@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:44 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power , and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Naelon Achermann



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cody Oram <codyeoram@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:45 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Cody Oram - Highland, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ellie Pruden <ellienkate@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:45 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ellie Pruden, ellienkate@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Rocky Mountain Power

1 message

Adam Rossander <a_rossander@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:46 PM



Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain PowerAdvice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I we the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariffand prevent it from taking fect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solal am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powerd reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely

Adam Rossandera rossander@hotmail.com

vivint. solar

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Bryan Clark

bclark.kent06@gmail.com
To:psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:46 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Bryan Clark



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment - Ben Smith

1 message

Benjamin Smith <benpsmth@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:47 PM

Dear Concerned State Government.

Learning of PacifiCorp-Rocky mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13 has left me opposed to the Advice and very concerned of our state's future and the influence it has on the rest of the nation.

I understand that this proposal raises the rates of solar energy residences. This is **unreasonable** in that citizens shouldn't pay more to a fossil fuel company for using clean energy, **unsubstantiated** in that Rocky Mountain's reasoning for increasing rates is anti-competitive and monopolistic, and **unfathomable** to participate in when the effects of such a proposal on the solar industry are vividly illustrated next door in Nevada; there is next to no solar interest in Nevada.

Having said that, I would ask that you suspend the tariff to prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking effect on December 9th. They need to stick to their normal rates. W e, as a people, as a state concerned with air quality, must not let regulations suppress the use of clean-energy alternatives.

Please consider what has happened in Nevada. Consider the perception you give to your state's residents and the nation when you pass laws that hinder air quality and a free market. It is simply un-American. We cannot support an industry that is mature and declining when the alternative sources are becoming. Especially in a state that has a myriad of solar companies, it is better for the economy, jobs, and the environment.

Sincerely,

Ben Smith, benpsmth@gmail.com, Lehi, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jennifer Bakker <jbxanthos@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:47 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Jennifer Xanthos jbxanthos@gmail.com Alpine, UT

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Benjamin Reid <testpilot93@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:48 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Reid, testpilot93@hotmail.com, Pleasant Grove, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Keith Wilson <keithlwilson@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:48 PM

Dear Public Service Commission:

First, I want to just let you know, I realize you are in a difficult position. Thank you for taking the time to read my email. It's important to me, as someone who believes in green energy options.

I want to be clear, I feel it's unfair to allow Rocky Mountain Power to abuse their power by forcing unfair fees for installing roofstop solar.

I officially oppose Pacificorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

Please suspend the tariff and prevent Rocky Mountain's Power's proposal from taking place December 9th.

Please have them use the normal price. It makes no sense.

I believe you should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the cost of rooftop solar. You are punishing people for wanting to go green. These people want to save the environment. For me, I personally have asthma, and ANY efort to promote green energy, use less fossil fuels helps me breath better. It's a medical issue for me. By trying to charge unfair dollar amounts, you are increasing fossil fuel usage, and you increase pollution.

I have signed afidavits regarding previous criminal cases regarding illegal pollution, and I feel like this would be on the similar line as illegally polluting, if you don't allow solar on roofs.

Thanks again for taking the time to read this.

Keith Wilson 33 S 475 W Spanish Fork, Utah 84660



Oppose Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Jeffery Kile <jkile02@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:48 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jeff Kile, jkile02@gmail.com, SLC, UT



FW: Solar 1 message

Bull, Douglas A [US] (TS) <Douglas.Bull@ngc.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:46 PM

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

I am opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. This is anti-solar and anti-competition.

Douglas Bull 801-451-7370 Cell (801) 549-7912

CONFIDENTIALITY SATEMENTThis electronic message contains information that may be confidential or pr ivileged. The information is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) named above. Any disclosure, copying, or distribution of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify me immediately by telephone at (801) 525-3994 or by e-mail reply and delete this message.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brady James Reese bradyj.reese@gmail.com
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:49 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brady James Reese, bradyj.reese@gmail.com, Alpine, UT



Solar

1 message

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:51 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am adamantly opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes. It seems unprofessional and unethical that Rocky Mountain Power has left the least amount of time for public comment or for anyone but RMP to be able to provide research or analysis for consideration. I also don't like that they have chosen the week of the Thanksgiving holiday for the deadline for public comment to discourage participation. This deadline is unreasonable and should be extended.

I feel that Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & £cient energy Not only do I have family members who have roof top solarmy close friend is the founder of Intermountain Wind and Solar. He's grown this business for the last 8 years and it's shame to allow a monopoly to come in and bulldoze all of their hard work and aspirations. Rooftop solar adds value to the power system and grid. This rate increase would impose a 3-tiered rate system on solar customers only that would double or triple the payback period for a solar investment. This rate increase is the moshtisolar and anti-business rate proposal to date across the nation. If accepted, it very well could destroy the solar industry overnight therefore eliminating thousands of jobs and would resulting in us no longer having a livelihood. Destroying the solar industry seems like a disregard for the quality of ou air and other environmental concerns. I feel that this is also a loss of a freedom to choose how I power my home. I'm astounded by this proposal and view it as a drastic overreach by a government regulated monopoly

Rocky Mountain Power's rate increases will &ctively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significantly harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry and eliminate consumer choice in energy production.

I would like to sincerely thank you for accepting public input. I do hope you will extend the deadline for public comment. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Thank you,

Brandon Federico



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

agfanfam@netzero.net <agfanfam@netzero.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:50 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am writing to voice my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMPs "Advice No. 16-13" filed 9 Nov 2016, which would allow Rocky Mountain Power to change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Rocky Mountain Power should have to follow the normal rate making process, rather than try to circumvent the process by using a holiday weekend for public input. I urge you to suspend this action until the normal process can be applied.

As a recent solar customer, I am outraged by Rocky Mountain Power's attempt to discourage the use of natural, renewable, clean energy in an attempt to solely protect their bottom line. Solar power is beneficial to the environment and the community, and I have several friends and neighbors who are interested in solar power as well. Allowing this proposal to be approved will cripple, if not totally destroy, the solar power industry. Additionally, this proposal will now punish people like me who have decided to invest in solar power by unfairly doubling or tripling the time needed to pay of our investment. Imagine the outrage if the housing community suddenly decided to double or triple the interest rate on mortgage loans just so that industry could protect their investment. The government would not allow such a thing to happen.

Rather than trying to find ways to discourage solar power initiatives, Rocky Mountain Power should be encouraged and incentivized to invest in solar power and pass those incentives on to the consumers. Imagine the benefits if more people were encouraged to lease their roof space to install solar panels and generate clean, renewable energy. The costs for Rocky Mountain Power to generate power using inefficient hydroelectric dams, wind mills, and fossil fuel generators would be significantly reduced and would also improve the environment. It would be a true win-win for both the corporation and the customer.

Thank you for your time and consideration for public input into this issue. I respectfully request that, at a minimum, the deadline for considering this very important issue be extended so that proper studies can be conducted.

Respectfully,

Kirk Douglass 963 West 7900 South Willard, UT 84340 Cell:801-920-1402

Work: 801-586-9330

Home email: agfanfam@netzero.net Work email: kirk.douglass@us.af.mil



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mike <mike@imwindandsolar.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:52 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am adamantly opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed N@y2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particularinge the Public Service Commission to suspend the taxifd not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes. It seems unprofessional and unethical that Rocky Mountain Power has the least amount of time for public comment or for anyone but RMP to be able to provide research or analysis fo consideration. I also don't like that they have chosen the week of the Thanksgiving holiday for the deadline for p comment to discourage participation. This deadline is unreasonable and should be extended.

I feel that Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & effect energy. This change will personally impact me because not only do I have solar on my roof, but my husband is the founder of Intermountaid & Solar He's grown this business for the last 8 years and it's shame to allow a monopoly to come in and bulldoze all of our har work and aspirations. Rooftop solar adds value to the power system and grid. This rate increase would impose a tiered rate system on solar customers only that would double or triple the payback period for a solar investment. This rate increase is the mostnti-solar and anti-business rate proposal to date across the nation. If accepted, it very well could destroy the solar industry overnight. This would resulting in us no longer having a livelihood. Destroying the solar industry seems like a disregard for the quality of our air and other environmental concerns. that this is also a loss of a freedom to choose how I power my home. I'm astounded by this proposal and view it a drastic overreach by a government regulated monopoly

Rocky Mountain Power's rate increases will feetively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significant harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry and eliminate consumer choice investments in rooftop solar and significant harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry and eliminate consumer choice investments.

I would like to sincerely thank you for accepting public input. I do hope you will extend the deadline for public comment. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, reject PacifiCorp-RN current rate change request.

Thank you,



Mike Telford

Chief Design Officer, NABCEP

Intermountain W ind & Solar

p: 801-298-5255 m: 801-710-7202

a: 1953 W 2425 S, Woods Cross, UT

w: imwindandsolar.com e: mike@imwindandsolar.com









Please consider the environment before printing this email.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message, including attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. Unauthorized use, distribution, reproduction, or disclosure of it is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please permanently delete this message, including attachments, and please notify us immediately that you received it in error . Thank you, Intermountain Wind and Solar .



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Russ Jenson <russjensonslc@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Russ Jenson <russjensonslc@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:53 PM

I want to log my personal opposition to to PacificCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

I have Solar on my house and want Solar adoption to grow

I would like the Commission to Suspend the tariff from taking effect on December 9th.

Rocky Mountain should follow the normal rate-making process.

Thank you for reading this.

Russ Jenson 2667 Capricorn Way Holladay, UT 84124



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Greg Johnson <greg.marion@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Greg Johnson <greg.marion@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:53 PM

- Address your comment email to: psc@utah.gov
- Subject line: Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment
- **Greeting:** Dear Commissioners,
- Paragraph #1: State your opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.
- Paragraph #2 (optional): Summarize the key reasons why you feel RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. Consider expressing how such changes would personally impact you. Also, feel free to include that you have read articles and studies from other regions where they have found rooftop solar to have value to the power system and grid.
- Last paragraph: Thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. Respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Dear Commissioners:

I am a rooftop solar owner. We will die before we recoup the cost of our solar panels even with the incentives and rates currently available. We bought solar panels because we want our neighbors, children and grandchildren to breathe clean air. I am opposed to PacifiCorp RMP Advice no. 16-13 filed Nov 9 2016. I urge you to use the normal rate making process for your proposed changes.

I am so discouraged that I even have to write this email urging you to do this.. Utah Power and Light is putting profit before the health of Utah citizens. Solar panels produce clean efficient energy. Do not agree to a rate change that discourages rooftop solar. Be wise. Be brave. I thank you for reading my comments.

Sincerely,

Marion Johnson 343 E Edith Ave. SLC Utah 84111



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brandon Hale

 To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:53 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I write to voice my opposition to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power,"Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brandon Hale, brandonhale6@gmail.com, American Fork, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Loralee Kurzius <ljkurzius@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:54 PM

Commissioners,

I highly oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov . 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers!

Please, we beg you, the Public Service Commission, to suspend this absurd tariff and the December 9th deadline that Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Any changes should be required to go through the normal rate-making process.

We have seen first hand, how the power company in Nevada has devastated the solar industry there. Family members and friends have been left footing a huge bill for panels that don't pay of f. It is simply criminal to do this in a state where the sun is so abundant and air conditioning bills are easily \$600 a month. Utah is more progressive than that! We can and should openly embrace the chance to utilize the abundant resource that the sun is in our state.

After much study and research, we invested in solar panels. Our family is trying to live green, and shrink the footprint we leave behind for following generations. We power our home and two cars purely from the sun and still feed excess electricity back into the grid that Rocky Mountain can then sell to others for a profit. We should not be punished for making the effort to be environmentally conscious. We need to be encouraging all to take advantage of the clean and efficient energy that falls from the sky like manna from heaven. I understand that Rocky Mountain Power is running scared as more and more households recognize the many benefits and turn

to solar, but they, like every other industry, must embrace the future rather than fight it and make positive changes.

Thank you for accepting public in-put, but please, extend the deadline. Again, we beg you, for the sake of our great state, to reject Pacific-Corp-RMP's current rate change request in all it's absurdity.

Loralee Kurzius 1867 N. 3675 W. West Point, UT 84015

ljk@gmail.com

801-721-1094

Sent from my iPad



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Angel Rogers <angelfoodcake2@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:54 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Angel Rogers

angefoodcake2@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Dan Schilaty <imdanite@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:54 PM

Dear Cpmmissioners,

We are strongly opposed to PacificCorp-RMP's Advice No. 16-13, filed November 9, 2016 that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. We urge the PSC to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as RMP has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for any proposed changes. This is the most regressive proposal I have heard of in years, and by a government regulated monopolyno less.

Solar users are simply trying to do their part to protect the environment. Our valley has poor air quality as it is, and allowing RMP to proceed does not help this situation. Individuals should have the freedom to choose how to power their homes, and this freedom should not be taken away by an overreach by a government regulated monopoly. This seems so inconsistent with what our federal government is trying to do in protecting our environment. From my reading, there are many advantages to the grid added by the solar users that need to be given serious consideration.

I am also very concerned with the impact this will have on the solar industry in Utah.

- There are numerous solar companies operating in Utah that would be negatively impacted
- Many jobs would be lost
- One of the basic opportunities that the average citizen has to positively impact the environment would be taken away.

RMP's filing should not be allowed without the benefit of a full cost-benefit rate study for solar power is completed in an open, transparent manner. To "rush" this proposal through the process with a full disclosure should not be allowed.

We believe in renewable energy and should be cultivating and encouraging the use of more solar powerand should not allow RMP's proposal that discourages its use.

I am also wary of the supposed "grand fathering" arrangement proposed.

We express our thanks to the Commissioners for accepting public input, and request that the deadline for public comment be extended. We respectively request that the PSC, in the interest of ratepayers, the Utah public and future generations, reject RMP's current rate change request.

Thank you,

Daniel J. and Jonni Sue Schilaty 1747 Sunrise Park Drive Sandy, UT 84093



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Michelle Stone <michelle.stone@evelar.com>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:54 PM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

My name is Michelle Stone and I am a Sales Director for Evelar Solar here in Utah. We are a rapidly growing company. I work on hiring new people every week. Some are part time and many are full time. Many families depend on our company and the solar industry to provide income for them. Rocky Mountain Power's proposed rate hike would seriously impact the income for me and my employees. In addition, many Utah families will choose to not go solar which has a negative impact on our environment. We are asking for this rate hike to not be approved. Please consider the cost of this rate hike to the thousands of solar industry workers across this state and the environmental cost when making this decision.

Sincerely,

Michelle Stone Evelar Solar Sales Director 801-473-4073





Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Shasta Mitchell <shastanikita@gmail.com>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:56 PM

I don't believe we should be penalized by paying more money if we use solar energy. I believe in clean energy which is better for all. I don't think we should be penalized by not using coal. We should be allowed other options for energy use. We need to invest in solar to move to a clean energy economy in order to fight climate change and save our winters!

-Shasta Mitchell



Opposition to Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13"

1 message

Mandi W est <mandiwest@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:57 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Mandi West



Rocky Mountain Power's PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13",

1 message

David Howell <david.howell@uvm.edu>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:56 PM

I am strongly opposed to the proposal by Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to change the rate structure for solar power.

For some time I contributed a separate payment for RMP to support the use of solar. They were buying solar at extra cost, and I was helping to pay for it. I did that because I think that solar power is very important for our future. This summer we installed power on our roof, presumably at the encouragement of RMP and their literature. Now they want to kill the solar industry through a very significant rate hike to people like us who have worked to advance the use of solar power. That is blatantly unfair. They say that they would grandfather existing solar users, but that they reserve the right to change that agreement. That is unfair to existing users, and it will kill the future of solar for new installers. That is clearly a very disturbing state of affairs.

In Nevada a similar change was introduced. It had a huge efect on the installation of new systems, and put thousands of people out of work, along with major solar companies. This is certainly not what we want for Utah.

I urge you to reject this proposal in its entirety. It will take the country backward in its energy production and harm those people who have done what the country has been en encouraging them to do. And all of this for the profits of RMP and PacificCorp.

Please don't go along with this very bad proposal. (And have you noticed that they submitted this the day after election day, hoping few people would notice. Is that the way they should be doing business?)

David C. Howell

Ivins. Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

lgsteinkopf@comcast.net <lgsteinkopf@comcast.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:57 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Greg Steinkopf, Igsteinkopf@comcast.net, Spanish Fork, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Hunter Miller <huntermiller455@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:58 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Hunter Miller



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Manfred Kurzius <makurzius@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:59 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

What RMP wants to do is unacceptable, un-American, and bad for Utah in general. If approved, RMP's rate increases will effectively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significantly harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry and eliminate consumer choice in energy production. It will restrict free trade, limit access to clean and efficient energy, and undo any forward momentum we already had at cleaning up our air/planet. Surely my excess power I generate and provide to my neighbors is of value to the power system and company.

Thank you for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Sincerely,

Manfred Kurzius 1867 N 3675 W West Point, UT 84015

makurzius@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Steven Lloyd <dwarfmoss@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 5:59 PM

To whom it may concern:

I am opposed to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. RMP's proposed rate structure would be an unfair for those owning solar.

Solar and solar technology is important to humanity's future for sustained power (and preserving our planet). This rate change, that is specifically aimed at solar owners, will turn many away from getting solar which will, in turn, retard developments and progress in solar technology which will only improve what RMP can offer it's customers.

I believe that RMP should be doing what it can to encourage the development of solar and solar technology, as moving in that direction could seriously help the stability of the power grid and help defray the costs of power to the consumer and to the utilities. They should even go as far as offering solar as an option themselves. Good will come from developing and researching technology.

Please suspend the tariff and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking efect on December 9th.

Thank you for being willing to listen to the public on this matter.

Sincerely, Steven Lloyd

688 N 970 W Provo, UT 84601 801-205-3002



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jessica Blair <jessaroomarie@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:00 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jessica Blair, jessaroomarie@gmail.com, Lehi, UT

Sent with Mixmax



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Terry Xanthos <terryxanthos@mac.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:03 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Terry Xanthos terryxanthos@mac.com Alpine, UT



docket#16-035 t14 public comment

1 message

Daniel Hahn <daniel.hahn86@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:03 PM

I am opposed to Rocky Mountain Power's (RMP) advice No. 16-13. This proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar. Please suspend this tariff and stop RMP's proposal from taking effect on 9 Dec 2016.

RMP should use the normal rate-making process. What RMP does not take into consideration is the greater environmental impact solar can have on air pollution here in Utah especially when the inversion is severe. Their only consideration is their pocketbook and maintaining a monopoly. Similar and less severe anti-competitive measures were taken in Nevada and it effectively shut down roof top solar.

The members of this commission need to consider all the factors, not just monetary interests.

Additionally, although not on the official public record, the 15,000 people who petitioned against RMP ought to be taken into consideration. Not doing so would be an irresponsible oversight.

If this is not given fair consideration, any elected official involved will not get my vote in the future. I do not approve of back door deals forced through without sufficient time for public response. To me, this whole situation reeks of nepotism. I truly hope this is not the case.

Thank you for taking my public comment into consideration.

Daniel Hahn 1275 South 960 East Provo, UT 84606. 801-874-9372



Saving solar in Utah

1 message

Cindy Bettridge <cindy.bettridge@ironmail.org>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:06 PM

I am sending this email to have my voice heard. I am stating my opposition to PacififCorp-RMP's "Advice N. 16-13", filed November 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

Please do not let this happen. This affects many jobs for Utah families and also increases the cost for the people who have decided to help out the environment and the savings that go along with it.

I am a single mother of 3 and I can not afford an increase of my power.

Also In the local Cedar City paper it had an advertisement of Rocky Mountain Power in favor of solar! What the heck????

Please take a stand a do not let this pass.

Sincerely,

Cindy Bettridge

2 attachments



IMG_4717.JPG 2670K



IMG_4718.JPG 2662K



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jen <jen.zachreson@vivintsolarcom>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:05 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jen Zachreson
Corporate Recruiter/ East Coast Operations
Jen.zachreson@vivintsolar.com
M: 801-499-0799Text
Simply Brighter • www.vivintsolar.com



https://www.vivintsolar.com/careers

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Andrew Edtl <andrew.edtl@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:06 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff, not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) has proposed, and require Rocky Mountain Power to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

As a Veteran, I've had the opportunity to defend freedom for this great country of ours. Howeverthe proposal from RMP goes is a direct assault against free trade, and people's access to clean and efficient energy. There are many reasons people choose solar energy: to reduce pollution, help save the environment, to make an investment, or to continue their journey of disaster preparedness. Each of the reasons has one thing in common though, it's the individual's choice, and that's what is at stake here, our ability to choose. By unreasonably raising rates, RMP is waging an attack against competition, and the free market system. For years, RMP has enjoyed a monopoly on our choice of power. As technology has improved, they've seen a threat to their complete control over a utility that is essential to our way of life. RMP's rate increases will not only be a significant impact to choice and new solar installations, but will stunt industry growth for years to come. Studies in other regions where similar measures have been enacted were devastating to the solar industry, and to individual choice.

Thank you so much for accepting public input, and for all that you do. I would ask that you extend the deadline for public comment, as so many of our great citizens here are preparing for dinner, pie, and thanksgiving, as we give thanks to the many freedoms we have, as well as our free market system.

I would respectfully request, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, that you reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Sincerely,

Andrew Edtl
Sandy, UT
andrew.edtl@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Daniel Beck <danb@becktek.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:06 PM

Dear Commissioners.

As a commercial solar customer that has made a significant capital investment of installing a large solar array on my warehouse I am in strong opposition to PacifiCorp - Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. I urge you to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect. Furthermore, I urge you to require RMP to use the normal rate-making process for the proposed changes.

While I understand the underlying reason RMP is requesting these changes, the way they are proposing the changes, the timing for public comment and the obvious intention to subvert due process is underhanded and shouldn't be tolerated by the commission. The proposed changes severely restrict free-trade and will limit access to clean and renewable energy. I made my decision to install solar based on a scheduled period of payback on my investment and this proposed rate change will more than double the time I estimate the system will pay for itself in savings.

While I understand that solar customers such as myself are not paying a rate that is in-line with the costs of maintaining the grid they are tied into, there are much better and more efficient ways of finding that equilibrium. Also, I have researched and read a number of articles and arguments that demonstrate the value of having the grid being supported by small scale solar systems that can of fset higher demand during peak periods. RMP is presenting only one side of the argument and isn't taking into consideration the value of solar customers and their effect on reducing demand during peak periods (hot summer days). I feel solar customers like myself are creating more value than RMP will acknowledge and this is simply an underhanded attempt to increase profits. The proposed changes aren't as centered on overhead and maintenance as they are trying to fool the commission into believing.

I am grateful for your willingness to accept public comment although I feel the time allotted for comment is too short and during a very inconvenient time for rate payers. I respectfully ask that the commission will consider the interest of rate payers and the Utah public and reject the proposed changes.

I am open for further discussion and can be contacted at:

Daniel Beck 8427 S Old Bingham Hwy West Jordan, UT 84088

Ph: 385-351-5383 Cell: 801-671-5814

email: danb@becktek.com

Daniel Beck

---- BECK TEK ----Office: 385-351-5383
Fax: 385-351-5175



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kevin Larsen kevin.larsen@springwaterc.com
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:06 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I would urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I feel RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. I plan on building a new home this next year and with the change in rate structure this would make the cost of solar panels prohibitive. I believe many others feel the same way as I do. Therefore our environment will continue to become more polluted without using solar power I also believe it would have a significant negative impact on Utah jobs and tax dollars from that income. In other regions they have found rooftop solar to have value to the power system and grid.as well.

Thank you for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended as not many peopl0are aware of the potential changes yet.

I Respectfully request in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Regards,

Kevin Larsen Syracuse, Ut.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

John Knudsen <johnglenknudsen@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:08 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

John Knudsen



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Elizabeth van Biljon <lyzz.vb@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" c@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:08 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed November 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, suspend the tariff and don't let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

They shouldn't be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. I've read articles and studies from other regions where they have found rooftop solar to have value to the power system and grid.

Thanks for accepting public input though I feel the deadline should be extended. Please, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Elizabeth van Biljon Orem, UT lyzz.vb@hotmail.com

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Taylor Carroll <aylor-carroll@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:09 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Taylor Steele Carroll



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Scott C <scott.corpe@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:10 PM

Dear Commissioners.

• I am opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov . 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. The timing of this proposal, and extremely short notice is more than unreasonable in that it allows insufficient time for ordinary public comment, and the fact that this response time is further reduced due to the Thanksgiving Holiday . I urge you to suspend this tariff, and not allow it to take effect on December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) has proposed. Rather , they should be expected, and required, to use the normal rate-making process for this, and any other , proposed changes.

The primary reasons I chose to have a rooftop solar system installed was to reduce my monthly utility bill, reduce demand on RMP's grid, and to posture myself for a better retirement which will coincide with paying off this system. The side benefits are also that I feel I am doing more towards trying to alleviate the effects of our air pollution problem in this area by producing carbon-free energy to my home, as well as taking some measure of my own choosing in promoting free trade by having the system installed by an independent company. Finally, this system was proposed to, and I fully expected that it would, increase the value of my home. Now, if RMP is allowed to enact their proposed tariff, these benefits may be in jeopardy , and in fact, I am concerned that this system could actually be a detractor on my property's value if this development is allowed to take place.

One final thought on my contribution to RMP's power grid, is that during heavy demand, such as in the summer, when demand is at it's highest, my system is not placing additional load on the grid, but rather reducing it. It would seem reasonable to assume that this would aid RMP in meeting the demands of their customers, not detract from it. There are several articles that provide information showing that solar is actually good for the grid, environment, and economy . One such article can be found at:

https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/health-science/ utilities-sensing-threat-put-squeeze-on-booming-solar-roof-industry/2015/03/07/2d916f88c1c9-11e4-ad5c-3b8ce89f1b89_story.html

I would like to thank you for the time to read my comments, and accepting public input to this topic. I do feel strongly, however, that the time to accept our input should be extended, as I stated previously , the timing and short deadline certainly make this proposal look suspicious, and RMP should not be allowed to benefit from this tactic. Therefore, I respectfully request that you, the Public Service Commission, reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current change request.

Thank You,

Scott Corpe Layton, Utah

email: scott.corpe@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Mitchell Drew <mitchell.e.drew@gmail.com>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:10 PM

Dear Utah Public Service Commission,

As a resident of the Rocky Mountains, I find it immensely important to make my voice heard to you on the crucial issue of solar power. Encouraging the increased use of solar energy is vital to preserving our nature, our winters, and our planet. This is vital to any part of the country and the world, but it is especially relevant in Utah and the Rockies, which have some of the most beautiful environments in all of planet Earth. This is why I cannot be silent and must implore you to say NO to Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to hike the rates on net-metering for solar customers. We should be encouraging more people to use solar power, not less. Please consider your environment, your children, and the future of manking when making this decision. Thank you for reading, and have a wonderful day

Mitchell Drew



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tina Duerden <tinaduerden@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:10 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I completely oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Please suspend the tariff and do not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed.

RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. This change would personally impact me and many people that I know. Also, I have read articles and studies from other regions where they have found rooftop solar to have value to the power system and grid.

Thank you for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I strongly request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Tina Duerden 47 E Camden Way Farmington, Utah Tinaduerden@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

kim bartel <bookcliffs1@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: kim bartel <bookcliffs1@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:11 PM

Dear Commissioners,

Re: #16-035-T14

In short, Roof top solar energy should remain a viable, economic option to businesses and residences in Utah. The PSC should consider the long term costs and associated air quality for our long term future health.

Thank you,

RMP Customer Kim Bartel 3678 S 1500 W Vernal, UT 84078 435-789-6521



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Noral Ghoslin <nghoslin@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:15 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I believe in the future of solar and am opposed to unreasonable fees that will make the manufacture and installation much less feasible. Thank you for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Sincerely, Noral Ghoslin 593 S 2280 W Provo, Utah 84601 nghoslin@gmail.com



Docket#16-035-T14

1 message

joesmolarski@yahoo.com <joesmolarski@yahoo.com>
To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:15 PM

Dear PSC,

Please consider to not allow Rocky Mountain Power to hike rates on net-metering of solar customers. It makes no sense to disallow our citizens to be more energy independent and fight our poor air quality. Please don't let RMP disenfranchise we, the people, who live and vote in this great state.

Thank you, Joe Smolarski 734-417-9934

Sent from my iPad



docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

wrffc@comcast.net <wrffc@comcast.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:16 PM

Last year a representative of Rocky Mountain Power stopped by my house and also at the homes of several of my neighbors and asked us to have our air conditioners modified with "Cool Keeper". Our Cool Keeper at our previous home had malfunctioned two years in a row and the contractors seemed to struggle with the repairs. So we had it disconnected. I wanted to help out on hot sunny days but did not want to put up with the problems I had previously encountered. So I asked about other options and was told there are none. Then I thought about solar panels. Solar just makes sense to not only reduce my demand on the grid but helps supply my neighbors.

I studied systems and options. Asked for bids and advice and had panels installed on my roof. What a wonderful and low impact way to reduce stress on the grid. So I am surprised when I see Rocky Mountain Power discouraging residential solarBut as I read online it appears that it is nearly exactly the same attack with the same phrases and strange "facts" as in other states.

I encourage the careful study and consideration of the actual costs and benefits that are related to solar and not rush into decisions that may not take into consideration all factors. Please contact me if I can be of any assistance with this matter Thanks.

Marty Peterson- 755 Independence Way, North Salt Lake Utah. 84054.801 936-4817



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cyrus Penrod <cyry700@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:18 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Cyrus Penrod Cyry700@gmail.com Lindon UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Lee Robinson <Lee_Robinson@byu.edu>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:18 PM

Dear Commissioners,

It has come to my attention that there is a proposal from PacificCorp-RMP (Advice No. 16-13) filed November 9, 2016 to change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I am writing to express my objections to these rate changes. I already have solar panels on my roof so as I under stand it I would be exempt from these changes but I a) do not feel secure in my exempt status, if RMP can up the rates for future business then they can change my rates too, at a later time, using this current change as a precedent and b) Rocky Mountain Power currently has a monopoly on energy in the state of Utah. I have no choice but to buy power from them. I made the choice to install solar panels and now I am being punished for generating clean energy and creating jobs for those who work in the solar industry.

Please suspend the tariff, do not let it take effect December 9, 2016. This rate change will have a huge negative impact on private citizens such as myself. Rocky Mountain Power should have to go through the normal rate changing process for solar customers.

Clean energy is a very important issue that should not be political. Installation of solar panels on private homes should be encouraged with tax incentives. The price of solar panels has gone down over the years, making it more affordable for private home owners to install solar. This is a good thing. We should be harvesting power from the sun. The sun is a sustainable power source. Business growth in the solar industry is good for the people of Utah. Private installs of solar panels creates jobs, which in turn helps the economy in Utah. When I decided to install solar panels on my home I saw it as a win win win scenario. I win because I lower my power bill, Utah wins because of the positive impact on the economy and future generations win because we tap into a sustainable energy source that creates clean energy. We all share this planet and we need to figure out how to enjoy energy production with less impact on the earth. Eventually fossil fuels will run out. Let's focus on energy that will be sustainable for our children and our children's children.

Thank you for asking for public input. The deadline for public comment should be extended. Today is the deadline and it is the week of Thanksgiving. Many people who normally would comment are already celebrating the holiday with their families. Please extend the public comment deadline. Please, I urge you to reject PacificCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's rate change request. A rate change of this magnitude will stunt the growth of this industry and add to the notion that Rocky Mountain Power is a monopoly.

Professor Lee Robinson, MS, CCC-SLP

Speech and Language Clinic Director

Department of Communication Disorders

Brigham Young University

Cell: 801-376-3804

lee_robinson@byu.edu

Address: 1035 West 1600 North

Orem, Utah 84057



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Colter Leys <colterleys@gmail.com> To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:21 PM

To whom it may concern:

Please oppose Rocky Mountain Power's latest fee hike proposal on rooftop solar arrays. These local, distributed, efficient generators of power are the future in our state. We are already battling health and climate problems galore in Utah thanks to our current dependence on fossil fuels.

Please vote to keep rooftop solar viable and energetic.

Thanks! Colter Leys



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Charles Eckhardt <charleseckh@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:24 PM

Dear Commissioners.

Thank you for taking the time to read this from me ,, i am stating my oppposition to RMP changing thier rate structure for roof top solar customers ,i am of a very fixed income and can not pay what they want in power bills,,I got solar panels on my roof 1 year ago at that time it became very efortable for me to do this, i had called on a bill that i had recieved from RMP in january for 186.89 just for 30 days and when i called and asked them about this billing and spend 4 hours and talking with 7 people i was told just to pay the bill and stop complianing about it and that there was no other power company,,that is when i decided to get solar power,i have been told that RMP has the PSC in there back pocket and that they will determine what is the cost of power,,this rate hike is unfair to people that have no way of paying for such hikes,,!st they do not own the sun and 2nd they do not have a patent on the sun, 3rd they are also having every year a lottery for solar panels at a high price,,I have paid for my solar power and what i dont use goes to RMP in which they are getting free power from us with solar power,i have read arcticles and studies in other regions and these have all said the power company makes money from solar panel users,these panel are a benefite for RMP and there forth i should not be pentanlized for buying and having them on my rooftop,

Thank you for allowing me to contact you and appreciate the PSC helping and looking out for us citizen I know you have a hard job but hope you will be infavor of the people and not the RMP, again thank you for this time my name is Charles Eckhardt,, my address is 621 south 900 west here in Salt Lake City Utah my Email is charleseckh@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Matthew Owens <mattowens@byu.edu> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:24 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am opposed to the Rocky mountain proposal. Docket #16-035-T14. Please do not allow it to be fast tracked. Please have RMP utilize the regular rate increase channels thus allowing for proper, timely and complete review of the proposal. My rooftop solar array is slightly over sized. Although I have nighttime power demands, the result is that daily i make a non refundable donation of power to RMP. Most solar array, All arrays that i am aware of are considerably oversized. These systems are providing a significant subsidy of free power to RMP. In fact, the only day that they don't subsidize the power on the grid is that one hottest day of the year. And on that day the arrays are either only a slight demand or no demand at all! A tax would be an additional cost to people who are already subsidizing the utility company. In conclusion, let me reiterate my opposition to Docket #16-035-T14. It is not getting proper review and it is a bad

proposal. A public monopoly should not be allowed to make unwarranted rate hikes, especially without proper review.

Sincerely.

mattowens@byu.edu text/cell 8016872987

Matthew E. Owens 253 North 150 East Orem, Utah 84057 8012245708 matthewericowens@gmail.com



Pacificorps RMP's Advice No 16-13

1 message

Cesar Sandoval <cesars1974@icloud.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:24 PM

To Whom It May Concern

I am writing this in regards to PacificCorp RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" filed November 9, 2016 that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

In particular I urge you to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and ask that they continue using the normal rate making process for its proposed change.

I currently own rooftop solar panels and am hoping more people will invest in them to help slow climate change. This change in the rate structure could severely hurt the solar industry and prevent people from investing in something that can be both cost beneficial and environmentally friendly.

Thank you for your time,

Corrinne Sandoval Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Dave Bean <contact@davebean.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:25 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am writing to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I believe that RMP's proposed tariff not be approved and instead require that established procedures be used for any rate adjustment.

I believe that this proposed tariff would have negative consequences, not just for current and future solar power customers, but for Utah's general public and the progress of the energy industry in Utah and Utah's sphere of influence. The continued expansion of rooftop solar power helps to democratize Utah's energy needs, reduce our reliance on increasingly outdated, destructive, and overly-centralized power sources, and reduce cost by increasing competition in the energy market. Utah's energy infrastructure should work towards the public's general benefit, with RMP designated as compensated caretakers of this energy infrastructure—public good & future progress should not be sacrificed in order to protect RMP's profits and status quo. If there are changes that need to be made to better accommodate the af fects of increased solar power production on our current power infrastructure, these should be studied and implemented not by RMP, but by through the public service commission, working for the benefit of the people of Utah.

Thank you for the consideration and for accepting public input. I respectfully request that PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request be rejected.

Regards,

David Bean 370 E Kensington Ave Salt Lake City, UT 84115



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Erin Lee W ells <erinleewells@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:26 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am writing to recommend that you suspend PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" and the proposed rate increase.

I personally am a solar user and while I know the proposed rates will not affect me, I have concerns for potential future solar users.

In all honesty, I have not taken the time to truly study out PacifiCorp's proposal. The only information I received is from my solar company. I understand the information they provided me may be biased and one-sided. That being said, is it too much to ask to give everyone more time to understand the proposal?

If PacifiCorp truly is incurring more of a cost because of solar users, then it makes sense for them to be able to pass that cost on. However, if they are simply getting less revenue because solar users are buying less power, then it doesn't seem right for them to be able to increase fees against those users. I understand they are a business and need to make money, but the information I've seen portrays that they are unfairly targeting individuals who have taken steps to produce our own power

Thank you for taking the time to read my opinion. I work for a government entity and understand how frustrating and thankless of a job it can be. I also know that there is much about this issue I do not understand. I trust that you will make the best decision for all the stakeholders.

Best Regards, Erin L. Wells Eagle Mountain, UT erinleewells@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

B Wade <wadebret8@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:26 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process. I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powerand reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brett Wade - Highland Utah



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Lance Jeffery <paidegua@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:26 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a Solar Owner, I am adamantly opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes. It seems unprofessional and unethical that Rocky Mountain Power has left the least amount of time for public comment or for anyone but RMP to be able to provide research or analysis for consideration. I also don't like that they have chosen the week of the Thanksgiving holiday for the deadline for public comment to discourage participation. This deadline is unreasonable and should be extended.

I feel that Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & clean & clean to solar, my close friend is the founder of Intermountain Wind and Solar and has employed my Brother in Law and many of my friends. He's grown this business for the last 8 years and it's shame to allow a monopoly to come in and bulldoze all of their hard work and aspirations. Rooftop solar adds value to the power system and grid. The myth that RMP and others are trying to sell you on how bad roof top solar is infuriates me.

This change will not only increase rates, the change would impose a 3-tiered rate system on solar customers only that would double or triple the payback period for a solar investment. It is clearly a decistion by RMP Brass to save their profits in an already monopolistic industry he change is **anti-solar** and **anti-business** that has the potential to disrupt the industry on a national scale.

If accepted, it very well could destroy the solar industry overnight and eliminate thousands of jobs and wreck the livelihood of thousands of $\bar{a}x$ Paying Utahans. Destroying the solar industry seems like a disregard for the quality of our air and other environmental concerns. It is also a loss of freedom to choose how I power my home. I'm astounded by this proposal and view it as a drastic overreach by a government regulated monopoly

Rocky Mountain Power's rate increases will **£**ctively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significantly harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry and eliminate consumer choice in energy production.

I would like to sincerely thank you for accepting public input. I do hope you will extend the deadline for public comment. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Thank you,

Lance Jeffery http://www.linkedin.com/in/lancejeffery

"To experience fantastic things, we have to put ourselves in fantastic places."



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Corbin Earl <cowenearl@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:30 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Corbin Earl, Lehi, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ryan Dodge <boardwalkryan@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:31 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ryan Dodge



docket #16-035-T14

1 message

ROBERT SUZIE RADTKE<riverrockranch@msn.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:32 PM

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

I am writing to you about the request from Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) to increase fees paid by those of us who have net metering solar systems. I am against this increase and don't agree with RMP's reasoning. RMP touts that they have 3.3 million solar panels (not sure if that number includes the private solar panels in the system) yet they want to punish those who have private solar systems. They claim that if we have a solar array that we don't pay for the infrastructure keep of the grid in their service area. I disagree, Utah is growing and the overall demand for power is increasing and since those with solar systems help reduce the peak power demand on the system (similar to those conserving power using various methods) which reduces the need for a new power plant, how much would that cost? Also, those with solar systems continue to use electricity produced by RMP at night or on cloudy days, so RMP is still getting paid, just not as much, by all power users of the electric grid.

Solar power also is helping to reduce emissions from existing power plants as well as green house gasses, which they produce. So why punish those who are also helping the air quality of the overall area and reducing the carbon foot print. It seems that while RMP is touting their involvement with wind and solar power, that they are being hypocritical when they say they and others without solar systems are impacted negatively by those with net metering solar systems. In my opinion they are more concerned by their loss of overall revenue by the loss of electrical consumption from everyone in their service area. RMP should be grateful to those installing net metering solar systems, because with the regulations on existing coal power plants and the cost of a new power plant, net metering solar systems are helping to keep the electricity flowing at a reasonable cost.

Please do not "punish" those with net metering solar systems by allowing RMP to increase any fees which we presently pay to be hooked up to the electrical grid.

Thank you,

Robert Radtke, PhD.

riverrockranch@msn.com

Willard, UT

801-475-5199



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brad Dorner

 To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:35 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I want to express my strong opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Please suspend the tariff and do not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. These type of changes should be required to go through and require the normal ratemaking process.

The solar system that I had installed last year helps the environment, helps me and my neighbors during peak usage times, and was an investment I made for the future of my family. I see this as no different than the solar systems that the power company is creating themselves. The one difference I see is that I have to maintain my system myself even though I help RMP produce power when they need it most. Sense I produce more power than I use most of the time. Specially during the summer when everyone is running their air conditioners

I invested in solar for several reasons. First. It is good for the environment. Second, it made sense for me to do so as it would save me money in the mid term, 7 to 10 years, and it was some thing that would improve the value of my home. Both of these would be eliminated by RMP's request. Third, RMP kept telling me they could. It provide the power needed during high demand periods, like those during summer heat waves. So in installing solar I would be helping out during those time RMP expressed power concerns. There were more reasons but those are the primary ones.

RMP's move to make this request is nothing more than an attempt to control the power and energy market. I consider this an attach on my personal freedom and rights. It negates all of the reasons to put a system in and allows a monopoly to control how all of us obtain and use electricity. Why are they allowed to ask me to invest in their solar and other green power programs, which they benefit from, but I can't invest in my own without them also trying the profit from it? Seems very one sided to me.

Thank you for allowing me to express and voice my concerns. Please reject RMP's rate change request. Not only for my benefit but for the interest and be fit of the whole community in which I live.

Again thanks

Brad Dorner Lindon, UT bdorner@gmail.com



Oppose Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Kyoshi Inzunza <kyoshiinzunza@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:35 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Kyoshi Inzunza, kyoshiinzunza@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Rocky Mountain Net Metering

1 message

Alyece Judd <judd_1414@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Alyece Judd <judd_1414@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:32 PM

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing this in regards to PacificCorp RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" filed November 9, 2016 that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

In particular I urge you to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and ask that they continue using the normal rate making process for its proposed change.

I currently have friends that have rooftop solar panels and I am hoping more people will invest in them to help slow climate change. This change in the rate structure could severely hurt the solar industry and prevent people from investing in something that can be both cost beneficial and environmentally friendly.

Thank you for your time,

Alyece Judd



Don't kill solar

1 message

sam taggart <smtggrt@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:37 PM

To whom It may concern:

I am extremely troubled about the new proposals being considered to combat / offset the residential solar. I have been following the news reports, and online articles, all which create fear as of Dec 9. Meaning should I proceed with my own solar project, no. I must now wait until August to understand the real impact of what is being considered. This is unfair and unreasonable as an energy consumer. I want freedom of choice and want to make financial decisions that are good for my family, now. I please ask that you honor the current net metering plan until August, I can proceed with my project. Additionally, as a "Public Service" please don't kill competition.

I have begun following the recent events that regarding power generation, the public impact, and most importantly RMP role. It is obvious that RMP is wanting to kill residential solar to protect their own interests and revenue. That means taking away choice for me, the homeowner, who wants to go solar for financial and environmental reasons. The inversion in Utah is horrible, while you may say that most of this is cause by cars and industry , some can be attribute to power generation. Anything counts. As members of the public service commission, please do not allow RMP ambiguity around the rates and net metering.

Clean energy, clean jobs!! Is there a public meeting for public comment, or just email. The newly proposed net metering changes from RMP require more public input than just an email. The residential solar industry is growing faster than any other job market, and now the Dec 9 date will cease solar sales, kill installation jobs, delay homeowner improvements, until the commission reviews and votes on the RMP new rates.

Docket number 16-035-T14 – what a great read. As a clean energy advocate and residential solar consumer, I find this proposal to be self-serving to RMP, and does no public service. The Dec 9th date creates fear and doubt since the August net metering changes are not known, the docket reads as if there is a grandfathering period. The months of delay will kill solar immediately, jobs will be lost and consumer will have no choice. I cannot think of any product/service that I purchase that I have no choice.

I am writing to express my concern with Docket 16-035-T14, and the impact it will have on local businesses and consumers. The Dec 9th proposed rate change will halt solar activity and sales, especially since a decision will not be made until the August timeframe, 136. As of Dec 10th a consumer will now be subject to any as changes described in 135A and will NOT be confident in making a solar investment. RMP's power and position with this docket creates consumer anxiety. For instance, line 225 states that current NEMs will be honored based on homeowner investments. But as of 12/10 that same commitment will not be honored. For the public service, I kindly ask that 135A not move forward and allow consumers to make a sound financial decision.

Any good decision is based on sound information. This is true with any potential solar consumer as of Dec 9th, they will not have sound information to execute a residential solar project because of the imposed NEM ambiguity with 135A. I firmly believe that this will have the negative impact of halting solar activity in Utah, thereby eliminating jobs, eliminating consumer choice and environmental. Therefore I ask that 16-035-T14 have no further consideration.



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

kerin Borland kcarsell13@gmail.com
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:38 PM

please consider what is best longer term -- short term solutions are short sighted.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment PLEASE REJECT

1 message

Chance Allred <chanceallred@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:39 PM

Dear Commissioners,

Thank you for responding to my call but i also I wanted to write a note letting you know I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power and plan do everything in my power to fight it "Advice No. 16-13". I understand that its your responsibility to protect us against Monopolistipractices that are unfair will hurt rate payers and the state. After reviewing other studies (here are some of them) ttps://www.brookings.edu/ research/rooftop-solar-net-metering-is-a-net-benefit/ i have concluded that the RMP proposal is crazis aimed at killing their competition (solar) in an unfair wand is completely out of line from hat other experts and PUC's are assigning to the "value of solar"This proposal will Kill solar in our sta, and is the worst in the Nation. 3 new fees including a demand charge is completely unfan you imagine anyone signing up for solar when their fee is based on how many appliances are all working at the same time in a given month... Would you ever sign up for solar not knowing what your fee is going to be execute for doing it? A homeowner could use less energy and less of the grids resources but have a fee thats bigger than their neighbor The only reason they would propose this would be to kill their competitiative structure not only so big but also so complicated that no one would sign up for As a Public Service Commission I am asking you to suspend and Veto. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process vs jam this down quickly. Burning coal to power the country is also not sustainable, and the pollution and carbon is damaging our planet, it has to change. Id like to be apart of the Generation to create this change. Also our air quality is gross. We live in one of the most beautiful places on earth yet there alrews we can't see the mountain a few miles away and choke down filthy an top of that this here is an article in the desert news states its killing a few thousand Utahns a yearttp://www.deseretnews.com/article/865560535/Clearing-the-air-That-air-youre-breathing-may-be-slowly-killing-you.html?pg=all

. By further polluting our air we are killing more people, and making our state less attractive to work and live in. Was there value assigned to that by RMP? Thats real value for us and future generations. I have solar on my home and it powers my home and my family, its incredible actually sun hits my roof and six feet later (vs hundreds or thousands of miles) its powering everything we do. Its a better way to power the world, clean, sustainable, reliable. Plus I want to be able to choose! Also i produced ~6000 KWh of energy more than i used last year that the credits were wiped away before the summer. Did RMP include those extra KWH they got from my power plant on my home in their study? Point being this is really rushed and unfair and requires more time and consideration from you and I am pleading with you to reject it.

Sincerely,

Chance Allred chanceallred@gmail.comcel-801-787-6119 6194 w 10760 n highland ut.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

onalee W ilson <onalee.b@aggiemail.usu.edu>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:42 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I would like to express my extreme opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" filed Nov.9, 2016 that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I please urge you to suspend the tariff and to please not let it take effect on Dec 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed.

I have family that has made an investment with installing rooftop solar and my husband and I would also like to go rooftop solar when we're in a better position to do so. However, if this fee goes into effect, I understand this could very well undermine the whole solar industry in Utah. This is simply ludicrous, especially when Rocky Mountain Power has been a monopoly for so long. Now that consumers have had the choice of choosing solar for their homes, RMP must be feeling vulnerable to clean energy competition, which is what free trade is all about—the power to choose. A monopoly should not be allowed to squash their competitors when, in my opinion, greed is most likely the main culprit regardless of how RMP may make it sound. Nearly every industry throughout the world knows the healthy challenges of competition, and RMP should not be any different. They should not have the ability to fully regulate energy, and that's what this fee will help them to do.

So I'm writing to you, knowing our government oficials are to help in matters of personal freedom and for the common good. It also seems that this fee would be taking away from the vision of the governmental push to invest in solar in the first place. In addition, I have talked to someone more in the know with solar, and they informed me that there are articles and studies from other regions where rooftop solar has proven to have value to the power system and grid.

Thank you for taking the time to listen to my plea to reject PacifiCorp RMP's current rate change request in the best interest of ratepayers and the Utah public. I believe more would have taken the time to write if this wasn't announced so closely to the Thanksgiving holiday, which was probably strategically planned. Therefore, if anything, the deadline should be extended to let this issue be given the full attention and time it deserves with how much is at stake.

Respectfully,

Onalee Wilson Logan, Utah onalee.b@aggiemail.usu.edu



Docket # 16~035~T14 Public Comment

1 message

Branden Downey brandenmark92@gmail.com>
To: Psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:43 PM

As a student at Salt Lake Community College studying Energy Management, focusing on renewable energy due to growing up in the state with some of the worst air quality in the nation.

I am a proud employee of the best solar installation company in Utah. I am also a life long Utah resident and I never plan to call anywhere else my home.

So I plead with the leaders of this great state to think about the future of our economy and our children and to NOT let our power company monopoly to discourage folks from choosing how they decide to power their homes.

Please vote No on Docket # 16~035~T14

Thank you for considering public input and my very concerned and educated opinion on this very important subject.



I Oppose Rocky Mountains's Recent Proposal

1 message

Jesse Storer <jmstorer36@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:45 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jesse Storer



Docket #16-035 T14 public comment

1 message

Takashi Inzunza <takashiinzunza@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:49 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Takashi Inzunza

Frankly my Dear......



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Jen Hudak <jen.hudak@gmail.com>
To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:50 PM

On November 9, Rocky Mountain Power filed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems.

The fees are among the highest proposed by any utility around the nation on rooftop solar customers. If imposed, the fees would effectively end the growth of the rooftop solar and devastate the solar industry in Utah.

The solar industry provides almost 4000 jobs in the state and hundreds of millions of dollars in economic activity. All of which will be negatively affected if these fees are put into place. You only have to look toward Nevada to see the efect. Their commission imposed outrageous fees on owners and overnight hundreds of solar industry jobs were lost.

Utah prides itself as a economic development state. Your office has worked hard to cultivate growth and it has paid off. If these fees are imposed one of the fastest growing industries in the state will be greatly harmed.

Your leadership on this issue is critical. Thank you for your time.

Jen Hudak

www.jenhudak.com

801 573 3139



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Crystal Long <longcrystal05@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:51 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Rocky Mountain is currently offering discounts to customers who sign up for "their" solar share program but are going to penalize roof top solar customers by charging ridiculous fees. This proposal is not about roof top solar customers paying their fair share. It is about getting rid of competition and continuing the monopoly Rocky Mountain Power has in UT.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Crystal Long Longcrystal@gmail.com Lindon, UT



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Kaylin Richardson kaylinrichardson@gmail.com
To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:53 PM

Please do not allow Rocky Mountain Power to hike rates on net-metering for solar customers. As a UT resident and staunch renewable energy proponent we cannot allow these surreptitious maneuvers happen. Climate change is real and we MUST encourage and support those that use solar and wind energy, not penalize them. If this does pass that means that those that might have explored solar now will not. This is not acceptable.

Please do the right thing. Rocky Mountain Power's success as a company does not hinge on this- many of those that might invest in solar does.

Thank you.

Kaylin Richardson

Park City Summit County

Kaylin Richardson kaylinrichardson@gmail.com c: 612.616.4948 ~laugh often~



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

DOUG VAUSE <DHVAUSE@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:53 PM

Dear Commissioners.

As a concerned citizen of Utah, I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I urge the Public Service Commissiorto suspend the tariff and not let it take efect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

For reasons that seem to be obvious to us as residence of Utah, these actions of Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed. They simply should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & fedient energy. These changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power not only fed to me, but every other individual within the state who currently breaths the unclean air we experience within the state ribus articles support that the use of solar helps to reduce the need for other less clean forms of energy generation.

I would personally like to thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Douglas H. Vause

Excellerant Partners, Inc.

3202 N 2700 W Ogden, UT 84404 Phone: 801.668.6007

Email: dougv@excellerantpartners.com

www.excellerantpartners.com

https://mybizcard.co/doug.vause.831226



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

alsjule <alsjule@gmail.com>
To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:59 PM

Please support clean energy options like solar and do not let rocky mtn power interfere with the market. We have to have options and protect the environment for our children.

Julie Anderson Spanish Fork UT

Sent from my TMobile 4G IE Device



My Comments Regarding Docket #16-035 T14

1 message

James Klein <jamesrkj@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 6:59 PM

Dear Commissioners.

As a taxpayer I am writing to let you know my feelings regarding the proposed changes from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016. I ask the Public Service Commission suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking effect on December 9.

I don't feel that punishing solar customers for choosing an alternative power source, other than Rocky Mountain Power, should be punished by the company. The mere fact that Rocky Mountain Power has the power to request such a ridiculous thing only proves the power they have as a Monopoly. This is an obvious move to squash healthy competition when it comes to the generation and distribution of power To me this would be similar to fuel companies charging more for gasoline to users of Hybrid cars because they don't buy as much as normal customers.

I feel that we as a people need to be looking for better ways do things. We see it in technology, we see it in medicine. Why should we punish those on the cutting edge of making our state, our country even our world a cleaner place? Punishing solar panel users will only stand to help Rocky Mountain Power It is NOT in the best interests of anybody else for RMP to make this change, indeed, the opposite is true. By allowing RMP to charge more money to solar users negates any savings customers would have seen and will discourage people from choosing solar in the future. This is the real issue here! It creates an unfair advantage for the monopoly giant and does not allow the many alternative energy companies to compete and for the customers to decide for themselves, where and how they want their power to be generated.

I appreciate your taking time to consider both sides of this important issue in an effort to make the best decision for the people of Utah.

Regards, James Klein 147 N 780 W Lehi, UT 84043 (801) 735-7526



(no subject)

1 message

Melody Olson <mro2553@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:05 PM

From: Mro2553@gmail.com

Date: November 22, 2016 at 5:08:31 PM MST

To: psc@utah.gov

Subject: Fwd: Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

Dear Commissioners,

I am adamantly opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particularurge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes. It seems unprofessional and unethical that Rocky Mountain Power has left the least amount of time for public comment or for anyone but RMP to be able to provide research or analysis for consideration. I also don't like that they have chosen the week of

The Thanksgiving holiday for the deadline for public comment to discourage participation. This deadline is unreasonable and should be extended.

I feel that Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & Écient energy. Not only do I have family members who have roof top solabut close friends. A good friend of mine owns Intermountain Wind and Solar He's grown this business for the last 8 years and it's shame to allow a monopoly to come in and bulldoze all of their hard work and aspirations. Rooftop solar adds value to the power system and grid. This rate increase would impose a 3-tiered rate system on solar customers only that would double or triple the payback period for a solar investment. This rate increase is the mostanti-solar and anti-business rate proposal to date across the nation. If accepted, it very well could destroy the solar industry overnight therefore eliminating thousands of jobs and would resulting in us no longer having a livelihood. Destroying the solar industry seems like a disregard for the quality of our air and other environmental concerns. I feel that this is also a loss of a freedom to choose how I power my home. I'm astounded by this proposal and view it as a drastic overreach by a government regulated monopoly

Rocky Mountain Power's rate increases will **&**ectively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significantly harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry and eliminate consumer choice in energy production.

I would like to sincerely thank you for accepting public input. I do hope you will extend the deadline for public comment. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Thank you,

Melody Olson Layton, UT mro2553@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

John Elsholz <jelsholz@aol.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:06 PM

Dear Commissioners.

Our family is opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. We specifically urge the PSC to suspend the tariff plan and NOT let it take effect on Dec. 9th as Rocky Mtn. Power has proposed. We would like to have them required to use the normal rate-making process for any changes they wish to have implemented.

The primary reason we are asking you to do this is for selfish reasons. I have an incurable and fatal lung disease (Non-Specific Interstitial Pneumonitis, or NSIP), that is greatly exacerbated by polluted air . I am on supplemental oxygen, and the air quality in the Salt Lake V alley makes breathing difficult on days with high pollution. We would like more homes to be powered by clean solar energy as ours is, to help me and others like me who love living here, but who have pulmonary-related health problems.

The 2nd reason is that although RMP may offer a grandfather benefit to existing solar customers like us, we've read nothing that would compel them to honor it a few years in the future. We are now on fixed income and we bought solar panels to insulate us from the annual price increases from RMP. The fees RMP is proposing, if applied to existing customers as well, would impose a financial hardship on us.

I just heard about the deadline for input and hope that you will still accept our comments. Perhaps an extended deadline would enable more power customers like us to learn about, and give you their input.

Finally, we respectfully request that the PSC reject RMP's rate change request, to benefit the people of Utah and specifically the Wasatch Front.

Respectfully,

John and Jody Elsholz 11380 S. Pepper Circle Sandy, Utah 84092 jelsholz@aol.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jason Lund <jasonlund5@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:06 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jason Lund Jasonlund5@gmail.com Lehi, UT



PacificCorp

1 message

Iván Sandoval V illanueva <ivansvillanueva@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:09 PM

Dear Sir/Madam.

I am contacting you in regards to the PacificCorp RMP's Advice 16-13 filed 11/09/16.

In particular I want to express and request that you suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9, as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed, and ask that they continue using the normal rate making process for its proposed change.

I currently have close relatives that own rooftop solar panels and am hoping more people will invest in them to help slow climate change. This change in the rate structure could severely hurt the solar industry and prevent people from investing in something that can be both cost beneficial and environmentally friendly.

Thank you for your time,

Ivan Sandoval



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Lauren Howells <a href="mailto:right] lauren.jmutah@gmail.com <a href="mailto:right] <a href="mailto:right] right] <a href="mailto:right] <a

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:12 PM

Please do NOT support the Rocky Mountain solar power tax increase.

Solar power systems clean, pure energy from the sun. Installing solar panels your home helps combat greenhouse gas emissions and reduces our collective dependence on fossil fuel. Traditional electricity is sourced from fossil fuels such as coal and natural gas.



Solar

1 message

Cesar Sandoval <csandoval109@outlook.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:15 PM

To Whom It May Concern

I am writing this in regards to PacificCorp RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" filed November 9, 2016 that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

In particular I urge you to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and ask that they continue using the normal rate making process for its proposed change.

I currently own rooftop solar panels and am hoping more people will invest in them to help slow pollution over our winter. This change in the rate structure could severely hurt the solar industry and prevent people from investing in something that can be both cost beneficial and environmentally friendly.

Thank you for your time,

Cesar V Sandoval



Docket 16-035-T14

1 message

Alex Bass <jabass88@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:18 PM

A diversified energy policy is essential to the future health of our economy, environment, and national security. We cannot let RMP jeopardize that with this short-sighted plan to hike solar rates.



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

NICOLE ALLEN < NALLEN 7492@msn.com>

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:18 PM

Dear commissioners, I would like to email you to tell you my opposition to Pacificorp-Rocky mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13 filed Nov 9, 2016 and to ask you to suspend the tarif f and not let it take effect on Dec. 9th. Please use the normal rate -making process for the proposed changes.

I have a photovoltaic system on my house at this time and enjoy the savings it brings my family and I. I also love the fact that I am contributing to a more clean air environment. I am terribly afraid that if this proposed change is placed into effect, there will be very few new Solar systems installed which will directly effect Utah jobs, the economy, cleaner air and my career as a Solar installer and electrician. All People should have a choice to lower their utility bills by installing "Affordable " solar. For RMP's proposed rate change will make roof top solar not affordable any more. I have read and learned about similar proposals that have been passed in other states that have drastically effected the solar industry in very negative ways. Solar should be embraced not pounded down to the ground by public utility companies that only have their own interests in mind. The proposed rate increase is a very big step in the wrong direction.

Thank you so much for accepting input from the public on this matter. Please don't let this change take into effect.

Sincerely Michael Allen West Jordan Utah Nallen7492@msn.com



Solar panels

1 message

Kristina Francom kristinafrancom@gmail.com
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:19 PM

To Whom It May Concern

I am writing this in regards to PacificCorp RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" filed November 9, 2016 that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

In particular I urge you to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and ask that they continue using the normal rate making process for its proposed change.

I currently own rooftop solar panels and am hoping more people will invest in them to help slow climate change. This change in the rate structure could severely hurt the solar industry and prevent people from investing in something that can be both cost beneficial and environmentally friendly.

Thank you for your time,

Kristina Francom



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Steven Schmidt <schmidticus@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:23 PM

Hi.

I am writing to express my opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

It is very important that as a state we continue to support the expansion of rooftop solar. The benefits not only help the environment, but also encourages people to become more energy independent, and in the near future as more people also use a home battery, it will make our electric grid more reliable as energy spikes will more likely be handled by local battery power instead of requiring power-plant output increases.

PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13 would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers, and thus will stifle the rooftop solar industry in ways that will be detrimental to the future of these important new technologies. Please, suspend the tariff and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking effect on December 9. Instead, use the normal rate-making process.

Thank you for listening.

Sincerely,

Steven Schmidt Provo, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Caroline Taylor <ctaylor22@elon.edu>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:24 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. As a 25 year old nonsmoker lung cancer survivor I cannot impress upon you how important the issue of air quality is to me. Renewable energy sources, such as, solar, are minimizing pollution, improving air quality, and changing lives. We must move forward and enable more people to utilize solar and other renewable energy sources. "Advice No. 16-13" is a giant step backwards, one we simply cannot afford to take.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Caroline R Taylor Ctaylor22@elon.edu Salt Lake City, UT



RMP solar panel tariff

1 message

Daren <pcdandre@mail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:24 PM

To Whom It May Concern

I am writing this in regards to PacificCorp RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" filed November 9, 2016 that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

In particular I urge you to suspend the tarif f and not let it take effect December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and ask that they continue using the normal rate making process for its proposed change.

I currently have several friends with rooftop solar panels and am hoping more people will invest in them to help slow climate change. This change in the rate structure could severely hurt the solar industry and prevent people from investing in something that can be both cost beneficial and environmentally friendly .

Thank you for your time, Daren Andrews



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tony Pavlantos <tony@privalusa.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:27 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose any moving forward to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Urge the PSC to deny the utility's fast-track request and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

Low rates to alternative power incentivizes consumers living in Utah metropolitan to use clean energy in hopes of cleaning up our air and allowing them to change into electric vehicles and charge them accordingly. This decreases the vehicle emissions and will considerably clean the air quality of these highly populated areas. I RMP should not be allowed to raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers. The rural areas of Utah make a considerably less income. Alternative power like solar needs to be affordable especially to the ones providing food crops and commercial related solar operations in places with enough space to harvest the abundant energy.

Thank you for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I Respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Thank you

Tony Pavlantos



Tony Pavlantos

Mobile: 505-604-0864

Prival Concepts LLC 64 West Fayette A venue Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Facebook / Instagram

Made in Utah, USA



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Doug Law <dougrlaw@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:29 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am writing to ask you to NOT change the rate structure for those with solar panels.

I have made this short as I just found out that today is the last day to make comment. And I hope that this note will make it to you in time for consideration.

I have made a significant investment for the benefit of our Utah environment. The power required for my neighborhood is reduced now with my house helping to supply power. I find it ironic that after much encouragement from Rocky Mountain Power to conserve more power that they now want to punish us for conserving too much.

Thank you for excepting public input.

Again, may I ask you to reject the rate change, for the good of Utah's environment that we are fighting so hard to improve.

Douglas Law 628 W 175 N Layton, UT 84041

dougrlaw@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jami L Day <jamilday0417@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:31 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jami Day Orem, Utah



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Don Green <dongreen42@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:32 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to voice my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I feel RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy.

I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Thank you for accepting my public input.

Thank you, Don Green

Clinton, UT

dongreen42@hotmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Joey Christensen <averagejoe.c@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:32 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am opposing the recent proposal from Ricky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, which would alter the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking place on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed.

I believe the proposal from Rocky Mountain Power is unfair for customers and homeowners seeking to utilize cleaner sources of energy and lessen their carbon footprint. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to maintain the normal rate making process. As member of the Utah community, I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet. Solar provides an opportunity to turn from the high carbon emission lifestyles of yesterday and seek for a cleaner and more reliable solution. Air quality in Utah must improve, and I believe solar energy is a step in the right direction and must be a part of the equation.

Thank you upholding the value of the voice of the public voice. I respectfully request that you place the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Joseph Christensen, averagejoe.c@gmail.com, Orem, UT



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Farhad Omana fnomana@gmail.com>
To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:33 PM

Shame on you if you let Rocky Mountain Power have their rate hike on net metering.

All this talk about clean air and you slap anyone in the face that tries to work towards clean air in your state.

Farhad N. Omana Cell. (801) 633-3304



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Mikayla H. <mikaylahowitz@gmail.com> To: PSC@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:35 PM

Utah Public Service Commission-

I am attending the University of Utah and am an environmental studies major. The public needs to know that climate change is real and is happening now. I'm sure since you live in Utah you have skied before or have friends who would want to go skiing now, but they can't because it is too warm and has barely snowed this season. Climate change is not a theory anymore, this is a very important issue and is the most serious problem today. Raising the prices of solar panels would make it difficult for people to invest in them and is a step in the wrong direction towards clean energy. Hopefully you will take this into deep consideration.

Thanks, Mikayla Howitz



Proposed Solar rate changes, PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13"

1 message

Rachael Sheffield To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:36 PM

To all of you on the Public Service Commissi on:

I am writing to express my deep concern about the proposal made by Rocky Mountain Power to change the rooftop solar rate structure here in Utah. As the owner of recently installed solar panels, I am very excited about the cleaner power we are producing, as well as the greater move toward self-sufficiency and less dependence on others providing the power we need in our home. We already face 15 years of paying for the investment in the solar panels, which will be worth it as we get closer to our goals. In addition to the price for the panels and installation, we continue to pay Rocky Mountain a monthly fee plus taxes for the ability to stay "on the grid" during the times we may not be able to provide for our own.

There are several reasons why the proposal by RMP is so disturbing. One is that it seems to be their way of discouraging homeowners from finding ways to produce more energy on our own because the return on our investments is greatly diminished with the proposed rate hike. I can understand the need to pay for the privilege of still being tied into RMP , but when we are producing our own power, it does not seem equitable to continue to pay into the other production methods. It also seems that RMP feels like it can make such a request because they already have a monopoly on providing electricity, and rooftop solar producers are th reatening to take away some of that monopoly . I would think that RMP would be grateful that solar power being produced helps to lessen the impact that comes when electricity is in high demand, such as during peak summer seasons. This proposed rate hike and re-structure seems to be self-serving and certainly not customer oriented.

My other concern is that the process for making this proposal has not been done in the normal way when changes are requested. My understanding is that RMP has proposed to begin this "tarif f" on December 9, a mere two weeks away, with very little public input and little time to completely consider all the ramifications of such a rate change. I strongly urge you, in your capacity as the watchdog for citizens of Utah, to not let this happen at all, or at very least until the normal rate-making process has been followed.

I appreciate your consideration of my concerns.

Sincerely,

Rachael Sheffield 2850 South 2000 East Salt Lake City, UT 84109



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Heather Day <heather.day@aggiemail.usu.edu>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:38 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Heather Hudson, Cedar City, Utah

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Juhn Zapata <jrzo08@me.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:41 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Juhn Zapata

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:43 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Veronica Davison

Sent from my iPad



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Baya Voce <bayavoce@gmail.com> To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:43 PM

Hi.

As a Salt Lake City native and someone who loves this city more than anywhere in the world, I (along with everyone I know in this city) beg you, please do not hike the rates on net-metering for solar customers.

We all know our air quality is a reason people don't move here and it takes people away from our growing economy. Please protect our health, protect our winters, and protect our economy and help fight climate change.

We can do this together and make our economy stronger for it.

Thanks for your time,

-Baya Voce



"The Art of Connection" web series bayavoce.com womenpreneurs secretslc.com

Instagram

ABC Feature: How to use Body Language for Negotiation

Daily Worth: Advice from Startup Founders

"People always remark that I know the best people in SLC—but the truth is that I was lucky to meet Baya when I first moved here from NYC, and through her events she made the curated connections and introductions that have made SLC a place I love living in. Her magnetic charisma draws in the best people you could imagine—making her unique and irreplaceable, and it makes what she creates priceless."

- Rachel Hofstetter, former Oprah editor, best-selling author, and entrepreneur

"I was absolutely blown away by Baya, who was able to envision the dreams that people had, immediately identify what their goals were, and give strategic advice on what their next steps should be. She has a gift, truly, to be able to get right to the bones of a situation or person and see exactly what it is that is needed. Baya understands people—including ourselves, perhaps better than we do."

- Lindsey Armstrong, National Radio Host

"Baya has a wonderful enthusiasm that is contagious. Because she's unapologetically herself, she encourages all of us to let down our guards and do the same. This results in a fantastically open and raw environment where magic happens."

- Johanna Kirk, Jeweler & founder of Pixel and T orch



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Evan Blair <evanmblair@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:46 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Evan Blair, evanmblair@gmail.com, Lehi, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Terri Gilfillan <mtgil@comcast.net> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:48 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am asking that you reject Rocky Mountain powers proposal to add a service rate to solar customers. First of all we have a serious air quality problem in the Wasatch front. Penalizing solar customers who are making an effort to curb the burning of fossil fuels for the health and wellbeing of all our neighbors is a horrible idea.

Please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal and consider the input of citizens that must live in this valley .

Respectfully,

Terri Gilfillan

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Michael Ehinger <michael_ehinger@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Michael Ehinger <michael_ehinger@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:51 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I would like to s tate my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I and all my family and neighbors urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I have a right to choose how I supply power to my home. This is unconstitutional and restricts free trade. Why would you or how can we as a state limit access to clean and efficient energy. This would unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers as well. The solar industry is providing so much of an economic boom in Utah that to not suspend the proposal, therefore effectively killing the industry, is going to cause hardship for so many people and business'.

The use of renewable energy, in paticular rooftop solar, is a win, win, win for everyone. From the economic boom it provides to residential customers and business', to the power company being able to more efficiently and more effectively keep up with demand charges, and lastly reducing our carbon footprint which Utah so desperately needs.

Lets cause change here. Lets be at the forefront of this industry for others to follow. Our future generations need this and depend on us to put our foot down and demand a better place for them then what we are currently leaving behind. I have read many articles and seen countless studies from other regions where it has been proven that rooftop solar has significant value to the power system and the grid.

Thank you very much for accepting public input. Please keep in mind the interests of the ratepayers and the general public.

Please reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request. Or suspend it until we can find a more reasonable agreement. If we do not then we again are effectively killing the entire industry and we will regret that decision.

Michael Ehinger

South Jordan, Utah

Michael_Ehinger@yahoo.com

(801) 918-4276



Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Eric Baugh <ebaugh01@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:53 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain PowerAdvice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I uge the Public Service Commission to suspend the taxifd prevent it from taking tect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleanenore reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powerand reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely

Eric Baugh, Ebaugh 01@gmail.com, Salt Lake City UT

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Corey Henderson <corman@cormander.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:53 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I urge you to reject or suspend the request of Rocky Mountain Power to pass a rate increase for solar net-metering customers.

I understand the business aspect of their proposal, and as an Engineer by trade, I understand the real world impacts as well. The bottom line is, now is not the time to change the net-metering arrangement that's in place. Solar installations have not even come close to the point where it has become a burden on the electrical grid. As it stands, and will be for the foreseeable future, home solar installs work to benefit the efficiency and robustness of the grid.

The two main arguments I hear from the business are; 1) lost revenue from customers who generate their own electricity, and 2) net-metering agreements don't pay their "fair share" of maintaining the grid.

To the first item I would like to remind the commission that while they pay less on their electricity bill, they also save the utility on various costs such as power transmission losses and reduction of peak power demand, due to solar homes feeding power to their neighbors.

To the second; my base connection fee to the grid after taxes and minimum rate charges, ends up as \$9.01 per month on my electric bill. This is what I pay to maintain the grid. This is what everyone pays. If this amount is not enough to maintain the grid, the rate ought to be increased for everybody, not just solar customers. Price the rates fairly; everyone pays the same base, and then pays for the power they use. To tip the scales against home solar generation is both greedy and unethical. Greedy, because the true purpose of this targeted rate increase is to send a message of stifling competition, and unethical because it reduces freedom of choice of those who would make investments in technology that collectively benefits wider society by providing cheaper, more efficient, and much needed clean-air energy sources.

In my professional opinion, RMP should be using their money for investments in smart grid and energy storage projects, rather than lobbying to protect their monopoly secured profit stream.

I can give additional testimony upon request.

Thank you for your time,

Corey Henderson 801-550-7303



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Christopher Hudson <c.s.hudson@icloud.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:54 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Christopher Hudson, Cedar City, Utah

~Chris



Rocky Mountain Power Solar Initiative

1 message

Gmail <paul.e.jolley@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:54 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Paul Jolley, paul.e.jolley@gmail.com, Alpine, UT



Concern on Rocky Mountain Powers recent Proposal

1 message

Jordan Borchert <jordanborchert40@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:54 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Jordan Borchert Jordanborchert40@gmail.com Lehi, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

jjhomes381530@aol.com <jjhomes381530@aol.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:55 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Powel'Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energyUtah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powend reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely

Jay Day, jjhomes381530aol.com, Orem, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Nate Casados <marththeweird@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 7:59 PM

Rocky Mountain Power should not raise pricing for rooftop solar companies and here is why.

Rocky Mountain Power is owned by the PacifiCorp, although this is not a problem, it's a good base for the problem. NVEnergy, Nevada Energy, another power company owned by PacifiCorp, had raised the price on residential solar in 2014.

This effectively killed the solar market in Nevada. Many companies that were doing good there had to pull out because sales were no longer good. It just was not worth a customers money to afford solar when it did not actually save them much money.

This really puts a curb into state business tax revenue when companies have to stop selling in a particular state because they're unable to maintain sales. It affects the state government in this way which affects the community at large.

Right now, a major benefit of solar power is it takes stress of f the grid. This means less chances of black outs during particularly high usage times of the year, such as a hot summer day and every one is running air conditioning. This helps keep it stable.

While solar panels are at a good deal now, it offers a great incentive for citizens to be doing their part to help the environment as well. Most solar panels pay themselves off in 5 to 10 years for not just their financial cost, but their ecological cost as well. This is because the citizen with solar will need to rely less on Rocky Mountain Power (RMP)'s carbon costs for generating power. Although that power will definitely be used else where, its still good for our environment.

So I've now told how its at least commercially, economically, and environmentally good. Now lets get to some hard facts. As a worker in solar I see customer power usage all day and residential solar production estimates for installed systems. In a report from the national renewable energies lab, (attached), one of the tools I use, Aurora, is shown to be within 3% accuracy to real solar panel systems.

Another tool we use based off PV Watts, is also within 5% accuracy to Aurora. Now back to customer power usage, most solar panel systems can cover 100% of any one citizens home electrical use. Get this too, most homes can hold as many panels as needed to accomplish this.

Looking to the future we have a chance to be a very green community with a very bright future. Allowing solar power to flourish with citizens is a great new brick to add to the foundation holding up our state.

Do not allow Rocky Mountain Power to raise the price on customers. It will harm and possibly cease the residential solar market here the same way it did in Nevada.

Thank you for reading,

Nathan Casados





Analysis of Aurora's Performance Simulation Engine for Three Systems

Janine Freeman and Joe Simon National Renewable Energy Laboratory

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy Operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC

This report is available at no cost from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) at www.nrel.gov/publications.

Technical Report NREL/TP-7A40-64213 July 2015

Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308



Analysis of Aurora's Performance Simulation Engine for Three Systems

Janine Freeman and Joe Simon National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Prepared under Task No. PV10.IN36

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy Operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC

This report is available at no cost from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) at www.nrel.gov/publications.

National Renewable Energy Laboratory 15013 Denver West Parkway Golden, CO 80401 303-275-3000 • www.nrel.gov **Technical Report** NREL/TP-7A40-64213 July 2015

Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

This report is available at no cost from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) at www.nrel.gov/publications.

Available electronically at SciTech Connect http://www.osti.gov/scitech

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062
OSTI http://www.osti.gov

Phone: 865.576.8401 Fax: 865.576.5728 Email: reports@osti.gov

Available for sale to the public, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service 5301 Shawnee Road Alexandra, VA 22312 NTIS http://www.ntis.gov

Phone: 800.553.6847 or 703.605.6000

Fax: 703.605.6900 Email: orders@ntis.gov

Cover Photos by Dennis Schroeder: (left to right) NREL 26173, NREL 18302, NREL 19758, NREL 29642, NREL 19795.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the team at Aurora Solar for providing their data for analysis. In addition, the authors appreciate the support of the Department of Energy SunShot Incubator Program for making funding available to complete this analysis.

Nomenclature or List of Acronyms

NREL National Renewable Energy Laboratory

PV Photovoltaic

RMSE Root-mean-squared error

1 Introduction

Aurora Solar Inc. is building a cloud-based optimization platform to automate the design, engineering, and permit generation process of solar photovoltaic (PV) installations. They requested that the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) validate the performance of the PV system performance simulation engine of Aurora Solar's solar design platform, Aurora. In previous work, NREL performed a validation of multiple other PV modeling tools [1], so this study builds upon that work by examining all of the same fixed-tilt systems with available module datasheets that NREL selected and used in the aforementioned study. Aurora Solar set up these three operating PV systems in their modeling platform using NREL-provided system specifications and concurrent weather data. NREL then verified the setup of these systems, ran the simulations, and compared the Aurora-predicted performance data to measured performance data for those three systems, as well as to performance data predicted by other PV modeling tools.

2 Methodology

Aurora Solar and NREL modeled three PV systems using the Aurora platform:

System	Size	Туре	Location	Year Modeled
RSF1	385 kW	Fixed tilt	Golden, CO	2011
RSF2	408 kW	Fixed tilt	Golden, CO	2012
Visitor Parking	524 kW	Fixed tilt	Golden, CO	2012

Table 1: Systems Modeled by Aurora Solar in Aurora

Aurora Solar used system specifications and concurrent weather data provided by NREL to model these systems; see [2] for more detailed system specifications. NREL then verified the setup of these three systems in Aurora's platform, ran the simulations within the Aurora platform, and used the resulting hourly Aurora-predicted performance data in this validation study. NREL also verified that the transposition model and module model type were consistent with the methodology of the previous validation study.

The same validation methodology that was used in [1] was applied to the current study. In summary, systems were modeled as closely as possible using high-quality measured concurrent weather data as an input. Quality-control procedures had been implemented in the previous study to remove hours affected by sensor errors, component downtime, system downtime, nighttime hours, and hours affected by snow; the same quality-controlled datasets were used in the current validation. See [1] and [2] for further methodology detail.

A crucial aspect of PV modeling is understanding the magnitude of loss assumptions that are used by a PV modeling tool to account for phenomena that are not explicitly modeled, such as soiling effects, system availability, etc. NREL used all of Aurora Solar's default loss assumptions in these simulations (which are based on the default loss factors in the PVWatts tool) except for the availability loss, which was set to 0% because we compared results to data that were quality-controlled to remove downtime. This procedure is consistent with the

methodology used in [2] to determine loss assumptions, and it results in a total loss assumption of 10.5%, compared to Aurora's usual default loss assumption of 13.2%.

3 Results

The results from the Aurora simulations were compared to quality-controlled measured data and other PV modeling tools at both annual and hourly timescales.

3.1 Annual

The annual error of a PV modeling tool's prediction quantifies the over- or under-prediction of an annual energy estimate compared to measured data for that time period, normalized by the measured energy. On an annual basis, Aurora performs similarly to other tools examined in previous validation studies. Figure 1 shows Aurora's annual energy predictions, with its default loss assumption of 10.5%, compared to four other tools, also using each tool's default assumptions (a table showing those default losses can be found in [1]). Aurora compares within the same range as the other tools, showing annual error within $\pm 6\%$ of measured data for all three systems.




Figure 1: Annual error of tools using default loss assumptions.

3.2 Hourly

The normalized root-mean-squared error (RMSE) evaluates the PV modeling tool error on an hourly basis; see [2] for the definition of this metric. Aurora's hourly normalized RMSE falls within 4.5% for each of the three systems examined, which is comparable with the other tools analyzed in previous validation work using their default losses. To help demonstrate the necessity of including some form of losses, several tools were also run with the losses zeroed out. As expected, the zero-loss configurations show a higher RMSE than all of the default loss

configurations (including Aurora) for all three systems. This result is seen because real systems *do* experience the losses that were zeroed out in the zero-loss configurations.




Figure 2: Hourly normalized RMSE of tools.

4 Conclusions

Three systems were initially modeled by Aurora Solar, and NREL subsequently verified, simulated, and analyzed the simulated performance as compared to measured data and other PV modeling tools that had been analyzed in a previous validation study. The Aurora predictions were comparable with predictions by other PV modeling tools, achieving an annual error within $\pm 6\%$ and an hourly normalized RMSE within 4.5% for the three systems simulated. The Aurora predictions compare more closely with the default loss configurations of other tools, rather than the zero-loss configurations, which is the expected result.

Because only three systems were analyzed in this study, this serves as a good preliminary validation of the Aurora platform, but more extensive validation with additional systems and a greater variety of system locations, types, and other aspects could provide additional information about the strengths and weaknesses of this PV modeling engine. This study does not comment on the usability of the product.

5 References

- 1. J. Freeman, J. Whitmore, L. Kaffine, N. Blair, and A.P. Dobos, "System Advisor Model: Flat Plate Photovoltaic Performance Modeling Validation Report," NREL Technical Report TP-6A20-60204, December 2013.
- 2. J. Freeman, J. Whitmore, N. Blair, and A.P. Dobos, "Validation of multiple tools for flat plate photovoltaic modeling against measured data," 40th IEEE Photovoltaic Specialists Conference, 2014.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Chad Hofheins <chad@synergypowerpvcom> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:01 PM

P	معدما	200	attached	ł

I would request that you reject this Docket.

Thanks


--

Chad Hofheins

801-420-0391

http://www.synergypowerpvcom/







The Public Service Commission,

Regarding: Docket #16-035-T14

My name is Chad Hofheins and I have been a Utah resident for 40 years. I have been in the construction business for 19 years and have been specializing in the solar industry now for 9 years. During this time I have been able to build my business slowly again and now I employ 45 fellow Utahns.

Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to have all new net metering customers after December 9, 2016 be placed on a new rate that has not yet been decided is necessary by your body or defined will create significant uncertainty for my business and it already has created that uncertainty. It will be unethical for me to offer a rooftop solar product to homeowners across the state without knowing how rates may change. This proposal will halt my business activity and already has cause some of my employees seek another job and quit working in the great state if Utah.

I ask you to please reject Rocky Mountain Power's proposal and maintain Utah's status as one of the most business-friendly states in the country. If this Rate Proposal is approved, it will be detrimental to my business and I will be forced to make changes to how my business operates. I was faced with this in 2007 when the economy collapsed and forced 60 of my employees out of work. I would not like to see this happen again.

Thanks for your time,

Chad Hofhiens

Owner Synergy Power

Chad Hofheins



Solar Energy

1 message

iiNStylz Z <iinstylzz@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:03 PM

To Whom It May Concern

I am writing this in regards to PacificCorp RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" filedNovember 9, 2016that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

In particular I urge you to suspend the tarif f and not let it take effect <u>December 9</u> as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and ask that they continue using the normal rate making process for its proposed change.

I currently own rooftop solar panels and am hoping more people will invest in them to help slow climate change. This change in the rate structure could severely hurt the solar industry and prevent people from investing in something that can be both cost beneficial and environmentally friendly.

Thank you for your time,

Christian C Sandoval



PUC voting on Solar

1 message

Dallin Maw <dallin@summit.solar> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:07 PM

Type this in and send it:
@everyone: here is the sample letter to use:
Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,
Dallin Maw
Dallin@summit.solar
Sandy Utah



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comme

1 message

shellmar64@comcast.net <shellmar64@comcast.net> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:07 PM

Dear Commissioners,

We are in opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. We urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

We feel RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. We feel this adds value to our home. We feel it helps clean our environment and helps Salt Lake City's pollution problem. We have read articles and studies from other regions where they have found rooftop solar to have value to the power system and grid.

We would to thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. We respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Concerned solar citizens,

Shane and Rachelle Martin 9459 S. McVea Ct. South Jordan, Utah 84009 shellmar64@comcast.net



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Dan Pizza <dan.pizza@dpsskis.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:10 PM

Greeting: Dear Commissioners,

I oppose PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge you to deny the fast-track request and require the use of the normal rate-making process for the proposed changes. This quick deadline is unreasonable and should be extended.

I feel that Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to raise the cost to homeowners who choose to use rooftop solar power. I am a professional working in the outdoor industry and I am a firm believer in climate change and I feel that this decision by RMP is a threat to my livelihood, and the future of Utah and the entire planet. I also feel that it is unjust for them to profit and penalize those who choose to use solar power , who are trying to do the right thing, simply because RMP sees solar power as a threat to their bottom line. I would urge and hope that RMP could become a more forward thinking business, turn away from coal and invest in their own solar and wind programs in a way that does not penalize people for doing what is right to advance our state to a sustainable society that is at the front of making a better world and not stuck in the past simply because we wish to fill our pockets. If anything RMP and the State of Utah should be rewarding those who choose to install and use solar panels.

Thank you Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request that the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Daniel Pizza 40 w Layton Ave Salt Lake City, UT 84115

Dan Pizza // Art Director DPS Skis

Office: +1.801.413.1737 Mobile: +1.801.232.7814 www.dpsskis.com













Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

J <jake_t1183@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:10 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose the proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016. It's uncalled for, unfair and based off of biased incomplete reports from Rocky Mountain Power. Clean energy benefits everyone and the environment. RMP is acting like a monopoly. Completely unfair that they would impose fees on residential roof top solar companies in an effort to make you buy all your power from them in from their solar farms.

This will also greatly effect all the solar jobs and economy in Utah.

Thank you for listening , please reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jake Turk, American fork, UT

Get Outlook for iOS



Solar

1 message

Zeppelin Zeerip <zepshred@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:11 PM

Dear Commissioners,

Please take note of the strong public opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov . 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. The PSC should deny the utility's fast-track request and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

If prices are raised the availability of solar to middle and low income homes will decrease sharply . The earth is warming, it's an indisputable fact, and we need to take every measure available to slow its warmth. This includes moving away from the traditional means of electricity production.

Thank you for considering the public input in our request for the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Zeppelin Zeerip 2141 S. 500 E Salt Lake City, UT 84106



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Scott Azevedo <scazevedo@frontiernet.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:12 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Scott Azevedo



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:12 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I would like to adamantly oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed No®, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particularurge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

RMP should not be allowed to raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & eficient energy. The fact that RMP consistently brings new unethical legislation each year is like fighting a cancerWhy can't we just find a cure and make them stop trying to monopolize the market? Also, there are several peer reviewed articles and research studies from other regions where they have found rooftop solar to have value to the power system and grid.

I would like to thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers, a moral ethical code of conduct, and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request. Have a happy and safe Thanksgiving holiday

Oakley Preston Farmington, Utah



Save Solar

1 message

Eder Sandoval <eder142004@outlook.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:13 PM

To Whom It May Concern

I am writing this in regards to PacificCorp RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" filedNovember 9, 2016that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

In particular I urge you to suspend the tafritind not let it take effect December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and ask that they continue using the normal rate making process for its proposed change.

I currently own rooftop solar panels and am hoping more people will invest in them to help slow climate change. This change in the rate structure could severely hurt the solar industry and prevent people from investing in something that can be both cost beneficial and environmentally friendly

Thank you for your time,

Eder Lopez



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jordan Galley <jgalley@apexsolarsolutions.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:14 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I and all my family and neighbors urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I have a right to choose how I supply power to my home. This is unconstitutional and restricts free trade. Why would you or how can we as a state limit access to clean and ef ficient energy. This would unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers as well. The solar industry is providing so much of an economic boom in Utah that to not suspend the proposal, therefore effectively killing the industry, is going to cause hardship for so many people and business'.

The use of renewable energy, in paticular rooftop solar, is a win, win, win for everyone. From the economic boom it provides to residential customers and business', to the power company being able to more efficiently and more effectively keep up with demand charges, and lastly reducing our carbon footprint which Utah so desperately needs. Lets cause change here. Lets be at the forefront of this industry for others to follow. Our future generations need this and depend on us to put our foot down and demand a better place for them then what we are currently leaving behind. I have read many articles and seen countless studies from other regions where it has been proven that rooftop solar has significant value to the power system and the grid.

Thank you very much for accepting public input. Please keep in mind the interests of the ratepayers and the general public. Please reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request. Or suspend it until we can find a more reasonable agreement. If we do not then we again are efectively killing the entire industry and we will regret that decision.

Thanks,

_

Jordan Galley / Solar Energy Analyst jgalley@apexsolarsolutions.com / 801.618.6729 Apex Solar Solutions 3855 S. 500 W. Salt Lake City, UT 84115 www.apexsolarsolutions.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tom Barber <tomber61.tb@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:19 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I would like to express my opposition to the proposed rate change by Rocky Mountain Power .

Rooftop solar is a viable addition to the power grid and a growing industry that employs many people in Utah.

Solar not only provides clean energy but helps diversify the power grid. I think micro energy generation is very important in terms of national energy security. The more sources of energy we have the harder it will be for our enemies to cripple our communication and our defenses.

Thanks for taking the time to consider this proposal and reject this rate increase or at least extend the comment period. I just cannot understand who benefits from this proposed change.

Sincerely, Tom Barber 345 S Main Providence Ut. 435-881-7707

Tomber61.tb@gmail.com

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Julianne PAUL <Julianne_P@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:20 PM

To Whom it May Concern,

I have been watching the progression of rooftop solar in Utah with great interest. My husband and own a home in West Jordan and have considered adding solar when we are financially able to do so The news that PacifiCorp has decided to change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers mak us very uneasy As it is proposed, no homeowner will be able to recoup the cost of their solar panels in lower energy costs. This is completely unacceptable to us.

As customers and citizens, we recognize Rocky Mountain Power's need to keep and improve the power grid. But the rate structure change looks much more like a bid to make money on the backs customers. It unfairly penalizes citizens who choose to use clean power would be better served with the existing rate structure, which provides clean power to the grid.

I sufer from asthma and also have worked in schools where many of my students also fsméd. They were required to stay indoors during bad air days, but how can a child stay indoors when they must walk to and from school? The air is causing health problems for the most vulnerable people in our society and anything we can do to mitigate that damage should be a high priority do not want to see more children sent to the ER for breathing-related issues exacerbated by poor air quality coftop solar may not completely solve that problem, but it's so much better than the alternative.

Please consider the need for more green options when looking at Rocky Mountain Power's propose and err on the side of the people. Surelythere is a way for RMP to maintain our grid without unfairly penalizing solar users. Please keep Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking **fet**ct.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak to this issue. It means so much to me, both as a health issue myself and for my children and the children I have worked with over the years.

Sincerely,
Julianne (and Michael) Paul
julianne_p@msn.com
801-755-1510
7073 South 2980 West
West Jordan, UT 84084



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Lance Hori <lance@cpa-utah.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:21 PM

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Cc: "markrichards@imwindandsolar.com" <markrichards@imwindandsolar.com>

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing today to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMPs "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Whatever you can do to help suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I feel like Rocky Mountain Power has a unique situation where they are the only power providerand they are trying to discourage the growth of solar energy in fear that the technology will replace or offset the power grid almost entirely.

My friends at Intermountain Wind & Solar have generously donated a solar system to a 501(c)(3) in which I am a board member. I don't know if youseen the news piece on channel four the other day. Here is the link. http://www.good4utah.com/news/local-news/intermountain-wind-and-solar-donates-energy-system

I owe it to them to support their cause and reach out to you as a friend in an efort to help support Intermountain Wind and Solar and other growing Utah solar power companies.

Thank you for accepting public input. Please reject the request submitted by Rocky Mountain Power to charge customers different rates based on the measures they have put in place to save money on energy costs.

Respectfully,

Lance Hori, CPA

Bountiful, Utah (Bountiful Power Client)

lance@cpa-utah.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Whitney Hale <whitneyhale@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:22 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I write to voice my opposition to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power,"Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Whitney Hale, whitneyhale@gmail.com American Fork, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Valeri Day <valeri.day04@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:23 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Valeri Day, Orem, Utah

Valeri.Day04@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Colton Ogden <colt.ogden@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:23 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Colton Ogden

colt.ogden@gmail.com

435-817-7733



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

John Dymock <jdymock187@gmail.com>
To: Psc <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:28 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. With the amount of high density housing that is on the rise in the SL county, our air quality is only going to get worse, not better.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

John Dymock

Jdymock187@gmail.com Herriman, Ut



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brady Schimpf

 For ady_ls@icloud.com>
 To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:30 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I believe in the free market. I believe in clean air and an environmentally sustainable existence. I believe that I, and all people, have the right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. I believe it is incumbent upon government officials to defend those rights, protect the environment, our air and our free markets. Because of this, I am opposed to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

Free Market

Generally speaking, monopolies are illegal in this country. As is the case many utility companies, Rocky Mountain Power is allowed to function as regulated pseudo-monopoly. Within the Rocky Mountain Power grid, consumers are not able to benefit from the f ree market, which Econ 101 tells us provides consumers with a better product at a lower cost.

Distributed PV Solar solves this problem. With the fairly recent advent of economically viable PV , homeowners suddenly can reap the benefits of the free market. They now can choose their power provider , and those providers can compete for their business. Electricity customers can now get a better product (*clean* electricity) at a lower price.

RMP has made the argument that net-metering unfairly shifts costs from solar customers to non solar customers, this is absolutely false. Many studies, by a broad range of institutions across the country have shown that net metering is actually a net benefit to the grid, utilities and non-solar rate payers. The Brookings institution recently published an article detailing these studies and concluded,

The economic benefits of net metering actually outweigh the costs and impose no significant cost increase for non-solar customers. Far from a net cost, net metering is in most cases a net benefit—for the utility and for non-solar rate-payers.

This article also cited a Nevada study which found that as of 2014, the solar installed in the state provided a net *benefit* of \$36 million to *all* NV Energy customers. Please take a few moments to read this article which succinctly compiles dozens of studies into a few pages.

Lastly, think of the Costco analogy. Rocky Mountain Power's proposal is like Costco saying, "We know you are paying your annual membership fee (charges that all grid connected customers pay regardless of usage) for access to our store (power grid), but because you are buying some of your groceries (electricity) elsewhere, you are spending less monthly at our store than the average customer , so we are going to increase your annual fee."

PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13 is anti-free market, and increases the financial burden on the citizens of the state of Utah.

Air & Environment

Utah, is ranked as one of the worst states for air quality in the nation. I'm sure you have read the studies. Poor air quality is linked to all kinds of health issues, asthma, lung disease, heart disease, early death etc. As stated in this article the bad air here is literally killing people. When air quality was really bad earlier this year ,

hospitals and urgent cares saw a 30% spike in patients! link

The Utah Devision of Air Quality 2015 Annual Report shows that Salt Lake and Utah Counties are so bad that they are classified as "Nonattainment Areas" by the EP A. It also shows that "point sources", which includes power plants are major contributors to the problem.

While there are many factors at play here, clean energy could have a massive impact on the air quality in the state. Distributed PV Solar, which costs *nothing* to the taxpayers of the State of Utah, could have a great impact.

PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13 is anti-clean air, and will damage the health of the citizens of the state of Utah.

The Right to Life and the Pursuit of Happiness

I am fairly new to the State of Utah. I moved here with my job, about 2 years ago. I purchased a home, bought a car, met the love of my life, got married and put down roots. I planned to live here for a long time and enjoy all that the state has to of fer. The beautiful mountains, clean cities, great roads, generally well run government, wonderful people, low crime rate, low cost of living, booming economy etc, but the bad air here has had such a negative effect on my health, that I am being forced to re-think that plan.

I grew up in the Pacific Northwest, only a few miles inland and enjoyed clean air. Shortly after my move to Utah, my respiratory health problems began. After 25+ years of no issues, I developed asthma. I began to regularly catch respiratory infections. Earlier this year , when the air was particularly bad, I caught a minor cold, partially recovered, then got another cold, which developed into an upper respiratory infection. That combined with my asthma made breathing so difficult that I had to go on a combination of steroidal anti-inflamatories, anti-histamines, and an Albuterol inhaler .

Towards the end of this bout, I thought I was doing a little better and could get some exercise. That was not a good idea. Despite having dosed up before starting, I had an asthma attack and had to take another dose of Albuterol. Except, I couldn't breath deeply enough to get it into my lungs. Multiple puf fs later, I could breath again.

I have always been for smaller government, less regulation and lower taxes, but as I sat there in my car , shaking from all of the meds I had to take to keep my airway open, just to simply breathe, I realized that the push for clean air and a clean environment is not about bigger government, or more regulation. It is so much bigger than that. It is about our government of ficials defending our right to life, to breath- our rights to Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness.

And here is the best part- PV Solar & net metering are part of the solution to all these problems. PV Solar provides access to the free market, reduces rates and provides a net economic benefit to all electricity consumers. Solar produces zero emission electricity, which helps reduce air pollution without the government spending a dime. Most importantly, by supporting clean air, solar protects the inalienable rights of all people to Life and the Pursuit of Happiness. Really, PV Solar net metering is a no-brainer and a win win for all.

So, why is RMP so bent on killing distributed PV solar? while I have my guesses, one thing is certain- they do not have the best interest of Utahans in mind.

Sincerely,

Brady Schimpf Provo Utah brady Is@icloud.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Beehivecpatt@aol.com <Beehivecpatt@aol.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:33 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I live in South Jordan and recently had solar panels installed in hopes of saving some money on costs for electricity and to help reduce certain emissions into the atmosphere. This comes to you to request that PSC require that more time be allotted for discussion with Rocky Mountain Power before consideration is given for approval of RMP's proposed rate increase for all customers who use solar as a component of their respective electrical power needs. From my perspective, it is apparent that RMP "arranged" this short period for public discussion in order that it would give RMP an advantage that cannot be justified. I trust that you will deny this rate increase request from RMP and instruct them that subsequent rate increase request(s) must allow a reasonable and fair amount of time for public discussion for this group (solar) of customers.

Thank you for allowing me to offer my comments on this most unfair rate request presented by Rocky Mountain Power.

Charles Pattillo 11757 S Sun Tea Way South Jordan, UT 84009 801 656-7897



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Annastasia <anna.copelandrynders@gmail.com>
To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:36 PM

The Rocky Mountain Power proposal to change solar power is threatening our air quality and outlook for a brighter future. This type of legislation makes me sick!



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

lawrencelegacy lawrencelegacy@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:41 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose the surcharge that Rocky Mountain Power wants to pass on Solar users. This not only unethical, but down right prejudice. This should NOT be tolerated. Solar users should be applauded, not penalized.

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S™ III, an A T&T 4G LTE smartphone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jon Jensen <jon5jensen@icloud.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:49 PM

This is a very sad day. I object to Advice No. 16-13

Solar is but a consumer choice, and has provided thousands of jobs for Utahans and give us, the consumers, an option to our power. Normally, if you live in a specific area, you have NO CHOICE, but you have to use RMP and are at their dictates... this is called a MONOPOL Y. Name me one other product in your life that this happens.. that's like saying 'because you live in west Valley, you have to buy a honda'... that sounds sadistic and communistic.

Also, having been born and raised in Utah, the air quality is horrific and isn't getting better... solar is a way to try and scientifically prove that it's helping out beautiful state.

Also, solar generally saved consumers money on their electric bills, which will only be put BACK into the Utah economy to continue to boost consumer spending.

So the 4 main pain points solar his fix:

- 1. Thousands of Utah jobs. This is great for everyone.
- 2. Cleaner air. Everyone wants to breath cleaner air.
- 3. Consumer choice! We are a democratic republic with a free market system that demands choice. If this passes it is a poor show of favoritism and communism.
- 4. More money into utahs economy. This helps our state.

So, in summary, if I asked you these yes or no questions, how would you respond?

Are you in support of thousands of Utah jobs with that number continuing to increase?

Are you in support of cleaner air?

Are you in support of free enterprise and consumer choice?

Are you in support or stimulation and more consumer spending into Utah's economy?

If you answers Yes, to these 4 questions, then we must not allow RMP to pass this. Solar is the answer to all of these.

Your respectfully, A very concerned Utahan,

Jon Jensen 1027 w soho dr Midvale UT 84047 435-512-8864

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Steve Jolley <mysolarconsultant@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:50 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

The information Rocky Mountain Power has provided by their study is not valid because it is not an independent study. This study needs to be audited by an independent qualified source. RMP has not included important information on all the good that rooftop solar provides. Primarily, rooftop solar provides power during peak energy times where RMP can sell the excess power generated by solar for much more money than they are crediting the rooftop solar customers. During demand times for large needs of power, rooftop solar provides many MegaWatts of power. I'ts quite sad if the public service commission that has public trust and put in place to keep RMP from becoming an entity like a monopolybecome a monopoly. RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy primarily because the State of Utah has goals to implement solar. If RMP is allo wed to charge rooftop solar customers, this will neg atively affect all future solar customers and thousands of jobs. I have studied solar photovoltaics for over 6 years now and have read articles and studies from other regions where experts have found rooftop solar to have value to the power system and grid. The costs to maintain the grid balance out. I have also heard lies coming from Rocky Mountain Power's employees telling people with solar and people without solar that "solar is dirty power". This statement is completely false. The pure sign-wage coming from a dc inverter is the cleanest power you can achieve.

I wish to sincerely thank each of you serving on the Public Service Commission for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the Public Service Commission, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Sincerely,

Steven R. Jolley

Alpine, UT e mysolarconsultant@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ryan Hecker <rhecker@live.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:54 PM

Dear Commissioners,

We would like to expressly state our opposition to PacifiCorp/Rocky Mountain Power's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, we urge the Commission suspend the tarlifand not let it take effect December 9th, 2016 as proposed by RMP and that the normal rate-making process be followed for the proposed changes.

We feel RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers within such a jaw-droppingly short time for comment, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. We find it very ironic that a monopoly with all the protections of the State and the freedom from competition only a monopoly can enjoycan choose to crush any other valid options for energy in a state which presumes to place free-market capitalism in high regard. Many other states and utilities have found that rooftop solar add great value to the power system and grid. Rocky Mountain Power has chosen to ignore the wishes of many segments of it's customer base and has been substantially behind the rest of the market in providing clean, green, renewable, non-polluting energy choices. Rocky Mountain Power continues to believe in the proposition that they can continue to force the "unseen" and unrealized but very real costs of dirty coal-fired power onto an unwitting public.

We would like to thank the Commission for accepting public input but strongly feel that the deadline for public comment should be extended. We request the Commission represent our expressed interests and the interests of all ratepayers and the Utah public by rejecting PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Respectfully

Ryan & Deanna Hecker

8251 Mountain View Rd

Lake Point, UT 84074

rhecker@live.com



: Docket #16-035-T14 -Save Solar-□ Keep rates low , No Teriff

1 message

Tracie Terrill <tracieterrill@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:56 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Commissioners,

As a concerned citizen of Utah and someone that is employed in the Solar Industry . Please, please save Solar!!! I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

Please suspend the tariff. The livelihood of thousand are counting on you to keep the balances in check with RMP. Utah residents should be allowed to purchase affordable Solar Systems.

Thank you for your time.

Tracie Terrill 2878S. Davis Blvd Bountiful, Utah 84010 801-671-5275

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Larry Bond Idbond32@gmail.com/
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 8:57 PM

Dear Commissioners,

My name is Larry Bond and I live in Farmington, UT. I am a recent retiree who purchased a modest 1500 square-foot home for my wife and myself and our adult disabled son. One of our goals in retirement was to become as independent as possible from rising costs of living so we installed solar panels and paid for them with a portion of our retirement savings. I believe that with the size of our home and with our solar production of electricity we have significantly reduced our carbon imprint on the community.

I am strongly opposed to the proposed PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016 because I believe that it significantly discourages further residential solar development at a time when this technology is beginning to make an impact on energy production in the State of Utah. I fear that even with the proposed "grandfathering" of existing solar systems out of the proposed penalties and fees, I will at some point be included in the new rate schedule and lose my goal of being more energy efficient.

I am certain that the PSC will do the right thing for the people of Utah and not bend to the one-sided proposals of Rocky Mountain Power and PacifiCorp as they attempt to stifle a growing and competitive industry.

Your Fellow Citizen,

Larry Bond LDBOND32@GMAIL.COM



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Matthew Bean <mbean@byu.edu>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:01 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I'm writing to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I believe that RMP's proposed tariff has not been adequately justified by the company and that its assertions regarding the capital cost structure that it faces currently are not supported by compelling evidence.

RMP should be required to explain the basis on which it makes its assertion that rooftop solar customers are unfairly benefitted if a tariff is not charged. Please look carefully at the reasoning used to justify this tariff and whether the recovery of supposed capital expenditures is truly justified.

Thank you.

Matt Bean

87 W 725 N

Lindon, Utah 84042



Docket #14-035-114 and #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

PHIL ROMNEY <philromney@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:02 PM

Dear Commissioners:

I'm writing to voice my opposition to Rocky Mountain Power's (RMP) recent filing of Advice No. 16-13 to change the rooftop solar rate structure effective next month. My concerns are several, among which are the following:

- The timing and fast track nature of RMP's request are suspect. Important changes such as this should be subject to thorough scrutiny and should allow ample opportunity for public input. Any action that attempts to circumvent the normal ratemaking process, as RMP's proposal does, should be soundly rejected by the Commission.
- RMP's proposal fails to adequately consider the many benefits of rooftop solar, among which are: reducing the need to build expensive new generation capacity and transmission infrastructure and reducing the environmental, public health and economic impacts of fossil fuel consumption.
- RMP's proposed rate increase will destabilize the burgeoning solar industry in Utah, resulting in the loss of thousands of jobs and economic loss to the state. In order from homeowners to be willing to make the significant investment in rooftop solar, there needs to be some predictability regarding future rates. I speak from personal experience. I have been investigating an investment in rooftop solar for the last three months and was very close to making the decision to invest when I learned of RMP's proposal. Now I'm seriously reconsidering my decision because I have no confidence in what future power rates will be and, thus, what the payback period will be. I can imagine that many others are having similar reservations.

If approved, RMP's rate increases will effectively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significantly harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry. I respectfully urge the Commission to reject RMP's current rate change request. Thank you for the opportunity to provide input and for considering my request and similar requests of other interested and concerned citizens. Respectfully,

Phil Romney
South Jordan, UT
philromney@msn.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Scott Golightly <scott_golightly@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:05 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to you in opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed November 9, 2016. This advice would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I would urge you to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Any change of this magnitude should be examined and handled through the normal rate change process and not fast tracked.

I especially feel that this change and the timing was designed to reduce the amount of public discussion to enable the rate change to take effect. If this happens not only will many in the solar industry in Utah lose their jobs it will be harder for those contemplating rooftop solar to be able to pay back the system. While I believe there are costs to Rocky Mountain Power to provide power to customers I am not sure that the proposed rate change effectively addresses that issue. To me it seems punitive and designed to reduce the rate of new rooftop solar installations. While I and other owners of rooftop solar panels have been told that the rate change will only affect now installations there is no guarantee of that. In addition I feel this proposal will negatively effect the resale value of my home if I should ever choose to sell it.

Thank you so much for taking the time to read my comments. If possible you should extend the deadline for comments so more Utahan's can respond to the advice from Rocky Mountain Power.

Scott Golightly Orem, UT

scott golightly@hotmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Harold Young harold Young harold Young harleymix3360@gmail.com

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:07 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: Creig Paskins <cpaskins@gmail.com>, Blaine Gott <pridemas@msn.com>

Dear Commissioners,

I want to express my opposition to Pacific Corp-RMP's Advice No. 16-13" filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure

for rooftop solar customers. I urge the PSC to deny the utility's fast-track request and require it to use the normal rate-making

process for its proposed changes.

The utility continues to ignore rooftop solar's contributions to reducing its peak capacity requirements, which reduces the need for

building more power plants and burning more fuel. Rooftop solar means less carbon dioxide and particulate emissions from traditional power plants. Until now, the utility and traditional grid customers have passed the costs of climate change and respiratory illnesses to the general public.

Over 3,000 solar jobs in Utah would be put in jeopardy by RMP's move to halt the growth of non-utility [i.e. rooftop] solar.

It is clear that the utility prefers to concentrate Utah 's future solar growth in limited-scale solar programs [e.g. Subscriber Solar] it can control.

That is not in our best interest!

What is in our best interest is CLEAN AIR, and solar can be part of the answer. We are headed into another winter where we have already started to see the inversions and poor air quality along the Wasatch. How much longer are we going to have some of the worst air on the planet.

Your actions on this proposal could be the change we need to improve the air quality in Utah.

Thank you so much for accepting public input, on this very important issue, however I do feel that the deadline should be extended.

I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Harold Young
Ogden Ut.
haroldbuis@gmail.com



Advice no 16-13. Docket #16-035. T 14 public comment:

1 message

Susan Sunda Marchant <susansunda@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:07 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Public Service Commission,

I have had rooftop solar for less than 2 months. I was assured that Rocky Mtn Power would require \$6 a month...no more...I was told that this amount would not change. So now they want ...\$50 more...on top of what I am paying for my solar service and panels...\$95.00 every month ...this is what I was paying Rocky MTn Power every month. So NOW?...I will be paying \$150 every month!

They would be TOO POWERFUL!!

My husband passed and I am on a limited budget. I am 75 years old...I thought I was being responsible ..helping Utah.. Helping me...

I oppose the recent proposal ...Docket #16-035.....T14 Public comment....that would change the rate structure...they are a monopoly...no fair to me and other Utahans who care about becoming more self sufficient! Please reject Advice no 16-13.

Thank you for listening and caring.

Susan S Marchant 8955 Pineview Drive Huntsville, Utah

Sent from my iPad



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Heather Baldock <heather.eira@gmail.com> To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:09 PM

Please fight back against Rocky Mountain Power. Prove that you represent the best interests of your constituents by defending solar jobs, supporting homeowners who have made the environmentally sound decision to adopt power and challenge the monopoly Rocky Mountain Power holds over our energy industry. Protect Utah citizens and our beautiful state for decades to come by preserving solar power. I have friends who work in solar who are devastated about the potential detrimental effects of this decision. Please. I want to believe that our government represents and cares about their people, not just about padding their wallets and positions with Rocky Mountain Power checks.

Thank you.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kenneth North <kennorth@digis.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:10 PM

Present Commissioners.

At a time when we all are trying to help the environment and trying to achieve more benefit to families; this proposal by the power company is not favorable, realistic for families nor for the future of our environment. I urge you to reject the proposal put forth by PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016.

Please keep us in mind and reject this proposal as bad for Utah, Utah families and Utah business. Please ensure that the activities of our utilities keep a service oriented outlook on the citizens of our state. I don't believe that the timing of this movement by Pacific Corp during the Thanksgiving holiday to be friendly to us as customers or to you as those who watch over the interests of the families of Utah.

Thank you for the service you render as our representation on issues pertinent to Utah families. I ask that you reject completely PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016.

Sincerely,

Kenneth North

435-851-5528



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kali Ehinger <kehinger@apexsolarsolutions.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:14 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I STRONGLY oppose Pacific-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" filed Nov. 9, 2016. Me, my family, and friends all urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th.

We should all continue to have the right to choose how we want to supply power to our own homes. This is unconstitutional and restricts free trade.

I don't understand how we as a state can limit access to clean and ef ficient energy. The solar industry is providing such an economic boom in Utah and many other places. It's hard to even understand how this proposal is legal. We need to suspend this tariff and continue to try to better our environment and fight for our rights!

The use of renewable energy, in particular rooftop solar, is a win, win for everyone. From the economic jump its providing residential customers and business'. To the power companies being able to more efficiently and effectively keep up with demand charges. Lastly, to reducing our carbon footprint which Utah so desperately needs.

Lets cause change here. Lets join and support this industry and recommend for others to follow. Our future generations need this and depend on us to demand change is taken! t

There are many creditable articles and countless studies from other regions where it has been proven that rooftop solar has a significant value to the power system and the grid. In conclusion, I oppose the Pacific-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13".

Thank you very much for accepting public input. Please keep in mind the interests of the ratepayers and the general public. Please reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request. If we do not come to a better agreement then we are effectively killing the entire industry and we will regret that decision.

Kali Ehinger South Jordan UTAH 801-641-7860



Reject Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Aaron Stephenson <aaronmstephenson@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:18 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy . Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power , and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Aaron Stephenson, aaronmstephenson@gmail.com, Taylorsville, UT



Docket-#16-035-T14 Public comment

1 message

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:18 PM

Dear Commissioners.

As a concerned citizen of Utah, I would like to state my opposition to pacifiCorp-RMP's advice no. 16-13, filed nov 9, 2016 that would change the structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge you to not let this take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain power has proposed. I urge you to have them keep their rates the same.

Thank you

Kaliee Royall West Bountiful, Utah.



PacifiCorp--RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" filed Nov. 9, 2016

1 message

Brian Sellers <sellersksm@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:19 PM

We are writing in strong opposition to PacifiCorp--RMP's "Advice No. 16-13," filed No. 2016. We are existing customers of PacifiCorp--RMPwho have a great interest in solar power and are considering the installation of solar power panels for our personal electrical supplyWe are doing this for two reasons: First, we live in Salt Lake County and we have great fligfulties every winter from the inversions that trap the bad air in our countyWe hope that the people of our county and state will have the foresight to clean up Utah's dirty air and reduce our carbon footprint. This is important for the health and wealth of all of us who live in this area. Second, we believe that ultimately solar power will be less expensive than the existing procedure of generating electricity

Obviously Advice No 16-13 would make it financially unfeasible for us to proceed with the installation of solar panels. It is vastly unfair for a utility monopoly to make it financially impossible for individual citizens to proceed with the installation of solar power for their individual use.

It would be a huge disservice to us and all citizens of the state of Utah to shut down residential solar power. We believe that PacifiCorp--RMP also understands that energy in the future will need to be generated by solar power and by other environmentally friendly methods. Howe, were also think that they are very concerned about maintaining their monopoly as the sole provider and producer of electricity. Thus we see their actions in this Advice No. 16-13 as one for to maintain their monopoly and to shut down residential solar power in Utah.

We believe that in the future residences and business will harness cleaning energy from the sun, store it in batteries, and be entirely dfthe grid. This scenario is becoming more of a reality and Advice No. 16-13 is a desperate effort by PacifiCorp to keep their monopoly on the production of energy and to stop progress and end consumer choice and control.

It would be our hope that the Public Service Commission would keep in mind that they represent the people and not the huge monopoly of PacifiCorp. Why understand PacifiCorp.—RMP made an effort in the 2016 Utah legislative session to substantially reduce the installation of residential solar power We see this Advice No. 16-13 as a continuation of that attempt. Whare aware that the utility lobbyists have great influence with the Legislature.

We need the Public Service Commission to represent us the citizens of Utah and help us maintain our financial ability to install personal solar power panels.

Thank you for your consideration.

Brian and Shirley Sellers

801-943-7136



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Holly Allison hballison13@msn.com
To: "psc@utah.gov" rpsc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:21 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am writing to voice my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's advice no. 16-13, filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge you to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect on December 9, 2016 as RMP has proposed and require them to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes. As a consumers in Utah, my husband and I are trying to help clean up our air, save money, and find energy sources that we can sustain through our life time. We have made a large investment in putting solar panels on our rooftop and need the lower electricity bills to help of fset those costs. Where we are willing to put our own moneys into the project I don't feel it is reasonable for RMP to penalize us for our investment that will benefit them in the long term as it has in other states. Please do not allow RMP to limit fair trade and limit our access to clean and efficient energy. I'd like to thank the commission for accepting public input on this matter. Renewable solar energy is vital to our nation and state. Please reject the PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Sincerely, Holly Allison 156 South Village Way Fruit Heights Utah 84037

Sent from my iPad



Concerned

1 message

Bronwen Oehlschlager

 bronwenlise@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:24 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a concerned citizen of Utah, I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

For reasons that seem to be obvious to us as residence of Utah, these actions of Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed. They simply should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. These changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power not only affect me, but every other individual within the state who currently breaths the unclean air we experience within the state. Various articles support that the use of solar helps to reduce the need for other less clean forms of energy generation. I would personally like to thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Sincerely, Bronwen Oehlschlager Alpine, Utah bronwenlise@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Adam Liberatore <aliberat@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:24 PM

Dear Commissioners,

This is bad!

Cheers,

Adam

Logan UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

JESS <GREEN3046@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:26 PM

Dear Commissioners,

We are strongly apposed to RMP's "Advise No. 16-13", filed Nov9, 2016. We urge you to reject the tariff proposed. We feel that the proposed measures will unfairly crush the solar industry in Utah. People of this state will be forced based on where they live to buy power from the only source (RMP) that is available. The move will surely force thousands of Utah workers to loose their jobs and much revenue to go out of state.

thank you for your consideration.

Jess Green

368 Plum Pl

Saratoga Springs, UT

84045

801-636-2702



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Howard Quackenbush <novardquackenbush@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Howard Quackenbush <novardquackenbush@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:27 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am writing to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed November 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Rocky Mountain power has a history of making it very difficult for any groups or individuals to compete with energy production in Utah. It now appears that they are aggressively trying to attack private citizens and small businesses that are trying to use solar energy to of fset their costs. I am also asking the commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect on December 9, 2016 as Rocky Mountain power has proposed and I urge you to have Rocky Mountain power use the normal rate making process for its proposed changes.

I have dealt with Rocky Mountain power several times over the past few years as a private person and as a business owner in Millard County. Any new construction is required to pay significant connection charges, reimburse Rocky Mountain power for the transformers, and are subject to rate increases frequently. I recently installed a solar system to help fund a professional building that I own to ofset the cost of 3 businesses in that building. Not only is Rocky Mountain power proposing these new fee structures they are also proposing monthly fees to be allowed to connect with a net meter. This seems very unfair and unethical since Rocky Mountain Power will take all the excess power that my system will generate and does not reimburse me for the excess. They take free power from my system to supply their network and then try to charge me extra fees because I am using a solar system.

I am aware that they have a very powerful lobbying group in Utah but I feel you as a commission will be able to protect your constituents and individuals from this financial overreach.

Thank you for allowing me to give my input regarding this situation. I trust that you will keep the people of Utah in mind as you consider PacifiCorp-RMP's rate and tariff requests. I hope that you will reject these and have them go through the proper process.

Sincerely,

L Howard Quackenbush II 95 S. White Sage A ve Suite C Delta, Utah 84624 435-864-2308



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Zachary Landers <zacharylanders@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:28 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. Rocky Mountain Power is not promoting benefits for their customers, our communities, our families, or our futures.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Zachary J Landers 801-541-6506 zacharylanders@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

jeremy wright <jeremyw83@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:29 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am in the process of getting rooftop solar panels for my residence. Wheed to have the use of clear energy to sace teh environment. With the cost of solar panels and the increase in the rate structure will prevent future home owners from trying to do the part in providing a better future for our children There will always be a need for powerand with the increase in population and electricity consumption; roof top solar is a method that will decrease the continual need for a power source (c plants). RMP made solar farms to provide that option for its customers at a cheaper rate, but on the other hand this rate increase is hurting the residences that have already added soldtrwould be cheaper for people to get solar power from RMP as opposed to getting electricity from their rooftop solar. On top of that it would wipe out the solar panel industry in Utah. I understand the need for infrastructure to collect the power but when solar customer are over producing, they are feeding pointo the grid. I believe this is more a profit move as opposed to a need for RMP to service everybod also believe this big push to pass right before Thanksgiving is deceiving. They are mixing it in with t holidays to decrease public interest/comments. This statement is in regards to: PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particularurge the Public Service Commission to suspend the taffand not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal ratemaking process for its proposed changes.

I chose to go solar to help the environment for my kids and future grandkids. I am trying to do my parto help out the world. I also thought that during the summer time that I will decrease the demand/dra on the grid because I will be producing my own power his is a profit move my RMP and I strongly oppose this.

Thank you for accepting public input in this mattethough the deadline should be extended. Respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp RMP's current rate change request.

Jeremy Wright

Saratoga Springs, Utah

jeremyw83@hotmail.com



Solar Energy Panels

1 message

Rocelyn Walker <rocelynfwalker@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:30 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's Advise No. 16-13, filed November 9, 2016, which would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. We urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it go into effect December 9th as proposed by RMP. This proposal has been raised with an unreasonably short notice so that the people would not have time to learn of what was happening nor have the time to respond as needed.

As a family in Sandy, Utah, we contracted with Legend Solar, October 2015, in what we thought was a long term benefit for all parties concerned. It was being promoted by the State, and the State was willing to provide incentives to families to have clean power that would supplement the coal and hydro power. We went into debt for the next 15 to 20 years, in order to become self sufficient, thinking solar power would be a wise long term choice, even though we are paying much more per month than previously. In implementing such increases RMP would essentially become a monopoly and restrict free trade at the same time limiting access to clean and ef ficient energy. Additionally, studies have shown that in other regions that there is great value in having rooftop solar to supplement the local power systems and grid. As in Las Vegas, we believe, that solar companies for the most part would not survive and would leave current customers without the warranty and service needed for the long term. There is also a strong possibility that an undue hardship would occur in increasing the costs by not grandfathering in the current customers who have made large financial commitments to pay for expensive panels.

Thank you for your consideration and understanding in this matter. We respectfully request that the PSC, in the interest of the ratepayers and the Utah public, that you reject the PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Rocelyn Walker Sandy, Utah 84093 Rocelynfwalker@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kaytlin Behag <katebehag@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Kaytlin Behag <katebehag@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:30 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power , "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. Rocky Mountain Power is not promoting benefits for their customers, our communities, our families, or our futures.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Kaytlin Landers katebehag@yahoo.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

TheHanceyChannel <joshuajhancey@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:37 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. This is a great way to show the state, the USA, and the world that Utah is leading the revolution for change!

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Joshua Hancey, joshuajhancey@gmailcom, Orem, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Pat Bohm <patbt60@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:38 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effectDecember 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

Why is there such a short public comment period when the change in rate structure will have such a large effect on solar customers? No fair! My family counted on a 10-14 year payback period. Allow other families to have the same.

I also object to the restriction on fair trade, as well as the discouraging effect on access to clean and efficient energy. The jobs provided through the solar industry are valuable to our state. It only makes sense to support solar power in a state like ours that is blessed with more than 250 sunny days a year.

Thank you for accepting public input. Please extend the deadline to allow others who may be traveling or otherwise occupied during this holiday week. Please reject PacificCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's current rate change request.

Sincerely,

Patricia and Glen Trostle Logan Utah 84341 patbt60@gmail.com glen.trostle@gmail.com



Advice No. 16-13 filed Nov. 9, 2016

1 message

Ethan Parker <ethan.parker@vivintsolarcom> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:41 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Ethan Parker ethan.parker@vivintsolarcom Lehi, UT

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

D. Bret Smith <dbretsmth@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:43 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I would like to s tate my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I and all my family and neighbors urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I have a right to choose how I supply power to my home. This is unconstitutional and restricts free trade. Why would you or how can we as a state limit access to clean and ef ficient energy. This would unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers as well. The solar industry is providing so much of an economic boom in Utah that to not suspend the proposal, therefore effectively killing the industry, is going to cause hardship for so many people and business'.

The use of renewable energy, in paticular rooftop solar, is a win, win, win for everyone. From the economic boom it provides to residential customers and business', to the power company being able to more efficiently and more effectively keep up with demand charges, and lastly reducing our carbon footprint which Utah so desperately needs. Lets cause change here. Lets be at the forefront of this industry for others to follow. Our future generations need this and depend on us to put our foot down and demand a better place for them then what we are currently leaving behind. I have read many articles and seen countless studies from other regions where it has been proven that rooftop solar has significant value to the power system and the grid.

Thank you very much for accepting public input. Please keep in mind the interests of the ratepayers and the general public. Please reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request. Or suspend it until we can find a more reasonable agreement. If we do not then we again are efectively killing the entire industry and we will regret that decision.

D. Bret Smith
Draper, Utah
Dbretsmth@gmail.com
(801)641-4819

Sent from my iPhone



(no subject)

1 message

nick sorensen <nick94sorensen@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:46 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I very much strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. And I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe and feel that it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution and I feel most Utahns will and would agree with me.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Nick Sorensen

Nick94sorensen@gmail.com

Draper, UT

Sent from my iPad



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:46 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brian Prete



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tony Stauffer <tstauffer777@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:46 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Tony Stauffer tstauffer777@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jiovan Melendez jiovan@me.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:49 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Jiovan Melendez jiovan@me.com Lehi, UT



Docket # 16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Derik Moore <derik.moore1@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:50 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am strongly opposed to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. I believe that this proposal unfairly changes the rate structure for rooftop solar customers, and limits the amount of legitimate competition for power supplies.

I would like to ask the Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking effect on December 9th. I would suggest that the normal rate-making process be used for all customers including Solar.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Thank you,

Derik Moore derik.moore1@gmail.com 235 E Sunshine Dr Saratoga Springs, UT 84045



Docket #16-035-t14

1 message

Makenzie ONeal <makenzie.oneal@outlook.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:50 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a concerned citizen of Utah, I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

For reasons that seem to be obvious to us as residence of Utah, these actions of Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed. They simply should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. These changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power not only affect me, but every other individual within the state who currently breaths the unclean air we experience within the state. Various articles support that the use of solar helps to reduce the need for other less clean forms of energy generation. I would personally like to thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Makenzie ONEAL
Makenzie.oneal@outlook.com

Sent from my TMobile 4G LTE Device



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Randy Luck < luckrandy@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" < psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:52 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Randy Luck

Eagle Mountain, UT



Randy M Luck 801-899-3737



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Pam Smith <pam_smith@comcast.net>
To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:55 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am against the PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Please suspend the tariff and do not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. They should be required to use the normal rate-making process for changes.

Clean air need to be a priority. Solar Power is one way to help and it needs to be affordable. Free trade should not be restricted. Our health is impacted when polution continues to increase. We have invested in rooftop solar panels and are encouraging our neighbors to do the same and use clean & efficient energy. Adding power to the grid can also benefit the power company.

Thank you for your concern. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Sincerely, Pamela T. Smith Highland, Utah pam_smith@comcast.net



Rooftop solar -- docket no. 16-035 T14 public comment

1 message

erin.mcmahon14@gmail.com <erin.mcmahon14@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 9:56 PM

I am writing to affirm my opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky mountain power's advice No 16-13. Rooftop solar energy is vitally important to clearing Utah's air issues, and solar energy must be protected from energy interests seeking to protect their profits. The public health disaster we deal with every winter, and now in the summer too must be addressed at every turn. Please do not let this pass.

Thank you for listening to the public and for your time.

Sincerely, Erin Rosado Salt Lake City, Utah



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Imacintosh@cut.net < Imacintosh@cut.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:03 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

The key reasons why: I feel RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & ef ficient energy. I live in a home using solar and this would limit future developments which would improve my system. There are many changes about to be made public which would suffer restricted use if PacificCorp-RMP could control users. There is enough slowdown in the industry as it is without additional restriction through regulations from affected entities.

I thank the Commissioners for accepting public input; though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Larry Macintosh PO Box 970094 Orem, UT 84097

Lmacintosh@cut.net



FW: Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

jallison1306@gmail.com <jallison1306@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:06 PM

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: jallison1306@gmail.com

Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 10:00 PM

To: psc@utah.cgov

Subject: Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes. What RMP wants to do is unacceptable. If approved, RMP's rate increase will effectively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significantly harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry and eliminate consumer choice in energy production.

Our Great State of Utah is funding higher education campuses such as Applied Technical Colleges and Community Colleges to train people for the Solar Industry. This rate increase is the most anti-solar and anti-business rate proposal to date across the nation. If accepted, it very well could destroy the solar industry overnight and be very detrimental to the people of our GREAT State of Utah.

RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. I am in the commercial building construction industry and have observed many customers who want to do the right thing for our environment by using alternative energy only to find out that it is cost prohibitive for their companies due to the time for payback on their investment. Because of this experience in business I delayed purchasing solar panels for my home also, until recently. My wife and I recently decided to do the right thing for our environment and buy panels even though the payback was longer than we preferred. With the new RMP rate hikes it will take significantly longer for the payback and makes very little financial sense for us by taking two to three times longer. It is not right for a government regulated monopoly type company to take advantage like this.

Thank you for accepting public input, although the deadline should be extended. I appreciate what you do to protect us from monopoly's overreach. I respectfully request the Public Service Commission, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Respectfully submitted,

Jim Allison

Fruit Heights, Utah

Jallison1306@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Charles Machinski <charlesjmachinski@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Charles Machinski <charlesjmachinski@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:06 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a concerned citizen I am writing to express my opposition to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

There are multiple reasons for my concern. First, this proposal will allow Rocky Mountain Power to chage an unfair rate increase to people using rooftop solar (It is obvious this proposal was designed so Rocky Mountain Power can monopolize the power industry in Utah) Second, solar energy is clean and sustainable. Something we desperately need in Utah considering our air quality in the Salt Lake Valley was ranked as one of the poorest in the country. Third, if accepted, this proposal will have a negative impact on our economy. (The solar industry has employed thousands of people in Utah. However, just like Nevada, if we do not protect the consumer then we will not have a market for it and jobs will be lost and companies might decide to relocate to a state that is more solar friendly)

Based on these factors, I cast my vote to protect the consumer, create a better living environment and promote a vibrant economy that welcomes sustainable energy alternatives for our great state. I respectfully request the Public Service Commission do the same by suspending the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9. If you are going to protect the consumer and our economy, then Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

Please know I appreciate and value your willingness to accept public input on this critical issue. I respectfully request you preserve the interest of the people, environment and Utah's economy and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Charles Machinski

CharlesJMachinski@yahoo.com

Sandy, Utah



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tracie <tracieb@digis.net> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:06 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Please suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

The cost increase proposal would kill the solar industry in Utah. Because most of us are not wealthy, we would not be able to afford clean energy. We are at the mercy of Rocky Mountain Power, it appears they can do whatever they want and no one can or will stop them. In the last few months we purchased 6 solar panels to help offset some of our power usage and to do our part to help save the planet. 6 panels are all we could afford, but figured it is better than none. I don't believe for a minute that we will be grandfathered into the old rate system for long, and if we are required to pay the new rates, our power bills will most likely be much higher than before we purchased the panels. We are nearing retirement age, and will have to put off retirement if that happens. This change affects real people, and Rocky Mountain Power needs to be stopped.

Thank you for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. Please, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Tracie Beyer

Tremonton, UT

tracieb@digis.net



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mike Windley <mawindley@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:09 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a concerned citizen of Utah, I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

For reasons that seem to be obvious to us as residents of Utah, these actions of Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed. They simply should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & ef ficient energy. These changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power not only affect me, but every other individual within the state who currently breaths the unclean air we experience within the state. Various articles support that the use of solar helps to reduce the need for other less clean forms of energy generation. I would personally like to thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Sincerely, Michael Windley 2530 West 2725 North Farr West Utah 84404 mawindley@Gmail.com



liDocket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Lucas Featherstone <lucas.featherstone@vivintsolar.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:10 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Lucas Featherstone

Lucas.featherstone@vivintsolarcom

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Nicole Mangino Sumner <nicolemsumner1@gmail.com> To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:11 PM

I'd like to oppose Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to hike rates on net-metering for solar customers. Solar is the future of sustainable energy in our community. With Utah's terrible air quality, we desperately need alternate energy sources, and this would be a dangerous step backwards.

Sincerely, Nicole Sumner Resident in Salt Lake City 84105



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

D. Bret Smith <dbretsmth@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:11 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Commissioners,

I would like to s tate my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I and all my family and neighbors urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I have a right to choose how I supply power to my home. This is unconstitutional and restricts free trade. Why would you or how can we as a state limit access to clean and ef ficient energy. This would unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers as well. The solar industry is providing so much of an economic boom in Utah that to not suspend the proposal, therefore effectively killing the industry, is going to cause hardship for so many people and business'.

The use of renewable energy, in paticular rooftop solar, is a win, win, win for everyone. From the economic boom it provides to residential customers and business', to the power company being able to more efficiently and more effectively keep up with demand charges, and lastly reducing our carbon footprint which Utah so desperately needs. Lets cause change here. Lets be at the forefront of this industry for others to follow. Our future generations need this and depend on us to put our foot down and demand a better place for them then what we are currently leaving behind. I have read many articles and seen countless studies from other regions where it has been proven that rooftop solar has significant value to the power system and the grid.

Thank you very much for accepting public input. Please keep in mind the interests of the ratepayers and the general public. Please reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request. Or suspend it until we can find a more reasonable agreement. If we do not then we again are efectivly killing the entire industry and we will regret that decision.

Rachael Smith Draper, Utah Rachael.smith@live.com (801) 641-4967

D. Bret Smith

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Zach Cottman <zcottman@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:19 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Zachary Cottman, zcottman@gmail.com



"Docket #16-035-T14"

1 message

Dave Roseburg <dave@rjtechnicalservices.com>
To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:20 PM

I am requesting to stop Rocky Mountain Power's rate hike proposal associated with solar residential customers. Our company installs residential solar systems all over Utah. My job is to provide all the solar materials for my companys install crews and electricians. We only buy our solar materials from Utah distributors like...Codale Electric, We esco Dist, Platt Electric, CED, Border States Electric, EWS, Royal Wholesale, Home Depot (Layton) and more. Each time I order a bill of materials for a solar job (solar panels, inverters, optimizers) I realize this is only a good thing for Utah. These solar systems provide our customer with zero emission clean electricity to run their homes on. These solar installs are keeping our UT air clean and are the responsible thing to do as humans living on this planet. No other source of electricity is as clean as the power generated by the sun.

Myself, co-workers and all the local businesses we utilize to build these systems relies on this rate hike proposal not getting approved. I think it is great that we are contributing to a cleaner planet.

David Roseburg

PURCHASING MANAGER

8 801-546-1970

801-513-4269

801-546-0431

💡 3250 N 750 E, Layton, UT 84041

dave@rjtechnicalservices.com



This message (and any associated files) may contain confidential and/or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient or authorized to receive this for the intended recipient, you must not use, copy, disclose or take any action based on this message or any information herein. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender immediately by sending a reply e-mail and delete this message. Thank you for your cooperation.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Randy Wilkerson <rwilke_icefisherman@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Randy Wilkerson <rwilke_icefisherman@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:20 PM

Dear Commissioners,

It is REALLY hard to believe that Rocky Mountain Power is trying to abuse the established procedure for making a proposed rate increase. What they are trying to do is so similar to what happened in Nevada that its scaryThis is such an over reach of government entities. Why the rush? Why not more time for community input? WHO ISOTBENEFIT BY SUCH A RIDICULOUSY SHORT TIME FOR PUBLIC INPUT!!!!! You guessed it, Rocky Mountain Powerlf this is such an important issue then wouldn't stepping back and taking a good look from all angles? Don't you find it interesting that the minute the public chooses to make a decision that is best for the environment and are willing to personally fund it at a 10-12 year hit to recoup their monethat a power company want to put taffs on it to their benefit. This is sooooo......wrong in so many ways. Oh, bye the way I need to include that this was proposed on November 9th as "Advice no.16-13" by PacifiCorps RMP's with a deadline for public comment on November 22. Then they want to put this intofect on December 9th. Doesn't that seem to the commissioners to have a ridiculously short time line? ARE YOU KIDDING ME! This is wrong on so many levels. Please deny this request and at the least allow more time for public input. I love my solar and really hate the fact that an entity such as Rocky Mountain Power ever has the possibility to making it financially prohibitive for other in our state to be able to use their freedom of choose to enjoy solar if they so desire. It appears to me that RMP got caught with their pants down and now are seeing their lack of being proactive costing them. So now their try to use the system to bail themselves out. Please don't let them get away with thisolve against the proposed tariff or at least extend the time and opportunity for public comment. Thank you for listening to me. Fee free to call at801-360-1174 or e-mail me atrwilke icefisherman@yahoo.com

Sincerely,

Randy L. Wilkerson



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Regan Barnes <reganbarnes@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:23 PM

- Dear Commissioners:
- I am firmly opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge you to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. RMP need to be required to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.
- The key reasons why I feel RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers is that this is bad for private enterprise, it restricts free trade, and limits consumer access to clean & efficient energy. Personally I haven't purchased solar panels yet but am investigating doing so, but such changes would be an obstacle in my decision. Many articles and studies from other regions have found rooftop solar to have value to the power system and grid, and this makes sense both economically as well as environmentally.
- Thank you for accepting public input. Please consider extending the deadline so that the holiday week is not detrimental. Again, I respectfully request that you, the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.
- Sincerely, Regan Barnes
- West Valley City, Utah
- reganbarnes@hotmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Nick Forsey <nick4c48@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:25 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Nick Forsey, nick4c48@gmail.com, Provo, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

John Blackham, N7TEK <n7tek1@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:29 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: John Blackham <n7tek1@gmail.com>, "L-3 (Blackham, John L)" <john.l.blackham@l-3com.com>, ICE-Gayle Blackham <gblackham@l-3com.com>, David Harris <novialist@gmail.com>, Rick Donkin <fdonkin@comcast.net>

Dear Commissioners,

I purchased a grid-tied solar system about 2 years ago and I oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) has proposed and require RMP to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

RMP is unreasonably raising the costs for solar customers! I feel that either they are using a bait & switch tactic if they were to change things now because they said rooftop solar would be a win for them and a win for their solar customers and now they want to change things now that they have us hooked in by having purchased a system. If this was not their intent and their motives are only economic then whoever developed the initial plan and did the research should be held responsible for the errors in their projections.

I have made a large investment based upon the original rates set forth and I don't trust their analysis. If I had known that they were going to change the rates a mere 2 years down the road I would not have purchased a system. Also if they raise the rates now they will effectively kill the solar installation and related businesses/industry that have developed here in Utah because few people will want to invest so much for such a meager return on investment.

The main advantage that I see to my system is that in the hot summer months I generate excess electricity that RMP uses to offset the demand yet they don't have to pay out anything to maintain my system because I own it and maintain it at no cost to them.

I would like to thank the Public Service Commissioners for taking my input, and I would encourage you to consider extending the deadline for comment since many solar system owners are just hearing about this request for rate hike (I just heard about it yesterday).

I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers, and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Thank you, John Blackham

John Blackham, N7TEK

HOME: 384 North 75 East, North Salt Lake, UT, USA 84054-2320

Sent with Mailtrack



Docket #16-035-T14 -Save Solar-□ Keep rates low , No Teriff

1 message

Doug Terrill <thedougterrill@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:30 PM

>

>

- > Dear Commissioners,
- > As a concerned citizen of Utah please, please save Solar!!! I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.
- > Please suspend the tariff. The livelihood of thousand are counting on you to keep the balances in check with RMP. Utah residents should be allowed to purchase affordable Solar Systems.
- > Thank you for your time.
- > Doug Terrill
- > 2878S. Davis Blvd
- > Bountiful, Utah 84010
- > 801-856-0865
- >
- >
- > Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Gary Walton <grywalton@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:32 PM

Dear Commissioners:

I understand the PacificCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13, filed Nov 9, 2016, would substantially change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I respectfully urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and not let it take effect on Dec. 9, 2016 as proposed by RMP. Further, it would seen reasonable to require RMP to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

RMP's proposal, while protecting its own interests, is detrimental to the economy and to the interests of many of Utah's residents and citizens. It unduly protects an industry at the expense of citizens' rights to choose how to power their homes. It would limit access to clean and ef ficient energy and, if fewer people opt to invest in solar energy because of the rate increases, it would contribute to the further degradation of Utah's air quality. From a personal level, it seems unfair to punish homeowners who are seeking a more environmentally friendly and (in the long run) cheaper alternative for providing energy to their homes. Utahns should have the right and the option to access solar power without the disincentive of monetary penalites from RMP. They should also have the option of accessing ways of reducing their costs for utilities to protect their financial resources. As you are aware, Nevada passed similiar rate increases and essentially killed the solar industry there. This seems particularly tragic in state where solar energy could be used to such great advantage overall.

Thank you allowing public input into this process. I again respectfully urge the Commissioners to protect the interests if Utah's citizens and ratepayers and reject RMP's current rate-change request.

Sincerely, Gary R. Walton 950 W 20 North Orem, Utah grywalton@gmail.com



Docket#16-035-T14 public comment

1 message

david hull <ddhullooo@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:33 PM

Hello, and to whom it may concern and to who could help us...

we have recently benefited our community our state and local power situation by investing many dollars and time into a new solar system for our home. We have become solar customer enjoying the ability to producer our own power. It concerns us very much that there is what seems to be a monopoly company trying to raise rates and overcome our choice to provide ourselves with clean natural power We would ask very strongly that you consider the time, investment, and future benefit to our family in perpetuity of this investment that we have made in solar power. We would also ask very strongly that you allow people to enjoy the ability to produce power and be self-sufficient on their own in the future. Utah is a state that is in the black. We are amazed at that and feel citizens should be allowed to also maintain their personal providence in the black. Solar power is an option to be able to provide for themselves in the case of emergency with a power source that they have pre-planned, prepared for and paid for by their sweat Blood and Tears.

We would appreciate that you decline the Monopoly companies request to defeat the individual and their desire to be self-sufficient. We would also ask very very strongly that those who have power produced by solar at this time be able to recoup their investment with the contract they have made with the power company for perpetuity. We thank you for your time and consideration in this matter and would remind you that the personal freedoms that we enjoy were based on individuals being able to choose for themselves. This is one of those cases given to us by the Founding Father's and our God to allow us to be the purveyors of our own destiny. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter , we would hope that you empower yourselves to protect our individual rights and freedoms in this case. Thank you again,

David Hull Ddhullooo@gmail.com 4352130662

90 East Jenika Lane Hyde Park Utah 84318



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

A. Dean Omori <deanomori914@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:39 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am writing to inform you of my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers and ask you to suspend the tarif f and reject the rate change.

RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy.

This is crazy that a monopoly can be allowed to make such crazy changes without sufficient time for public input.

Please please please...defer and extend the timeline. This should NOT BE ALLOWED!!

Please allow me to protect our environment with penalty and establish my own independence for a more secure future.

Thank you for hearing my input.

Aaron Dean Omori 634 N 650 E Orem Utah

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

b.ure@synergypowerpv.com <b.ure@synergypowerpvcom> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:40 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose all Rocky Mountains Power's Advice NO. 16-13 filed November 9th 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Please reject the tariff and do not allow it to take effect Decmber 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Please require them to use the normal rate making process for it's proposed changes.

Rocky Mountain Power has been allowed to have a monopoly in Utah for years and they do not have the interest of the public at heart with this new rate proposal. They should not be able to restrict free trade and impose outrageous tariffs on rooftop solar customers. If their proposal goes through rooftop solar in Utah will be dead and I will have to relocate to a state that allows free trade and competition. Rocky Mountains proposal is the most anti solar proposal that has ever been brought before a public utility board in any state. They have used skewed data in an attempt to justify killing any resemblance of competition in Utah.

Thank you for accepting public input, although I do believe the time frame for public input should be extended. Rocky Mountain Power has been planning this for months and the window that the public has been able to digest their terrible proposal has been very short. Please reject all aspects of their proposal.

Bradley Ure
West Haven, Utah
b.ure@synergypowerpvcom



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Austin Somers <austinsomers@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:40 PM

Commissioners:

It's important for me to voice my opposition to Advice No. 16-13 as proposed by PacifiCorp's Rocky Mountain Power.

I understand the importance energy plays in society, which is why I understand and appreciate energy providers like RMP. However, it is also important to understand the innovation and progress that is of fered by the solar industry. And, while it's not a perfect answer to our energy needs, it is truly progress to the core. In history, we've witnessed disruption innovation. And, most of the time, entrenched legacy companies fight tooth and nail to inhibit the progress. This is understandable. But, it's not what's best for society.

We, the citizens of Utah, have subsidized RMP's growth and maintenance of the grid. We have paid them for their service. Now that we have an additional option, RMP is attempting to practically remove that option. This is unacceptable. At the base of the issue is our ability to choose another energy option. Particularly , an option that will help improve our air quality; an option that will lead to innovation and progress. Finally , I believe it's important to support a growing vertical within the Utah economy. Utah is known for being friendly to economic growth and new enterprise. Let's not change that element which makes Utah a great place to live.

Please reject PacificCorp's current rate change request. We've all seen where this will lead by looking no further than Nevada, another Berkshire Hathaway territory. Overnight, they destroyed an industry that provided jobs and energy to thousands of citizens - all in the interest of self preservation.

It's time to move forward to energy independence and innovation.

Respectfully,

Austin Somers-Richfield, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Dallin Gherkins <dallin.gherkins@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:41 PM

Dear Commissioners.

My livelihood as well as tens of thousands of others are also at risk. Rocky Mountain has not evaluated the benefits of customers using their own solar systems. It reduces their overall production costs. The consumers are assuming the liability of their panels and RMP is not. What more could a public service as for? Passing this proposal is 1,000 steps backward. They have been a government protected monopoly because there was no other option. That option is now knocking on our door. If this proposal is accepted that is saying that we do not believe that cleaner, more reliable, and AFFORDABLE, energy is beneficial.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Dallin Gherkins



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Emma Giebler <emma.giebs@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:41 PM

Please, for the sake of the environment, do not hike the rates on net-metering for solar energy customers! This is THE form of energy that we need to pursue, and making people pay more is discouraging future customers from investing in solar energy. It is crucial that we progress to the use of solar energy to help protect the environment!



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kristie Giles <klgslc@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:47 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I'm opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers.

Kristie Giles 116 W Layton Ave Salt Lake City, UT 84115 801-910-6948



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Helen Langan <helenlangan@hotmail.com>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:49 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Helen Langan

834 East Bryan Ave. SLC, UT 84105



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

jrmunk25@gmail.com <jrmunk25@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:49 PM

Greetings Dear Commissioners,

I would like to let it be known that I am in opposition to Pacific Corp-RMP*Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016. This would change the rate structure for rooftop solar users. RMP is proposing that this take effect Dec 9th this year I urge you to suspend the tafiand not let it take effect. Please require them to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

The proposed rate structure would kill the solar industry and thousands of jobs. Jobs that have been earned by providing what the people of Utah want, a choice in how they power their home. I would los my job and the company that I own if this passes. I understand that RMP may need to charge solar users something but what they are asking for is unjustified and plain abusive. Læfind a fair and equitable solution to this. That is after all your responsibility

Thank you for accepting this public input, but please extend the deadline and please reject the current rate change request for the benefit and fairness of all

Utah home owners.

Justin Munk 2370 W. 5800 N. Smithfield, Utah

84335

Paragraph #1: State your opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the taffand not let it take

effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

- ~ Paragraph #2 (optional): Summarize the key reasons why you feel RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & effcient energy. Consider expressing how such changes would personally impact you. Also, feel free to include that you have read articles and studies from other regions where they have found rooftop solar to have value to the power system and grid.
- ~ Last paragraph: Thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. Respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.
- ~ Your personal information: Full name ... City and State ... Email address

Sent from Mail for Windows 10



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Casey Winger <caseywinger@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:55 PM

Dear Commissioners.

As a concerned citizen of Utah, I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular , I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

For reasons that seem to be obvious to us as residence of Utah, these actions of Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed. They simply should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & ef ficient energy. These changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power not only affect me, but every other individual within the state who currently breaths the unclean air we experience within the state. Various articles support that the use of solar helps to reduce the need for other less clean forms of energy generation.

I would personally like to thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Regards,

Casey Winger Providence, UT caseywinger@gmail.com 801-500-3336



Docket# 16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

david lewis <davidlewis_5@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 10:55 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am extremely apposed to Pacific Corp-RMP's Advice No.13-16 filed on Nov 9th 2016. That would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customer in the state of Utah. I urge the public service commission to suspend the tarif f and not let it take effect on Dec. 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require Rocky Mountain to use their normal rate process for its proposed changes.

I feel like Rocky Mountain Power should not be able to unreasonably raise rates for new solar customers how are interested in using clean energy and trying to help the environment in the process by going with solar rooftop energy. Rocky mountains proposal limits free trade and consumer choice and if they are allowed to do this 3 tier billing structure it would essentially make solar energy unaffordable for most families and putting most solar companies out of business and making Rocky Mountain more powerful in the process.

Thank you for your time and for reading my email. I feel the deadline for public comments should be extended, if it were i believe you would find hundred if not thousands of people who are very opposed to this proposal from Rocky Mountain Power. I respectfully ask that PSC, in the interest of ratepayers and the Utah population as a whole, to reject Rocky Mountain Power's current rate change request.

David Lewis 743 south rice road farmington, UT. 84025 davidlewis 5@msn.com



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Pike Sowle <psowle@msn.com>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:00 PM

I oppose Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to change net metering rates as I believe the plan disincentives rooftop solar installations. Utah should be encouraging rooftop solar installation, not doing the opposite. I urge you to send RMP back to the drawing board and require a plan that encourages clean energy solutions in Utah.

Sincerely,

Pike Sowle Cottonwood Heights, UT



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Shane Kearl <shanekearl@icloud.com> To: PSC@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:00 PM

NO! To rate hikes on net-metering!

Please help invest in solar!



Deny Schedule 136 and Its Related Schedule 5 T ariff

1 message

Dan Willems, Sr <danwillems1@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:07 PM

I oppose this rate change and ask the Public Service Commission to deny Schedule 136 and its related Schedule 5 tariff.

The proposal, if approved, will add uncertainty to solar, reduce the financial return of home solar power systems by over half and also reduce motivation to conserve energy In 2015, Utah had the nation's 8th largest solar market. There are over 6000 solar homes and 92 solar businesses in the state supporting nearly 3,000 solar jobs.

If approved, this proposal will apply the brakes to this engine of clean energy growth and establish a dark precedent for other utilities in Utah and surrounding areas.

Respectfully,

Dan Willems 433 West 1185 North Sunset, Utah 84015



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:09 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am writting to state my opposition for PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. I believe that the measures proposed with adversely and unfairly change the rate structure in opposition of residential solar customers.

I would like to request that the commission suspend the tarif and prevent RMP's proposal from going live on 12/9. RMP should use their normal rate-making process as the proper channel to proposal new tarif schedules.

If the tariff goes into effect, I believe that many individuals that would like to benefitfrom sustainable renewable solar energy will not longer be in a position to economically justify such an investment.

Thank you again for welcoming our public comments and for your service.

Jordan Winder jrexwin@gmail.com Utah county



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Benjamin W indhorst

To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:11 PM

I would like to s tate my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I and all my family and neighbors urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I have a right to choose how I supply power to my home. This is unconstitutional and restricts free trade. Why would you or how can we as a state limit access to clean and efficient energy. This would unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers as well. The solar industry is providing so much of an economic boom in Utah that to not suspend the proposal, therefore effectively killing the industry, is going to cause hardship for so many people and business'.

The use of renewable energy, in paticular rooftop solar, is a win, win, win for everyone. From the economic boom it provides to residential customers and business', to the power company being able to more efficiently and more effectively keep up with demand charges, and lastly reducing our carbon footprint which Utah so desperately needs.

Lets cause change here. Lets be at the forefront of this industry for others to follow. Our future generations need this and depend on us to put our foot down and demand a better place for them then what we are currently leaving behind. I have read many articles and seen countless studies from other regions where it has been proven that rooftop solar has significant value to the power system and the grid.

Thank you very much for accepting public input. Please keep in mind the interests of the ratepayers and the general public.

Please reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request. Or suspend it until we can find a more reasonable agreement. If we do not then we again are effectively killing the entire industry and we will regret that decision

Ben windhorst

Bountiful Utah 84010

385-202-4043

Bwindhorst@apexsolarsolutions.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Air Pur <airpurutah@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:13 PM

I would like to s tate my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I and all my family and neighbors urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I have a right to choose how I supply power to my home. This is unconstitutional and restricts free trade. Why would you or how can we as a state limit access to clean and efficient energy. This would unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers as well. The solar industry is providing so much of an economic boom in Utah that to not suspend the proposal, therefore effectively killing the industry, is going to cause hardship for so many people and business'.

The use of renewable energy, in paticular rooftop solar, is a win, win, win for everyone. From the economic boom it provides to residential customers and business', to the power company being able to more efficiently and more effectively keep up with demand charges, and lastly reducing our carbon footprint which Utah so desperately needs.

Lets cause change here. Lets be at the forefront of this industry for others to follow. Our future generations need this and depend on us to put our foot down and demand a better place for them then what we are currently leaving behind. I have read many articles and seen countless studies from other regions where it has been proven that rooftop solar has significant value to the power system and the grid.

Thank you very much for accepting public input. Please keep in mind the interests of the ratepayers and the general public.

Please reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request. Or suspend it until we can find a more reasonable agreement. If we do not then we again are effectivly killing the entire industry and we will regret that decision

Ben Windhorst

Bountiful UT 84010

3852024043 Airpurutah@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Deirdre Murdy <dmurdy922@gmail.com> To: PSC@utah.gov Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:17 PM

To Whom it May Concern,

My name is Deirdre Murdy and I live in Park City. I know today is the last day to get comments in on the Rocky Mountain Power proposal on solar, and I wanted to make sure you knew how important it was to invest in clean energy. Utah is, in my humble opinion, the most beautiful state in the US. It is rich with amazing natural places for us to enjoy , from camping and mountain biking in the desert to skiing in the W asatch. We need to make sure we are protecting these natural places for future generations, because I want to be able to share these amazing places with my children someday. Because its natural beauty is such a huge part of what makes our state so incredible, it only makes sense that we should lead the way in moving to a clean energy economy, and investing in renewable resources like solar. Please make the right decision on Rocky Mountain Power's proposal, and keep the rates so people continue to invest in solar and we can help preserve our amazing state.

Thanks,

Deirdre



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Rick Gregory <rfg@xmission.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:18 PM

Dear Commissioners.

Please review the reports from the Rocky Mountain Institute on grid-defection (http://www.rmi.org/electricity_grid_defection) and load-defection (http://www.rmi.org/electricity_load_defection).

It would seem to me that Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) is attempting to keep a 19th-century rate structure when the world is moving quickly to a more diverse power infrastructure. Sort of like marking up buggy whips in an attempt to maintain one's profits when there are more attractive transportation alternatives than a horse.

Perhaps what RMP should look at the "Basic Charge - Single Phase" and the "Minimum Charge - Single Phase." Are these really accurate measures of the costs of providing electricity? In fact, should not these be combined into a single line item, since every bill has these?

The notion that grid-tied solar systems cost more to service seems ridiculous. Same wires, same transformer, same poles regardless of whether the home has and does not have a solar system connected.

What an increase in costs for PV system customers will certainly do (as described in the RMI reports) is shorten the time period to make an off-grid residence a cost-effective alternative.

To quote from the RMI grid-defection report [Feb 2014?]: "... utilities must change how they do business or risk losing it" and "... under more aggressive assumptions, off-grid systems prove cheaper than all utility-sold electricity in the region just a decade out from today."

Since our PV system went live, we have sent 6886 KWHr to the grid while using 6291 KWHr from RMP and 4042 KWHr directly from the PV system. We have used more RMP power than PV power! This situation is just calling out for installation of a battery backup system! Isn't that grid-defection?

Thank you for your consideration.

 Roderick F. Gregory rfg@xmission.com Salt Lake City



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jesse Wilson <jlwilson1392@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:21 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. That is not what I believe to be a Free Market Economy, that sounds more like a Monopoly. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jesse Wilson



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

KIPP HANSEN <kipp5@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:22 PM

Help save rooftop solar in Utah!

I am in opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky mountain power's advice No 16-13. Rooftop solar is the way to save Utah's air and environment.

Thank you for listening to the public.

Kipp Hansen Clearfield, Ut. Get Outlook for iOS



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jacob Anderson <jacob@trasnowandsun.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:25 PM

Dear Commissioners.

My name is Jacob Anderson. I am the CFO of TRA Snow & Sun, a solar mounting and racking manufacturer out of American Fork, UT. I apologize for drafting this email late at home from my mobile phone however I just learned that the deadline for public comment is today. I would like to publicly state my personal opposition and our company's opposition to the proposed rate increases in Advice No. 16-13. I urge you to suspend action until further studies have been done. We have personal experience of the damage these measures can take. When The Las Vegas market made similar changes we saw decreases to our revenues of hundreds of thousands of dollars. This not only affected us but also our local supplies such as Futura Industries, SAP A, Ryerson, and many others. Many local dollars and jobs were lost. We fear that these increases will have a very similar effect in this market. The changes proposed could put our solar division out of business and cost local employees their jobs. Not to mention the negative long term effects to air quality carbon emissions and a slow down of the growth of a key renewable energy sector.

We find it difficult to believe that solar power is not offsetting the peak power demands of Rocky Mountain Power when the highest energy spikes occur in the summer on hot days when solar is also at its peak. It is our belief that solar can offset the need for additional power plants that would cost taxpayers and energy customers much more than the current rebate programs implemented.

Thank you very much for accepting input and I encourage you to extend the period for public comment so our other employees, owners, venders, and customers can also have time to voice their concern on this important decision.

Please reject the rate change request.

Sincerely,

Jacob Anderson CFO TRA Snow & Sun 1657 South 580 East American Fork, UT 84003 801-772-4707 jacob@trasnowandsun.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Autumn Hancey <auty2002@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:25 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing because I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

I am also concerned with the thousands of jobs that will be lost. We need to stand up and show the State and the Country that Utah is pro solar and cares about the environment.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Autumn Hancey, Auty2002@hotmail.com, Orem, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 public Comment

1 message

Kenneth Lutes lutesken@gmail.comTo: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:28 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's Advice No. 16-13, filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Please suspend the tarif f.

This would limit access to clean & efficient energy.

Please reject the rate change request.

Respectfully,

Kenneth Lutes Elk Ridge City, Utah lutesken@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Rich King <richvking@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:28 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose Advice No. 16-13 and ask the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9. Rocky Mountain Power should frankly be ashamed of themselves for trying to bypass the normal rate-making process.

One of Utah's greatest health issues in the dirty air, and I support measures that would help to clean up our air. I believe rooftop solar helps to keep Utah's air clean.

Please reject Advice No. 16-13, and again, shame on Rocky Mountain Power

Thank you.

Richard King, South Jordan, UT



Concerned Citizen

1 message

Daniel Hansen <dangunnison@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:31 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Daniel Hansen at dangunnison@gmail.com



oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13," filed Nov . 9, 2016.

1 message

Scott Bohn <scott.bohn@yahoo.com>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:28 PM

Reply-To: "scott.bohn@yahoo.com" <scott.bohn@yahoo.com>

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Cc: Sophia DiCaro <votesophiadicaro@gmail.com>, Mike Winder <mike@mikewinder.com>

I oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13," filed Nov 9, 2016.

The idea that Rocky Mountain Power wants to charge people who are switching to solar is outrageous. Our power rates go higher and higher because they do not have any competition because of crap like this. What is next? CenturyLink charging us a fee because we don't have a landline through them? Chevron charging us a fee because we have a hybrid car?

To approve this is asinine. It does not make sense in the slightest. People are tired of paying through the nose to get power. They are looking for alternate means of power. If this passes, you are thumbing your nose at your constituents desire to have a choice. Don't shut down an alternative option to a fee from the giant who thinks they are too big to fail.

Scott Bohn 3332 Stanton Drive West Valley City, UT 84120



Rocky Mt. Power rate increase for solar

1 message

Elaine Parker <faye_elaine@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:33 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I write to voice my opposition to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power"Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Elaine Parker, Orem, Utah



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Emily Inouye Huey <emily.inouye@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:36 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

RMP unreasonably raises the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restricts free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. In LV, this kind of program killed solar--which is exactly what RMP is hoping to happen in Utah, but which will negatively affect our already compromised air quality and the environment our children will live in.

Thank you for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Emily Inouye Huey

Sandy, UT

emily.inouye@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Kelsey Rufft <rufftgeo@icloud.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:37 PM

Hello,

Please do not increase rates on net metering for solar energy. Solar energy assures a greener future for our children. We need to be investing in these industries instead of making it more difficult for them to survive. We greatly appreciate your role in making the right choice.

Kind Regards, Kelsey

Sent from my iPad



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Austin Elder <austinelder90@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:38 PM

To whom it may concern,

I'm writing to voice not only my opinion, but of those in my family as well. I'm sure that Utah has its reasons for wanting to support Rocky Mountain Power in raising the price for solar, but let's think of the bigger picture. We need to encourage solar and make a bigger push toward clean energy. I don't think the best way to do that is charging people more money.

For centuries we've provided power to create amazing opportunities for society but in such a way that has real consequences. We didn't realize it at first but now is the time we really need to shift our habits. It's time to evolve to something better. We are smart enough to think of ways to create that needed power to provide for our communities and lifestyles in a clean way. Let's do everything we can to support that progress. Not have it make a relatively small amount of people, a large profit. I think we can do better than that.

Thank you for listening and your consideration. I am very grateful for the good that you do.

Sincerely,

Austin Elder Student Journalist at USU



docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Gerald Snow <gtsnow17@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:43 PM

Dear Commissioners:

I am startled and dismayed that Rocky Mtn Power has made the above rate proposal (Advice No. 16-13, filed only 13 days ago on November 9!), outside of the normal rate making process and with so little time for public comment and to do so at precisely this time, with the comment period ending in Thanksgiving week! It seems so underhanded. At the very least the comment period should be extended.

I just learned of the comment deadline today, so at 11:30 pm, rather than go to bed, I am writing to express my concern that Utah would lead the nation in effectively killing solar power! This proposal to increase the rates on residential solar power users by adopting a punitive rate structure is so lacking in foresight. Alternative energy sources are the wave of the future, especially clean energy, and RMP should ride that wave instead of trying to block it. It makes so much sense to allow, indeed promote, solar power. RMP should be at the forefront of the industry in making it happen and not be fighting it, even if there are costs in the short term. The federal government obviously believes that solar power is worth incentivizing. So does the Utah Legislature with its tax credit. RMP's proposal should be soundly rejected!

I am a recent buyer of solar power, so maybe I would be grandfathered, but it would be selfish of me to think of only myself. Besides, there is a growing industry in Utah of solar power, and that means jobs — jobs that would be lost if this rate increase is allowed. There would be so many negative collateral effects of allowing RMP's rate proposal to go through. There just has not been enough time and thought put into how to manage the short term costs to RMP and who should bear them, and to put the whole burden back on the solar power users is unfair.

Please stand up against this proposed rate increase, in the public interest, in the long term interest of the State, and in the interest of clean and efficient energy! Thanks for considering my comment.

Respectfully submitted Gerald T . Snow 3038 E. Sundrift Circle Cottonwood Heights, UT 84121 gtsnow17@gmail.com 801-889-6781



Docket #14-035-114, #16-035-t14

1 message

Scott Schwab <schwab.scott@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:46 PM

I believe in solar and having more options for energy production and consumption.



Docket#16-035-T14

1 message

Tyler English <english.tyler@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:49 PM

Please vote pro solar. We. Need. To. Move. In the <u>right</u> direction.

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Robert Huey <rhuey@laytonconstruction.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:52 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar custom. I hope the Public Service Commission will suspend the tariff and not let it take effect Decembeth as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I feel like Rocky Mountain Power should not be able to raise costs for rooftop solar customers as this would detriment the future of clean and renewable energy in our state. It is sad to see examples of this in Nevada where solar energy is abundant, however the solar panel industry has all but left because of legislation passed that is similar to those Rocky Mountain Power hopes to pass. My family and I have invested considerably in having a rooftop solar installed on our home in the hope to cut down emissions and do what we believe to be good for the environment. We also feel it is very unfair to us and other existing solar customers to have net metering rates changed after we have made the decision to invest large amounts of capital to install a system based on those original rates. I believe that rooftop solar customers not only benefit the environment but also add value to the power system and grid.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and taking the time to accept and consider the input of the public. I feel like the deadline for this input was very quick and falls right before a holiday and therefore should be extended to allow more members of the public to voice their opinions. I truly hope that you would reject PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Powers proposed rate change.

Please feel free to contact me for further information or correspondence.

Respectfully,

Robert Huey, CPA | Controller

Layton Construction Company LLC

9090 So. Sandy Parkway | Sandy UT 840706409

P 801 563-3661 | M 435 773-5757

www.LaytonConstruction.com

PHOENIX | BOISE | MANE | SALT LAKE CITY | ORLANDO | HAWAII | NASHVILLE

EOE/AA



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ryan Simpson <ryanmsimp@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:52 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose "RMP Advice No.16-13" filed Nov 9, 2019, that potentially could change the net-metering rate structure for rooftop solar in the city of North Salt Lake. I urge the commission to to suspend the increased rates proposed and not allow this action to take effect Dec 9th.

The RMP proposal is anti free trade and will completely eliminate a cleaner better way for the citizens of this state the option of producing sustainable solar energy. Without this option the inversion and other pollutants will continue to fill the sky and lessen the quality of life for future generations. We absolutely need cleaner sustainable energy here in our state. This proposal with crush that option. We are proud innovators here in Utah, and promote tech job growth. This proposal will wipe out thousands of tech jobs in the state and bring this booming sector to a screeching halt. This proposal does not represent the home state i have grown to love when i left the California 12 years ago. Please consider the ramifications and impact this proposal will have both environmentally and economically on the good citizens of Utah.

I would like to personally thank the Commissioners for considering and accepting the public input, and urge the Commission to extend the deadline proposed. I would also like to request the the PCS, in the interest of the free market and citizens of Utah, to reject RMP current rate plan adjustments, and SA VE SOLAR in Utah.

Ryan Simpson North Salt Lake, Utah ryanmsimp@gmail.com 801-682-7303



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

oskarwojci@gmail.com <oskarwojci@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:53 PM

I Oskar Wojciechowski resident of 1249 Cinnamon Ridge Circle Provo Utah am against the new proposition by Rocky Mountain Solar to change rates for solar customers. Not only will this already af fect those who are participating in solar energy but it will affect those who are wanting to convert. We need to have choices and we can not let a company dictate the path of our state. We need to invest in clean energy as the future of our jobs and health will stem from solar technology .



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jeanette Mohlman <jeanettemohlman@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:55 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I and my family oppose PacificCorps-RMP's Advice No. 16-13, filed Nov 9, 2016 that would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I respectfully plead with the commission to suspend the tarif f scheduled to take effect December 9, 2016. It is hard to understand why Pacific Corp would request a tariff on solar customers be granted without going through the normal rate setting process. It is troubling to think commissioners would consider approving a proposal for taxation that would unreasonably raise costs for rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade and limit access to clean and efficient energy without going through the normal rate-setting process.

I moved to Utah from a state where the sun shines 360 days a year. But Investor owned power companies had the influence and power to get the public service commission to impose tariffs that made it financially implausable for homeowners to utilize rooftop solar power. We moved to Utah in large part because Utah has one of the most advantageous solar production enironoments due to the sun, and a pro self-reliance culture and political climate. We put solar panels on our roof as part of our retirement plan to help us survive on a fixed income. Our son put solar on his roof to enure a sorce of affordable power in the future for his family. The proposed tariff will be detrimental to me, my family and the rooftop solar community. I have read articles and studies documenting there can be a symbolitic relationship between power corporations and rooftop solor customers. These studies found rooftop solar to be advantageous to the power system and grid. It is the cheapest power the grid will ever acquire.

Pacific Corp is a monopoly, it has no competition. Pacific Corp is the only gorilla in the room. It has an army of high paid attorneys and lobbists that give Pacific Corp a marked advantage over consumers. Consumers can not "pay to play". We depend on the Public Service Commission to protect us and make sure there are processes to help control rates for the public good. This proposed increase is the most anti-solar and anti-business rate proposal I have seen to date across the nation. If accepted by the commission, it very well could destroy the solar industry and make rooftop solar financially implausable for consumers. That is what Pacific Corp is hoping for, keeping their monopoly in tact. I ask the commission not to empower the gorilla in the room to kill their only competition.

I appreciate the opportunity to give the Commission input and I thank the Commissioners for taking the time to hear my plea. I would hope that the Commission would extend the deadline for public input, make the gorilla in the room follow the prescribed rate setting process, and in the interest of rate payors and the public reject PacificCorp-RMP's current rate increase Advice No. 16-13, filed Nov 9, 2016.

Regards,

Jeanette Mohlman
John Mohlman
5868 Muirwood Dr.
Herriman, UT
jeanettemohlman@gmail.com

Jason Mohlman Wendy Mohlman 6867 Butterfield Parkway Herriman, UT 84096



(no subject)

1 message

Ellen Xanthos <ellen.xanthos@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:55 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power , and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Ellen Xanthos ellen.xanthos@gmail.com Highland, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Michael Turner <turner.michael.allen@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:56 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I come today in opposition of the proposal of PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" filed 09 November 2016. It is my understanding this proposal would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Specifically, I would ask the Commissioners to suspend the tariff and prevent this proposal from taking effect on 09 December 2016 as proposed by Rocky Mountain Power (RMP). It is in the best interests of the public of this state to require RMP to use the normal ratemaking process for it's proposed changes.

I am a rooftop solar owner, but I also speak for the benefit of those who also may choose to derive their power from clean and efficient sources which only serve to benefit the State of Utah and more specifically, the counties of Davis, Salt Lake, and Utah. These counties suffer from chronic and predictable air quality problems. Additionally, allowing this proposal to go into effect will unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict the coveted principles of free trade, and limit the access to clean and efficient energy production by the private citizen/individual. I find it slightly hypocritical of a RMP to plant and rapidly expand their own extensive solar fields near Milford, UT, yet they seek to unfairly punish those in the private sector who also wish to utilize solar power production by implementing unfair and unreasonable fees in an attempt to deter solar power production by the private sector. I believe this would be concerning for a monopoly and racketeering: RMP wants to produce solar energy, but they don't want anyone else to be able to do so; more so, they will construct an unsustainable rate structure to deter any and all in the private sector from purchasing into private solar energy production. Many studies across the United States detail the value of rooftop solar to the power system and grid. To allow RMP to create such an unfair tiered fee, applied to only rooftop solar customers, provides encouragement of "bullying" in the marketplace.

Please accept my thanks for allowing myself, a rooftop solar owner, to offer public input and first hand experience of the benefit of the rooftop solar power production systems. I would ask you extend the period for public comment and not allow RMP to push this type of aggressive rate change proposal through your commission without adequate time for those of us who care about clean energy, a chance to speak in our behalf and in behalf of those who would join us as private solar owners. I respectfully request the Public Service Commission, in the interests of ratepayers and the public of this state, to REJECT PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request and proposal.

Thank you for your time in receiving this letter. I applaud your work protecting the State of Utah for the best interests of the public. Protect a clean Utah, and protect us, the private sector from the predatory and overly aggressive rate hike proposals of RMP

Sincerely,
Michael Allen Turner
Eagle Mountain, UT
turner.michael.allen@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Paula Christiansen <pwchristiansen@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Paula Christiansen <pwchristiansen@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 11:59 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I vigorously oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making proces

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about our current and future quality of life here in Utah, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality is visibly problematic, and the detrimental effects to residents have been scientifically documented in a recent BYU study. I believe rooftop solar should be part of the solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Paula W. Christiansen



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment; Rocky Mtn Power inappropriate request for solar rate increases

1 message

John Redman <redman.djohn@gmail.com>

Tue. Nov 22, 2016 at 11:59 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: John Redman <redman.djohn@gmail.com>, Pam Redman <redman.pam@gmail.com>

Dear Commissioners,

I am DEEPLY opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's Advice No. 16-13, filed 9Nov16 to change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

- 1. RMP is attempting to bypass the normal rate-setting process;
- 2. they created an extremely quick deadline to avoid public input;
- 3. they scheduled it on Thanksgiving week, again, to avoid public input.

This all smells like dirty politics and an attempted shady overreach by a government-regulated monopoly.

This proposal should soundly REJECTED immediately.

RMP works for it's customers and the government.

Their entire proposal appears anti-solar and would make even Nevada blush.

Nevada's government and power company have destroyed the solar industry in their state,

have restricted free trade, and grossly limited taxpayer's access to clean energy.

I just spent \$27K to put solar panels on my roof this spring.

My power bill is now about 30-40% of what in was, mostly due to flat fees in addition to power usage charges.

The combination of the Federal and Utah State tax-due credits, my out-of-pocket expenses were \$17K.

The power company has a record of increasing rates ~5%/yr for the last decade or more.

I am recently retired living on a fixed income.

The solar energy option gave us a means of decreasing our energy costs significantly!

And, our savings will decrease even more substantially as the power company raises their rates.

It would seem that the Federal and UTAH tax credits to encourage solar should:

- (a) encourage home owners to install clean energy;
- (b) decrease pollution and improve the environment;
- (c) makes me more energy independent;
- (d) decrease future power plant expansions and expenses in the future.

Increases rates for solar customers is counterproductive to all of these reasons.

In addition to (c) above, we installed upgraded inverters that allow us to have 3KW of power available when the external power grid is down.

This means that we can keep freezers (1), refrigerators (3), furnaces (1) and microwaves (2) working when the power is out saving potentially thousands of dollars in spoiled food.

I have a propane-powered generator to do the same at night or when the sun is not shining.

Presumably, RMP has proposed a grandfather clause to protect existing solar users.

This seems like a great ruse which will be attacked later.

- (e) there is NO guarantee that the grandfather clause will stay in effect;
- (f) how will I repair or upgrade my system when all the solar companies have gone out-of-business?
- (g) there is NO precedent for such grandfathering.

BTW: We just had power multiple outages last week during a recent mild rainstorm that rebooted computers, reset clocks, and set of f alarm warnings.

This seems to happen multiple times per year.

We find that quite unacceptable when our pre-solar power bills were over \$300/mo in a large community where power should be a constant.

Maybe RMP should focus on fixing their distribution system instead of attacking solar power?!

Back in the late 1970's, I began building a solarium to assist heating our home in Colorado.

This was part of a Federal incentive program encouraged by the Reagan administration.

Before it was finished, the federal incentive was done away by Carter et al.

That was 50 years ago!!!

Had the government continued to support solar energy over that 50 years, IMAGINE how much cleaner the air would be, how much less carbon would be in the environment, and how much smaller power plants could be!!!

Please REJECT this proposal outright and permanently and send RMP back to get their industry to get in-line with modern technology while at the same time: (i) keeping your promise to home owners who have already invested in solar energy; (ii) improving the environment; (iii) keeping the solar industry alive and well; (iv) allowing taxpayers to have freedom of choice; (v) reducing future power plant expansions and expenditures; (vi) exercising prudent control over a government-controlled monopoly; (vi) encouraging the growth of small businesses; and (vii) probably a host of other benefits we haven't thought of.

Thank you for accepting public input.

I would be available for comment if that would be helpful.

Deeply concerned, John & Pam Redman 9847 N. 6130 W. Highland, UT 84003 208-841-6884



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

mikellheinz@gmail.com <mikellheinz@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:01 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I apologize if this is sort of all over the place, but I just found out that today is the last day for public input. I have been working all day and taking care of my family all night. I am exhausted but need to express my concerns:


I am begging you, as a lifetime resident of Utah, to please hold off on the proposed new solar rate structure by Rocky Mountain Power. It is being rushed and pushed for, what I believe, the financial benefits of Rocky Mountain Power, and it needs to researched more thoroughly. I understand customers need to help pay for the grid. However, what is being proposed, is outrageous.

We actually live in Provo and would not be afected by the rate changes. I am asking you to look into this because I am tired of our air quality, I am truly concerned about our world (please watch the National Geographic documentary with Leonardo DiCaprio "Before the Flood"--it's the most powerful and eye-opening thing I have ever seen), and I am tired of the government being bought out by companies with deep pockets. It infuriates me that these proposed fees are the highest in the nation. This takes away a consumer choice and allows Rocky Mountain Power to continue its monopoly .

Utah is known for having some of the worst air quality in the nation. I know several people who have actually moved out of state after researching how bad it is for us. I would hope that our own government would help fight this problem. I am worried financial interests are getting in the way of the best interests of our citizens. Utah needs cleaner air!!!

I saw the information below the other day and thought it was very interesting. You would be killing a giant industry in this state with these proposed fees and losing millions in tax revenue!

9. US solar energy industry <u>added more jobs in 2015</u> than the US oil & gas extraction & pipeline industries added combined, and grew 12x faster than the US economy as a whole.



https://cleantechnica.com/ 2016/08/17/10-solar-energyfacts-charts-everyone-know/

I am simply trying to do what I feel is right for our earth, my children, my future grandchildren, and so on. There are a few things that are undeniable and need to be taken into consideration. One thing is that fossil fuels are finite, the sun is not (eventually it is but then nothing matters). I feel that we are destroying our world to extract what is left. The other thing, is that I would prefer to breathe the air from energy produced by solar panels over the air from energy produced by power plants. For me it is simple.

Why don't we be in the state that is an example of forward progress and clean energy?

Thank you for listening to my exhausted concerns.

Mikell Heinz 801-360-7280 1466 N 3100 W Provo, UT 84601

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16 036 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cindy Parker <thatsme.cindy@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:03 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I write to voice my opposition to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Cindy Parker Eagle Mountain, UT





Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Anthony Garcia <garan2012@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:11 AM

Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

Dear Commissioners,

I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular and all my family and neighbors urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has prosed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I have a right to choose how I supply power to my home. This is unconstitutional and estricts free trade. Why would you or how can we as a state limit access to clean and efficient energy This would uneasonably raise the costs for new nooftop solar customers as well. The solar industry is providing so much of an ecommic boom in Utah that to not suspend the proposal, therefore effectively killing the industry is going to cause hardship for so many people and business'.

The use of renewable energy, in paticular poftop solar, is a win, win, win for everyone. From the economic boom it provides to residential customers and business', to the power company being able to morefficiently and more effectively keep up with demand charges, and lastlyeducing our carbon footprint which Utah so desperately needs.

Lets cause change here. Lets be at the forefront of this industry for others to follow. Our future generations need this and depend on us to put our foot down and demand a better place for them then what we ærcurrently leaving behind. I have read many articles andseen countless studies from other regions where it has been proven that rooftop solar has significant value 6 the power system and the grid.

Thank you very much for accepting public input. Please keep in mind the interests of the ratepayers andthe general public. Please eject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request. Or suspend it until wean find a more

reasonable agreement. If we do not then we again an effectively killing the entire industry and we will egret that decision.

Anthony Garcia Murray Utah garan2012@gmail.com (801) 450-2874



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tyler Morton <tylercmorton@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:17 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, stating changes to be made to the current rate structure of rooftop solar customers. Please deny the utilities fast-track request and and require them to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

Allowing this proposition will directly and negatively affect the growth of solar energy business in Utah. We live in a time where investment in renewable energy is becoming crucial to a sustainable future. Let's work together and give people incentives for switching to solar not discourage them.

Thank you for allowing public input on this matter although I sincerely feel the deadline should be extended to allow a greater feedback. With much respect, I urge the PSC, in the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject Pacificorp-RMPs proposed rate-change request.

Kindly,

Tyler Morton 5646 South Laurelwood St Salt Lake City, UT 84121



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Hannah Maines <hannahmaines@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:18 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Hannah Maines Hannahmaines@gmail.com Provo, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cory Whear <tractorservice@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:18 AM

Dear Commissioners.

Please reject this proposal from RMPower im a solar user and this would tip me over if passed why can they of fer it and we the public can't purchase anything out side of the monopally they created we are the payers for they benifits we suffer at there demands no to there wants reject reject reject

Sent from my iPhone



Docket#16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Olivia Ostler <olivia.elaine@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:19 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution as well as solar road ways.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,
Olivia Ostler, olivia.elaine@gmail.com



Stop the fee

1 message

Erick Rodriguez <edjrodriguez@icloud.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:21 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

All my best,

Erick Rodriguez 201.344.5212



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Vera Shields <verashields90@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:21 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Vera Shepherd verashields90@gmail.com Orem, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kendall W ilcox <kendall.wilcox@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:22 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Kendall Wilcox, Saratoga Springs



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Nick Kuzmack <nicholaskuzmack@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:22 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Nick Kuzmack Freelance Journalist, Writer, DJ

Salt Lake City, Utah Phone: 801-979-6773

Website: www.nixbeat.com

Twitter: @Nixbeat Facebook: Nixbeat Instagram:@Nixbeat



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Stacy V <stacyv1969@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:28 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Stacy Vehar, Stacyv1969@gmail.com. Salt Lake City, Ut



Solar

1 message

Bill Campbell <aonesolid@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:29 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Bill Campbell



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Christine West <cpwest22@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:31 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Christine West

cpwest22@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Skyler Ostler <skyler.ostler@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:31 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is very counter productive and unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. That type of Tariff impedes progress, perpetuates monopolistic greed, and deters people from choosing more sustainable power options. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy.

You have seen the inversion we have in Utah, our air quality needs to improve not digress, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. If any Tariff should be imposed it should be implemented on power coming from fossil fuels that contribute to the pollution and there should be discounts or incentives to people that choose cleaner energy options such as solar.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Skyler Ostler



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Paul <mcsnet@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Paul <mcsnet@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:28 AM

Dear Commissioners,

My name is Paul McCarty. My wife and I are taxpayers that have lived in Summit county since 2005. I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal ratemaking process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Paul McCarty mcsnet@yahoo.com 435.565.1740



ny name is Wayne Rogers regarding docket #16-035-T14 for public comment

l message

Wayne Rogers <wrogers@solarcity.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov"

Dear commissioners,

My name is W ayne Rogers I am a new resident to the Utah area I just moved my family from Texas to be closer to aging parents and my children that live in W est Jordan area. I began lo research and realized that much of it is from factories, cars and also power producing stations and some wood stoves etc.

Although the problem will not go away in a few short years it definately wont unless we act on it sooner than later. I decided at this moment to try and make a difference, I left the food indust terms make a difference for mankind. Thus giving me the opportunity to fight for those less fortunate that either don't feel that they have a say or do not know any better to speak up regarding raising rates also says they aren't loosing anything either except opportunities for people like me, My beautiful wife Misti and my kids Ezrah and Jaydalee that want to help make Utah have cl this proposal may do for future generations, the air in Utah and also control over yours and my family's needs and wants.

Thanks for reading and understanding.







Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Alathea Christensen <always.your.zebra@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:33 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Alathea Christensen, alatheachristensen@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Darlene Anderson <darlanderson87@yahoo.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:36 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Darlene Anderson, darlanderson87@yahoo.com,Tooele, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

MattCarman <mcarman@carmansealing.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:37 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Matt Carman, Herriman, UT

Matt Carman
President
Carman Sealing Technology
(801) 966-7595Office
(801) 330-6411 Cell
(801) 966-7593Fax
mcarman@carmansealing.com

Featuring Chesterton Products

www.carmansealing.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

John Scott <johnscott78@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:38 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

John Scott johnscott78@gmail.com Provo, UT



Rate change

1 message

Kent Lewin <kent@lewin.org>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:38 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Kent Lewin



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

jared burningham <gbburningham@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:39 AM

Dear Commissioners.

My name is Jared Burningham. Everyone calls me GB though. I am from Ogden, UT. I am the son of an Ogden High School art teacher. He always taught me to appreciate the beauty of the earth. I always remember my father's advice to leave things better than the way you found them. For these reasons I am passionate about the solar industry as my career choice. I currently was able to move back home to Utah because the industry I am passionate about became viable here. Previously I worked two years in Hawaii and California in solar Through solar, we can take better care of our environment and better serve our community with low cost energy solutions.

I believe the proposal from Rocky Mountain Power is a direct attack against competition. Getting rid of the competition not only leaves thousands without work but also eliminates the chance to "leave it better than the way we found it".

I believe in compromise and doing what is fair. To determine this, a non-bias third party entity should do a study of how solar and RMP can work together to best serve the great people of Utah.

Please reject Advice No. 16-13. This is not a proposal for a fair compromise to best serve our communities, rather an attack to protect profit. Let's find a better way to serve our people.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Jared "GB" Burningham 801-675-7850 Gb@genuine.solar



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Steve Erickson <steve.erickson08@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:40 AM

As a solar owner and a citizen who wants to do my part to better our environment and community, I urge the commission to not allow Rocky Mountain to proceed forward with their proposed changes. Please do your part to stand up for the citizens and not allow monopolistic policies to be implemented.

Thanks

Steve Erickson



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Carly Stephens <cstephens125@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: "cstephens125@yahoo.com" <cstephens125@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:41 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. It feels like they are being targeted for encroaching on Rocky Mountain Power's monopoly I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Carly Stocks cstephens125@yahoo 801-458-9802

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Chandler Rosenberg <chandler.rosenberg@gmail.com> Reply-To: chandler.rosenberg@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:44 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I strongly believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. Utah residents are suffering myriad health issues from our poor air quality and honestly, it's an embarrassment to the rest of the nation and to other nations abroad. I want to be proud of my state and our efforts to mitigate climate change and keep our air clean. I care so much about Utah and it's people. Please.

Thank you for taking public opinion into account on this issue. The voice of the public is the cornerstone of democracy and now more than ever is it important to listen to local voices. The federal government is not going to look out for us. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Chandler Rosenberg, chandler.rosenberg@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Shirley Davis <dixiedoctochina@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:52 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Shirley Davis, dixiedoctochina@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

dale_r99@yahoo.com <dale_r99@yahoo.com> Reply-To: dale_r99@yahoo.com To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov> Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:49 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal ratemaking process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powerand reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Dale Reynolds, dale r99@yahoo.com Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jose gustavo Inzunza <j.gustavo@icloud.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:53 AM

I like to express opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

My belief that Rocky Mountain Power's proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

- I Ask the Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking effect on December 9.
- I Suggest that Rocky Mountain Power use the normal rate-making process.
- I oppose because all saving that we planning to have will be avoided, and the State will loose a lot of the jobs, the Solar Industry created, our economy is frágil and with this will collapsed.
- I Encourage the commissioners to consider the environmental benefits of solar.
- I Invite the commissioners for being willing to listen to the public.
- Thanks for your willingness to help on this matter.
- J.Gustavo Inzunza
- 325 e 400 n Lindon Ütah 84042
- 801-420-0788
- Vaqueros057@gmail.com

Sent from my iPad



Unfair rates

1 message

gareybarey@gmail.com <gareybarey@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:53 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Garrett Clements



Solar

1 message

Caroline K Holden <a rolinekholden@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:54 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

C. Lewis



Opposition Docket #16-035

1 message

Scott Sperling <sperlingscott@icloud.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:57 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Scott Sperling. sperlingscott@iclod.com Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

mark burnett <markk.burnett2@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:17 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Regards,

Mark Burnett

markk.burnett2@gmail.com

Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Julie Rosser <ohsofabulouscupcakes@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:24 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Julie Rosser, ohsofabulouscupcakes@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, Utah.



Solar Power Rate Raise

1 message

Melissa Mangum <missgirl2017@yahoo.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:26 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Melissa Mangum, missgirl2017@yahoo.com, Lehi, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Julie Rosser <ohsofabulouscupcakes@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:26 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Julie Rosser, ohsofabulouscupcakes@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Sanjeev Thakur <thakurland@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:28 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Sanjeev Thakur

thakurland@gmail.com

Lehi, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ashley Coombs <ashleycoombs185@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:38 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power ,"advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016 that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the public service commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to be a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah Public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ashley Coombs, ashleycoombs185@hotmail.com, Boulder Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Steve Wayment <stevewayment@icloud.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:39 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Steve Wayment - Ogden, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Chris Davison < chrisndavison@gmail.com > To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:42 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Chris Davison, chrisndavison@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Eric Yunker <ericyunker@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:02 AM

To Whom it May Concern,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Thanks, Eric



Docket 16-035 T14 public comment

1 message

Travieso <travismaynard74@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:37 AM

Dear Commissioner s,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed No v. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Ser vice Commission t o suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I belie ve it is un fair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I belie ve solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I belie ve rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Travis Maynard

travisma ynard74@Gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jade Awhitia <jade.awhitia@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:39 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jade Hatch

Jadeawhitia@gmail.com



Docket16-035 t14 public comment

1 message

Nichole Gaviotakis <dr.pepper_girl_101@icloud.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:39 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power , "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from tak ing effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of ener gy. Utah's air quality needs to imp rove, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Nichole Gaviotakis, Murray Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jullee Petersen <jullpete@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Jullee Petersen <jullpete@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:11 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Powel'Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energyUtah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powend reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jullee Petersen, jullpete@yahoo.com West Jordan, UT



Rooftop solar

1 message

Deborah Seaver <debbiseaver@me.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:44 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Deborah Seaver

Park City, UT

Debbi



Fwd: Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tony Xanthos <tonyxanthos@me.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:50 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly <u>oppose</u> the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Tony Xanthos tonyxanthos@me.com Highland, UT



Docket 16-035T14, public comment

1 message

Dwgeher@gmail.com <dwgeher@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:10 AM

Opposed to Rocky Mountain Power advice no.16-13:

In consideration of the governors task of improving air quality and creating a more balanced energy sourcing for the state, I am Asking the above referenced maneuver by Rocky Mountain Power be rejected.

My thanks

David Geher

8018793500



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Claudia Delgado <cladelg.13@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:33 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Claudia Delgado



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

sylvan whalen <sylvanwhalen@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:43 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Sylvan Whalen, sylvanwhalen@gmail.com, Goshen,UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Taten Evans <taten.evans@vivintsolarcom>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:54 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

_

Taten Evans • vivint.solar

Solar PV Design • Central CA

1850 West Ashton Blvd, Lehi, UT 84043

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ron Measom <measomr@airmail.net>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:54 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Commissioners,

We are depending on you to support the consumer by giving us a choice for solar power. Do not not let the progress that has been made be turned back. It is the future We need to lead. Keep the progress going. Reject the fee increases.

Thank you

Ron Measom



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jeff Hollingworth <jefflhollingworth@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:00 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process. Why should any entity, with a government-sanctioned monopoly, be able to impose such a dramatic change with only one month response time? All_ratepayers should have time to consider the ramifications of the study conducted by Rocky Mountain Power and have time to conduct similar third-party studies to determine the objectivity and accuracy of the Rocky Mountain Power analysis. I have numerous questions about the projections for future grid expansion given the alleged growth projections of solar energy and desire an explanation as to why the current rate structure was allowed if they deemed solar growth to be such a detriment. Furthermore, they've bought their own solar farm. How does that affect their rate structure. Much more time is needed to satisfy the ratepayers that the proposed changes are legitimate and in the best interests of all involved.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. We have all seen the images of poor air quality in the valley, especially during the winter. Solar is a step in the right direction--we shouldn't be thwarted by industry without a chance to determine what alternatives are available to both sides.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jeff Hollingworth

Kay Hollingworth

jeff@jeffandkay.com

Draper, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jake <jakemeggs@yahoo.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:10 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jake Meggs

Jakemeggs@yahoo.com

Provo, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Len Mian <ldmian@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:14 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: Len Mian <leonard.mian@us.af.mil>, Greg Sawchuck <gregory.sawchuck.2@us.af.mil>, Brian Gilmore
 <bri> <bri> <bri> dian.gilmore@us.af.mil>

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Len D. Mian, Ldmian@gmail.com, Clinton, UT

Len Mian Chamorro Days



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

JEREMY YORK Owner <jygomer@centurylink.net> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:20 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain PowerAdvice No. 16-13", filedNov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Leather Public Service Commission to suspend the thatfd prevent it from taking that on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

Having lived in Utah all my life I have experienced our bad air quality every year and know that change needs to happen and believe that stopping Rocky Mountain Power from crippling the roof top solar industry in Utah is a l way we can make a difference. I am very concerned in our impact on the planet and cleaner gues a must it will both help our air quality as a state and the planet as a whole. Let's not make the same mistake as Nevada and let them create a monopoly on this market and make them stay competitive on their rates.

Thank you for taking the time to listen to my concerns regarding this matter and please ask that you strongly loo the detrimental side & that passing this could cause to the rooftop solar customers in Utah. Please reject "Advice No. 16-13".

Sincerely

Jeremy York, jygomer@centurylink.netWest Jordan, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Andrew Smith <andrew.smith1@vivintsolar.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:21 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Andrew Smith, admlender@gmail.com, Provo, UT

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Camilla Jones <camiols@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:28 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

Rocky Mountain Power is using it's political influence and relationships to attempt to eliminate competition. Crony capitalism is never good for any economy, especially one that would benefit from clean energy and choice in the market.

I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Camilla Jones, camiols@gmail.com West Jordan



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Skeeter Ellison <skeeter.ellison@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:29 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Skeeter Ellison, skeeter.ellison@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cheryl Dickson <chiisailover@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:30 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers, including my parents, who wish to use rooftop solar. A few years ago my mother had a stroke which paralyzed her entire left side and she was unable to continue to work, hindering my parents income and forcing my dad to work from home so he can care for her. Not long after that my dad was laid off from his job and now they have no income at all. My parents decided to get solar panels because it would help them save money that they desperately need. Please do not let this law pass for the sake of my parents and so many others who are trying to save every dollar they can.

I am also deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dickson



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Norman Kingsford <nkingsford@outlook.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:38 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powerand reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Norman Kingsford, nkingsford@outlook.com

Get Outlook for Android



Your Help isNeeded

1 message

Mary Johnson's <meliz99@aol.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:39 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

11/22/2016 Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Thank you for your help

Sincerely,

Mary Johnson, meliz99@aol.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Adam and Christine Holt <holts14@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:46 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

_

Adam and Christine Holt Eagle Mountain, UT 84005 holts14@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Rachel Shriver <rachelannshriver@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:46 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Rachel Shriver, rachelannshriver@hotmail.comOrem, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Emrys Larsen <emryslarsen@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:48 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. They have a monopoly, and this proposal is their way of preserving it. In the interest of a free market, and in the interests of all Utahns who want to choose solar without being unfairly punished by the utility companies, please reject this proposal.

Just remember what happened in Nevada when a similar proposal was passed there. New customers for solar companies dropped 90%. Such a course of action could eventually put many people, including myself and my wife, out of a job.

I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar shouldbe part of that solution. Utah is such an amazing place, and rooftop solar is going to help clean up the inversion that hits the Utah and Salt Lake Valleys so hard. This is one of the state's primary problems, and RMP's proposal is going to make it worse in the long run by ensuring fewer people sign up for clean, renewable solar energy.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Emrys Larsen, emryslarsen@gmail.com, Provo, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Alexa Kinsinger <amkinsinger@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:49 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Thank you for your time.

Best regards,

Alexa Kinsinger



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

MaryAnn Decker <macegd@awinets.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:50 AM

To the Utah Public Service Commission:

I oppose PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. Rocky Mountain Power's proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. The Commission should suspend the tariff and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking test on December 9. I suggest that Rocky Mountain Power use the normal rate-making process. Please consider the environmental benefits of solar Solar is clean energy and reduces carbon pollution. Clean energy benefits everyone.

Thank you for being willing to listen to the public.



Save solar in Utah

1 message

Jeff <jeff_carn@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:51 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jeff Carn jeff carn@yahoo.com Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jorge Castillo <jorge.castillo@vivintsolar.com>
To: Psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:54 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

J. Rodrigo "Nano" Castillo South Bay Region District Site Survey & Service Supervisor Jorge.Castillo@vivintsolarcom C: (385) 535-2562 • O: (408) 451-9472 1939 Hartog Dr, San Jose, CA. 95131

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Edward Hegemann <2nephi952@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 5:54 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has preoposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal ratemaking process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use r ooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe r ooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. Ir espectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Edward Hegemann, 2nephi952@gmail.com, Logan Utah



(no subject)

1 message

Lash Out Loud <tiffany@lashoutonline.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:08 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Durfee, tiffany@lashoutonline.com, salt lake city, ut

Sent from my iPhone



Docket 16-035 14

1 message

GINA DALTON <ginadalton@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:14 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power"Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powernd reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Gina Dalton
Benefits Consultant
Benefits Unlimited Insurance Services

Phone 801-364-5757 Fax 801-364-5858 ginadalton@msn.com

This transmission may contain PHI or information that is privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure. It is intended for the use of the person(s) named as recipient(s) in this message. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that use of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply email and delete all copies of the original message. Furthermore, the information contained in this transmission is for educational purposes only and is not to be construed as legal advice, direction or consent.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Andy Jones <andrewj21@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:16 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Andrew Jones Andrewj21@gmail.com Provo Utah



Utah solar

1 message

Nathaniel Lundrigan <natelund430@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:20 AM

Dear Commissioners

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Nate Lundrigan Riverton, UT

Sent from my iPhone



Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Tyler Anderson <t_ray0@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:26 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Tyler Anderson



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jay Beck <jaybeck@waterfordschool.org>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:26 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a concerned citizen of Utah, I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular , I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

For reasons that seem to be obvious to us as residence of Utah, these actions of Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed. They simply should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & ef ficient energy. These changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power not only affect me, but every other individual within the state who currently breaths the unclean air we experience within the state. Various articles support that the use of solar helps to reduce the need for other less clean forms of energy generation.

I would personally like to thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Jay L. Beck

Primary School Music Specialist Lower School Specialist Lead Teacher 1480 East 9400 South, Sandy, UT 84092-0840

jaybeck@waterfordschool.org

Voice Mail 801.816.2952 , Home 801.756.0684 , Cell 801.678.4839

HOME: 77 East 100 South Alpine, UT 84004



Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Diane Knight <drk013@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:31 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Diane Knight, drk013@gmail.com, Orem, UT

-

Sent from Gmail Mobile



Solar Proposal

1 message

John Curtis <jacurtis1@comcast.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:31 AM

Sent from my iPad

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

John Curtis,

Jacurtis1@comcast.net 3737 Solamere Drive Park City, UT

Thank you for taking action on this important issue and for helping us spread the word.

Ryan Evans

President, Utah Solar Energy Association

This message was sent to John Curtis by Ryan Evans through MoveOn's public petition website. MoveOn Civic Action does not endorse the contents of this message. To unsubscribe or report this email as inappropriate, click here: http://petitions.moveon.org/unsub.html?i=36076-17132742-L76Yf0

Want to make a donation to help support petitio ns on MoveOn.org? Hundreds of thousands of people chip in each year to support MoveOn—which is how we're able to keep our petition website free and support campaigns like this one. You

can become a monthly donor by clicking here, or chip in a one-time gift here.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

mark maziarz <mark@maziarz.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:34 AM

Dear Public Service Commissioners.

We (our family of 4) oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. We respectfully urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

We believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. We are deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and we believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and we believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. In addition, it is a thriving industry in Utah right now, employing many people (in the thousands) all over the state. If you approve this RMP proposal, the vast majority of these people will be out of work.

Thank you for accepting public input on this critical issue. We respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Mark Maziarz Mary Beth Maziarz Daisy Maziarz Foster Maziarz

mark@maziarz.com, Park City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

mcrossly < mcrossly@xmission.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:35 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

I further believe where they have set it up where come March 31st all excess credits they absorb there by obtaining additional compensation from solar production.

I have not yet made the move to solar but am in the process of exploring the option and this additional cost for an expensive proposition could be a deal breaker.

Sincerely,

Michael W. Crossley, mcrossly@xmission.com, Alpine, Utah

From my Android phone on T -Mobile. The first nationwide 4G network.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kae Concannon <kae1259@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:36 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. It also concerns me that this proposal could carry over into adjoining states.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Kae Concannon, kae1259@gmail.com, Lander WY



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:41 AM

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Cc: "revans@utsolar.org" <revans@utsolar.org>, Pratish Patel <ppratish@hotmail.com>

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

By opposing this proposal we will help make Utah more self reliant in producing clean energy

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Pratish Bhai ppratish@hotmail.com com, Draper, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

April K Oaks <aprilkoaks@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:42 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

April Oaks

Aprilkoaks@yahoo.com

Herriman, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Lisa Xochimitl lisaxochimitl@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:44 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Lisa Xochimitl, lisaxochimitl@gmail.com, Orem, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Rodney Smith <rodneys@doittech.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:48 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

Rocky Mountain Power's proposal is too drastic. It would make more sense to start removing the solar incentives issued by the State of Utah, (and the federal incentives). If not, we would have the State of Utah incentivizing solar while Rocky Mountain Power imposes a fee structure which makes it economical unfeasible regardless.

Utah's Wasatch Front air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Rodney Smith, rodneys@doittech.com, Draper, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Teddy Hodges <teddymhodges@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:48 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Teddy Hodges, teddymhodges@gmail.com, Herriman UT.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Peter Tilton <ptilts@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Peter Tilton <ptilts@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:45 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. It is just one step individuals can take to make improvements to our carbon footprint and contribute to the fight for the environment and our future.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

PT

Peter Tilton, ptilts@yahoo.com, Wanship, Ut



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Louis White <wulite@netscape.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:55 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarffand prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed.

Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

Rocky Mountain Power already has a monopoly on the means of power production in western states, and raising rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar is just another example of the corporatism that's taken over our country limiting our freedoms.

Private and residential solar makes sense, and if individuals want to free themselves from corporate cartels and monopolies, why prevent them from doing so?

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powerand reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Louis M White, wulite@netscape.net Ogden UT 84403-3213



Docket #16-035 T14 public comment

1 message

Robb <robbhen@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:58 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Robert Henderson, robbhen@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Aaron Ardmore <ardmoreaaron@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:04 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Aaron Ardmore



Docket #16-035 T14 -- Public Comment

1 message

Marian Burningham <marianburn@digis.net> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:06 AM

Dear Commissioners.

We are writing in opposition to PacifiCorp/Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13 and to respectfully ask the Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking effect on December 9.

We strongly believe that Rocky Mountain Power's proposal would not only unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers, it would also be detrimental to Utah' s goal of bringing more high-tech and clean energy companies to Utah. As we are sure you are aware, the State has recently undertaken the major project of moving the State Prison in the hope of further development of "The Silicon Slopes" at point of the mountain. Most, if not all, of the sort of company we want to attract could very easily be sent the wrong message regarding our State's commitment to a desirable environment for their businesses AND their employees. The demographic of high-tech employees include a very high number of people who are committed to environmental issues and sustainable living. A strong commitment on the part of our State to clean energy and all the benefits to the environment and daily lives of citizens living here can be a very important part of any proposal to encourage these businesses to move here.

We further believe that allowing Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to go into effect would be the equivalent of shooting ourselves in the foot where environmental issues and their impact upon the natural wonders of our beautiful State is of grave concern. Tourism is a key part of our State's economy. There already exists a negative impression surrounding Rocky Mountain Power's Emery County power plant and it's impact upon State and National Parks, both geophysical and aesthetic. Allowing this proposal to go into effect could have the long-term effect of discouraging individual conversions to clean energy. Allowing the roof-top solar home installations to continue and supporting consumers in this effort can do a great deal to preserve our reputation and help clean up our air We cannot change the geographical features that lead to such terrible air quality (already an issue for winter tourism particularly) but we can include encouraging clean energy alternatives for homeowners and individuals as a large part of the solution.

We encourage you to not allow Rocky Mountain Power to unreasonably raise the costs for new roof-top solar customers and to maintain it's normal rate-making process. Roof-top solar installations and the resulting flow of power into the grid are beneficial to Rocky Mountain Power as well as to consumers, providing clean energy produced at little cost to RMP to nearby homes. Current billing procedures of RMP "zero out" energy credits each spring. This means that they already reap the benefit of excess solar energy produced and put into the grid by solar customers. Changing the rate structure or adding additional fees or impediments to Utahans would be a crippling and near sighted mistake.

Lastly, we wish to thank you for being willing to consider public input on this issue. Your final decision will have a great and lasting impact upon all our citizens, their health and quality of life, and those who travel or vacation here — all ultimately impacting upon our economic future.

Sincerely,

Kevin and Marian Burningham 2232 East Cedar Drive Eagle Mountain, UT 84005 801-319-2526



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Natalie Cooley <natcooley79@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:06 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Natalie Cooley, Enoch, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Crosby, Steven <sgcrosby@graniteschools.org>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:10 AM

Dear Commissioners,

It is my opinion and I think that the free market should be protected as with a service like solar power for those who want to use it as an alternative power source.

Those in the solar industry whom provide the opportunity to have and use a natural resource are forward thinking, innovative people that have tapped into a God given gift.

That being said, Solar power with all of it's features and benefits to millions shouldn't be stymied by an already greedy and in my opinion an ill regulated conglomerate such as Rocky Mountain Power

With the power and money that backs such a utility again "in my opinion" can show distorted facts and figures to common people as myself and many that I know and we are left to believe their findings and figure reporting.

We the people really have no way or resourcing information or confirming, following and in many cases understanding all that is reported by such companies.

So for us consumer's, a solar company comes in that offers a service, then we see "Rocky Mountain Power" retaliate against a solar alternative by levying financial proposals to stymy the service.

I see in this case with Rocky Mountain Power an open, fair and balanced reporting system is a myth.

In my mind they are suspect of a hidden agenda and reporting on themselves as a public utility.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal ratemaking process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powerand reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Steve Crosby at sgcrosby@graniteschools.org Salt Lake City, UT

Steve Crosby



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brenda Contreras

contreras@vivintsolarcom>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:11 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brenda Contreras, b.contreras@vivintsolar.com,

Data Review/Usage Specialist • Vivint Solar

simply brighter /vivintsolar.com
3301 N. Thanksgiving Way Suite 500. Lehi, Utah 84043



The information in this e-mail is for the use of the designated recipients only . This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated and may also constitute an attorney/client communication or attorney work product subject to copyright or constitutes a trade secret, and therefore, is privileged and confidential. If you are not an identified recipient of this e-mail, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, to immediately permanently delete this e-mail, and are further directed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or any attachments.

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Hannah Matthews <hannah.matthews9283@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:11 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Hannah Matthews



Against proposal from Rocky Mountain

1 message

Yadira Guillen <yadiras0522@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:13 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Yadira Guillen, yadiras0522@hotmail.com, Syracuse, Utah.

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Hraefn Wulfson hraefnwulfson@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:13 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Hraefn Wulfson, hraefnwulfson@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Save solar in Utah

1 message

Devin Abel <devin.abel@yahoo.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:14 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Devin Abel, Devin.abel@yahoo.com, Salt Lake City, UT

Thanks
Devin Abel



Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Donald Sandberg <donsandberg136@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:15 AM

Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

V/r

Donald Sandberg Layton, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Dan Johnson <dan444@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:15 AM

Dear commissioners.

Thank you for accepting public comment on Advice No 16-13. I feel strongly that Rocky Mountain Power is making a poorly considered short term play to recommend placing their quarterly profits over the long term viability of the air and environment in our state. Utah is one of the few states in the US that still heavily relies on burning coal for much of its energy needs; at the same time it is very well suited to replacing that energy production with solar power. It seems very irresponsible and backward to squash a growing industry that could replace that dirty energy production. Utah can be a leader in this area. Let's look to the future, to our planet, and our children. Rocky Mountain Power will be fine or they can change.

Thanks, Dan Johnson

dan444@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Christoper Wilson <chriswilson.ut@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:15 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Chris Wilson, chriswilson.ut@gmail.com, Eagle Mountain, UT cell801-419-6696



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Sofia Agopian <sofia.agopian@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:18 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Sofia Agopian, Salt Lake City, UT

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:21 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I am contacting you to express my opposition to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I would ask the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I have rooftop solar panels and benifiet from the power that is generated there. I made the choice to do so in an efort to lessen the power burden on Rocky Mountain Power. believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. My choice to use solar power is to decrease my cost for energy and to also reduce my carbon footprint. I feel that by doing this, I am doing my part to clean up Utah's air quality, and to reduce use of harmful to the environment products. I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. If actions to increase the cost of buying and maintaining items such as solar panels increase, people will be less willing to purchase and help clean our air.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Douglas K Gardner

Architecture, Engineering and Construction

LDS Church Ofice Building

50 E. North Temple

Salt Lake City UT. 84150

801-240-4953

gardnerdk@ldschurch.org



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ashley Bell <ambell77@gmail.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:23 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Ashley Bell, ambell77@gmail.com, Taylorsville, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tanner May <xxdubxslayerxx@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:23 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Tanner May, xxdubxslayerxx@gmail.comEagle Mt. UT



PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13"

1 message

Martha Beck <marthabbeck@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:27 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a concerned citizen of Utah, I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular , I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

For reasons that seem to be obvious to us as residence of Utah, these actions of Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed. They simply should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & ef ficient energy. These changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power not only affect me, but every other individual within the state who currently breaths the unclean air we experience within the state. Various articles support that the use of solar helps to reduce the need for other less clean forms of energy generation.

I would personally like to thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Martha Beck

Alpine UT

marthabbeck@msn.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Christopher Westra <westra4@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:27 AM

Dear Public Commissioners,

I have solar myself, and have enjoyed it this last year. It's nice to have cheaper electric bills and to be helping the earth also.

I want to let you know that I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am concerned about the future of this earth, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Christopher Westra

westra4@gmail.com, from Pleasant Grove, UT

http://icreatelove.com/apps (Check out all my Apps here) http://GreatMindPowerBooks.com (Download Your Favorites) http://LightIsReal.com (Lots of Fun Stuff Here)



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Talio Roberts <roberts.talio89@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:28 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Talio Roberts, roberts.talio89@gmail.com, Pleasant Grove, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kim Westra <westrakim@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:30 AM

Dear Public Commissioners,

I have solar myself, and have enjoyed it this last year with my husband. It's nice to have cheaper electric bills and to be helping the earth also.

I want to let you know that I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power , "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am concerned about the future of this earth, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Kim Westra

westrakim@gmail.com, from Pleasant Grove, UT



Don't Harm Utah's Economy

1 message

Nole Walkingshaw <NoleWalkingshaw@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:30 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Powe,"Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

My family and I made this investment in good faith that there would be some return on our investment is very important to Utah and to our economylt has been clearly documents that Utah's poor air quality has cost Utah jobs, increased health cost and we have admitted to this problem. Image and impact are incredibly important to maintain investments in Utah's economy and infrastructure. This initiative is counter to those efforts and can be supported in other ways.

http://business.utah.gov/wpcontent/uploads/Air-Quality-2pdf

Air Quality & Economic Development in Utah

business.utah.gov

E C O N O M I C D E V E L O P M E N T C O R P O R A T I O N O F U T A H Air Quality & Economic Development in Utah Jeff Edwards EDCUTAH April 2014

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powernd reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Nole Walkingshaw nolewalkingshaw @msn.com, Holladay UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Lisa Macias lisa.macias@icloud.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:30 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Lisa Macias

Lisa.macias263@gmail.com

Mapleton, Utah

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035

1 message

Casey Lund <lund.casey@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:30 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal fron Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I uge the Public Service Commission to suspend the taxifd prevent it from taking tect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleanenore reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powerand reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely

Casey Lund, casey.lund@gmail.com Salt Lake City UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ben Coray <kf7gnv@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:31 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I am writing in opposition to PacifiCorp RMP Advice No. 16-13 which is recommending a rate increase for users of grid-tie solar systems. I urge you to suspend the tarif f and require that the proposal for the rate increase be subjected to the normal rate-making approval process.

Rocky Mountain Power fills my television, radio and mailbox with advertisements strongly pushing for conservation and efficiency. What better way to conserve our natural resources than harvesting solar energy, especially during the sunny summer peak demand times in the desert.

I am concerned that the proposed rate increase is being used a tool to stifle the blossoming competition in the electrical distribution market in my area, which until now has been essentially provided by one player. RMP has been a long-term benefactor from an electrical monopoly. Let us pause and let competition improve cost and quality of service for all.

I, along with many of my associates, have invested significant amounts of money in various solar-electric systems for my residence and other off grid applications. I would encourage others to enjoy the benefits of solar energy as well. A rate increase from RMP would adversely impact my decision to upgrade and expand my system.

Thank you for accepting public input on this matter. In my opinion, however, the time period for public input should be extended. Ultimately, I ask that the current rate change request be rejected. Let the free-market decide the value of services provided by RMP.

Respectfully submitted,

Ben Coray West Jordan, Utah kf7gnv@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Valarie Kingsbury <vpkingsbury@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:32 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power , "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. It is important that the Public Service Commission suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . The solar panels on my home only provide about 50-60% of the power to my home. So I still use Rocky Mountain Power for a good portion of my power. The power I do use from Rocky Mountain power is about the same as other 4 bedroom homes. RMP does not penalize homes without solar for not using enough power . So it makes no sense for home owners to be penalized for using solar.

I also feel that offering an incentive to purchase and install solar panels, only to then give a tarif f to those customers, is deceptive and unfair. Because Rocky Mounta in Power has a monopoly on power, there is little incentive to reduce customer cost or find alternative renewable resources to add to the grid. The air quality in Utah needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Valarie Kingsbury, vpkingsbury@gmail.com, Herriman, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Gay <gaysylvester@comcast.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:32 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power"Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structur for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making precess.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to usooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the futurof our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. Expectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powend reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Gay Sylvester 4748 Dymock Circle Taylorsville UT 84129



Save Solar in Utah!!!

1 message

Derrek Evans <derrek.evans01@icloud.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:32 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Why we would even consider getting rid or stopping the growth of green self sustaining energy is beyond me. Don't let this happen.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Derrek Evans, Vineyard Utah

Derrek.evans01@icloud.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jill Hammond <jill.hammond1@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:32 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process. It is not only ridiculous, but why should we be charged for using solar? We chose to step away from being on the grid to not only have save the planet in which we all live and love, but also to help us save money. NOT everyone has \$120 to pay every month for power alone. We chose something better, and we should NOT have to keep paying for their services, when we already produce FREE power for them.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. PLEASE.

Sincerely,

A concerned public citizen.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

John Reuter <john@bluebirdsolaridaho.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:36 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

Specifically I believe that roof-top solar is important for local business and home-owners. It helps our economy and our environment

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.



C: 208.721.2922

220 River Str E

Suite AA

PO Box 4714

Ketchum, ID 83340



PacificCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Earl Decker <ford27t@awinets.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:38 AM

To: psc@utah.gov, ocs@utah.gov, evickers@le.utah.gov, blast@le.utah.gov Cc: macegd@awinets.com, info@legendsolar.com, petitionshare@moveon.org

I oppose Rocky Mountain Power's(RMP) proposal to change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I believe that fast-tracking this proposal is unfair to RMP's existing customers by not allowing sufficient time for their customers to comment on this proposal.

We solar customers have invested a considerable amount of money in our rooftop installations. We are motivated by the belief that our investments will benefit both the power companies that have invested in their electrical generating plant and at the same time, we feel solar power is the future of electricity generation; we feel the future is here.

It is unclear to me of what benefit to solar customers this proposal is intended except to penalize we rooftop customers for being forward-thinking. We do not ask or intend to ask RMP to service, maintain or repair our installations. By taking this forward step and investing our incomes on rooftop solar power, we have taken on this responsibility. I see no interaction on the part of the power company(s) in future operations regarding these installations.

The benefit to RMP's current non-solar customers is that the electricity I produce above what I use, goes to the grid for the use of my neighbors. The need to increase existing plant by RMP and other power companies is reduced by the savings we are providing to them.

Traditionally, when any business expects to increase or replace existing plant, plans are laid far in advance before it is needed. Had RMP projected the need to change from carbon producing electricity to more modern solar or wind systems, this "untimely" proposal would not be necessary. It is clear to we rooftop solar users that our concern for our environment is upmost on our minds. We feel we are not only assisting RMP and other companies to save costs but we are helping preserve a quality of life that we have come to expect in the state of Utah.

We have seen the result of such a proposal has had on our neighboring state of Nevada when RMP and the state passed similar legislation; job losses, an industry decimated (rooftop solar applications dropped by 99%), countless lawsuits filed and a dwindling electrical plant destined for going the way of the Model T and propeller airplanes. The future doesn't look bright for Nevada.

Concerning costs, the electricity we send back to the grid is almost free to power companies. They are then free sell to existing and new customers. Keep in mind that we pay retail prices for the electricity we use as all other users. True, we are paid for the electricity we generate, at reduced rates that power companies can up-charge to their non-solar customers. We rooftop customers see this as a benefit to all parties; we get a small reduction in our monthly bill and other customers get a more reliable electrical service. Additionally, off-property meter readings are the result of the interest in solar, the power companies are only responsible for service to the home structure, there is no added personnel needed once the rooftop solar plant is in service. This proposal is unnecessary and a undue burden on rooftop solar users.

I thank the commissioners for being willing to listen to the public on this matter . I encourage you to turn down Advice No. 16-13

Sincerely,

Mr. & Mrs. Earl G. Decker

ford27t@awinets.com

104 S 2600 W

Hurricane, Utah 84737



#16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Robert Schmidt <rschmidt@ffex.net>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:42 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I OPPOSE the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power filed119/16

Not only will it destroy jobs in the solar industry but it will make Rocky Mountain Power a complete Monopoly! Rocky Mountain power prior to my purchase of solawas pushing to put a box on my A/C to shut down during peak, they also send in every bill to purchase solar from them, I find it ironic and hypocritical they would even submit this increase!

We do pay in each bill a fee to cover the cost of maintaining the grid, but solar purchasers also take a strain off the grid that saves RMP money!

Some question need to be answered, what do they do with the extra power I generate and others that we over generate that they take our credits every March.. Should they not be buying my power at a fa rate?

Please, Please do not let this happen as it will be another kill to the environment and will kill the solar industry like it did in Nevada

Sincerely,

Robert Schmidt

Tooele, UT

Robert Schmidt Manager, Service Center

FFE Transport ation Services, Inc.

(p): (801) 839-3811

(f): (214) 819-5767

(m): (214) 876-8861

http://www.ffex.net





Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Perdita Mulipola <perdy01980@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:42 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Perdita Mulipola



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Megan Owens <megowensz@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:42 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet due to the alarming rate of climate change, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Megan Owens, megowensz@gmail.com, Layton UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Gloriadawn Hulon <hulonfam@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:43 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Gloriadawn Hulon, hulonfam@gmail.com, Orem, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Reed Ewell <REwell@laytonconstruction.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:47 AM

Dear Commissioner s,

I have used my own money and personal labor to install a solar system at my personal residence.

The incentive was to live more green, help the environment and to be able to have a decent return on by investment.

The proposal by the big monopoly RMP is trying to change all that.

Please do not support the proposed rate increase to impose a 3-tiered rate system on solar customers.

Reed B Ewell & Linda K Ewell

12914 S. Cindy Lane

Draper, UT 84020



(no subject)

1 message

Jeff Bates <komatsujeff@gmail.com>
To: PSC@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:48 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, Advise No. 16-13 filed November 9th 2016 that would change the rate structured for rooftop solar customers, I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effects on December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to cleanermore reliable source of energy. Utahs air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar is the start of that solution and the start to ending the valleys poor air quality.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and Utah public on equal level with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No 16-13 for the interest of the people.

Sincerely,

Jeff Bates, komatsujeff@gmail.com ,Grantsville,Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brian Murphy brianmurphy1@me.com
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:48 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brian Murphy, bmurphy4824@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT

Brian



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Lynsey Sue Nielson <Lynsey.Nielson@redbutte.utah.edu> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:49 AM

Dear Commissioners,

Thank you for accepting public comment on the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power (Advice No. 16-13, filed Nov. 9, 2016) that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I strongly oppose the proposal and urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality is embarrassing, sickening and must improve, and I believe alternative energy sources including rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Lynsey Sue Nielson

Salt Lake City, UT



Rocky Mountain Power

1 message

Chris Nielson <crisco13@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:51 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Christine Nielson, crisco13@gmail.com, Brigham City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

ingrid griffee <ikgriffee@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:52 AM

Dear Commissioners.

Please do not let Rocky Mountain Power change its rate structure for rooftop solar customers as proposed in "Advice No. 16-13."

Solar customers, like my family, not only provide an enormous environmental benefit to the community as a whole, but by investing in rooftop solar, we have participated in nurturing many, many local jobs in the burgeoning solar industry in Utah. Currently, Utah has the opportunity to be a nationwide leader in this relatively young and fast-growing industry.

Ultimately, solar customers help save Rocky Mountain Power money by reducing the need for capital investment over time in additional fossil fuel burning sources of power. Furthermore, especially in a great western state like Utah, which values self-reliance, property owners ought to have the ability with reasonable afordability to seek energy independence or near independence from the constraints of a public utility.

Please do not let Rocky Mountain Power raise rates for rooftop solar customers, and thank you for taking public comment on this important issue.

Sincerely, Ingrid Griffee ikgriffee@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Eydie Pines & John Leigh <johnandeydie@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:53 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy . Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Eydie Pines, johnandeydie@gmail.com, Park City, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

David Gordet <david.gordet@vivintsola.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:54 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

David Gordet

Customer Success Manager

<u>david.gordet@vivintsola.com</u>F: 801.765.5708 / P: 877.404.4129 Ext: 33763simply brighter / vivintsola.com

3301 N Thanksgiving Way Suite 500

Lehi, UT 84043



Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Utah Solar

1 message

Sara Hieb <saracherie@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:56 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9. 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our plant, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be a part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power , and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Sara Hieb, saracherie@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kaerli Christensen <kaerlimain@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:58 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed.

I personally invested in solar power at my home and have been able to offset my traditional coal powered energy by 50%. I would like to do even better and help create a world of clean energy use for my children to inherit. believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy while providing many jobs in an exciting and innovative sector. Utah's air quality needs to improve drastic ally - I can't tell you how many friends I have that have moved simply because of the damage caused by our poor air quality. Rooftop solar has the potential to be a big part of that solution and it should be supported in every way possible.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Kaerli Christensen, MD, kaerlimain@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mary Dickerson <mary.dickerson@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:58 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Mary Dickerson mary.dickerson@gmail.com Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tania Saunders <taniahalesaunders@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:59 AM

I'd like to express my opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. Their proposal will unfairly effect rooftop solar customers. I have friends who currently have solar on their home and instead of paying the Rocky Mountain Fee have told me that they will remove their solar system.

Please consider the benefits of Solar. I am very concerned about the air quality and state of the environment here in Utah. Our air quality is some of the worst in the country. Having had Asthma as a child, it really impacts families to have to wonder if it's safe for their kids to play outside. If we "punish" solar customers, the industry and demand here in Utah will dry up and any benefit we might have attained with solar will go away as it's no longer economically beneficial for consumers to purchase solar.

Rocky Mountain Power should use the normal rate making process.

Thank you for listening to the public. My personal info is listed below. Thank you!

Tania Saunders 385-222-5794 Orem, UT

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

david.parker1 <david.parker1@comcast.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 7:59 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I write to voice my opposition to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power"Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Whitney Hale, whitneyhale@gmail.com American Fork, UT

Sent from my Verizon 4G LTE smartphone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

brinnlie jensen

 brinnliejensen@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:02 AM

I OPPOSE THE PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

ITS IS UNFAIR

SUSPEND THE TARIFF

Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to raise prices and monopolize our state.

I OPPOSE.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tanya Galton <tanya.galton@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:01 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Tanya Galton 801-513-6555 tanya.galton@gmail.com



Solar

1 message

Bryson Jones bryson.jones@vivintsolar.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:03 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Bryson Jones, bryson.jones@vivintsolar.com, Eagle Mountain, UT

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Schedule 136 and its related Schedule 5 tariff.

1 message

Joan Winslow <joan@xmission.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:03 AM

Good morning,

I utterly oppose Schedule 136 and its related Schedule 5 tariff. Rocky Mountain Power pays people to waste electricity on frivolous Christmas lights, and they want to charge responsible people who have installed solar *extra*? How is this rationalized? How does this help keep power plant emissions out of our polluted air?

Please keep their greedy, shortsightedness in check.

Thank you

Joan Winslow

Salt Lake City



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jordan Gans

bowa92@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:04 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jordan Gans



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:06 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Bryan Chau, bryan.chau88@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Maya Jaffe <jaffe.maya@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:08 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Maya Gans



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Calbrath Rasmussen <rasmussen.cal@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:08 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

~Cal Rasmussen, rasmussen.cal@gmail.com, American Fork, UT



Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Tyler Mortensen <tylerjmortensen@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:09 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Tyler Mortensen, tylerjmortensen@gmail.com, Ogden, Utah



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

sheri whiting <rwskwq@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:10 AM

Dear Commissioners,

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing in opposition to PacifiCorp RMP Advice No. 16-13 which is recommending a rate increase for users of grid-tie solar systems. I urge you to suspend the tarif f and require that the proposal for the rate increase be subjected to the normal rate-making approval process.

Rocky Mountain Power fills my television, radio and mailbox with advertisements strongly pushing for conservation and efficiency. What better way to conserve our natural resources than harvesting solar energy, especially during the sunny summer peak demand times in the desert.

I am concerned that the proposed rate increase is being used a tool to stifle the blossoming competition in the electrical distribution market in my area, which until now has been essentially provided by one player. RMP has been a long-term benefactor from an electrical monopoly. Let us pause and let competition improve cost and quality of service for all.

I, along with many of my associates, have invested significant amounts of money in various solar-electric systems for my residence and other off grid applications. I encourage others to enjoy the benefits of solar energy as well. However, a rate increase from RMP would adversely impact my decision to upgrade and expand my system, and I would not encourage others to participate in solar energy projects as this rate increase would negatively impact the benefit of the system.

Thank you for accepting public input on this matter. In my opinion, however, the time period for public input should be extended. Ultimately, I ask that the current rate change request be rejected. Let the free-market decide the value of services provided by RMP.

Respectfully submitted

Rick Whiting Rwskw1@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Selena Robins <selenarobins@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:11 AM

I am writing to urge you reject Pacificorp's Advice No. 16-13, a blatant attempt by Rocky Mountain Power to retain a monopoly on Utah's power. It is obviously in their interest to prolong any benefit to solar customers. Why are we letting them restrict our choices for clean and more efficient options? Solar power is important for our future! And the fact that they filed this during the holidays so people would be too busy to get involved is despicable! Please make them keep the normal rates.

Respectfully, Selena Robins Highland, UT selenarobins@gmail.com

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:13 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brendan Hatch, brendan.hatch@vivintsolar.com, Orem, UT

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Opposition to RMP's proposed Net Metering Rate Change...

1 message

Nick Cova <nickcova@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:13 AM

To Whom it May Concern,

I am writing in opposition to RMP's proposed Net Metering rate change and am requesting that you deny Schedule 136 and its related Schedule 5 tariff. RMP is a regional monopoly and the grid has been built for and paid for by all the rate payers over the years. RMP is now directly targeting solar with disproportionate rates. Solar should be viewed as a way to mitigate increased demands on centralized electricity generation. This has the effect of reducing RMP's required investment in CAPEX for incremental centralized power generation. And as a regional monopoly, RMP is a "public utility". The emphasis being on the fact that they should be serving the best interests of the public. As such, reduced CAPEX is a significant benefit. Furthermore, reducing greenhouse gas emissions is a significant benefit; as are the reductions in health issues (and ensuing costs) related to power generation emissions.

Therefore, I strongly recommend the Public Service Commission deny Schedule 136 and its related Schedule 5 tariff.

Sincerely, Nick Cova





Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Chris Hayes <hannibalhhh@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Chris Hayes <hannibalhhh@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:14 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Chris Hayes, hannibalhhh@yahoo.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Terry Bryson < Terry@thelocalguy.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" < psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:14 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Terry Bryson, Salt Lake City, UT



Oppose

1 message

Clay Johnson <johnson.clayt@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:13 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Clay Johnson, johnson.clayt@gmail.com, Orem, UT 84097



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wendy Jackman <wendy.mooner@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:15 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Wendy Jackman, wendy.mooner@gmail.com, Eagle Mountain Utah



Letter from a concerned Utah'n

1 message

Javon Kemp <javonk9019@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:15 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Javon Kemp



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

EmmaLee Forsyth <emmalee.forsyth@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:17 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

EmmaLee Forsyth, emmalee.forsyth@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Suzy Beaumont <sbeaumont54@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:17 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Beaumont, sbeaumont54@gmail.com, Woods Cross, Utah

Sent from my iPad



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Hollie Cole heccole04@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:17 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Hollie Cole, heccole04@gmail.com, West Jordan, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Karen Glenn <klglenn8@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:18 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Karen Glenn American fork Utah Klglenn8@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

leon eddie <leoneddie@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:18 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Leon Eddie

leoneddie@hotmail.com

Orem, UT 84058



Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Jessica Royston<jessica.royston3@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:20 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,
Jessica Royston, jessica.royston3@gmail.com, Pleasant Grove, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cal Greene <salsacal9@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Cal Greene <salsacal9@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:21 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I am vehemently opposed to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I feel like this has not been the best way to bring up this matter by RMP with the short time frame to act on it, at least from the public's being informed about it and the process to make a fair decision. I strongly urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal ratemaking process. Why is this not the process that has been done or proposed to be done?

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. And I believe that RMP wants to punish those of us that are using or will be using solar to help with the over all picture of our energy needs.

In light of what RMP wants to do, are they going to assess the new tariff for their own solar panel array customers that they have signed up recently? Are those customers aware of this? Or do they get special consideration because the solar panels are done by RMP rather than individual solar users that have put forth an investment with the hope of helping with their power bills as well as helping the environment and better supporting the power grid?

Thank you for accepting public input on this most important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public, please please please, on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,
Calvin Greene, salsacal9@yahoo.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Patrick Frasier <frasier08@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:21 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Patrick Frasier frasier08@gmail.com Cell 801-231-8361



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jayme Miller <jaymejeromemiller@live.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:22 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jayme Miller jaymejeromemiller@jive.com, Provo, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Joe Gerew <joe.gerew@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:22 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Joe Gerew, joe.gerew@gmail.com, American Fork, UT



Docket No. 16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

S. Robert Bradley <rbooradley@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:27 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I hereby express my strong opposition to the proposal made by Rocky Mountain Power (Advice No. 16-13), filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers of Rocky Mountain Power.

I urge the Public Service Commission to immediately suspend the proposed tariff and prevent it from taking efect as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed.

I believe that Rocky Mountain Power should be required to conform to the normal rate-making process and see no reason to allow short-cutting that process.

It is unfair and unreasonable for the Commission to allow Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar.

I believe that we all should be deeply concerned about the future of our planet. I believe solar energy provides people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. It is abundantly obvious that Utah has an air quality problem which need needs to improved, and I believe rooftop solar should be an important part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue.

I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Very truly yours,

S. Robert Bradley 4375 S 2490 East Salt Lake City, UT 84124 801-272-6044 rbooradley@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mack Damavandi <mack.damavandi@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:27 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. I also believe that the competition solar companies provide Rocky Mountain Power is a good thing - Rocky Mountain Power should find other ways to innovate and become more competitive with their rates and offerings as opposed to exercising a tarriff on rooftop solar customers.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Mack Damavandi, mack.damavandi@gmail.com, Orem, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jack Wahlen <j.bwahlen@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:27 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I have installed 50 solar panels on my home which have performed very well for 3 years. I am very concerned about a marked change in charges from RMP for solar customers. RMP already uses my excess power during high demand times(daytime) and receives High reimbursement for the power If there is excess at the end of the year they pay me at their lowest rate. It appears we will have less hydro-power and will be forced to expand other generating facilities. Home solar decreases the need for capital to do so. In our present state of significant risk of terrorist events on our power supply ,diversification would lower this risk. Solar homes provide a great service to RMP by decreasing the need for additional generating capacity. This in turn helps to keep cost down for all customers in Utah. I see this as a "win-win-win" for all of us. Their new proposal will markedly curtail or even stop home solar and lead to more huge solar farms where Utah's roof top space will be less utilized for solar. This appears to be a proposal that unfairly takes away any incentive for private to expansion of our solar grid..

I recognize that the PSC must balance the needs of the public and utilities but this seems to be so unbalanced that it would essentially stop all home and business solar in favor of RMP. I hope you will not allow anything that would curtail further home and business solar expansion due to it's significant benefit to power users in Utah.

With Best Regards,

Jack Wahlen MD PHD 3480 Baker Dr Ogden Utah 84403



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Stephen Schultz <srschultz@hotmail.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:28 AM

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>, Stephen Schultz <srschultz@hotmail.com>

Dear Commissioners,

I'm VERY MUCH in opposition toPacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

This rate increase would impose a 3-tiered rate system or solar customers only that would double or triple the payback period for a solar investment.

Here is sunny UAH, solar is a clean and efficient addition to our power grid. This in NOT about being "Green", This is about energy security for our beautiful state. It is ridiculous to financially discourage roof top solar systems with these changes.

In addition I am quite disappointed that his rate proposal by RMP was timed to leave the least amount of time for public comment or for anyone but RMP to be able to provide research or analysis for consideration. They have also carefully chosen the week of the Thanksgiving holiday for the deadline for public comment to discourage participation, the comment period needs to be extended until January 2017. We are better that this!

Thank You

Stephen Schultz

Po Box 96

La Sal, Utah

84530

srschultz@hotmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Scott Hardy <scotthardy77@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:30 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Scott Hardy, scotthardy77@gmail.com, Midway, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Darren Mower <darren.mower@vivintsolarcom> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:31 AM

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing regarding Rocky Mountain Power Request. We just had an election that pundits say is a repudiation of Big Government. There is no uglier form of Big Government than a monopoly using the government to shut down competition. Especially a competition that is better for the environment and the consumer. Please do not let the lies and pressure from a monopoly erase choice for the people of Utah.

Thank you,

Darren Mower

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Richard and Joanne Loosli <JRLOOSLI@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:33 AM

Dear Commissioners,

Rocky Mountain Power has filed an anti-solar special request with the Public Service Commission that asks that they be given permission to change the rooftop solar rate structurefætive next month, without having to go through the normal rate-setting process.

We were informed that the PSC will take publicomments until 22 Nov 2016. We realize that we are sending this email of concern a day after the deadline but we feel that the deadline was unreasonable in that we didn't receive the notice until the day of the deadline and we believe is unreasonable to allow for sufficient time for public input.

We are opposed to RMP being allowed to to raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean and effcient energy. It would severely restrict homeowners to seriously consider rooftop solar as a means to decrease the carbon footprint that they are currently contributing to the environment and is the reason we determined that we wanted to make this this investment. In light of the dirty air we experience, we feel that the proposal by RMP is defeating the concern of the homeowners to lessen the amount of greenhouse gases being released into the air by installing a cleaner power producing technology

Thank you for allowing public input but we ask that consideration of RMP's request babled for the present and the deadline for public comment be extended. We respectfully ask that the PSC reject RMP's current rate change request in the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public.

Sincerely yours,

Richard & Joanne Loosli Orem, UT 801-225-8248



Docket No. 16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

S. Robert Bradley <rbooradley@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:27 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I hereby express my strong opposition to the proposal made by Rocky Mountain Power (Advice No. 16-13), filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers of Rocky Mountain Power.

I urge the Public Service Commission to immediately suspend the proposed tariff and prevent it from taking efect as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed.

I believe that Rocky Mountain Power should be required to conform to the normal rate-making process and see no reason to allow short-cutting that process.

It is unfair and unreasonable for the Commission to allow Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar.

I believe that we all should be deeply concerned about the future of our planet. I believe solar energy provides people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. It is abundantly obvious that Utah has an air quality problem which need needs to improved, and I believe rooftop solar should be an important part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue.

I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Very truly yours,

S. Robert Bradley 4375 S 2490 East Salt Lake City, UT 84124 801-272-6044 rbooradley@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mack Damavandi <mack.damavandi@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:27 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. I also believe that the competition solar companies provide Rocky Mountain Power is a good thing - Rocky Mountain Power should find other ways to innovate and become more competitive with their rates and offerings as opposed to exercising a tarriff on rooftop solar customers.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Mack Damavandi, mack.damavandi@gmail.com, Orem, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jack Wahlen <j.bwahlen@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:27 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I have installed 50 solar panels on my home which have performed very well for 3 years. I am very concerned about a marked change in charges from RMP for solar customers. RMP already uses my excess power during high demand times(daytime) and receives High reimbursement for the power If there is excess at the end of the year they pay me at their lowest rate. It appears we will have less hydro-power and will be forced to expand other generating facilities. Home solar decreases the need for capital to do so. In our present state of significant risk of terrorist events on our power supply ,diversification would lower this risk. Solar homes provide a great service to RMP by decreasing the need for additional generating capacity. This in turn helps to keep cost down for all customers in Utah. I see this as a "win-win-win" for all of us. Their new proposal will markedly curtail or even stop home solar and lead to more huge solar farms where Utah's roof top space will be less utilized for solar. This appears to be a proposal that unfairly takes away any incentive for private to expansion of our solar grid..

I recognize that the PSC must balance the needs of the public and utilities but this seems to be so unbalanced that it would essentially stop all home and business solar in favor of RMP. I hope you will not allow anything that would curtail further home and business solar expansion due to it's significant benefit to power users in Utah.

With Best Regards,

Jack Wahlen MD PHD 3480 Baker Dr Ogden Utah 84403



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Stephen Schultz <srschultz@hotmail.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:28 AM

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>, Stephen Schultz <srschultz@hotmail.com>

Dear Commissioners,

I'm VERY MUCH in opposition toPacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

This rate increase would impose a 3-tiered rate system or solar customers only that would double or triple the payback period for a solar investment.

Here is sunny UAH, solar is a clean and efficient addition to our power grid. This in NOT about being "Green", This is about energy security for our beautiful state. It is ridiculous to financially discourage roof top solar systems with these changes.

In addition I am quite disappointed that his rate proposal by RMP was timed to leave the least amount of time for public comment or for anyone but RMP to be able to provide research or analysis for consideration. They have also carefully chosen the week of the Thanksgiving holiday for the deadline for public comment to discourage participation, the comment period needs to be extended until January 2017. We are better that this!

Thank You

Stephen Schultz

Po Box 96

La Sal, Utah

84530

srschultz@hotmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Scott Hardy <scotthardy77@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:30 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Scott Hardy, scotthardy77@gmail.com, Midway, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Darren Mower <darren.mower@vivintsolarcom> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:31 AM

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing regarding Rocky Mountain Power Request. We just had an election that pundits say is a repudiation of Big Government. There is no uglier form of Big Government than a monopoly using the government to shut down competition. Especially a competition that is better for the environment and the consumer. Please do not let the lies and pressure from a monopoly erase choice for the people of Utah.

Thank you,

Darren Mower

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Richard and Joanne Loosli <JRLOOSLI@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:33 AM

Dear Commissioners,

Rocky Mountain Power has filed an anti-solar special request with the Public Service Commission that asks that they be given permission to change the rooftop solar rate structurefætive next month, without having to go through the normal rate-setting process.

We were informed that the PSC will take publicomments until 22 Nov 2016. We realize that we are sending this email of concern a day after the deadline but we feel that the deadline was unreasonable in that we didn't receive the notice until the day of the deadline and we believe is unreasonable to allow for sufficient time for public input.

We are opposed to RMP being allowed to to raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean and effcient energy. It would severely restrict homeowners to seriously consider rooftop solar as a means to decrease the carbon footprint that they are currently contributing to the environment and is the reason we determined that we wanted to make this this investment. In light of the dirty air we experience, we feel that the proposal by RMP is defeating the concern of the homeowners to lessen the amount of greenhouse gases being released into the air by installing a cleaner power producing technology

Thank you for allowing public input but we ask that consideration of RMP's request babled for the present and the deadline for public comment be extended. We respectfully ask that the PSC reject RMP's current rate change request in the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public.

Sincerely yours,

Richard & Joanne Loosli Orem, UT 801-225-8248



Attn: Commissioners - re: Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Adam Fohrman <adamfohrman@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:33 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I severely oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet. Solar energy gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Adam Fohrman 771 E Roosevelt Ave. Salt Lake City, UT 84105



Don't price Solar out of existence in Utah

1 message

Vernon Fuller <vernonfuller@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:34 AM

o. pootgatanigov

Dear Commissioners,

Don't make us like Nevada and other states who have killed Solar to protect the power company. I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular , I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

For reasons that seem to be obvious to us as residence of Utah, these actions of Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed. They simply should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & ef ficient energy. These changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power not only affect me, but every other individual within the state who currently breaths the unclean air we experience within the state.

I would personally like to thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Vernon Fuller 801-380-4486

11362 N 5710 West Highland, Utah 84003



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Lewis Nuttall Irnuttall@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:36 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Lewis Nuttall

Irnuttall@gmail.com
Mapleton, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Stacy Kupiec <stacy@thekupiecs.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:37 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Stacy Kupiec Stacy@thekupiecs.net West Jordan, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Matt Bush <mbbush@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:37 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Matt Bush, Mbbush@gmail.com, Pleasant Hill, CA



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

amy hodges <amydanyalehodges@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:38 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Amy Hodges
Amydanyalehodges@gmail.com
Salt Lake City, UT from my iPhone



Reject advice No. 16-13

1 message

Mason Love <masontylerlove@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:38 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Mason Tyler Love West Jordan utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Randy Brown <rbrown277@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:40 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Randy Brown

rbrown277@gmail.com

Syracuse, UT



Oppose This

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:40 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9. 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our plant, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be a part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brandon Christensen, brandontchristensen@hotmail.com, Bluffdale, UT

Sent from B's iPhone



Docket # 16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Nikki Ottley <nizzinator@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:40 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Nikki Ottley nizzinator@gmail.com Stansbury Park, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Spencer McCoy <mackcoy@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:41 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Spencer McCoy, mackcoy@gmail.com, Provo, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jennifer Montgomery <jennifer.montgomery@vivintsolarcom> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:41 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Montgomery

Concerned Citizen

1156 E Canyon Rd

Spanish Fork, UT

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ned Sharp <nedsharp@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:41 AM

Dear Commissioners,

Please be advised that I oppose the PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I strongly fell that the Public Service Commission should suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. Friends and family members currently considering solar would no longer be interested if the current rates are increased. I feel solar is a very beneficial energy source and can help with reducing Utah's air quality problem.

Thank you for accepting public comment. I strongly feel that the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Ned B. Sharp 1377 W 1950 S Syracuse, Utah 84075

nedsharp@gmail.com



Oppose Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Jared Deisher <jareddeisher@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:43 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jared Deisher, jareddeisher@gmail.com, Logan UT

Jared Deisher



Proposal Opposition

1 message

Jonathan Watson <jonathan.watson@vivintsolarcom> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:44 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Watson

Orem, UT

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Micah Grant <grantmicah@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:44 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I am opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge you to suspend the tariff request, and require PacifiCorp to use the correct rate-changing process for these changes.

This proposal seems to be anti-solar, and would hurt the industry and companies that are promoting cleaner methods of generating electricity. I believe there is great value in what solar adds to the state and the environment. This type of change, now or in the future seems to be counter-intuitive and targeted to limit access to clean energy. It also makes it harder for citizens like me who want to get solar in the future.

Thank you for reading, and for considering the people's needs and interests, and again, I urge you to require PacifiCorp to go through the correct approval process for their request, so that it can be vetted thoroughly and so the effects can be better understood.

Micah Grant Stansbury Park, UT grantmicah@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Joshua Martin <joshmartin1984@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:45 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power"Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I uge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarffand prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleanermore reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Poweand reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely

Joshua William Martin, JOSHMARTIN1984@GMAIL.COMSalt Lake City UT



public comment re Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Doug Vilnius <dvilnius@sisna.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:45 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Please reject any cost benefit data submitted by Rocky Mountain Power and commission an independent analysis if you deem necessary. Note that such independent data does exist from other sources but if you choose to consider doing one for Utah I would donate to support such analysis. Please do not penalize me for Rocky Mountain Power's failure to adjust their businees model to current and future energy trends and my commitment to reducing green house gases.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Doug Vilnius, dvilnius@sisna.com, Park City UT



Docket #16-035 Public Comment

1 message

Carina Garcia <kurenna44@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:46 AM

Dear Commissioners.

Sent from my iPhone

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Carina Garcia , kurenna44@gmail.com, orem , UT

Thanks,

Carina Garcia



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ben Smith bsmith20681@gmail.com
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:46 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ben Smith, bsmith20681@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Commen

1 message

Tim Pearson <timpearson@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:47 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

_

Tim Pearson, timpearson@gmail.com, Pleasant Grove, UT.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Spencer Conners <royalgreen12@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:48 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power , "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Regards,

Spencer Conners 586 W 880 S, Orem, UT 207.319.8134

P.S. The language of the foregoing comment was provided by the company I work for but I have read it and I agree with it and I truly hope you consider it.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tyson Hoffman <tyson.b.hoffman@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:49 AM

We have an exciting project for our home. We've signed on financing for installing solar power on our home! Through net metering we will be able to use the excess power we make during the summer/day to pay for the power we use during the winter/night. We are going to be able to trade our power bill for 30+ years with the same price loan payment for ~20 years (worse case scenario, we will be able to accelerate this). This also allows us to 'lock in' our payment and isolates us from power inflation, which will likely rise. After that we pay nothing but the fixed \$9 charge. This reduces our dependence on fossil fuels, reduces our power bills, and is a step on the path to energy independence (Y ou know, in case of zombies).

Rocky Mountain Power(RMP) is not a fan of this. Utility companies are monopolies that use a business model that is about profits and growth. Their investors want them to be selling more power, which means that being more efficient, or supporting residential solar is counter to that business model. Last year Nevada's power company, which has the same investor as RMP(Berkshire Hathaway), increased rates specifically targeting home solar power installations, claiming that those homes are getting a 'free ride' by relying on the power grid that they are no longer paying for. It killed the solar industry in Nevada, installations dropped by 99% and thousands of jobs were lost.

RMP is approaching you, the Public Utilities Commission with a similar proposal. They created a study looking only at costs, completely ignoring benefits, such as no long distance power transmission loss, better utilization of existing local infrastructure, reduced pollution in the valleys which already reaches unhealthy levels, and many more found by other utilities, solar power companies, and academics (33 studies here: http://www.seia.org/policy/distributed-solar/solar-cost-benefit-studies). My solar panels, already installed panels, and future installations will save RMP money , and benefit society.

RMP says they are purchasing solar from net metering for 2 to 3 times what they would from a large solar farm, and calls this a subsidy. This report http://www.seia.org/policy/distributed-solar/solar-cost-benefit-studies looks at 11 different studies, 8 show that net metering solar is more valuable than grid supplied power , sometimes as much as double. It could be argued that RMP is underpaying net meetered customers. I, and I believe most other net metered customers aren't looking to get more from RMP. We just wish to maintain the existing fair net metering policy .

Please look for the benefits, already thoroughly researched by other states, which RMP decidedly left out of their study Approving RMP's proposal will hurt Utah, we have already seen that in Nevada.

As the safeguard of the public, protect our solar industry, help RMP in its mission to supply Utah with af fordable power, and deny their rate increase. Their proposal benefits only Bershire Hathaway at the cost of thousands of Utah jobs, Utahn's health, and preventing Utah citizens from contributing to a cleaner more sustainable future.

Please reject their proposal.

Thanks, ~Tyson Hoffman 3507 S 2145 E Millcreek Utah 801-913-5739



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Alan Sherwood <alancsherwood@comcast.net>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:49 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: Sandra Allen <skallen55@comcast.net>

As a homeower that wants to install rooftop solar, I would like to register my strong opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. Rocky Mountain Power's proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Rocky Mountain Power is trying to use the PSC to assist them in destroying competition from the rooftop solar industry and deprive citizens of rooftop solar as a preferred product through the use of disincentives. Look to the Nevada experience as an example and what has happened to installations there. Although it is admirable that RMP is allowing customers to purchase shares of their new solar grid facility in Utah it should not do so by taking away choice from the citizen on how to pay for electricity.

One of the key features of social policy is to provide incentives for positive behaviors, and the current rates for solar customers do this by promoting conservation and clean energy. This new policy proposal does the opposite.

RMP complains that rooftop solar is costly because of its inability to provide adequate power during peak hours and is not "grid friendly." Yet this concern will become moot in the near future as new battery technology becomes available to the consumer as early as next year. With thousands of batteries releasing stored power back to the grid during peak hours this issue can ease the demand for electricity. In the meantime, RMP should do a better job of promoting its own technology for air conditioners through the cool keeper program. If the PSC has not requested data from RMP on the penetration rates of the cool keeper program they should immediately do this before taking any action on rooftop solar rate changes. RMP should also consider increasing its financial incentive to get people to participate in the program.

The legislature indicated in previous legislation that a study be done. Now RMP is asking for a decision in absence of such a study. This appears to go against a legislative directive.

In addition to being a huge job killer in the rooftop solar industry, this proposal also hurts the coal industry. Rooftop solar, if allowed to flourish, will help reduce the borden on coal plants subject to costly updates needed for them to comply with clean air requirements.

RMP claims that rooftop solar customers are a special group that must be subsidized by the rest of the customer base. This argument has no rational basis. It is all about public relations. They act as if this proposal is only about them being fair to public, as if they have only our best interests at heart. Why is this special group being singled out? I can imagine a number of special groups RMP could also consider. How about people without programmable thermostats? Should they be penalized? Oh, that's right that group gets an incentive to convert. One of the biggest groups with a bigger impact are people who own central air conditioners. Are they not being subsidized by the swamp cooler contingent. They are simply cutting and dicing the data the way they choose to make it look like their request is a rational one.

Finally, if Rocky Mountain Power really believes it needs a rate increase, they should use the normal rate-making process instead of this shortcut.

Sincerely,

Alan C. Sherwood 3145 S Teton Dr. Salt Lake City, Utah 84109

Note: Separate item. Another question for future reference that the PSC should ask RMP: "Why are rooftop solar customers not allowed to participate in RMPs subscriber solar program." If rooftop solar customers were able to participate it would be possible for some to get 100% of their power from solar if they wanted. When I asked this question to RMP they said that the reason that net metering customers couldn't participate was because their "billing system"

wasn't set up that way." When I asked when they planned to upgrade it they said there were no plans to change it. This should be looked into also by the PSC.



Commissioners

1 message

Heidi Moore <heidirmoore@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:49 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Heidi Moore, heidirmoore@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Blair Thorpe <thorblai@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:50 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I don't think anyone would disagree that "Advice No. 16-13" would hurt solar and subsequently all of the solar companies and employees of those companies in Utah. It seems the question is more if the changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power will hurt the people in Utah.

Rocky Mountain Power currently have a monopoly that we all have to deal with. It doesn't happen very often in the United States, but in rare occurrences Monopolies are needed. Typically areas where there is a large amount of money needed to start the business, and not enough business for more than one company. There was a large amount of money needed to set up the electric infrastructure, but we have proved that there is enough business for Rocky Mountain Power and several solar companies to survive. Do we really want to reverse this and go back to a "Pure" Monopoly?

I can tell you from personal experience that I am looking at getting solar panels. The changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power are more than enough for me to change my mind. I can imagine that if I feel this way, that a majority of people considering solar panels will feel the same.

Do we want to make changes that will limit peoples choices for power?

Do we support Monopolies when we can have alternatives?

Do we want to do anything about our carbon footprint?

Do we want to be a State where we let large companies force out smaller businesses?

These are all questions that need to be answered, and I hope that you will realize that the changes by this Monopoly are to benefit Rocky Mountain Power, and no one else. Certainly not the people that you represent.

Thank you,

Blair Thorpe



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Chris Engelsman <etree@me.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:50 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Chris Engelsman, etree@me.com, Park City, UT



solar power

1 message

Analee Knudsen <analee.knudsen@ssanpete.org>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:50 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Analee Knudsen
Analee Knudsen
South Sanpete School District
ak@ssanpete.org



RMP proposal

1 message

atlundy2000 <atlundy2000@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:52 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9. 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates fro customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our plant, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be a part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely
Adam lund
Atlundy2000@yahoo.com
Slc Utah

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S®6 active, an AT&T 4GLTE smartphone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

John Leigh <jleigh435@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:53 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I am deeply opposed to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

John Leigh, ileigh435@gmail.com, Park City, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Whitney Evans <whitneyraeevans@gmail.com>
To: PSC@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:54 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Whitney Evans, whitneyraeevans@gmail.com, Farmington, UT

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Joreen Kepa <joreenkepa@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:54 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Joreen Kepa, joreenkepa@gmail.com, Provo, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kurt Jeffs <kurtjeffs@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:55 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jane Smith, jane.smith@email.com, Salt Lake City, UT

Thank you for taking action on this important issue and for helping us spread the word.

Ryan Evans

President, Utah Solar Energy Association

Kurt Jeffs

Sent from my iPhone



Recent proposal put forth by Rocky Mountain Power

1 message

Teisha <t.guernsey11@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:55 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Teisha Guernsey, t.guernsey11@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:58 AM

Hey Commissioners,

I do not support and strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ask the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not allow it to take effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. Why would they be allowed to punish those trying to help the planet or try another method of power? I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. If we want cleaner air in Utah then we need to allow rooftop solar to have a fair chance to make a difference.

I appreciate you allowing public input on this important issue and hope that you have heard enough to know that solar is now a viable solution for power I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Braden Irvine
760.536.2124
braden.irvine@gmail.com



rooftop solar issue

1 message

Sharman Gill <sharmantgill@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:59 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Sharman Gill, sharmantgill@gmail.com, Mapleton, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Sam W oolf <sbwoolf@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 8:59 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Sam Woolf sbwoolf@gmail.com 802.318.7562

Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 t14 Public comment

1 message

Richard Wilson <richard@wilsondiamonds.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:01 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar , especially those of us who bought solar with the assurance of the rate. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Allowing solar to gain a footing now will pave the way for future advancements to come. There is no doubt we cannot continue forever using fossil fuels to generate our energy, and we cannot wait until there is a crisis to start changing over .

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Richard Wilson, richard@wilsondiamonds.com Orem Utah



Petition to No.16-13

1 message

Kyle Parrish <mrkyleglenparrish@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:02 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our right to chose what services I need for my family, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I know rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Kyle Parrish, mrkyleglenparrish@gmail.com, clearfield, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

amadsen1987 . <amadsen1987@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:02 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Andrew Madsen - amadsen1987@gmail.com, Cedar Hills, UT



Please don't make solar power obsolete

1 message

Susie Turner <susielturner@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:04 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

I wanted to voice my opposition to

PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for solar panel customers. I understand that solar power threatens the power companies ability to make money, but they need to find some other way to be profitable. Placing more fees & burdens on the shoulders of individuals who are trying to find a way to save money and use a clean renewable energy source is not fair and is not the answer.

Please don't let these huge companies steamroll the individuals in our state.

Thank You.

Susan Turner

Lehi, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

gmail

 brandi.wayment@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:06 AM

Dear Commissioners.

PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13 will unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar user. I urge you to oppose this proposal for several reasons.

First, as solar consumers we already pay a monthly charge for our share of the infrastructure and I understand that charge. However Rocky Mountain is currently charging this fee as well as capitalizing on the excess electricity I produce. They collect the excess power then charge other customers for the use of it. I receive absolutely no benefit by producing excess power. My in-laws in California on the other hand produce excess electricity beyond their needs, the electric company purchases it from them and then resells it at a higher rate. RMP takes the excess I produce and then sells it to other customers thus making money of f of my excess power and taking advantage of my family. Now with this new proposal they will be able to take further advantage of my family by charging us higher rates if we use electricity. For example, each year they drain our credit from excess power on April 1. This year we have over produced a lot, yet if we have a lower production in April they will immediately charge us for any overage regardless of the fact that we will make up for that in the coming months and in fact have excess that would have covered our usage a hundred times over in the year. This is already taking unfair advantage of customers. To now try and charge solar users a higher rate is unfathomable.

Second, the environmental benefits of solar need to be considered. This unfair rate structure makes solar costs rise when we should be doing everything we can to make solar more reasonable. Punitive proposals such as this punish people for being environmentally friendly We cannot ignore the benefits of solar for all of us.

Please vote against this proposal and help Utah become more environmentally friendly. Thank you for listening to the public.

Brandi Wayment 634 North 1200 East Mapleton, UT 84664 801-491-0811



Solar Energy for Utah Consumers

1 message

Tyler Turner <Tyler@griffiths-turner.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:07 AM

Hi,

I am in opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I think the Public Service Commission should suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and instead require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

Rocky Mountain Power is trying to increase the cost of electricity for Utahns who want to power their homes with solar energy. It has proposed new charges on consumers who choose to install solar that:

- Would be bad for business and will kill thousands of solar jobs in Utah.
- Unfairly punishes consumers who are trying to save money by using less power
- Would allow Rocky Mountain Power to take advantage of its monopoly by eliminating consumer choice, energy independence and a consumer's ability to do what they wish on their roof tops.

Tyler J. Turner, Esq.

GRIFFITHS& TURNER INC.

Tyler@Griffiths-Turner.com 512 E. 4500 S., Suite 150

Salt Lake City, Utah 84107



Docket#16-035T14 Public Comment

1 message

Sterling Hills <ster.hills@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:07 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035-t14

1 message

Blaire <blaire.isleib@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:08 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Please consider the reprocussions that a tax hike on solar alternatives would have on our already dwendling snow! Vote against a tax hike on solar!

Thank you,

Blaire Isleib

Sent from my iPhone



Public Comment on Docket #16-035 T14

1 message

Kory Gillette <kory.gillette@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:09 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Powel'Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. Furthermore, Solar has provided a tremendous number of clean, nejrobs in our state. We should not be harming this new fledgling industry

Rocky Mountain Power has had years with the Blue Sky initiative to build out clean energy sources and has not done so. Tying to slow down solar adoption so they can catch up, by using proposals like this, goes against everything we stand for as Utah residents. Utah could and should be a leader in providing solar energy to the west, rather than trying to hang on to dirty coal to create powedoption at the home level is the first place to start.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powend reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Korlin Gillette, Summit CountyUtahkory.gillette@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Josh Hoopes <josh@fiscallyfit.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:10 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I am writing to express my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", and urgePublic Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require them to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I have been studying solar for a number of years and am about to make the capital investment in making the world a better place. Regulating pricing is not the free market and de incentives innovation and adoption of clean energy. Further more the studies I have read indicate it is actually health for the grid and system.

Further more the mean which they put this through over a holiday and with little time seems to be the exact thing the "public people" are tired of from the governing process.

Thank you for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended.

I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Sincerely,

Joshua Hoopes 1500 S Park Dr Mantua, Utah 84324 josh@fiscallyfit.net



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Keith Chandler <kc0451361@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:10 AM

Dear Commissioners.

My name is Keith Chandler. I am not a solar customer yet, but I have quotes from two companies that I plan on pursuing when I can pay in cash. Cost effectiveness is a very important consideration to me in choosing my energy future. The proposed Rocky Mountain Power tiered rate increases for solar customers would push the payback period for solar so far that the opportunity cost of investing in it is too high.

I am proud to live in a free country. I don't think that a public service company with a monopoly should be allowed to infringe on my right to determine my future.

Countless scientists and industrialists have contributed to making solar power ever more affordable. They have pursued it with conviction. The customers, also, have stuck their financial necks out a long way to install the systems, most with long term loans. It is not fair that a public service monopoly company can dash everyone's prospects for solar.

The rate increases would kill solar in Utah, and ripple across the country. Utah is a good place for solar. We have many solar suppliers and providers. These are new companies that have provided jobs in Utah since the recession. Utah is trying to lead out in solar. Let us not lead in squashing it.

Sincerely,

Keith Chandler



Opposed to "Advice No. 16-13"

1 message

Marshall De Masters <mdemasters@visionsolar.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:11 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy. Rooftop solar gives employment to thousands of Utah Citizens. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting mine and public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Marshall DeMasters



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ashley Bown <ashley.bown@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:11 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I am opposed to the proposal from PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. This state is supposed to be about pro-business opportunities. I am not yet a solar customer , but I believe that is our future for energy fulfillment. During the transition we need to have a fair rate structure, but this proposal is not fair .

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ashley Bown South Jordan/Logan, Utah (801) 865-2121 ashley.bown@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Sam Stroman <samstroman@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:12 AM

Dear Commissioners,

My name is Sam Stroman. I am a Herriman resident, and Rocky Mountain Power customer . I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

I am not a current solar power user, but I am very interested in exploring the option of powering my home using solar energy in the near future. Solar power is a HUGE win for the environment and for consumers looking to lower their energy dependence and expenses. With this penalty disguised as a rate increase, Rocky Mountain Power is trying to maintain their own revenue streams and discourage competition. This is unfair, against the principles of a free-market, and damages consumers in the end.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Sam Stroman samstroman@gmail.com Herriman, UT



Embrace the future. Support solar.

1 message

Jon Ogden <ogden.jon@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:11 AM

Hello Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, because I want Utah to be forward-thinking.

The cost of solar is decreasing dramatically. It's where the future is headed.

Utah has a chance to lead on this issue. Let's take it!

Jon



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

shauna@cambriapm.com <shauna@cambriapm.com>
To: PSC@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:13 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Thank you,

Shauna Belnap ~Accounts Manager

Shauna@cambriapm.com www.cambriapm.com 801-270-5888





Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Christopher Holfeltz <chris@holfeltz.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:14 AM

Commissioners,

I strongly oppose Rocky Mountain Power's "Advice No. 16-13", filed November 9th, 2016 that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers like myself. Please suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9th as Rocky Mountain power has proposed. Just because some customers are getting power in a dif ferent manner that is cleaner - they should not be punished via higher rates.

I purchased my residential Solar to help the valley, and If I knew that something like this would have come up I would have done it differently. It is a disincentive for those looking at solar - and it has created more any jobs in Utah.

Please, please reject Advice No. 16-13.

Thank you for your consideration,

My household thanks you: Chris Holfeltz, Cody Holfeltz, Jessica Larson, and T orren Holfeltz.

Christopher Holfeltz

chris@holfeltz.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Deb Sawyer <dsawyer@xmission.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:14 AM

Dear Commissioners,

Thank you for providing me this space to express my thoughts and feelings concerning Rocky Mountain's Power proposal which would seriously dampen more rooftop solar in Utah.

Utah is one of the best states in our country for developing solar greeWe therefore have a responsibility to our country to produce as much solar engry as possible. Our country needs this for its economic well being, it nations security and its role in curbing global warming.

Rooftop solar is one of the best tools in the solar egyrtoolbox. RMP is being extremely short-sighted and protective of their own interests. Wheed to think of the bigger picture. Please suspend the taxifd prevent it from taking effect on Dec. 9. Please do all you can to encourage roof top solar

May we all help create the engry future our grandchildren will need for a livable planet.

Thank you for considering these concerns,

Deb Sawyer dsawyer xmission.com

549 Cortez St., SLC, UT84103



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cindi Lou Grant <franticforsnow@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:17 AM

Hello Commissioners.

As a home owner, lifelong Utah resident, and community member who is looking forward to having roof top solar in the near future; I oppose the recent proposal, Advice No. 16-13 from Rocky Mountain Power This was filed Nov. 9, 2016, and states that it would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

I believe that our grid system can help to spread roof top solar around to all as a community . This shared sun power, which in Utah is plentiful, will help clean our heavily particulated air , make a healthier environment for us to live in together, and make us all closer as a community.

I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is **unfair** for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and our local communities. I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Cindi Lou Grant 801-597-4122 franticforsnow@gmail.com backcountryliving.weebly.com Wasatch, UT USA



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jessica Andrews <jessica.brownlee@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:18 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jessica Andrews, Jessica.brownlee@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brandon Lake <sirmorace@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:19 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I would like to voice my opposition of (RMP) Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. This is clearly an anti competitive move on Rocky Mountain powers part to stifle power competition. Furthermore, it is an attempt to discourage and make solar not feasible in Utah. Solar Power is a great new alternative that is environmentally friendly and should be encouraged not forced out by the incumbent power company. Please suspend the tariff and stop RMP's proposal from taking effect on December 9. Please think about what is best for consumers and the environment and not just big powerful companies.

Thank you, Brandon Lake South Jordan, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tate Siemer <dtsiemer@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:20 AM

Hello!

I am writing to voice my opposition to Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. I believe that RMP's proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers and negatively impact the economy by stifling a burgeoning industry. Please suspend the tariff and prevent this proposal from taking effect. RMP should be required to use the normal rate making process.

Please consider the impact that rooftop solar will have on the air quality of our valley, which is, by all accounts, an urgent problem.

Thank you for being willing to listen to the public! We really appreciate it.

Tate Siemer Salt Lake City, UT 801-699-4532 dtsiemer@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mary Hobbs <joh06036@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:22 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Mary Hobbs

Lehi, UT

Sent from my iPhone



reject Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Angela Battaglia <angelabatt@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:22 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

In addition, I understand that there is more at stake than simply big corporation profit or the customers' savings. I realize that taxes go to our schools through consumption of resources, but there are better ways to increase the budget for our public education and it should not be at the expense of our children's health or the health of our planet.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Best regards,

Angela Battaglia
Angelabatt@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

judson Sacco <judsonsacco@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:23 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

I have spent the last 3 1/2 years working in the solar industry and the future of solar in Utah has a direct impact on my financial stability. Solar is not only something that I believe in deeply, it's also a means of survival for me and many other great people that I work with. Please consider this greatly when making your decision.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Judson Sacco



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Aixza Ekmeiro <aixzainterview@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:27 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Aixza Ekmeiro, Draper, UT



Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Stan <skistan@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:28 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Stan Kanarowski, skistan@gmail .com, Park City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Bill Cutting <bcutting@twiobrand.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:29 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I vehemently oppose Rocky Mountain Power's recent proposal, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov . 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

It is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . My wife and I are deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and we believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality desperately needs to improve, and rooftop solar is part of that solution. Renewables need incentives.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

BILL and AMY CUTTING Salt Lake City

http://twiobrand.com

o 801 486 1624 m 801 949 4080

2205 e 2100 s Salt Lake City , UT 84109





Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Christine LaPointe <lapo21@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:30 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. I know in California the power company's are encouraging solar and then selling the excess power to other customers. Doesn't this make more sense?

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Christine LaPointe

Park City, UT

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jennifer Franklin <j.marie.franklin@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:30 AM

Dear Commissioners,

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, changing the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I own an affordable housing condominium on which I installed six solar panels. I contribute more power to the grid than I consume and RMP is able to sell my power to others. My solar input credit even expires each March and they continue to use my power toward others.

RMP is interfering with the market in protectionist tactics to create beneficial outcomes for RMP . It's a poor argument to a false argument that their regular pay customers are paying for my rooftop solar expenses in their fees.

Rocky Mountain Power should not raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . Solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy and provides more clean power for RMP to sell, en lieu of coal for electricity generation.

I respectfully request that you put the interest of the entire state and it's citizenry first and foremost and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jen

Jennifer M. Franklin j.marie.franklin@gmail.com PO Box 2416 Park City, UT 84060

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jeremy Adamson jeremy.adamson@evelarcom>
To: "psc@utah.gov" c@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:32 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powerand reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Adamson



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mia Bailey <solarflare78@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:33 AM

To Whom it May Concern

I am deeply opposed to Rocky Mountain proposed tariff on rooftop solar in the state of Utah. Tariffs are expressly meant to discourage certain practices and rooftop solar is not only a popular but provides direct benefit to our state and valley and I believe strongly that this tariff would actively discourage consumers from installation and purchase of rooftop solar.

Utah is in a prime position to use solar and lead the way in a new consumer technology - tarif fs on the industry will hamper our leadership in this new business venture in the west. I have heard many lawmakers state that it's time to "take the training wheels off the solar industry" and allow the industry to thrive on its own: however the oil and gas industry receives millions in tax breaks and credits and is an established highly profitable industry. The solar industry deserves support from our legislatures and consumers are very interested in this popular technology.

I urge all members to oppose any Teri's against the solar industry or the manipulation of rates for rooftop solar owners as it intentionally attempts to hike the industry and disenfranchise lower income households from using solar .

Thank you for this opportunity for public comment.

Sincerely

Mia Bailey Sent from my iPhone



solar energy

1 message

Gretchen Belnap <gbelnap@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:32 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Belnap, Provo, UT

p.s. Please let us have some forethought and prioritize the critical health of our planet before corporate profits. Please, please, please. Thank you!



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jill Parkhurst <Jill.Parkhurst@basicresearch.org>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:33 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this import ant issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal f ooting with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jill Parkhurst

The contents of this transmission may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential, or otherwise is legally exempt from disclosure. This e-mail solely is intended for the use of the recipient(s) identified above. If you are not an intended recipient, you may not review, disseminate, distribute or duplicate this e-mail or any attachment. If you are not an intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and delete this message. No employee or agent is authorized to conclude any binding agreement on behalf of any entity sending or referred-to in this e-mail without express written confirmation by senior management. Although the sender has taken reasonable precautions to ensure no viruses are present in this e-mail, the sender and any entity referred-to in this e-mail cannot accept responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of this e-mail or attachments (if any).



Docket#16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:33 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brandon Steenhoek, brandon.steenhoek@blueravensolarcom, Salt Lake City, UT

Brandon Steenhoek | Design Team Mentor | **Blue Raven Solar** | 480.341.5516 | <u>brandon.steenhoek@</u> blueravensolacom



The Future of Energy. Today.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Joanne Rolls <richards.joanne@gmail.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: Eric Rolls <ericrolls@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:34 AM

Dear Members of the Utah Public Service Commission:

I am emailing today to voice my concerns re: the recent proposal put forth by Rocky Mountain Power re: solar use. My husband and I are active members of the community, voters and homeowners here in the Salt Lake Valley and we are soon to be first time parents. I work in medicine and my husband in the snowsports industry. Both of us are concerned about the impact of non-renewable energy on our local environment, recreation, economy community, the public health and the health of our soon to be newborn child. We are writing today to state our opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13 and believe that this puts an undue burden on rooftop solar customers. We are not yet solar customers but are really excited to institute this soon in order to help reduce the impact of other energy sources on our environment. We are asking you to suspect the tariff and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking effect on December 9th and suggest instead that Rocky Mountain Power use the normal rate-making process. We believe that the proposed unreasonable rate increase will harm solar business, employees of these companies, stifle small businesses and harm the environment. We all are well aware of the consequences of our poor air quality in the valley and recognize that a transition to more renewable sources can help mitigate this damage. Please support those of us who are attempting to do our part to help. Please continue to consider the environmental benefits of solar power. Thank you so much for being willing to listen to the public during this public comment time.

Best.

Joanne and Eric Rolls

1736 S Lake Street Salt Lake City UT 84105



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:34 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing because I oppose the proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Public policy should encourage progress, not go in the wrong direction. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

Just because Rocky Mountain Power has power and money (and a monopoly) doesn't mean they can use this power to hurt the little guy. We should all be concerned about the environment. Our air quality in Utah is bad. We should be setting the standard, not lagging. Solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. As I said before, Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ben Peterson



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brady Stuart brady.stuart@blueravensolar.com
To: "psc@utah.gov" psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:35 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

__

Brady Stuart | Legal Counsel | o:385.498.4408c:801.675.2246 | brady.stuart@blueravensolacom



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE -- This email transmission, and any documents, files or previous email messages attached to it are covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510 and 2521 and contain confidential and legally privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that disclosure, copying, printing; distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTIY PROHIBITED. If you have received the transmission in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone or return email and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving in any manner Thank you.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Andrew Spry <andrewspry@icloud.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:35 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Dr. Andrew J Spry 11093 South Hampton Way South Jordan, UT 84009



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Alyssa Geisler <alyssa.geisler@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:35 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Geisler, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

She's Not From Here <notfromherepod@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:36 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Megan Hillier, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

lymanmj66 <lymanmj66@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:38 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power"Advice No. 16-13", filedNov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powernd reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Matt Lyman, lymanmj66@gmail.com, South Jordan Utah

Sent from my TMobile 4G ITE Device



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

gdpsmp@comcast.net <gdpsmp@comcast.net>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:38 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: gdpsmp@comcast.net

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to state my opposition to PacificCorp-RMP Advice No. 16-13 filed No®, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. I request that they are required to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I became a rooftop solar user for two very important reasonsThe 1st being my desire to use renewable, clean energy and preserve our resourcesThe second was my desire to be more self sufficient and reduce my costs for my family in this very fligult financial time. I feel that it is unreasonable for RMP to be allowed to raise the costs for new rooftop customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean and efficient energy. These proposed rate changes would remove the incentive for individuals to utilize solar powerThis, in my opinion, is blatantly unfair and a restriction of individuals to exercise their choice of power source and capitalize on our investment. I am also very concerned at the timing of this request, making it in such a short notice and time when the public would not have time to respond and appropriate studies and public input be made. Seems like very good business planning on their part but unfair for the public. I strongly request that the Dec. 9th date be rejected.

I have read studies and articles from other regions where rooftop solar has been a value to the power system and grid. I feel strongly about the public's right to benefit from choices to utilize this clean energy source and a reduced cost.

Thank you for accepting public input and please extend the deadline of this out of process rate adjustment.

I respectfully request that the PSC, in the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public reject PacificCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Sincerely,

Gary D. Peterson 3691 West 8140 South West Jordan, Utah 84088 Mobile 801-971-5172 Home 801-280-6452



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Gretchen Belnap <gbelnap@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:38 AM

(This is a second try sending this email, as I didn't have the right subject line in the previous email. Hopefully this will help get my message where it needs to go. Thanks)

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Belnap, Provo, UT

p.s. Please let us have some forethought and prioritize the critical health of our planet before corporate profits. Please, please, please. Thank you!



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:40 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed November 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Rocky Mountain Power's proposal should be prevented from taking effect on the 9th of December and Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe that the proposal is unfair to Utah ratepayers that choose solar power as a option. It is anti-competitive and will hurt the choice of Utahns into the future. Utah has some of the worst air quality especially in the Salt Lake V alley and solar could be a part of the solution to the problem; however, rather than compete with environmentally friendly policies and improvements in Solar Rocky Mountain Power has chosen to try and eliminate competition through unfair and unfounded rate increases. I believe that solar is a important part of the future of Utah and as a ratepayer in Utah I am deeply concerned about the damage this proposal could do to the environment and the booming solar industry that has created thousands of jobs here in the state of Utah.

Thank you for accepting my input on this important issue. I hope that you can put the interest of Utah, the Utah public, and ratepayers above the interests of Rocky Mountain Power by rejecting Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Bradley Pratt, pratt.bradley@gmail.com, Lehi, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jeremy W oodard <jlwoodard20@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:41 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Woodard, jlwoodard20@gmail.com, Saint George, UT

Jeremy W oodard 435-313-3619 jlwoodard20@gmail.com



Rocky Mountain Power and Solar

1 message

Joanne Rasmussen <rasmussen.joanne24@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:41 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Joanne Rasmussen, Park City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Nick Webb <the8webbs@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Nick W ebb <the8webbs@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:41 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Nick Webb Orem Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Erik Galbraith <erikjgalbraith@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:42 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Erik Galbraith, erikjgalbraith@gmail.com Salt Lake City Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kelly Moller <avalonintl@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:42 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Kelly Moller, avalonintl@gmail.com, Murray, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Benjamin W ade <benwade21@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:42 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to significantly improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Wade, ben.wade@gmail.com

Salt Lake City, UT

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jeffrey Benson <jeffrey.benson@blueravensolarcom>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:43 AM

To Whom It May Concern:

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. Furthermore, I believe citizens in Utah have the right to choose their energy provider, whether it be a traditional utility company, rooftop solar, wind, or other options.

On a personal note, I work for one of the largest solar providers in the state of Utah. This action would have a direct impact on me, my employees, and the 100 plus employees that work for Blue Raven Solar across the state of Utah.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Jeffrey Benson | Senior Manager - Proposals | Blue Raven Solar | 480.329.7523 | jeffrey.benson@blueravensolarcom



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

mary richardson <onlymary@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:43 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

I recently installed solar panels and I believe that Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate. It is going to prevent future solar installations if Rocky Mountain Power can raise rates for just those customers. Utah uses mostly coal for power and every solar installation improves our air and reduces carbon emissions. Rocky Mountain power is not looking out for the common good, it is only looking to increase its profits at the sacrifice of the environment.

You must put the interest of the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Mary Richardson, onlymary@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Rate hikes. Urgent!!!

1 message

Greg Terrell <gdterrell@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:43 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Greg Terrell

Gdterrell@gmail.com Salt Lake City, UT

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Shane W estra <shane.westra@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:47 AM

Dear Commissioners -

I'm writing to let you know that I strongly oppose "Advice No. 16-13" from Rocky Mountain Power.

Government should primarily serve the people to protect the citizen's choices and liberties. Letting power companies increase their own profits by punishing alternative energy customers is unfair.

Solar energy has the potential of protecting the environment, reducing strain on the power grid, and letting citizens have more choice in how they live; but only if the Utah Public Service Commission acts to protect the public instead of lobbyists from Rocky Mountain Power.

Thank you for your consideration,

Shane Westra 967 E 300 N Lindon, UT 84042 801-310-6642(cell)



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brendan Hahn hahn.brendan@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:47 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I vehemently oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Furthermore, allowing a monopolistic enterprise like Rocky Mountain Power to influence the government's responsibility to work in the best interest of its citizens for financial gain is unethical. It will set a horrible precedent that, if followed, will negatively impact not just Utah, but the country at large. The solar industry is rapidly growing and the environmental benefits are going to be felt exponentially over the next several years. Throwing a wrench in that progress now could be disastrous. Utah has an opportunity to lead by example and I urge you to consider the economic and environmental ramifications of this decision.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brendan Hahn, hahn.brendan@gmail.com, Lehi, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Alexandra W ade <wade.alexandra@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:48 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to significantly improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Alexandra Wade wade.alexandra@gmail.com

Holladay, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Richard Burford <richardburford@mac.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:48 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I write to make clear my opposition to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Richard Burford Sandy, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Travis Wendel <travis.wendel@blueravensolarcom>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:48 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed No v. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I strongly urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal ratemaking process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am extremely concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. To lessen one's carbon footprint will continue to help sustain a stronger tomorrow. Our air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this import ant issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Travis Wendel, travis@utefamily.com, Pleasant Grove, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

circle box <circlebox@outlook.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:49 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Powel'Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powend reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Mike Perkins, circlebox@outlook.com, Sandy, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Leslie Baker <lesliearb@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:52 AM

Dear Commissioners.

Please extend the public comment period on the proposed RMP rate hike for home solar users. I feel like this is being rushed through and we have all not had time to review this properly. The normal rate making process should be required.

My husband and I are opposed to PacificCore-RMP's advice #16-13, please suspend the plan that they want to take effect on Dec 9th.

I feel that those of us that have made the sacrifice to invest money upfront to install solar on our homes are being shortchanged. By installing solar everyone is benefited: in cleaner air, energy that RMP gets back that can be used for others, and RMP can be saved the expense of building more infrastructure to produce more power itself. If anything more solar should be encouraged. The rate hike will only serve to discourage others from installing solar if its harder to make back this initial cost. This in turn will hurt the solar energy industry economy in our state. We all need to be working for cleaner air. You cannot put a price tag on that - we are suffering even as we debate this important decision.

Sincerely, Leslie Baker 165 No. 7100 W. Petersboro, Utah lesliearb@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brandon Mahana

cbgmahana@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Brandon Mahana

bgmahana@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:52 AM

Dear Commissioners,

It has been brought to my attention that Rocky Mountain Power has proposed rate increases for rooftop solar customers. This is highly discouraging. Many solar customers have shifted toward renewable energy because they care about our planet, and they care about reducing Utah's contribution of carbon emissions to the world-wide climate-change crisis. Solar customers want to "do their part" in the fight against climate change, and they should not be punished for it--in fact, more citizens should be encouraged by our government to follow these solar customers' lead. If punishment via tariffs must occur then let it be on the heads of fossil-fuel customers via a carbon tax.e/\should hould ALL shift toward renewable energyThis shift should have happened a decade ago, and kicking this can down the road is detrimental to our well being and the well being of the world in large. Please do not ignore the scientific community's warnings and the extremely important and urgent need to shift away from fossil energy

The following sample letter was emailed to me, and I am using it here because I agree and support its message and plea. Furthermore, this sample letter contains details that may be important to Utah commissioners so that my protest can be formally noted and documented. Thank you for your time.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Powell'Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commissior to suspend the tarffand prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energyUtah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powend reject Advice No. 16-13.

Should you have any further inquiries, please feel free to reach me at the phone number listed; or reach me via this email address.

Warm regards,

Brandon Mahana 801.787.6712 Provo, Utah



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Aaron Burgemeister <aburgemeister@gmail.com>
To: Utah Public Service Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:54 AM

To Whom it May Concern:

My name is Aaron Burgemeister and today I became aware that, just two weeks ago, Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) proposed a rate change for solar owners in their territory. I also found out that the public comment period for this ended yesterday, in the middle of a holiday week, after fewer than two weeks of notice. Finally, if I understand correctly, the rate change for solar owners in their jurisdiction could change within a month of the initial submission, which seems incredibly fast and ill-timed.

The underlying problem with RMP's proposal is that it targets a fraction of a percentage of consumers while ignoring at least one larger problem of subsidization of many more users who are simply users of low power. That there are fixed costs to maintain the entire grid is understood; that these fixed costs are fixed on a per-household basis is also understood, and already those who use solar are paying fees on a monthly basis for a connection to the grid, not to mention initial application fees, and the like. Now, because RMP also subsidizes low-power users by those who use more power, they are claiming that those with solar are unfairly benefiting, just like those who simply use less power are benefiting, from lower rates due to lower usage.

If fixed costs exist, it would be fairer to simply pass those on to users of the system as they exist; if the total cost of the system is \$100 million, and there are 10 million users, then the fixed cost per connection would be \$10, and that portion of the energy cost to consumers could be removed entirely. There would likely be a need for some provision to continue a subsidy for low-income households, but it could be a subsidy based on established norms in our society, rather than an arbitrary run around the system in order to target a tiny percentage of users.

RMP's questions and answers site conveniently puts in numbers that support their position but which leave out most of the compelling evidence contrary to their goal, numbers such as the percentage of users in their system who have solar, or the total number of users in their system. If provided, it would probably be clear that while their "exponential growth" has been real over a statistically weak three years, it is also still miniscule compared to the total number of low-power users, who all deserve to have the same benefits for low power usage, namely lower bills.

Their site also provides some interesting contradictions, again where convenient to suit their purposes. The growth is "exponential", though the projected growth in 2017 is not, perhaps because they expect their docket to be approved and the rates to change. They also claim that this change will not hurt solar, when any person with a calculator can see that it directly impacts the ability, with or without government incentives, for folks to invest in solar in a way that pays for itself reasonably. They conveniently ignore any discussion of ho their rates work, and how many low-use users their are outside of those with solar, which if like other parts of the state, is probably a percentage in the neighborhood of 25% of all users. They also point out that it is now possible, through them, to purchase clean energy from new solar farms without needing solar panels, which is probably going to the heart of the issue: they want their investment to pay for itself, just like solar owners want theirs to do the same. They claim that they are not doing this to help their bottom line, but instead that, because of the change, "The savings are passed on to all the other customers.", ignoring common sense and common accounting practices; again, lacking any real information, they can claim whatever they want and the uninformed public can either believe or not, and common sense should make it clear that their statements are only true with a collection of asterisks for missing footnotes describing the requirements for their truthfulness.

At the end of the day, RMP is attempting to implement a policy change through a correction in their own bad handling of fixed and variable costs, and appear to be doing so in a way that can only benefit their bottom line. Doing so at the time they did, and in the way they did, at least hints that they anticipated the feedback they would get and their attempt to minimize it. While the problems they outline of fixed costs needing to be covered probably exist, the way to fix them is not to appeal to the government for a change that targets a fraction of users while ignoring the real problem. A proposal to fix the real problem could still be made, and could still have the same result, but without targeting a small group that,

until recently, was encouraged to behave as they did by the same organizations now attempting to stop them.

Thank-you for your time,

Aaron Burgemeister



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Annette Valencia <annettevalencia52@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Annette Valencia <annettevalencia52@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:50 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Annette Valencia 801-815-8932 Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Polly Drolett <polly.drolett@utah.edu>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:54 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Polly Drolett, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Sherilyn@mbcpa.pro> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov> Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:51 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Sherilyn Neuenswander sherilyn@mbcpa.pro, Midvale, UT



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Benjamin Peterson benjamindanielpeterson@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:55 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing because I oppose the proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Public policy should encourage progress, not go in the wrong direction. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

Just because Rocky Mountain Power has power and money (and a monopoly) doesn't mean they can use this power to hurt the little guy. We should all be concerned about the environment. Our air quality in Utah is bad. We should be setting the standard, not lagging. Solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. As I said before, Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ben



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tyler Gerber <tyler.gerber@blueravensolarcom>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:55 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose the proposal that was recently submitted by Rocky Mountain Powerkdvice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarffand prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. As I understand this is not how rate structure changes are handled. As far as I know we have never had a change in rates put into place this quickly as an intermediary to the proposed change such as what was proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energyUtah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

If this rate structure were also put into place for others who use "less power" such as low income families or elderly individuals it would also increase their bills dramatically is not fair to put this type of rate structure in place for one customer class and not others. The proposed rate structure is far too aggressive and extreme.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powend reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Tyler Gerber tyler.gerber@blueravensolarcom, American Fork, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ashley Raymond <ashleyeccles@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:57 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ashley Raymond

Sandy, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Rob Lea <roblea@bhhsutah.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:58 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal boting with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Rob Lea, Park City UT roblea@bhhsutah.com



Roof top solar

1 message

Paul W eller <paul@wellerrec.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:58 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Paul Weller

Weller Recreation Inc.

936 W. 200 S.

Kamas, Utah 84036

435-783-8351

paul@wellerrec.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

marilyn martin <MarilynLMartin@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:59 AM

Dear Commissioners:

I strongly oppose Rocky Mountain Powerrecent proposal, "Advice No. 16-13," filed November 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Leathe Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking feet on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

It is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who choose to use rooftop. **Solam** deeply concerned about our planet' future, and solar gives people access to a cleanenore reliable energy source. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and rooftop solar should be a part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issueget you to put the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public on an equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely

Marilyn L. Martin



Fwd: RMP RATE INCREASE

1 message

Utility Complaints <utilcomp@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:59 AM

To: DSCOTT@westech-inc.com

Cc: Marialie Martinez <marmartinez@utah.gov>, PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Consumer

You have sent an electronic mail to the Division of Public Utilities. However the scope of the agency at this capacity is the Informal Complaint process which is to enforce uniform residential utility service practices and procedures governin eligibility, deposits, account billing, termination, and deferred payment agreements.

Because your complaint pertains to a topic currently being evaluated by the Public Service Commission under an open docket(s) (14-035-114, and/or 16-035-T14), I can forwal your comments to the Commission to be submitted under this docket, and suggest that you monitor any upcoming proceedings atwww.psc.utah.gov.

Respectfully,

The Utah Division of Public Utilities

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Dave SCOTT < DSCOTT@westech-inc.com>

Date: Tue, Nov 22, 2016 at 3:43 PM Subject: RMP RATE INCREASE

Dear Division Dir ector,

For the fate of the positive Solar changes we have seen in the last few years, PLEASE REJECT Rocky Mountain Power 's proposal to increase rates to Solar Customers!!

Rocky Mountain Power's initiatives are to slow down the positive changes that solar is having on our power infrastructure, leaving them in more power to be in control of the future. In fact a MONOPOLY afraid of the future!!

Any costs Rocky Mountain Power says they are incurring more from solar customers is false. With more Solar Customers than ever before, KSL reported on October 8th that...

"The Utah Public Service Commission on Thursday approved a \$15.6 million decrease in Rocky Mountain Power 's customer electricity bills. Overall, rates will decline 0.8 percent or about \$6.84 in annual savings for a typical Utah residential customer."

Why the decrease????

"The commission approves customer prices based partially on the expected costs of fuel and electricity purchases, with rates adjusted after determination of whether the actual costs rise or fall. The decision comes

after the utility achieved lower fuel and electricity purchase costs than previously forecast, a news release stated."

The cost of electricity has decreased because of Solar Customers NOT increased the demand and costs!!!

Please continue supporting the positive changes the Solar Industry is having on our nation by voting against these rate increases to Solar Customers!!

Best Regards,

David Scott



WesTech Engineering, Inc.

Systems Information Manager 3665 S. West Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84115 (801) 290-1257direct line (801) 265-1000 ext 137

(801) 290-1257fax DScott@WesTech-Inc.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ryan Roblyer rprobl@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:59 AM

Dear Commissioners,

Thank you in advance for hearing me out.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

P. Ryan Roblyer, rprobl@msn.com, Saratoga Springs, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mikelle W illiams <m@mikellewilliams.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:02 AM

Hello,

I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

You've heard all the reasons why, so I'd like to tell you mine: my children. Y ou have the great opportunity and responsibility of improving their air quality even just a little. Something I'm unable to do for them myself. Please do what I cannot.

Thank you for your time,

Mikelle and David Williams Sandy, Utah 435-770-4457



Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:03 AM

Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Heather McCollor https://www.nccollor.com Reply-To: Heather McCollor https://www.nccollor.com

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Cc: Rich McCollor <wcj56@rocketmail.com>

Dear Commissioners,

As rooftop solar owners, we are very much opposed to PACIFICORP-RMP'S Advice No. 16-13, filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular , urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

We debated about going solar for weeks. What tipped the scales for us was a story on KSL about the effects of drawing water off to create hydroelectric power on wildlife downstream. We decided then to move forward, trying to do our part in saving water and protecting wildlife.

We would be adversely affected if this request is allowed to move forward unchallenged because as new solar owners, we are not yet seeing the cost benefits of going solar versus our monthly payment on our solar installation and equipment purchase.

Considering that we live in the second driest state in the country, and that we have had several very dry seasons, it seems counterproductive to "punish" those of us seeking renewable energy, because we care about our environment and wildlife, by imposing more costs upon us, in addition to the investments we have already made.

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns.

Richard and Heather McCollor 5021 S 3900 W Roy, UT 84067 801-389-0149

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android



Docket #16-035T14 Public Comments

1 message

gayla nelson <nelsongayla@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: gayla nelson <nelsongayla@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:06 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I deeply oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process. If this should be allowed then I cannot see the purpose of having installed these solar panels on my roof and I see it as a deterrent for others to install the solar panels as well. I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of not only our planet but more for our city and state as well, being rated as having the worst air in the country! I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. With all of the talk about how can we improve our air quality here in our valley, this seems to be a huge start in a remedy for our air polution problem. I have seen so many ad's about Utah offering people rebates, etc. to install roof top solar panels and now the we have, Rocky Mountain Power is wanting to take the advantage of having it away by changing their rate structure for those that installed it. This is your opportunity to prove to the tax payers of this state that you are truly concerned as well for our air quality and take this giant step to help all of improve it!!!

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Gayla Nelson West Jordan



Opposition of RMP Proposal "Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Wendy Loock <Wendy.Loock@imail.org>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:07 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Wendy Loock, wendy.loock@imail.org Layton, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

mandolinj@gmail.com <mandolinj@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:08 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Amanda Packham

Salt Lake City, Utah

Mandolinj@gmail.com

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Jenessa Cook <jenessa.cook@clearlink.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:08 AM

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to discourage Rocky Mountain Power hiking rates on net metering for solar customers. Not only do I want to support the Companies (such as the one my husband owns Salt Lake Cement Cutting) for giving back responsibility and installing Solar panels, but being an outdoor enthusiast want to do my part in contributing to the Clean Air Act. I plan on installing them on my home. The natural beauty that Utah holds is what makes this state so great, by punishing members of this state to do their part to keep it clean but installing solar would be a disgrace and disappointment to all those involved.

Best Regards,

Jenessa Cook

jenessa.cook@clearlink.com Office - 801-937-6991 Mobile - 801-898-7249 www.clearlink.com LinkedIn



This electronic message and any attachments hereto contain information which may be privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure. The information is intended to be for the addressee only . If you are not the addressee, any disclosure, copy , distribution or use of the contents of the message or any attachments hereto is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error , please notify us immediately , and permanently delete the original message and attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ashley Dunaway <adunaway@solanarecruitment.com>

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: Dustin Dunaway <ddunaway@completesolar.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:08 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif of and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

As a small business owner who helps solar companies nationwide grow and sustain operations and sales teams, I am deeply concerned that allowing this proposal to go through will not only slow job growth in the state of Utah but will also create lay offs of many employees who rely o n green energy careers to care for their families. This proposal not only will hurt the environment but will also hurt the Utah economy . For that, Rock Mountain Power executives should be ashamed of themselves.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power , and reject Advice No. 16-13.

My contact information is below for any other questions that you might have.

Kindest Regards,



Ashley Dunaway | owner Solana Recruitment Services

Phone: 858.926.8699

Email: adunaway@solanarecruitment.com





This email and its attachments may contain private and confidential material, and therefore should not be reviewed, copied or distributed by others without permission. If you are not the intended recipient, please alert the sender immediately and delete the original.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

carissa ray <carissaray08@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:10 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I believe that this would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. The air quality in Utah is terrible, and it's not fair to negatively impact those who are trying to reduce their carbon footprint and make Utah a cleaner, healthier place to live. It also is not fair that RMP wants to farm out solar to their customers, but prevent others from doing it their self. RMP is owned by the same company that owns the Nevada Utility and should not be allowed to monopolize the energy industry.

I suggest that RMP use the normal rate-making process, and I ask that the commission suspend the tarif f and prevent RMP's proposal from taking effect on Dec. 9th. I would ask that you please consider the environmental benefits that solar brings to our beautiful state, and the many start up solar companies that will also be impacted due to this tarif f. I appreciate you taking the time to read and consider my request.

Sincerely,

Carissa Ray
Carissaray08@gmail.com
9540 S Brandy Spring Ln Apt 108
Sandy, UT 84070



Docket #16-035 T14

1 message

Hunter Davis hunter Davis hunter Davis hunterdavis730@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:11 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Hunter Davis, hunterdavis730@gmail.com, Springville, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kevin Bushman <kbushman.clearsolar@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:11 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Kevin Bushman



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jonah Cafferty <jonah.cafferty329@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:11 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I'm emailing you to express my concern with the new net metering rate change proposed by RMP.

I urge you to think of the future and how everyone pictures a clean planet where we pitch in to help each other and we have the right to have freedom from monopolies.

December 9th is to quick to put something like this into ef fect. Even worst is to force those that get solar after December 9th on to a new net metering plan that hasn't been decided yet.

If you had the opportunity to buy a house at a good price but it will possibly become 2X as expensive in 6-7 months and you have to pay the new cost, would you buy that house? No one in their right mind would!

Please take time to review the new net metering proposal and let those that get solar before that time be grandfathered into the original plan.

Thank you.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Dave Dreyer <unclejedimaster@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:12 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

In Christ, Dave Dreyer 801.668.8217

"He is no fool who gives what he does not have to gain that which he cannot lose." -Jim Elliott Honor. Courage. Commitment.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment / V ote No on the Solar Rate Change!

1 message

Christopher B <body>

Sondtifferbond@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:13 AM

To Those on the Public Service Commission who represent myself and all other Utahns,

I (and on behalf of my entire family) oppose Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. I've spent time reviewing the proposal. After looking at the pros and cons on both sides, I believe that the proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar. Rooftop solar is something we should be encouraging—not discouraging, as the rate change does—especially consider the changing weather, our often dirty air, and other environmental factors which solar helps alleviate.

Yes, I understand Pacificorp's belief that they are paying for "free-loader" solar users by still having to maintain solar user's base load. But home solar users also de-stress the grid during peak afternoon hours (especially in the summer when AC takes up a bulk of the energy consumed), saving RMP substantial sums of money. And in any event, even a slight financial burden on the rest of users (like me) without solar, is worth the cost of moving toward a cleaner, more sustainable power future.

I urge you to listen to my voice, suspend the tariff, and prevent RMP's grossly unfair proposal from taking efect. RMP should continue to use the normal rate making process so solar can continue to grow in our state.

Thank you for seriously considering my voice—and the voice of all the others who oppose this rate increase. We expect you will look out for Utah's best interest moving forward rather than for minuscule financial gains for a corporation which holds a monopoly our electricity.

Best,

Christopher Bond, bondtifferbond@gmail.com, Sandy, Utah.



Docket #16-035T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mary Beth Vogel-Ferguson <MaryBeth.Vogel-Ferguson@socwk.utah.edu> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:14 AM

Dear Public Services Commission -

I am a faculty member at the University of Utah. I have long made efforts to live in such a way that I consider environmental issues when I make decisions in many areas of my life. My husband and I participate in the Rocky Mountain Cool Keeper program in addition to making sure our home is as energy efficient as possible. We were planning to add solar panels to our roof until we heard about your proposal

I am writing today to express my opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. This rate change would make it cost prohibitive for us to add solar to our home, a step backwards in our efforts to be part of the solution to reducing our ongoing air pollution problems here in Salt Lake. We ask you to suspend the tariff and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking effect on December 9, as it unfairly changes the rate structure for rooftop (or potential) rooftop solar customers such as ourselves.

As we all know, air quality in Salt Lake is an ever growing problem. We have lost good candidates for our College here at the U of U due to the quality of air in our valley. This is not the reputation that Salt Lake wants to have for tourists or potential new residents of our state!

That you for your willingness to listen to the voices of the people you serve both now and the generations to come. If you would like to contact me further please do so at:

801-581-3071 - Salt Lake City resident.

Thank you -

Mary Beth

Mary Beth Vogel-Ferguson, Ph. D.

Research Associate Faculty

Social Research Institute

University of Utah

801-581-3071



Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:14 AM

Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kent Baker <kentb@designwestarchitects.com>

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Cc: "lesliearb@gmail.com" <lesliearb@gmail.com>

Docket # 16-035-T14 Public Commen t

Dear Commissioner s,

I am opposed to PacfiCorp s-Rocky Mountain Power's 'Advice No. 16-13' filed No vember 9, 2016. This r ate increase should follow normal rate making process. Please do not impose the T ariff scheduled to take effect on December 9th.

We deserve the freedom to choose the type of energy that runs our homes and business. This r ate increase would make it hard or economically un feasible to have a solar c ollection s ystem. This is especially import and to my projections of a r etirement on fixed income. To have a solar s ystem allo ws me to stabilize my future utility costs which is import and to me. Rocky Mountain's rate proposal may deny me the benefit of having solar po wer.

Other states as Nevada have enacted similar rate increases and it has devastated the solar industries there. We need to promote solar energy as a part of the business sect or of our economy. The rate increase will dogreat harm to the solar industry. We need power systems that are diversified with a number of options for individuals to choose from to match their individual and business needs.

It is also true than to impose a rate increase as this is akin to taxation without representation. We fought a war against this type of action when our country was created.

Thank you for accepting public input. Please reject PacfiCorps-Rocky Mountain Power's current rate change request.

Kent R. Baker

165 North 7100 W est, Mendon, Utah

kentb@designwestarchitects.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cassandra Andersen <cassandralinda93@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:15 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. I also believe that competition in the solar/energy industry has a positive impact on the economy. Allowing Rocky Mountain Power to have more or less a monopoly on power in the state of Utah would affect our economy in a negative way.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Cassandra L. Andersen, cassandralinda93@gmail.com, Morgan, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jeremy Downie <jeremy.downie@vivintsolarcom> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:15 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Downie, jeremy.downie@vivintsolar.com, Salt Lake City, UT

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tom Dell'Ergo <alpinetom@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:15 AM

Dear Commissioners.

As a concerned citizen of Utah, I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

These actions of Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed. They simply should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy.

These changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power af fect many and will tend to discourage individuals from using and installing alternative energy systems in their homes and businesses.

I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Tom Dell'Ergo Associate Broker Integra Realty 801.850.3205



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kevin Prince <kevin.prince@stratozen.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:16 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Kevin Prince

Draper, UT

801-718-2700



Letter to the PSC Commissioners

1 message

Eric Willden <skibum173@yahoo.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:16 AM

1Dear Commissioners,

I would like to voice my opposition on the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed on November 9, 2016 that would change the rate structure for Solar customers. I strongly urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not allow it to take place on Dec. 9th as RMP has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the Normal rate making Process.

I feel that if Rocky Mountain Power is able to raise the rates for Solar customers, that it will prevent customers from being able to do their part in helping to save our planet. Solar Power is one of the many ways that we can help to cut down on pollution on this planet. In my opinion, Rocky Mountain Power only has the interests of their pocket books in mind and not the needs and future of our planet. Utah's Air Quality is at it's worst and needs to improve and the only way to do so, is by using renewable energy sources, such as solar.

Thank you for accepting public input on this issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and Reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Eric Willden, Skibum173@yahoo.com, Tooele, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cassandra Andersen <10636619@my.uvu.edu> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:17 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. I also believe that competition in the solar/energy industry has a positive impact on the economy. Allowing Rocky Mountain Power to have more or less a monopoly on power in the state of Utah would affect our economy in a negative way.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Cassandra L. Andersen, 10636619@my.uvu.edu, Orem, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Shelley Reynolds <shelleyutah@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Shelley Reynolds <shelleyutah@yahoo.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:17 AM

Dear Commissioners,

First, thank you for your time to hear my input regarding Rocky Mountain Powers request to implement a rate structure to solar customers.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016.

As a rooftop solar consumer, it is frustrating to hear RMP is trying to reap additional profits from those of us that have opted to go with rooftop solar for our energy source. Solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs dire improvement, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. Not to mention, for some of us, this is a money saving tool; RMP needs to remember not everybody makes a six-figure salary and he's hurting those of us working hard to enjoy a decent life.

I urge and beg the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9th (as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed). Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process, not some ill-conceived rate structure of their own.

Again, thank you for your time and accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Shelley Reynolds Realtor (801) 554-7342



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Makenzie Bray <makenzie.bray@vivintsolar.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:22 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarI am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Makenzie Bray, makenzie.bray@vivintsolar.com, Pleasant Grove, UT

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

walt winters <waltwinters@me.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:21 AM

Best-Walt Winters www.imageworkscommunications.com 801-231-7234

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Walt Winters, waltwinters@me.com

Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14

1 message

Judy Neil <judyneil@me.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:20 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I am opposed to PacifiCorp/Rocky Mountain Power's advice No. 16-13. As a rooftop solar customer, their rate structure would unfairly change my rates. It makes most sense for them to use the normal rate-making process.

Please suspend the tariff to prevent their proposal from taking efect. We have gone to great personal cost to install a solar panel rooftop system that is beneficial to the environment. The air quality in Utah is terrible and this is one little help that we have done to contribute to better air for us and others. Please don't punish us for doing something that is better for everyone. These solar panels are a step forward in decreasing the drain on our limited resources and should be encouraged, not discouraged by unnecessary rate hikes.

Thanks for your time and concern,

Judy Neil 3351 Edward Way Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Robert C <robert.christensen@vivintsolarcom>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:24 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Robert Christensen Magna, UT

Robert Christensen • Solar Sales Supervisor

robert.christensen@vivintsolarcom • P:877-404-4129ext. 0584

• Fax 801-852-0631 • smarter • www.vivintsolar.com

The information in this e-mail is for the use of the designated recipients only . This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated and may also constitute an attorney/client communication or attorney work product subject to copyright or constitutes a trade secret, and therefore, is privileged and confidential. If you are not an identified recipient of this e-mail, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, to immediately permanently delete this e-mail, and are further directed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or any attachments. We are not tax experts nor do we give tax advice. The ax credits are subject to ones specific financial and/or tax situation and should be discussed with a tax professional before acting. Any tax conversation in this email is strictly based of for general public knowledge and not necessarily applicable to any specific person or email recipient.

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and

are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Hali O'Malley <halicoates@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:24 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Hali O'Malley, halicoates@gmail.com, North Salt Lake, UT



Rocky Mountain Solar rate change

1 message

Ryan Shosted <rshosted@gmail.com> Reply-To: rshosted@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:25 AM

I wanted to voice my concern for Rocky Mountain Solar Rate change proposal. I do not believe this is prudent for Solar and clean energy initiatives. Furthermore, by raising the return costs on solar panel system, it puts of the return on investment so much that it will make home owners and businesses less incline to switch to clean energy . This has a direct effect on our beautiful state and residence. I urge you to decline this request from Rocky Mountain Power.

Please deny Schedule 126 and its related schedule 5 tariff.

Thank you



Re:

1 message

Jake Bersie <jacobbersie1@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:26 AM

This is in opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

On Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:22 AM Jake Bersie <jacobbersie1@gmail.com> wrote:

My family would appreciate if you ruled in favor of Solar. This would help my Uncle's company to continue expanding which has and will continue to create jobs. Solar is the future and it would be irresponsible to allow one company to have a monopoly over energy Let the people choose the future that will best serve them.

Thank You



Deny Rocky Mountain Power solar proposal

1 message

John B <thebartzman@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:27 AM

Dear Utah PSC,

I encourage you to the DENY SCHEDULE 136 AND SCHEDULE 5 TARRIFF proposals from Rocky Mountain Power.

We should be encouraging, not discouraging, the expansion of solar in Utah.

Thank you,

John Bartz

Park City, UT

Sent from Mail for Windows 10



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Daniel Gann <dandgann@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:29 AM

Public Service Commission.

Rocky Mountain Power's recent rate proposal feels, to me at least, like a sneaky way to try to maintain their favorable position as primary provider. Solar companies (I have family and friends working in 3 different ones here in Utah) all see this as a direct attack and would, if enacted, essentially stop their businesses inside our state. That would be a shame since I, and many others, see solar as both an economical alternative to Rocky Mountain Power and an environmentally friendly move as well (especially as we enter the inversion season here on the Wasatch Front). I would have solar panels on my own house right now if I didn't have so much shade.

As I understand the argument put forward by RMP they claim that the price they're paying net metered customers for their power is too high because of the fluctuation in demand throughout a normal day of usage. The "fairness" issue seems like it could easily be solved (and much more fairly it would appear) if RMP simply created an *accurate* price per kWh which fluctuated with the demand. Thus *every* customer would pay or receive credit at an accurate rate whether they were putting into the system or taking out.

When I first heard of the proposal I immediately thought of my monthly "Home Energy Report" that RMP sends me encouraging better economy. I thought, "So if I *really* start saving by going solar, I can expect them to not be so happy any more." It didn't make sense.

Any way. Thanks for your time. Please carefully consider how "fair" the proposed change would be to all those who are looking for an alternative to RMP.

Thanks, Dan Gann Pleasant Grove, UT 84062



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Aaron Gardner <aarongardner319@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:32 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I deeply oppose the proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, Advice No. 16-13 filed November 9th, 2016 that would increase the rate structure imposed on rooftop solar customers. I feel it extremely unfair to charge these people more money just for trying to be more self sufficient while improving Utah's terrible air quality. I request the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9th. Please think of our beautiful planet, and do everything in your power to keep it a safe place for many generations to come.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue.

Aaron Gardner



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

CP <cpetey@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:32 AM

Dear commission -

Rocky Mountain Power's proposal is terrible. It will kill residential solar in the state of Utah. A normal rate increase might work, but you have to be very careful so as not to destroy an important part of the renewable energy industry in our state. I ask you to reject their proposal for the good of the state.

Best,

C Peterson Resident in the avenues, SLC



(no subject)

1 message

Megan Sadler <sadler.megana@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:33 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Megan Sadler, sadler.megana@gmail.com, Orem, Utah



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Richard Whitson <rickwhitson55@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:33 AM

I was so disappointed when I heard of this! I so fervently feel a part of the efort to help improve the Wasatch Front's air quality that I feel this legislation would not be in our best interests.

My wife and I have invested in rooftop solar as well as recently buying an electric car for driving around town. We need to help support this growing industry since it will be the future.

This legislation would also have negative efects on business since it would put many out of work in a growing industry.

Let's think hard about the consequences of passing this. We could be leaders in this industry since we excel in available sunshine. And many would look up to Utah for its example.

Rick Whitson 801-712-3476 995 S 800 E Salt Lake City, UT 84105

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Suzanne Storer <suzannestorer@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:38 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Commissioners,

I am opposed to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

I have installed solar panels on my home to do my part in helping keep our air clean.

I believe all of your actions should be geared towards the citizens being able to breathe clean air here in the Salt Lake Valley. Rocky Mountian's proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for future solar panel owners discouraging citizens doing what they can to help clean up the air.

Rocky Mountain Power should use the normal rate making process and I'd like you to suspend the tarif f from taking effect on December 9.

Their proposal is self serving, not citizen serving.

Thank you for considering my input in your decision making.

Suzanne Storer 1799 Navajo Drive Ogden, Utah

801 479-5035

http://wwwsuzannestorercom



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Aaron Mansell <ahmansell@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:38 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Aaron H. Mansell



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Morris, Lance <lance.morris@hp.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:39 AM

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Cc: "Morris, Lance" <lance.morris@hp.com>, Jack & Joyce <jshort91@msn.com>

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Lance Morris

Optimized Infrastructure Specialist

Partner Managed Print Services

4236 North Lake Mountain Road

Eagle Mountain, UT 84005

lance.morris@hp.com

M 801.414.6516

D 801.216.5457 (Skype for Business) HP Inc.



Please print thoughtfully

"I've learned that people will forget what you said, people will forget what you did, but people will never forget how you mathem feel."

Maya Angelou quote (American Poet, b. 1928)



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jason Kinsfather <jrkinsfather@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:39 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I am writing to urge the Public Service Commission to reject the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13," filed 09 November 2016. This is the proposal changing the rate structure for solar customers. I would like the PSC to suspend the tarriff and prevent it from taking effect on the proposed date of 09 December.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who use rooftop solar. I believe they are overstating the costs to themselves while minimizing the economic benefits they receive from the energy produced and the reduced stress on high-tension lines and other long-distance distribution channels. I also believe that solar gives people access to cleaner, more renewable, and more sustainable sources of energy. There are areas in Utah with air quality problems, and rooftop solar is one element of the solution to combat our bad air.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Jason Kinsfather jrkinsfather@gmail.com Draper, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Michael <goldendad@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:40 AM

Dear Commissioners:

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain PowellAdvice No. 16-13", filed November 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking effect

December 9, 2016 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned

about the future of our planet and I believe solar gives people access to a cleanenore reliable source of energy Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of rate payers and the

Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Michael Paul, goldendad@msn.com, West Jordan, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brian Ravert brian.ravert@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:41 AM

I oppose Rocky Mountain Power raising the cost for roof top solar.

- In years past they have expressed concern with the growth and how their older infrastructure would handle it. Bad adding solar it will relieve the load.
- Solar is a longer term solution to our environmental issues.

Thanks,

Brian Ravert 801-319-2429



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Josh Williamson <joshwill08@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:42 AM

To whom it may concern,

If there is one thing I am proud of Utah for, it would be the transformation our state has recently undergone with businesses. Over the past decade, Utah has paved its way to be coined "Silicone Slopes" and even heard that Madison Avenue has moved here. Essentially, Utah has done an amazing job at growing it's economy.

I fear that should Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13 would unfairly change how rooftop solar customers are charged and have a large impact on the solar industry here in Utah. In a state where we pride ourselves on our homegrown companies that pave way for the future, it is surprising to hear that Rocky Mountain Power's proposal is seriously being considered since it will negatively effect many people within the solar industry that work in Utah, not just Vivint Solar.

I ask that the Commission suspend the tarif f and prevent Rock Mountain Power's proposal from taking efect on Dec 9 of this year. Having spent the past three years within the solar utility industry, it still makes sense for Rocky Mountain Power to continue to use the normal rate-making process.

Lastly, I want to discuss the inversion that hibernates in our valleys every winter. It's clearly an issue with a lot of public concern:





This is not a healthy environment for anyone. Solar can help clean the air, but it is not an overnight fix. This is something Utah needs to actively work on so it can be fixed. It will take time, but surely the public health is something to consider . Rocky Mountain Power's actions will certainly deter efforts to help resolve this problem.

Here is a link to a page with multiple stories and reports concerning air quality in Utah:

http://www.ksl.com/?nid=1314& title=air-quality-report

Thank you for taking the time to hear me out. I am aware you are all very busy but I sincerely do appreciate your time and consideration.

Josh Williamson

joshwill08@gmail.com, Cedar Hills, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Pica Nagano <pica.nagano@blueravensolarcom>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:45 AM

I oppose the PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice NO. 16-13 because it would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ask the Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking effect on December 9 and that Rocky Mountain Power use the normal rate-making process.

Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers because it not only benefits the customers financially, it is better for the community, the city and the environment. We should make it easier for our community to use clean, renewable energy. It seems ridiculous to me to raise rates on something that is mutually beneficial for the people and for our land. The commissioners should consider the short and long term benefits of going solar for the individual and the community.

Raising the rate for customers to go solar also effects jobs because there is a huge market for solar jobs, especially in Utah. Not only my job, but this industry is growing, because the future is clean energy, there will be a greater need for employment in this industry.

Thank you for being willing to listen to the public, this is what democracy is for .

Pica Nagano 1332 N 1450 E Provo, UT 84604

Pica Nagano Permitting Coordinator Blue Raven Solar | pica.nagano@blueravensolar.com



The Future of Energy. Today.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Justin Ivie <justinivie@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:45 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I'm writing to strongly oppose the Rocky Mountain Power proposal, "Advice No. 16-13". I believe the proposed rate structure change for solar customers is bad policy which will impede important environmental and economic progress for Utah and for the wider world.

As a current rooftop solar consumer, I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the proposed tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9. Please require Rocky Mountain Power to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is uunwise and shortsighted for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for new solar customers. It would also be unfair to raise rates so pecipitously.

Solar is a cleaner, more reliable source of en ergy than any of our older, fossil fuel based systems. It is vitally important that we encourage solar's expansion through wise public policy. Investment in residential solar is one of the best ways we have to positively impact the Utah's air quality and create an energy future that is renewable and sustainable. We urgently need utilities and public officials to incentivize residential solar investors, not create new barriers for those who wish to come on board.

A stable and reliable rate structure was an important factor in making rooftop solar an affordable option for our home. Please stop Rocky Mountain Power from enacting policies that will keep others like us from investing in Utah's future.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Justin Ivie, justinivie@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kate Engelsman <kate@hooniganracing.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:45 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif of and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Best regards, Kate Engelsman kate@hooniganracing.com Park City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ravyn Soul To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:46 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I would like to oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I beseech the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process and not have special treatment.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar (which reduces RMP's costs and supports the power grid). I am deeply concerned about our air quality, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers, voters, and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ravyn Lee

Orem, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Scott Gurney <scott.gurney@blueravensolar.com>

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Cc: Colby Gale <colby.gale@blueravensolarcom>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:46 AM

Utah Public Service Commission-

I want to write to you my concern regarding PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. I want to strongly suggest as a government elected body by the people, that you fully investigate this unfairly change in the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

It is a world known crisis as stated in the recent Paris Agreement where 195 countries determine and work for methods to reduce the amount of greenhouse gas emissions. The science behind climate change is supported by NASA and 97% of climate scientists. This is the major issue that we as the leading developed country in the world need to focus on and help lead the way on sustainable and renewable energy.

As a resident in Lehi Utah, I am effected by the amount of pollution that is trapped in our valleys. I recently moved from Los Angeles to Utah last year and can already point out that the winter sky here and pollution is far worse than any area in LA County. This is something that you as a Public Service Commission MUST address.

The monopolistic fees that rocky mountain power is proposing will discourage most of their customers from considering roof top solar. The rocky mountain power area has some of the best producing areas for solar power in the country as it is high altitude, low temperature (higher voltage), and clear skies. By allowing the Utilities to propose solar fees, especially when they are hypocritically installing their own solar fields in the state, is allowing them to walk over Utah citizens options for an alternative energy and a way of positively impacting the environment we all live in.

I ask you to suspend the tariff and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking effect on December 9th. This will not only effect thousands of positive jobs that help build the world that we want to live in for our children and grandchildren, but it will destroy the residential solar industry in the state of Utah. I suggest that you only allow Rocky Mountain Power use the normal rate-making process.

Please look to other states such as Hawaii or California as an example who have done extensive studies on roof top solar and how it is effecting the grid and utilities. The utilities there are still profitable, successful, and willing to work with rooftop solar. Please consider this ethical option and not allow monopolies to destroy capitalism and our environment.

Scott Alan Gurney

5071 N Fox Hollow Way

Lehi, Utah 84043

801-319-1149

Scott_gurney@me.com

Scott Gurney | Senior Manager - Design Engineering | Blue Raven Solar | 385-498-4401 | scott.gurney@blueravensolar.com



The Future of Energy, Today,



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Amanda Sumsion <amandasumsion@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:46 AM

Dear Commissioners,

To whom it may concern I absolutely oppose therent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power"Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use solar am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleanemore reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe coftop solar should be part of that solution.

In addition, having solar has added many jobs in the state of Utah. This could have a huge effect on our economy

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. **Les** pectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powernd reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincer ely,

Amanda Sumsion, amanda sumsion@gmail.com, Provo, Utah



Rocky Mountain Power - Solar dispute

1 message

jared conley <jaredwconley@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:46 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jared Conley, jaredwconley@gmail.com, Vineyard, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

nils abramson <utahnils@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:49 AM

November 23, 2016

Dear Commissioners,

I have made the significant investment in both clean air and renewable energy by purchasing and installing rooftop solar on our family home. I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9th, 2016, that would change the rate structure for our rooftop solar and many of my neighbors. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. I am an asthmatic and strongly believe Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Nils Abramson, utahnils@gmail.com 1346 South Lincoln Street, Salt Lake City, UT 84105



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Olivia Benson <olivia.benson@blueravensolarcom>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:50 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Olivia Benson | Project Operations Manager | Blue Raven Solar | 385.498.4404 | olivia.benson@blueravensolarcom



The Future of Energy. Today.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mitzi Sanchez <msanchez@solcius.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:50 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,



Mitzi Chavez

Engineering Assistant

Corporate: (844) SOLCIUS (844-765-2487)

Email: <u>msanchez@solcius.com</u>
Website: <u>www.solcius.com</u>



Advice No. 16-13

1 message

mark@link95.com <mark@link95.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:51 AM

Dear Commissioners,

Rocky Mountain Power recently proposed a change to the rate structure for solar customers (rooftop) via "Advice No. 16-13". I'm deeply concerned that the tariff proposed to begin on December 9 will have a chilling effect on the solar industry, potentially affecting thousands of workers and hindering this promising industry. As we approach the inversion season, we'll be presented with a stark reminder of the need to provide Utah residents with cleaner energy sources. Solar energy certainly has proven to be a promising contributor to a larger solution. Please consider suspending the tariff and require that RMP use the normal rate-making process.

Thank you for allowing the public to opine on this important issue. My hope is that you will reject Advice No. 16-13 and, by consequence, allow Utah rate-payers, energy innovators, and the Utah public to be viewed as equals to RMP.

Sincerely,

Mark Harris, Holladay, UT

mark@link95.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Olivia Benson <oliviadurelle@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:51 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Olivia Benson, oliviadurelle@gmail.com, Pleasant Grove, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Michael J Garbett <mjgarbett@me.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:52 AM

November 23, 2016

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. I believe that Rocky Mountain Power's proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for solar customers. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal ratemaking process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Garbett, mjgarbett@mac.com, Park City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Stevie Jo <stevie8518@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:52 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Stevie Jones, stevie8518@gmail.com, Lehi, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ray Palmer <moroni@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Ray Palmer <moroni@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:50 AM

For more than a hundred years Rocky Mountain Power and it's predecessors have had a monopoly in the area they serve. That has changed somewhat today because of solar. If they want to raise rates it isn't enough to just get permission from the PSC, they must also consider what that will do to the number of solar users. It is quite natural that they don't like this situation. But for the rest of us it has changed everything. They have made up reasons why you should put an end to this. It has been shown over and over that solar users pay their own way but things like this can be made to be whatever you want them to show. This is true for both sides. Since that is the case and the public is greatly benefited by solar adding competition to the system it makes little sense to take the side of the utility . The public is not crying out for this action. It is the utility . It is easy to see who is really the beneficiary .

Ray Palmer 2751 E Sunset Dr Layton Utah 801-440-6555



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

John Silverman <jsilver19@me.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:56 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I am vehemently opposed to the r ecent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has prevoposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I am just starting the process of adding photovoltaic solar panels to our house, and would be prohibited by the cost if the proposed new rates take effect!!!

It is critical that we find newer, safer ways to supply power to our homes and buildings. Individual, rooftop solar is safe, reliable, and has no detrimental environmental/air quality effects.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use r ooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe r ooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. Ir espectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincer ely,

John Silverman 1112 Burnt Fork Rd Salt Lake City, UT 84108



Docket# 16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tom Newbery <newberythomasusafret@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Tom Newbery <newberythomasusafret@yahoo.com>
To: "PSC@Utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:57 AM

In light of RMP's recent rate proposal(s) for solar panel array users, I find it interesting that the government mandated we swap out our incandescents for CFL's. How come RPM wasn't screaming bloody murder when that occurred?

Then, we were/are all encouraged to use (expensive) LED technolog@FL's turned out to be a nightmare for disposal due to their mercury content and leds were even morefiefent, too.

Now, some universities and area colleges are installing/leasing solar panel arrays on their properties and bragging about it (saving "millions of dollars! Preventing the production of millions of pounds of CO2!"). Why wouldn't RMP be up in arms concerning those types of installations, then. Surely their huge installations are more a threat to them than us lil' ol' peon homeowners! If the rates proposed by RMP go through, then they should be "penalized" in the same manner using the same rate structure(s as we common folk. Nine bucks per kilowat/hourindeed! It's all about the moneyand nothing else.

We need to find sympathetic senators and congressmen (women) to pass laws preventing this kind of robbery.

In my opinion, solar panel array users are reducing the loading of high-tension lines, the burning of coal and natural gas and the use of precious water resources by hydro-electric generation, thus extending their service lives and saving massive amounts of water for irrigation, especially in the summer time when air conditioning is at it's peak in the daytime! All, that's when solar panels are at their peak of generation! So what's the problem! No more sagging high-tension lines! YEEEAAAAA!

If I had my 'druthers, I'd buy a bank of Nickel-Iron batteries and cut the cord..... oh, but that's illegal, isr it, thanks to our "legislators"? I still may do it....

And then there are the acres and acres of solar panels now being installed by the power companies all over the place (Check out Europe and Scandinavian countries) and I'll just bet they('ll) charge a premium price for "green" power! Kinda like the "Blue Skies" program... come on, if anything is intermittent, it's wind generation! Oh, and to combat that, they are recycling used Electrie Vicle batteries and storing power to feed it back into the grid!

As I understand it, the PSC, in exchange for giving RMP a monopoly and a guarranteed rate of return to it, is supposed to hold RMP in check, so the company does not gouge the consumer!

Something else that has always stuck in my craw.. why do the large consumers of RMP's power get a price break on their electricity rates? How about those large businesses who choose to go solar shouldn't they be hit with the same rate structure if approved by the PUC? If not, why not? How does the PSC justify their reasoning for that?

How come if we use in excess of x number of kW hours we get charged more? What the heck is the

reason for "summer" blocks vs "winter" blocks.

Ruling in favor of RMP also sets a dangerous precedent for US West and Questar Gas. Can you imagine US West filing with the same reasoning as RMPusing that as an excuse to charge all cellphone users? Questar Gas could use the same logic to claim that those who use only electricity for heating, and not their gas (lines) where available deserve to be penalized with a "maintenance" fee, too!

(I've just had a 5kW array installed on my home, so I have a vested interest in the PSC's ruling on this matter.)

Look, I wouldn't mind a minor net-meter cost or leasing fee, sa\$ 5.00/month (remember the \$7.00 we used to pay to "lease" our front yard security light?).. sounds reasonable to me, but \$ 9.00 per kW hr and x bucks a panel?

How about looking at studies of what other states, aside from Nevada, have determined about the benefits (or not) of individually-owned solar arrays

Newt

Signature: F16/SPAMCAN



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:57 AM

Hello,

I vehemently oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power. Specifically the PacificCorp - Rocky Mountain Power's advice No. 16-13. Rocky Mountain should be required to use a normal rate making process. Not one that will prevent all Utahan's from receiving afordable solar. I propose suspending the tariff that Rocky Mountain Power has proposed to take effect on December 9th.

There is a reason there are tax credits given to homeowner all over the country that go solar Homeowner's shoould if anything be rewareded for helping our environment our clean living quiality Punishing homeowners for going solar would be despicable and Rocky Mountain' proposal would do just that.

As a citizen of the state of Utah, I do not want to see what happened in Nevada and currently happening in Arizona. I own a town home at the moment and although I cannot put solar there, raced do not own the roof portion. I would love to be able to put solar on any future home I own in Utah. If Rocky Mountain is allowed to pursue this any further this will damage any of those chances of me being able to be a good citizen and receive solar for my own home. Secondly in inversion in Utah, simply put, is BAD. I cannot imagine anyone opposing doing anything in our power to correct this. Rocky Mountain's proposal would take away one of the most benificial ways to improve air quality

As an employee of a solar company know for a fact that solar has been a fast growing industry have many friends that work for other solar companies as well. This proposition would cause larged and ultimatley destroy an incredibly large part of Utash'economy. Collapsing the income of many Utah citizens.

As my personal beliefs play into my opposition. We have a duty to push forward clean energy in this world. Fossil fuels are not the future, that is extremly apparent, and unarguable, as they are not RENEWABLE. I understand that clean energy options are putting a strain on Rocky Mountain Powler do not believe that gives them a right to do something that creates solar to be untarfable, and something that we as a people cannot continue to pursue. It is a losing battle on all ends if Rocky Mountain Power is able to push this forward. Let us think about it for a moment...If Rocky Mountain Power is able to put a large strain on solar it would most certainly hault solar progress in Utah. In turn, they would make more moneycontinuing to remain the monopoly they are. They would benefit for a while sure. BUT years down the road when fossil fuels continue to run out, the monopoly will grow increasing rates for the state even more. Then in the future we are still behind on having any other

options for energy when we do begin to run out of fossil fuels. WE DO NOT have time for this. The world is changing now I propose Rocky Mountain Power adjust in other ways. Preventing solar from being afforadable is detrimental in ALL WAYS.

Thank you very much for hearing my opinions regarding this.

Thank you,

Brittany Gardner



Rocky Mountain Power proposal

1 message

Costantino Grandjacquet <cg@summaars.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:57 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Costantino Grandjacquet

cg@summaars.com

Park City UT

COSTANTINO GRANDJACQUET

COSTANTINO GRANDJACQUET ARCHITECT

C 435 640-3324 W 435 655-381 1



Solar change proposal

1 message

Trent Crane <t3investing@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 10:58 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Trent Crane T3INVESTING@GMAIL.COM Logan, Utah



rmp solar rate increase

1 message

JOHN BARTON Owner <j.barton@centurylink.net> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:01 AM

I would like to voice my opposition to docket#16-035-t14 on increased rates for solar customers. For years they have been encouraging people to go solar and now they are asking for this increase. This increase will put solar companys in Utah out of business. Please reject this proposal. Thank you for accepting public input on this issue name is John Barton and my address is 2061 west 1215 south in Syracuse, Utah 84075 and my phone numbert i 801-589-2820



Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Elisabeth Noble <elise.k.noble@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:02 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar will help to give people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Noble elise.k.noble@gmail.com Pleasant Grove, Utah County, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Nathan Hopkins <nathanhopkins29@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:02 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Nathan Hopkins, Nathan Hopkins 29@gmail.com Provo, UT.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Keegan Allen <keeganallen33@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:03 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Keegan Allen, keeganallen33@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Alicia Willoughby <alicia.l.willoughby@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:03 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I would like to express my opposition of PacifiCorp RMP's Advice No. 16-13 and sincerely urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff that is scheduled to take effect Dec. 9th. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to go through the normal rate making process instead of rushing to get this past the public.

My husband and I are hard working middle class civil servants with two small children. Our 5 year old struggles with asthma which is exacerbated by the poor air quality here. Leveraging the sustainable energy of the sun is a great way to be improve the air quality which would improve his condition. We have been seriously considering adding a solar energy system to our house over the last couple of years. If RMP is allowed to impose the proposed tarif fs on new solar customers, we will be unable to consider the system as it will no longer be affordable. Aside from my personal reasons for opposing the rate change, such increases could cripple the solar energy market that has proven to be a boon to local and state economies. The solar energy market is strong in Utah. It provides jobs for residents of Utah and tax revenue for the state. I would like to see Utah on the leading edge of the solar movement instead of stifled by RMP who wishes to unfairly keep residents from choosing the energy source that is right for them. I have also read articles showing the benefits that rooftop solar systems can provide for the power grid. Having energy entering the grid from multiple sources provides stability and sustainability, and can eventually lead to lower costs for everyone. Making such systems artificially unaffordable through unnecessary tarif fs is going in the wrong direction.

Thank you for allowing the public to comment on such an important issue. Extending the deadline for public comment because of Thanksgiving would allow more people to voice their opinion and help lawmakers to see how important this is to residents. For the good of the market, utah residents, and our beautiful environment, please reject PacifiCorp-RMP's rate change request.

Sincerely, Alicia Willoughby, PMP Ogden, UT 801-941-5907 alicia.l.willoughby@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:04 AM

To Public Service Commission Commissione rs:

I appreciate this opportunity to express my opposition to the 1 1/9/16 proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, ("Advice No. 16-13") that would alter the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

I believe it is wrong for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for prospective rooftop solar customers. Rooftop solar constitutes an area of strong and increasing job creation and revenue in Utah. A rate increase would undermine this important and valuable industry. In addition, every solar energy installation provides air quality benefits to ALL people locally and increases the attractiveness for Utah to draw tourists to our state from across the country and around the world.

I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed.

I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. At the v ery least, Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal ratemaking process.

Thank you for accepting my input on this matter .

Sincerely,

Bryan Luftglass Solitude, UT bryan.luftglass@gmail.com

http://www.amazon.com/Expansion-Natural-Reshaping-Transportation-America/dp/1495124916/ref=sr_1_1?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1447610689&sr=1-1&keywords=expansion+how+natural+gas+fuels+are+reshaping+transportation+in+america

http://greenchemistryandcommerce.org/assets/media/images/ Publications/Advancing-Green-Chemistry-Report-15-June-2015.pdf



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

G Rory Kalmar <grkalmar@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:06 AM

To: psc@utah.gov Cc: revans@utsolar.org

Dear Commissioners,

As a native Utahn and someone who believes that green energy needs to be more available than ever, I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I strongly urge the Public Service Commission to **suspend the tarif** and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Some of the most highly intelligent people in the world are warning us that we have already done irreparable damage to our own climate, and the Public Service Commission has a chance to do what is RIGHT. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Rory Kalmar, grkalmar@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Susan Koles <susankoles@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:06 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Susan Koles Rickman, susankoles@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Susan Koles Rickman 801.633.8480 susankoles@gmail.com



Docket 16-035 T14

1 message

Bryce Van Leuven brycevanleuven@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:10 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Bryce Van Leuven Brycevanleuven@gmail.com Riverton, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Janet A Cannon <janetcannon@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:11 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Janet & Jeff Cannon

Holladay, Utah j.can@mac.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

shannon miller <notso1@mac.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:13 AM

I oppose PacifiCorp's advise 16-13 to raise rates for solar providers . If Rocky Mountain power raises these rates outside of the normal rate setting protocol, solar generators and the public will be unfairly burdened.

PacifiCorp is a monopoly utility. They are threatened about their bottom line and what solar generation will bring in the future. A rate change to punish individual solar generators is an effort to maintain their monopoly status by making solar too expensive. This action will kill solar growth in the Utah area.

We are taught to be self reliant. Figuring out how to harness that big ball in the sky for individual homeowners needs is the future. Solar generation above and beyond what is needed by the homeowner is transmitted over short distances to the nearest neighbor that needs it. This means Utah power doesn't have to buy power from long-distances and suffer transmission line losses. There is a big advantage to the utility because of this fact. Is this reality fairly represented in their request?

Individual homeowners who invest in solar production benefit their community because the investment lessens the amount of power the utility must buy at top prices from other places in the grid. In addition, individual solar production adds up so that capital investments in new generation facilities is reduced.

Why should power rate structures be unfair to one category of customer? Utah power themselves are teaming up to install solar on business rooftops all over the place ie: V ivant Arena. Does this mean it's OK for Utah power to produce solar but nobody else?

Please consider suspending this unreasonable rate structure/tariff on December 9. The normal rate setting process should be not forgotten. Utah power needs to look to the future and partner rather than divide.

I am no tree hugger. That does not mean that I don't respect Brigham Young's admonition to take care of our environment. Solar represents a clean source of renewable energy and I believe Utah's air quality can be improved by individual solar producers. The right to produce solar at a cheaper cost should not just belong to PacifiCorp.

Thank you for accepting input from the public on this important issue. I would ask you to consider putting individual solar generators and people like me from the public on an fair footing with Rocky Mountain power. Please reject Rocky Mountain power's request 16–35.

Sincerely, James J. Miller

jmiller@diveutah.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Torren Phillips <torren.phi@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:14 AM

As a resident of Utah, Rocky Moutnain Power user and potential Solar User I'm opposed to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. As Utahns we should be encouraging the use of Solar power I ask that you suspend the tariff and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking effect on December 9. I think Rocky Mtn power and Utah can come up with a better proposal that makes it better for everyone to win. I think that Rocky Mtn Power can use their normal rate making process to come up with a solution that makes their business make sense without hurting an industry that creates jobs and helps the environment. I have seen states like Arizona and Nevada make similar choices when they are in need of more options for power production. I understand the need for the Rocky Mtn Power to have their monopoly, but do they really need to kill solar power for anyone but themselves?

thanks

Torren Phillips Eagle Moutain, Utah



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Rebecca Fenton <mfenton33@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:14 AM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Commissioners.

As a future solar power owner and user, I believe it is against a conscientious environmental mentality to accept the RMP proposal and firmly believe you reject their proposal. It is extremely important for consumers to do all they can to minimize their impact on the environment and imposing these rate increases only stifles all steps taken to mitigate our carbon footprint.

As a loyal RMP customer for decades upon decades, I recognize the quality of their product, however, as a person who is very aware of how my actions affect not only myself but all those around me and even those I don't know, I beg you to please reject their rate increase.

Thank you! Sincerely, Rebecca Fenton



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Greg Neil <gregneil@mac.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:14 AM

I am writing to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. This proposed rate change is unfair to rooftop solar customers, of which I am one. Please suspend the tariff and do not allow Rocky Mountain Power's proposal to take effect on December 9th. Rocky Mountain Power should use a normal rate-making process.

A significant number of studies has shown that net metering benefits the grid and all ratepayers. Please consider the some of the reasoned information from the non-partisan Brookings institute at this link:

https://www.brookings.edu/research/rooftop-solar-net-metering-is-a-net-benefit/

The Salt Lake area is experiencing a lot of growth, and is poised to become a crucial technology hub. But if we do not get a handle on our pollution problem, quality of life will continue to suffer, especially in the inversion months, and top talent will not want to live here. To accommodate our projected growth we must tackle pollution, and the growth of rooftop solar, now that it has become financially feasible, is an important part of improving our air quality. The proposed rate change will absolutely destroy the financial feasibility of rooftop solar and kill the market.

I believe Rocky Mountain Power has a right to find rates that allow them a profit, but I feel their proposed changes are predatory and designed to kill competition and innovation.

Thank you for taking the time to listen to the public's input on this subject.

Regards,

Greg Neil gregneil@mac.com Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Craig Rodman <craig@coalitiontitle.com>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:17 AM

Hi PSC,

I received notice today that there is a public comment period, but that it has expired just yesterday This is a very short comment period as Rocky Mountain Power (RMP)ed a request before the Public Service Commission to raise rates on rooftop systems on November 9. I am writing you in opposition to RMP's proposal, which will eliminate solar in Utah and severely impair the county's progress moving toward safe, reliable alternative sources of energy.

The fees are among the highest proposed by any utility around the nation on rooftop solar customers. If imposed, the fees would effectively end the growth of the rooftop solar and devastate the solar industry in Utah.

The solar industry provides almost 4000 jobs in the state and hundreds of millions of dollars in economic activity. All of which will be negatively **£**cted if these fees are put into place. **४**u only have to look toward Nevada and Florida to see the **£**ct. Their commission imposed outrageous fees on owners and overnight hundreds of solar industry jobs were lost.

Utah prides itself as a economic development state. Yur office has worked hard to cultivate growth and it has paid of. If these fees are imposed one of the fastest growing industries in the state will be greatly harmed.

Your leadership on this issue is critical. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely, Craig Rodman



Docket No. 16-035-T14

1 message

Jeff Dimond <jeff@utahenergysavers.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:18 AM

Dear commissioners,

As one of the cofounders and co-owners of Energy Savers companies, I feel it is my duty to write you to oppose the new tariff that Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) is proposing. Docket No. 16-035-T14. Energy Savers has been involved in Home Performance Contracting in Utah for almost a decade installing insulation, air sealing, duct sealing and insulation, and for the last 4 years...clean solar power. What Rocky Mountain Power is trying to propose in Utah will ultimately limit solar installations to homeowners who can afford battery storage systems to avoid net metering. Battery storage systems don't make financial sense with current equipment and pricing. The current payback on a battery storage system is roughly equivalent to the life of the system itself, whereas a grid tied system with the current RMP rate structure has an average payback of about 7 years. In my opinion, it is not by coincidence that the proposed changes will lengthen the payback so drastically. If the proposed changes are approved, homeowners will now be faced with a payback that matches the life of the system, and the changes will ultimately end solar power installs in Utah. This is a strategic move by RMP to ruin the solar industry here in Utah. Everything that RMP does is calculated and strategic. It is not by chance that the "11th hour" amendment to propose similar changes made its way into the STEP bill in March of this year. RMP has a stranglehold on the electric utility market here in Utah, they are guaranteed a 10% profit margin, and the new growth and interest in solar power in Utah is cutting into that margin. There are other ways for them to "recuperate" these lost margins. They claim that their transformers are blowing up because of they are not rated to handle the excess power being back fed into the grid. They don't mention however that in times of extreme energy use, solar is helping with brown outs and other grid problems, like some of the other programs they have introduced to help these situations, like CoolKeeper, and WattSmart energy rebate programs. I believe in capitalism and a free market. If RMP continues to bully regulators and fool them into believing that the new tariff changes are really in the rate payers best interest, they will ultimately get what they want and keep the competition at bay . I would propose that solar customers have the option to purchase the necessary equipment from RMP if they have a desire to install solar power on their homes, including the net meter itself and transformers where necessary. Solar customers would ultimately still benefit from the grid, and if the current \$8 per month basic service fee that RMP charges all of its customers isn't enough to cover these costs, then I would propose a un-biased third party analysis be performed before approving this tarif on terms that are obviously biased, and benefit only RMP.

I have argued mostly that the proposed changes don't make sense for financial reasons...there are other reasons that vastly outweigh the financial reasons. I have lived in Utah my entire life. Born and bred. Utah's winter inversion is getting worse every year and one of the leading causes of this is carbon emissions. I understand that there are efforts being made between the PSC and RMP to limit this and as a Utah resident I appreciate it. If the proposed changes are approved, RMP will be the only entity with enough resources to continue the solar movement in Utah, especially if they are allowed to fool us into thinking that rate changes are necessary for the good of the rate payer every time a hint of competition arises. Solar power makes sense for Utah's inversion and the environment, and RMP shouldn't be the only entity that has an obstacle free road to building up solar infrastructure. The solar industry currently employs thousands of Utah residents. Energy Savers proudly claims over 30 of those. These rate changes will force the hand of many Solar companies in Utah to shut their doors. There will be no transition phase, it will be immediate. The changes will immediately reduce clean solar power installation by private companies by over 90%. RMP may claim that they will have the need to reduce workforce if the changes aren't approved as well. I think that their bottom line is what will ultimately change and that scares their investors in to making sure it doesn't happen.

There are smart ways to respond to these proposed rate changes. Please do what is best for the state of Utah and it's residents, and not what is best for RMP.

Sincerely,

Jeff Dimond

office: 801.614.0606

fax: 801.452.6600

www.utahenergysavers.com





Solar

1 message

Tyler Cruickshank <tcruicks@gmail.com> Reply-To: tcruicks@gmail.com

To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:19 AM

Commissioners:

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Thank you.

Tyler Cruickshank



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Steve Burt <steve.burt@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:25 AM

Good Morning,

I am writing to oppose Rocky Mountain Power's proposal, which it filed on November 9, 2016 (Advice No. 16-13). This proposal is unfair and would have a devastating effect on residential rooftop solar energy, which is something we should be encouraging. Please suspend the tariff - it should not take effect on December 9.

I don't see any reason why something this significant should not pass through the typical rate-making process. Do you?

I'm puzzled by Rocky Mountain Power's actions - seems they are in favor of solar energy, but only if they control it. Residential rooftop solar is a win-win-win proposition: a win for consumers, for their neighbors, and for the environment. Why discourage it?

Please reject RMP's actions.

Sincerely,

Steven Burt



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

David Rich <richdavid@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:25 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on *December 9* as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. I am appalled that Rocky Mountain Power should even think that they can slide this proposal under the rug and slip it by the public. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. In Nevada when they allowed this same shenanigans to take place, it basically killed rooftop solar power. In Utah, especially in Salt Lake Valley, we need to do everything we can to make the air cleaner. We need to do more research to learn to make energy cleaner. Solar power is one of the first steps.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, David C. Rich richdavid@gmail.com Park City, Utah 84098



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Michael Orme <ufomorme@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:28 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Michael Orme, ufomorme@gmail.com, Layton, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Whitney Fautin <wfautin@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:31 AM

>

> I just wanted to write and give my thoughts with regard to the proposal that Rocky Mountain power has put forward to raise rates on solar customers. I think in the Salt Lake V alley out of any other city we need solar. We need to push for clean energy in our valley. This is not something that should not be about money anymore, it should be about the health of the residents of Salt Lake City. I already have solar panels on my house, it was extremely costly, but I felt I was making a difference by installing them. I would also like to put solar panels on rental properties that I own and it's already expensive enough to front the cost of the panels themselves... To raise the rates on customers is going to stall the progress that solar has made in our community.

. >

> For the future of our kids, air quality should be a top priority and anything that pushes us toward cleaner air should be incentivized, not taxed and upcharged.

> Stop this nonsense, don't allow Rocky Mountain power to charge these fees.

>

- > Whitney Fautin
- > 801-604-3024

>

>



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Dave Collings <dcollings@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:33 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

David Collings

dcollings@gmail.com

801-841-3006



Don't change rates

1 message

James Gustafson <jamescgustafson@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:37 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

James Gustafson

Salt Lake City, Utah

Sent from my iPhone



docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Donalynn Garcia <dg91011@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:42 AM

Dear Commissioners.

I am in direct opposition to the recent proposal from the local utility company, Rocky Mountain Power. This is regarding "advice No 16-13", set to take effect on December 9. As a public utility and the only option for power in Utah, Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use normal rates for all customers.

I am appalled that there is a possibility that the consumer is not protected in an endeavor to protect the environment by choosing a better source for energy. This proposal will make it nearly impossible for anyone to choose solar as an option. I love Utah and hate that there is a cloud of smog that blankets our city . I hate that my efforts to change this can be hindered or stopped completely by regulations that allow the utility company, Rocky Mountain Power, a monopoly on the market, shame on you if you allow this proposal to be implemented.

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

SAMIR <sbeharic@comcast.net> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:43 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Samir Beharic, sbeharic@comcast.net, Sandy, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

JAMES NIELSEN < jniel0423@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" < psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:43 AM

Dear Commissioners,

It is not environmentally friendly and morally correct to penalize people who want to reduce their usage of electric power and reduce their carbon footprint by installing solar on their homes to allow RMP to add an increased fee and or charge to those net meter customers, how are trying to lessen the demand on the grid. if the are allowed to charge this fee, then they should be forced to purchase excess power produced by solar customers back from them, to make it a fare and equitable trade off. Please don't let RMP kill the solar industry in UT like what happened in nevada.

thank you. James Nielsen. North Ogden. UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:46 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Robert Brinton, bbrinton@gmail.com, Centerville, UT



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

April Wilde <wilde725@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:48 AM

Dear Commissioners,

As a concerned citizen of Utah, I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

For reasons that seem to be obvious to us as residents of Utah, these actions of Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed. They simply should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & ef ficient energy. These changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power not only affect me, but every other individual within the state who currently breathes the unclean air we experience within the state. Various articles support that the use of solar helps to reduce the need for other less clean forms of energy generation. I would personally like to thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

April Wilde Salt Lake City, UT Wilde725@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Greg Garff <ggarff@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:48 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Please suspend the tariff so that it not take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. It should use the normal rate-making process for the proposed changes.

RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. Especially when rooftop solar adds value to the power system and grid.

Thank you for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request that PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change be rejected in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public.

Sincerely, Greg Garff Kaysville, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Matt Goreham <mathiasgoreham@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:50 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Matt Goreham 801-694-5511 mathiasgoreham@gmail.com 459 N Pugsley St. Salt Lake City , UT 84103



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Receptionist < receptionist@amexsuites.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:53 AM

To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Cc: Valerie Molle <valerie@amexsuites.com>, "schafer.milligan@gmail.com" <schafer.milligan@gmail.com>

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Hunter Law, tro.raelaw@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Melody Burt <bocasmelody@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Melody Burt <bocasmelody@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:53 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal ratemaking process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Melody Burt, Salt Lake City, UT bocasmelody@yahoo.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Anthony Allred <anthonyallred@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:56 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Anthony Allred, anthonyallred@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jordan Stufflebeam <coolness4u@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:57 AM

Hello.

I am 24 years old and have been working in the solar industry in Utah for three years.

I would like to ask you to suspend the tariff and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking efect on December 9. Solar in Utah has been a strong way to create jobs for us, and the company I work for currently Brio Solar has most of our work coming from Utah and to suspend that would cause us to pull out from our biggest market currently. We have had to do this previously with Nevada as well as Arizona.

Rocky Mountain power should not be able to stop solar from being placed on rooftops. Sure they can create their own solar fields and are but the problem here is when competition comes Rockey Mountain Power wants to stop it so that they can cause a monopoly.

Solar has created many jobs not only for Utah but for the entire United States. I would urge you to stop Rocky Mountain Power from doing this. Solar is one of the fastest growing industries, and to stop one of the best companies.

Thank you for listening to this email and taking the time to listen to the public. I hope that the correct decision can be made. Please stop PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

Thank you.

Best Regards



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Justin Grover <jg513jg@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Justin Grover <jg513jg@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 11:58 AM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016. Rocky Mountain Power's proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process. I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe sustainable, renewable, rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public and the environment on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

We need a long term energy solution, not profits right now. Solar is part of that solution. Rocky Mountain Power should be finding ways to lower their costs not making solar not more expensive.

Sincerely,

Justin Grover

jg513jg@yahoo.com

Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Rodd Ashley Jefferies <rodd.ashley.jefferies@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:02 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I strongly oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

As a strong proponent of renewable energy, I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. Allowing this proposal to move forward will only hurt Utah's economy, eliminating hundreds of jobs provided by our local solar providers, limiting homeowner options for affordable alternative energy options, and creating a monopoly for Rocky Mountain Power

Thank you for accepting public input on this very important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ashley Jefferies



Solar and Rocky Mountain Power

1 message

Jeff Lee <jeff.lee@blueravensolarcom>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:05 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on Dec 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Lee, realbowhunterjeff@gmail.com, Lehi, UT



Roof Top Solar

1 message

Joyce OTTERSTROM <F_OTTERSTROM@msn.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:05 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal ratemaking process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. This proposal would kill the entire solar industry and for what? huge job loss, more deaths in coal mines, and dirtier air. If a person is willing to help our state by putting roof top solar on their homes why penalize them? If Rocky Mountain Power is a public utility then shouldn't it be concerned about the public?

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powerand reject Advice No. 16-13.

Joyce Otterstrom

f otterstrom@msn.com



Advice No. 16-13.

1 message

Valerie Molle <valerie@amexsuites.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:07 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Regards,

Valerie Molle'

American Executive Office Suites

75 E Fort Union Blvd C-102

Midvale, Utah 84047

p 801-676-6500

f 801-676-6800



concerened citizen

1 message

shaunasrsp@comcast.net < shaunasrsp@comcast.net > To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:07 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Powel'Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair use of the power of monopoly for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solarl am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy Citizens should be incentivized rather than penalized for opting to use alternative sources of energy tah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Powend reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Shauna Scott shaunasrsp@comcast.net Taylorsville, UT



Solar and Rocky Mountain Power

1 message

Jeff Lee <realbowhunterjef@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:11 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on Dec 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Jeffrey Lee, realbowhunterjef@gmail.com, Lehi, UT



Rocky Mountain Power Request For Rate Increase

1 message

Kim Casper <ogdn4fn@yahoo.com> Reply-To: Kim Casper <ogdn4fn@yahoo.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:16 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Kim Casper (801) 394-0934 ogdn4fn@yahoo.com Ogden, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jenifer Fairchild <fairchildjenifer@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:19 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Best,

Jen '1N' Fairchild

jenFAIRCHILD Photography www.jenfairchild.com

@jenfairchild com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

gene.t@comcast.net <gene.t@comcast.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:20 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Powel'Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I encourage the Public Service Commission to prevent the tariff from taking effect on December 9 as proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe Rocky Mountain Powe's arguments are flawed and it would be unfair to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar as presented. I believe there are benefits to neighbors of rooftop solar homes that have not been considered adequately also believe there are benefits to Rocky Mountain Power that need further analysis.

I am very concerned about our childres'future, and I believe the cleaner air and reduced impact on the planet provided by solar energy is an important factobtah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you consider the interest of small residential users and the greater Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Gene Truhn, gene.t@comcast.net North Logan, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Maura Hahnenberger <maurahahn@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:20 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

As someone who would like to install solar panels on my home, this change in rate structure might make it not financially feasible for my family. Solar is a large investment for a family to make, but has very large benefits to the Utah community. However, it also must be financially feasible for the family installing and paying for the solar panels.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Maura Hahnenberger PhD

Sandy, UT

-

Maura Hahnenberger PhD Geosciences Department Salt Lake Community College http://hahnenberger.weebly.com @DrMaura Science



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

AMEX Staff <receptionist2t@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:23 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brian Porter, brian@flyingcolorsgroup.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jackson Hill <ignatius.0014@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:25 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jackson



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jackson Hill < Igneous14@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" < psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:25 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jackson Hill



(no subject)

1 message

Bailey Brown <a href="mailto:"bsc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov" </p>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:33 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Bailey Brown, baileyrosebrown@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

JENNIFER POORMAN<NENNERGOAT@msn.com>
To: "PSC@utah.gov" <PSC@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:37 PM

Please deny the rate hike for Rocky Mountain Power for Solar Powerl am a lover of Utah, mother of two, and value our beautiful state. We will not be able to continue to breath in our valley if we do not support affordable renewable energy sources at afordable costs.

Consider what happened to solar in Nevada with their rate hikes, and do not agree to the proposed increase.

Best Regards,

Dr. Jennifer Wahlen-Poorman, D.M.D.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Monica Anderson <monica7905@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:39 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Monica Anderson, monica7905@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Hunter Law <r-aes@live.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:40 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Rylie Law, r-aes@live.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Utah Public Service Commission

1 message

Jean Bramble <jcsbramble@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:40 PM

Re:Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

To the Commission,

I am an older Utah resident now living in Escalante, Utah. However , my husband and I have retained ownership of our home in Salt Lake City and have been Rocky Mountain Power customers for approximately 40 years. We left Salt Lake City because bad air in 'the valley' was causing a very negative impact on my health. We would like the option of bein g able to live in Salt Lake, but cannot do so until better environmental conditions exist.

Please protect citizens such as children, those with physical impairments, ordinary citizens who simply cannot be exposed to this kind of seasonal pollution and health-impacted citizens like myself. Most people do not have the luxury of affording two homes. You have the power to make things better on, incremental as that might be.

I urge you to make it necessary that Rocky Mountain Power join in people-protecting technology by removing the tariff and encouraging Rocky Mountain Power to reconsider its utilization of energy resources in a more publicly responsible way. Reject Advice #16-13.

Sincerely,
Jean C. Bramble
jcsbramble@gmail.com
Escalante and Salt Lake City, Utah



Rocky mountain power proposal

1 message

Elijah W oodhouse <elijahwoodhouse1995@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:43 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Elijah Woodhouse, elijahwoodhouse1995@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Gene Hymas <dghymas2@comcast.net>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:46 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Cc: dghymas2@comcast.net

Dear Commissioners,

We are deeply concerned about PacifiCorp-RMP's Advice No. 16-13, filed Nov 9, 2016, seeking changes to the rate structure for rooftop solar customers.

Please suspend the proposed tariff and do not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed, and require them to use the normal rate-making process so there can be proper vetting of the proposal.

The proposal seems to be anti-solar and would unreasonably raise the rates for new solar customers, limiting access to clean and efficient energy. We are pleased with the solar system installed on our home in November 2014 and have felt good about minimizing our use of and contributing to the power grid. We are, of course, especially concerned about bona-fide grandfathering of our system from rate changes.

Thank you for accepting public input, but request you extend the deadline for such. We were not aware of the activity until Tuesday Nov 22, when we received notice from our provider

We respectfully request that you reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Carl and Dianna Hymas 2176 Country Oaks Drive Layton, Utah 84040

dghymas2@comcast.net

801-444-5191



I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Darin Southam <darin@darinsoutham.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:50 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov . 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and pr event it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has pr oposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use r ooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe r ooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. Ir espectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Darin Southam, darin@darinsoutham.com, Lehi, UT

Darin Southam

Actor . Director / Writer . Producer 323.389.5323 (LEAD)

http://www.imdb.me/ darinsoutham

http://www.facebook.com/darinsouthamfan

http://www.facebook.com/reignofjudgesmovie

http://www.reignofjudgesmovie.com

Disclaimer: this email may contain confidential, intellectual property; and is intended for the recipient only . If you have received it in error, please delete it immediately . Any communication sent in reply may be recorded for quality , legal, or advertising purposes.



FW: Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Terry Fox <terry_fox@byu.edu>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:54 PM

Dear Commissioners.

Those of us in the state who have taken an interest in helping remove emissions in the air and to create new energy...have a moral right to be protected and treated fairly. The power we generate from our solar investment does go into the grid, and therefore we are collectively helping the whole of our community. Should we not be allowed to reap some benefit by cheaper rates! The reasonable and common sense answer to this is a resounding "of course"! Rocky Mountain Power should not receive more benefit than the excess power provided to their system. Hanging an extra tariff on those stepping forward to invest in this community resource is wrong. The investors and property owners need not be penalized to line the pockets of the shareholders.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Best Regards,

Terry V. Fox



Advice no. 16-13

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 12:56 PM

Dear Public Service Commission,

I am adamantly against Pacificorp-RMP's Advice No. 16-13. Americans should have freedom of choice regarding how we get and use electricity. It is selfish and irresponsible of Pacificorp to punish those who choose cleaner energy. I urge you to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect.

Sincerely,

Darla Jones

Sent from my iPad



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kathleen Anderson <kka1220@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:01 PM

Commissioners:

I oppose the proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13" to change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarifind prevent it from taking effect on December 9.

I am concerned about the future of our planet he air quality in Utah needs all the help it can get. By raising rates for rooftop solar customers, Rocky Mountain Power is looking out for their pocketbook, and not for the environment.

Thank you for accepting public input on this subject. I urge you to put the interest of the Utah public before that of Rocky Mountain Power Please reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Anderson kka1220@gmail.com Centerville, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Skye Ostler <skye.ostler@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:01 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Skye Ostler, skye.ostler@gmail.com, Layton, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Rachel Cook <rachel.cook@blueravensolar.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:11 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

I personally have seen the economical benefit as well working the solar industry. The solar companies in Utah are providing many jobs and opportunities, especially in Utah county, and I feel that this new proposal from Rocky Mountain Power would take away many jobs for Utahns and have an adverse effect on our environment.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Rachel Cook | HR Administrator | Blue Raven Solar

385-265-7186 rachel.cook@blueravensolacom



The Future of Energy. Today.



(no subject)

1 message

Steven Suprise <stesup2@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:13 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely

Steven Suprise



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Diane Vasquez <dthueva@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:17 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the proposal by Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016. the Proposal by Rocky Mountain Power would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I request the Public Service Commission suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is very unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . We are stewards of God's creation and must safeguard it. I am extremely concerned about the global warming, future of our planet, our wildlife, ecology and of the world population to be decimated by global warming. Solar energy will create new jobs and technology. I believe solar gives pe ople access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Diane Thue-Vasquez, dthueva@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Doug Roberts <dcrob501@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:17 PM

Dear Commissioners.

The Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) rate increase request for homes with solar power units is outrageous and will kill the solar industry!!! If you need evidence of what these outrageous fees will do to the solar industry , just look at what happened in Nevada!!! Their solar industry dropped up to 99% in less than a year due to outrageous fees on solar.

I do not have solar panels on my home but, have been researching options for the last couple of years. The proposed increase will completely and permanently cut me out of being able to install solar, because I cannot/will not take 30-40 years to pay off a loan to get solar panels. It's not worth it! (And, I refuse to go into debt to get it anyway.) There is no way that any home owner or business power consumer would want to invest in Solar energy with the proposed fees that Rocky Mountain Power wants to implement. It's not worth it!

Next: Though I do not consider myself a liberal environmentalist, I want to do what I can to reduce the negative impact of polution in the air. RMPs proposal will have a huge impact in a negative way towards our communities being able to make short term and long term progress in this regard.

Finally, allowing these fees to be implemented will only tighten the <u>dependence grip</u> that RMP has on us that rely on them for power. RMP may say that consumers can buy green power from their "solar farms" but, that route is more expense than regular power. In a time when families in UT, which on average are larger and trying to save money any way they can, RMP's argument that they are trying to protect non-solar households falls woefully short and comes across as a farce and really, is outright laughable!!! Also, the monopoly that RMP has right now would only be entrenched that much more.

I can see the need for RMP to protect their bottom line but, there certainly has to be a better way . They also need to realize that times are changing. I know there is a more fair solution. Lastly, if RMP is getting excess power generated from the customers who have solar panels, why penalize them?

I truly hope the Public Service Commission will do its job, as it has done well in years past and deny RMPs request. I hope you all smell a rat in this, especially in the timing of this request and the short time frame we, as consumers have had to study this and respond.

Thank you for your time, Doug Roberts Layton, UT



Solar energy

1 message

Nicholas Mitchell <nicky9ball@gmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:22 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Nicholas Mitchell



Don't Be Evil

1 message

Madison Daniels <madisondaniels90@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:25 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Madison Daniels



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Barbara Lemarrec <ble> <ble> <ble> <bre> <bre>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:25 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Barbara Le Marrec, blemarrec@gmail.com, Park City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ashwin Lal <stroshoo@gmail.com> Reply-To: stroshoo@gmail.com To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:28 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. This is particularly important in Salt Lake where inversion adversely affects health care outcomes including asthma, respiratory disease, and cardiac disease. As a pediatric cardiologist at Primary Children's Hospital, I work first hand with children with congenital heart disease who at baseline have decreased oxygen saturations which can be further compromised by poor air quality . Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ashwin Lal, MD

ashwin.lal@hsc.utah.edu



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Tim Herzog <timmyzog@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:28 PM

I've been reading with alarm about Rocky Mountain Power's plan to increase the rates for solar customers. I have already installed a solar system in my home, but there was definitely an economic analysis when I did so. The new rates that RMP is proposing would have dramatically changed my decision and I probably would not have carried out the installation. I had the pleasure of watching the hard working Utah men and women who installed my system. They are gainfully employed because of the solar industry. This proposal would dramatically reduce the solar industry. If we want UT to be known as an energy state, we need to have these home installations as well as all the other things we do to produce energy in UT. We currently have significant air quality problems here. I know two highly educated and hard working colleagues that had to leave the Wasatch front due to asthmatic family members. Solar production will decrease the emissions that are harmful to our most sensitive members of our society. Rocky Mountain power has a state granted monopoly in our state and as a result, they should not be allowed to change the rules on solar production of energy in order to increase their profits. They should adapt to renewable energy. My rates will not be increased by this plan so I have no vested interest other than trying to maintain a vibrant industry in our state that employs Utahans with good jobs. These workers pay taxes, buy goods and improve our economy while helping to clean up our air and make us a

These workers pay taxes, buy goods and improve our economy while helping to clean up our air and make us a community that more people want to move into.

Please help keep the rooftop solar industry thriving in our state.

Thanks for your consideration.

Tim Herzog timmyzog@gmail.com 5205 Shawnee Lane Ogden, UT 84403 801-791-1862



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mychael Allen <mychaelmary@yahoo.com> Reply-To: Mychael Allen <mychaelmary@yahoo.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:29 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. By increasing the rates for solar customers, that could negatively impact the amount of people who consider solar power as a environmentally friendly options for there energy needs.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Mychael Allen, mychaelmary@yahoo.com, Layton, UT



(no subject)

1 message

Dylan Phillips <djphillips94@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:31 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Best Regards,

Dylan Phillips, djphillips94@gmail.com, Layton, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Peter Wilk <pete@petewilk.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:31 PM

I would like to voice my concerns regarding PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016. I am a mechanical engineer, rock climber, skier, and lover of the outdoors. My education and affinity for the outdoors allows me to acutely recognize the role that solar energy plays in Utah and globally. This source of energy is one of the best ways in Utah to generate the necessary power to keep SLC and Utah's economy and tourism as strong as it has been. The increased emissions from fossil fuels is easily seen earlier in the winter and more frequently here in the Valley, even in my only four years as a resident. The recent proposed rate increase by RMP against solar installations will have a significantly negative impact on the solar industry in Utah. This suggested rate increase, coupled with the proposed elimination of the Utah subsidy, will kill the growth rate of new solar installations. Installation of solar is one of the easiest ways to reduce the emissions problems in the Salt Lake valley. Historical sources of energy are not sustainable and have strong negative impacts to the environment and to Utahns' health. Solar helps mitigate those problems.

RMP's proposal timing is strategically planned to allow the least amount of public input due to Thanksgiving. This tactic exposes their profit oriented goals rather than customer and environmental concerns. The monopoly of RMP on the power in Utah is threatened by customers becoming independent via solar installations and this proposal, timed with eliminating Utah state subsidy, is not forward thinking and anti-competitive.

I currently do not have solar installed on my home. I have explored installing it earlier this year and was close to doing so. However I decided to wait a year or two so that my finances had more preparation for the large expense of installation. If the RMP proposal goes through my ability to purchase a solar installation is in question. Large solar farms that RMP advocates take up more land and further encroach on the natural beauty that Utah offers. Why not encourage the users to use the space they already have on their homes, and to generate at the point of use to minimize losses in power transmission?

Thank you for accepting my thoughts on this issue. The arguments against solar are for cost/profit reasons. However, these arguments are myopic and do not consider that these "losses" in profits are actually investments into the future of our health, environment, and economy.

Peter Wilk 1911 Evergreen Ave Salt Lake City, UT 84106 pete@petewilk.com 603-540-5337

Peter Wilk www.petewilk.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Lucas Wright-Moore < lucas@skullcandy.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" < psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:32 PM

Dear Commissioner s,

Please take into consider ation this I oppose the r ecent proposal fr om Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed No v. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar cus tomers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I belie ve it is un fair for Rocky Mountain P ower to raise r ates for cus tomers who wish t o use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our plane t, and I belie ve solar giv es people access t o a cleaner, more reliable sour ce of energy. Utah's air quality needs t o improve, and I belie ve rooftop solar should be part of tha t solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this import ant issue. Ir espectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Thank you greatly.

Regards,

Lucas William Wright-Moore

T: 435 729 2487

C: 801 440 0024

E: lucas@skullcandy.com

Skullcandy, Inc.

1441 W Ute Blvd Ste 250 Park City, UT 84098

#STAYLOUD



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

wanderer878787 <wanderer878787@yahoo.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:33 PM

Dear Commissioners,

Once again Rocky Mountain Power is attempting to maximize its profits at the expense of the people and I know it's only a matter of time before they succeed. I am opposed to "Advice 16-13" which would change the rate structure of rooftop solar customers. Of course this is the first I heard of this and I'm sure it's merely a coincidence that it is around the holidays when people are distracted and might overlook this matter or, like myself, miss the deadline by one day . To be honest I am personally disgusted with the greed and blatant corruption that exists in all facets of government and business anymore. I, like many others apparently, have had enough and I sincerely hope that you put the people and our fragile ecosystem first over profits. Do the right thing because you know what it is!

Sincerely,

Michael Moore Sandy, Utah Wanderer878787@yahoo.com

Sent from my Verizon 4G LTE smartphone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Lucas Wright-Moore lwrightmoore@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:38 PM

Dear Commissioner s,

This would be a hug e failur e if solar po wer is disc ouraged to become more widely accepted and encouraged. Please take into consider ation this I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I belie ve it is un fair for Rocky Mountain P ower to raise r ates for cus tomers who wish t o use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our plane t, and I belie ve solar giv es people access t o a cleaner, more reliable sour ce of energy. Utah's air quality needs t o improve, and I belie ve rooftop solar should be part of tha t solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this import ant issue. Ir espectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Regards,

Lucas Wright-Moore



Rocky Mountain Power Solar

1 message

Laurel Harris <drlaurel@wasatchexotic.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:42 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Laurel M. Harris, DVM
Owner, Wasatch Exotic Pet Care
Secretary, Association of Exotic Mammal V eterinarians
1892 E Fort Union Blvd
Salt Lake City, UT 84121
801-943-3367
fax 801-943-3404
drlaurel@wasatchexotic.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jennifer Kinder <jennifer.kinder1@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:49 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Kinder, jennifer.kinder1@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment solar initiative.

1 message

Alan Ernstsen <alan.ernstsen@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:56 PM

Dear Commissioner. Thanks for representing us.

I believe Rocky Mountain Power's proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers, flattening the air quality and climate curve we need to get 'round.

I also believe we have the imagination to we can do this and still maintain a quality utility . Seems everyone IS going to have to make some sacrifice, including those un-used to doing so.

Thank you. Alan Ernstsen. 5412220266



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Nicole Bitter Carey <bouncintiggers21@gmail.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 1:56 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Nicole Carey
Bouncintiggers21@gmail.com
Eagle Mountain, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Ryan Egan <regan@simplyeec.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:00 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Ryan Egan, regan@simplyeec.com, West Jordan, UT



Docket#16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Stan Thomas <stanthomas63@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Stan Thomas <stanthomas63@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:04 PM

Dear Commissioners.

l am opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's Advice No. 16-13, filed Nov9,2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. They should have to go through the normal rate setting process and not change the rooftop solar rate structure until after the normal process has been followed.

I don't think the Public Serevice Commission should suspend the ta

This rate increase would effectively eliminate the rooftop solar program and limit access to clean and efficient energy

If they are allowed to do this like their parent company did in Nevada it will have the sameet of almost eliminating the rooftop solar program in Utah. It will put many people out of work, and cause more people to be at the mercy of RMP for their entire energy source.

Thank you for allowing me to express my concerns. Stanley J Thomas 930 E. 2650 N. N. Logan, Utah stanthomas63@yahoo.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment (don't suppress solar)

1 message

Mike Geary <mikegeary98@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:16 PM

Hello,

I am opposed to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Please don't allow suppression of solar energy just to support outdated energy production that harms our air quality and environment. Please suspend the fatifiat is proposed to take effect on dec 9th. People that support solar energy are moving humanity forward and diversifying our energy production, instead of keeping us in the stone ages and destroying our environment and air quality

Sincerely,

Mike Geary Huntsville, UT

-



(no subject)

1 message

Andrezzi, **Staci A** <sandrezzi@graniteschools.org>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:16 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy . Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power , and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

Staci Andrezzi



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Nic Johnson <iheartredsox@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:22 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Nic Johnson, iheartredsox@gmail.com, Pleasant Grove, UT



Rocky Mountain Power Rate Hike

1 message

jeff spaulding <jspaulding42@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:27 PM

Hello. I have a Solar system through iVint Solar, I saw this message from them(http://utsolarorg/net-metering/), but the message seems to be heavily biased by their point of view as a companyan you tell me what the proposed hike would mean for me as an existing solar/net meter user?

Thanks,

Jeff Spaulding

jeff@infusemed.com



HELP SAVE THE FUTURE OF SOLAR IN UTAH!

utsolar.org

Help save solar and make your voice heard by contacting Governor Gary Herbert at 801-538-1000 and signing this petition: http://petitions.moveon.org/ sign/save-solar-for-utah-affordab.fb50?source=c.fb&r; by=17030167



Advice 16-13

1 message

Aaron Killpack <killpackaaron05@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:27 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Aaron Killpack, killpackaaron05@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Against PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13"

1 message

Lulu Tuan < lutuan@hotmail.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" < psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:36 PM

We strongly oppose the Advise No.16-13 filed by PacifiCorp-RMP on November 9th 2016.

We installed the rooftop solar panels not long ago and have enjoyed the solar power since then. "Sun" is a free gift from God to everyone. It does not belong to any power companies.eWon't understand how any government, federal or state, can allow these power companies to deprive people's right to have cheap energy

We wonder if one day we also need to pay for the air to breathe?

What do we need a government for if the government cannot stand up for its people?

Concerned consumers and Utahns



Please STOP Rocky Mountain power!!

1 message

Alex Darling <alexdarling22@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:40 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Alex Darling San Clemente CA 949-702-0879 Alexdarling22@gmail.com



RMP Solar Initiative

1 message

Kyle Johansen <kdj1973@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:50 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Kyle Johansen, kdj1973@gmail.com, Layton, UT

Sent from my iPad



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Christian McConner < kristoff119@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:55 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ur ge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part ofthat solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Christian McConner-Hughes, kristoff119@gmail.com, Orem, UT



Solar Energy

1 message

Mary Lee HAMILTON <HAMILTON9920@msn.com> To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:55 PM

Please read my attachment. Thank you.

Sent from Mail for Windows 10



SolarLetter.odt
15K

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Mary Lee Hamilton



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Brad B Flinders

 To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 2:56 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Brad B. Flinders, bradf9964@gmail.com



Very Concerned Citizen (Public Comment for Docket #16-035 T14)

1 message

ANGELA SLOAN <angelasIoan1@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:04 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I have recently had solar panels installed on my home in an effort to save money on my electric bill. I am a single mother with a special needs child and I am struggling to make ends meet. With rising electricity costs I felt that solar was a smart solution not only for my budget but for the environment.

Therefore, I am very concerned to learn about a proposal by Rocky Mountain Power to have the ability to change the rate structure because I have solar panels. If I had know about this, I would not have had the solar panels installed, because I am locked into a 20 year payment agreement to pay for these panels. If Rocky Mountain can change my current rate for electricity, then I am very concerned that I'll be spending more money rather than saving money. It would be very hard for me to pay any more than I am already.

Please strongly consider what this would mean for everyone who has spent large sums of money to commit to solar power. Or at least grandfather in those who have already purchased solar. It is not fair to 'change the rules in the middle of the game'.

Sincerely,

Angela Sloan angelasloan1@gmail.com Syracuse, Utah



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Trevor Weed <tweed10@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:06 PM

To whom it may concern:

Thank you for listening to the public on this and other issues and for taking into consideration your decisions' effects on all of us.

I am writing to voice my opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13. The proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers and it would set the state of Utah back a step in our evolution towards a more sustainable future, which is something we can ill-afford.

Please suspend the tariff and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking efect on December 9th. They should use the normal rate-making process.

I'm happy to discuss.

Trevor Weed Concerned Citizen P: 623 297 2703



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:07 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Travis Monson,

762W 290N Lindon, UT 84042



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Trevor Weed trevor.weed@blueravensolarcom>
To: "psc@utah.gov" c@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:07 PM

To whom it may concern:

Thank you for listening to the public on this and other issues and for taking into consideration your decisions' effects on all of us.

I am writing to voice my opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13The proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers and it would set the state of Utah back a step in our evolution towards a more sustainable future, which is something we can ill-afford.

Please suspend the tarif and prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from taking test on December 9th. They should use the normal rate-making process.

I'm happy to discuss.

Trevor Weed | Director of Operations | Blue Raven Solar | (385) 498-4402





Opposition to Docket #16-035-T14 - Public Comment

1 message

Edward Bates <efbates@earthlink.net>
Reply-To: Edward Bates <efbates@earthlink.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:08 PM

Public Service Commission

Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

Dear Commissioners:

I have recently become aware of the proposal from Rocky Mountain Power that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers, (Advice No 16-13 filed November 9, 2016. I am strongly opposed to this rate structure increase. Especially in this manner. I respectfully request that the Public Service Commission suspend this tarif f and not allow it to take effect on December 9th as proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate making process for this proposed change.

It is clear that Rocky Mountain Power wants to make an end run around the normal process and review which will show this is an inappropriate rate change. Utah should continue to encourage clean and efficient energy in any way possible. Making the investment in rooftop solar cost prohibitive is not a helpful long term solution to our energy needs. Rocky Mountain does not need the additional profit. As a public utility their purpose should be to benefit their users and we don't want to go back to more and more fossil fuels to meet our future energy needs.

I am aware that other regions of our country have found home solar to be very helpful in solving long term power system issues. I have made the significant investment in solar power as part of my contribution to clean power. Please do not allow this rate increase to move forward which will end our progress in this area.

Very truly yours,

Edward F. Bates

7438 Carling Circle

Cottonwood Heights, Utah



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Alissa Palacios <alissa@imwindandsolar.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:09 PM

Dear Commissioners,

As a concerned citizen of Utah, I would like to state my opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. In particular, I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and not let it take effect December 9th as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

For reasons that seem to be obvious to us as residence of Utah, these actions of Rocky Mountain Power should not be allowed. They simply should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers, restrict free trade, and limit access to clean & efficient energy. These changes proposed by Rocky Mountain Power not only affect me, but every other individual within the state who currently breaths the unclean air we experience within the state. Various articles support that the use of solar helps to reduce the need for other less clean forms of energy generation. I would personally like to thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended. I respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Alissa Palacios Boise Idaho Alissa@imwindandsolar.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Shauna Carlton babydoll.slc@gmail.com/shauna To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:13 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the resent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No.16-13", filed Nov 9,2016, that would change the rate structure for the rooftop solar customers. I urge the public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe that solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of the solution.

I believe that times are changing with the world and people & businesses will have to get use to it taking place, somethings never stay the same.

Thank you for accepting public input on the important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13

Sincerly,

Shauna Carlton. babydoll.slc@gmail.com, Magna,UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Dan Harmer <dan.harmer@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:13 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar--particularly as they want to drive people to their own solar solution. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Dan Harmer

Syracuse, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Autumn Hindman <autumnhindman@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:13 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am in opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the PSC to deny the utility's fast-track request and require it to use the normal rate-making process for its proposed changes.

I strongly feel RMP should not be allowed to raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers. Doing so is contrary to the best interests of the general public and, on a larger scale, the environment. The proposed changes will certainly be a detriment to the people of Utah as well as the population of Earth an only to the benefit of an already large corporation.

I thank the Commissioners for accepting public input, though the deadline should be extended, and respectfully request the PSC, in the interests of ratepayers and the Utah public, to reject PacifiCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Autumn Hindman
Park City, Utah
autumnhindman@gmail.com



Opposition to Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Pete Rowley <pdre> <pdre> <pdre> <pdre> <pdre> <pdre> <pdre> <pdre> <p

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:18 PM

Dear Commissioners,

This is to oppose the proposal from Rocky Mountain Power (RMP) "Advice No. 16-13" filed November 9, 2016 (Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment) to change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I ask the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as requested by RMP. Power should be required to use the normal rates.

My wife and I have a rooftop solar system on our home. We consider it our small (but expensive) attempt to aid preventing climate change, which is accelerating. An added benefit is that rooftop solar contributes power to the grid, allowing RMP to invest less in power infrastructure. As an older geologist, I have hundreds of friends and colleagues across the country in this and related professions. As with me, NONE doubts that climate change is real and manmade, and most feel that it is the W orld's greatest pressing threat to life. Speaking just of Utah, the greater Salt Lake City area has a massive smog problem, all due to burning fossil fuels. RMP must not be allowed to discourage the solar power business in one of the country's sunniest states, nor to discourage private investment in solar, nor to raise our rates.

Thank you for your help.

Sincerely,

Peter D. Rowley, Ph.D., P.G., Consulting Geologist

Geologic Mapping Inc.

P.O. Box 651/1235 South 500 East

New Harmony, UT 84757

(435) 865-5928

pdrowley@rushisp.com

www.geologicmappinginc.com



Docket #16-035-t14 opposition to RMP proposal

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:20 PM

To whom it may concern at the PSC.

The proposal by Rocky Mountain Power (Advice No 16-13) is a blatant money grab by a huge corporation at the expense of our environment and the economy

Rooftop Solar means less dependence on fossil fuels. The burning of which contributes not only to our terrible air and inversion locally, but also to the emerging global climate change phenomena.

If we can produce clean energy locally, and contribute to the local economy by providing excellent jobs in the solar industry then we should strive to do so.

We already have an example of what the proposal provided by RMP does to solar industry. Nevada enacted a similar law and its rate of new solar installations plummeted, to the benefit of RMP's parent company. Nevada, like Utah, is in America's solar belt. It is sad to think of the public good that is being traded in the search for private profit.

We have a chance to make a difference and let this burgeoning industry expand and grow. This is especially important because of the new technology that is coming online from innovators such as Elon Musk.

I hope you have the foresight to allow the solar industry to grow and stand up to RMP for the good of our community.

Thank for your time. Brad Bailey, OD

2388 w 1750 s Syracuse, Utah baileybrad@mac.com 801-825-3062



Rocky Mtn. Power versus rooftop solar

1 message

Randy and Marlene Berger <marandy@frontiernet.net>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:25 PM

To whom it may concern,

RE: Docket #16-035 T14 Public Commen t

We are writing to ask that you carefully consider allowing the rate increase requested by Rocky Mountain Power to change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Even though we would be "grandfathered" since our solar system was installed nearly two years ago, we ask that you consider the reason a lot of customers are going to solar .

In addition to the environmental concerns regarding clean air, frankly, the cost of solar makes it very attractive even though the initial investment can seem high. We have been Rocky Mountain Power customers for over 37 years and during that time, our rate has increased nearly 300%. We are senior citizens, living on a fixed income. When we built our current house (23 years ago), our utility cost was around \$120 per month. The month before we hooked into our solar system, our bill was \$360. Our income has not increased anywhere near that much, in fact, it has remained fairly stagnant.

We understand the need for Rocky Mountain Power to keep standards high, and the equipment in perfect working condition, but by the same token, they need to learn to "tighten their belts" and do with a little less, like the rest of us. Perhaps the majority of people going to solar power are doing so in order to keep costs within their budget. We have no control over the rates charged by Rocky Mountain Power, and since they have been a monopoly for years, we have no alternative other than solar or wind power

You have a very dificult decision and we do not envy your position. Just know we are grateful that you will allow us to express our opinion and hope you will consider carefully, the people whose lives are affected by what you do.

Thanks so much for listening.

Respectfully,

Mr. and Mrs. Randy Berger

Deweyville, UT

435-257-1584



Re: Pacificorp/Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Curtis Judd <curtis.judd@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:21 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

It is not helpful to Utah for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our valley, particularly air quality, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy not only for their homes, but also for powering electric vehicles. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Curtis Judd

Wanship Utah (I commute to Conttonwood Heights each work day)

curtis.judd@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Kristin Peterson kristin8brett@gmail.com
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:22 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is wrong for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. That aside, we are supposed to have a free market. Solar intrudes on Rocky Mountain's bottom line. That is not what should be driving our decisions.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Kristin Peterson kristin8brett@gmail.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Micah Chambers <dhmh3000@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Micah Chambers <dhmh3000@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:19 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I am STRONGLY OPPOSED to PacificCorp - RMP's "Advice No. 16-13" which was filed on Nov. 9 2016. I urge you to SUSPEND the tariff and not allow it to take effect December 9 as proposed by RMP. Require RMP to use the normal rate making process for its proposed changes.

Rocky Mountain Power has a monopoly in place in relationship to our utilities such electricity and power in the state of Utah, which electricity and power are something every person needs and cannot do without. This monopoly should not have been allowed! Now RMP is proposing to raise rates on those persons who are trying to save money and do good for the environment simply because solar companies are cutting into RMP's bottom line. RMP should not be allowed to unreasonably raise the costs for new rooftop solar customers! RMP should not be allowed to restrict free trade or limit access to clean and efficient energy! If this tariff is implemented I would not install solar panels on my house as it would not be fiscally smart for me despite how much I want to help the environment. With this tariff f, solar power would no longer be fiscally attainable!

Commissioners, thank you! I appreciate your time and willingness to accept public input. The deadlines on this matter should be extended as I feel more research is needed on the matter . Please look at all the benefits of solar panels for the environment, the homeowner, as well as the government. Lastly, I respectfully request the PSC, in the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public, to REJECT PacificCorp-RMP's current rate change request.

Micah Chambers Kaysville, Ut 84037 dhmh3000@yahoo.com



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Mark Barnes <smarkbarnes@gmail.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:23 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to request that you reject RMP's proposal concerning solar energy. As a consumer doing the socially responsible thing by purchasing solar panels for my home, I find the proposal offensive. Please refuse this regressive proposal.

S. Mark Barnes 2011 S 1600 W WX, UT 84087

Sent from my iPhone



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Robert Nicholes <robnicholes13@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:25 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Robert H. Nicholes robnicholes13@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

I oppose the PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

1 message

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:43 PM

I believe this proposal unfairly changes the rate structure for solar power customers. I think it poses a clear obstacle to those who want to be more earth conscious in their choice to use solar power.

I suggest that Rocky Mountain Power use the normal rate-making process that is much more fair to customers.

I'm grateful to you for listening to the public on this matter. Please consider the benefits of solar power to our community and to our planet. I recently visited shanghai and the pollution was absolutely horrible, if we don't start making the choice for solar power appealing to people and afordable, we will never set ourselves up for a cleaner planet that we desperately need for our children. I have 4 kids under 9 years old and I worry about their future if we don't support solar initiatives better.

My name is Bart Olson, from Salt Lake City . My email is bartolson1@gmail.com

Thank you for considering this.

Best regards, Bart



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Amy Stover <mspele10@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Amy Stover <mspele10@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:44 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Additionally, I personally work for a local solar company, and as a single mother of two young boys, I am incredibly concerned that the passing of this proposal will put the future of my company, and therefore my only source of income, at risk. I do not dispute that homeowners should be responsible for a portion of the costs to connect their home to the grid, but the proposal Rocky Mountain Power is requesting is absolutely above and beyond a logical, reasonable amount to charge these homeowners. Please force them to go through the normal rate-making process, which is nothing short of a fair request.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely, Amy Stover mspele10@yahoo.com Salt Lake City, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jacklyn Sullivan <jackie.sull.2014@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:50 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I wanted to voice my concerns against the PacifiCorp-RMP's Advice No. 16-13, which was filed on Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I would strongly urge you to suspend the tarif f and prevent its adoption on December 9th of this year. Contrary to what Rocky Mountain Power has proposed, normal rate-making processes should continue being used.

Rocky Mountain Power is causing an unreasonable rise in the costs for new solar customers that is a violation to free trade and it extremely harmful to the clean and ef ficient energy Utah should be moving towards. I am a student from the Salt Lake Valley and Utah's air pollution is key concern I believe Utah needs to address. Hindering the expansion of the solar energy is hindering Utah's chance at having clean air and protecting the environment which we all live in. I understand how Rocky Mountain Power should be concerned with their market share shrinking, however, unfairly trying to block its competitors and slowing Utah's clean energy movements are simply unacceptable. There have also been several articles and studies from other areas of the United States that show the value solar energy has to the power system and these should also be considered in your decision.

I appreciate your willingness to accept public input. I would ask that the PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's current rate change request be rejected. I believe the commission will do the right thing and prevent the growth of monopolies and continue to support the clean energy movement in Utah. Please prove me right. I am just one of thousands of other concerned students in Utah that are deeply invested in the clean energy movement.

Thank you again,

Jackie Sullivan 941 W. 900 s Woods Cross, Ut 84087 jackie.sull.2014@gmail.com



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Heather Gilbert <2casazen@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:51 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Heather Gilbert, 2casazen@gmail.com, Salt Lake City, UT



Public Comment on Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

Dave Hardy/Cheryl Olson <daveandcheryl2010@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:52 PM

We are currently installing rooftop solar panels on our home in Salt Lake County. It would not have made financial sense to do this with the new net metering rates that Rocky Mountain Power is proposing. This sensible and clean approach to producing electricity will be killed in Utah. Please think of our terrible local pollution issues and do the right thing - do not approve this clean energy killing request. Thank you.

Cheryl Olson and David Hardy

1254 Woodridge Circle

Salt Lake City, UT 84121



STOP PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13"

1 message

Brett Lee

brettmlee@me.com>

is: pas@utah gay

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 3:55 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

This would literally be the worst thing you could ever do. It happened in vegas to both of my brothers. Please don't do this.

Count my vote for opposition to PacifiCorp-RMP's "Advice No. 16-13"

Thanks,

Brett Lee 702.408.7696



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jāna Fernelius <janafernelius@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:02 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jãna Fernelius, janafernelius@gmail.com, Herriman, UT



Docket #16-035-T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jared Jacobson <jared.jacobson@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:05 PM

Commission.

I have read Rocky Mountain Power's report outlining their proposed rate hikes and their rationale for it. I am opposed to this rate hike. The tariff should not go forward, and Rocky Mountain Power should continue with the current per-watt fee structure. While their request for administrative fees to process applications for net metering is fair, an increase in rates on net-metered power customers is unjustified and harmful to business within the state, as it will have a quelling effect on the uptake of solar.

While my photovoltaic system would be grandfathered under the proposed plan, I know a number of other people who are considering solar right now, and if the cost-benefit analysis extends the payback period, they will be much less likely to install. Implementation of this plan would make it harder for me to justify putting solar on my next house. Do we really want to be raising the barrier to clean energy at a time when we're having some of the worst spikes in air pollution in history?

RMP's concerns about the exponential growth are unjustified. There are many houses whose roofs are not oriented for maximum solar collection. It is doubtful that many of these houses will ever install a photovoltaic system because of the longer payback period even under the current rates. Exponential uptake is a feature of early growth in any technology. For example, the growth in cell phone usage in the United States has slowed as the market reaches saturation, which happens at the point where the economics don't make sense. All RMP's proposal will do is lower the cap where the economics make sense, ultimately reducing the number of people providing power to the grid.

I find RMP's statement that photovoltaic power generation doesn't match peak need to be disingenuous as best. On page 13 of the report it says, "This solar generation often does not coincide with the Company's peak load, thus only minimally reducing that load. Company witness Mr. Marx testifies that a net metering customer 's peak production occurs during the spring months while their peak load, and that of other customers, occurs during the summer months. These factors necessitate increasing the size of the distribution facilities as a result of net metering."

When I look at my power usage for the two years prior to installing our PV system, we used 878 and 741 kWh in April 2012 and April 2013. In April of 2015 and 2016, we supplied 358 and 478 kWh to the grid. So for these months the net energy difference is ((878+741) - (-358 + -478))/2 = 1227.5 kWh for those months. We used 2640 and 2466 kWh in July of 2013 and 2014. In July 2015 and 2016, we used 607 and 807 kWh — a net energy difference of ((2640+2466)-(607+807))/2 = 1846 kWh for those months. So July, the month with peak load, coincided with peak production. Mr. Marx's statement that our peak production occurs during the spring months is false, as is the conclusion that RMP needs to increase the size of distribution facilities as a result of net metering. There is no reason to believe that our PV system is unique in this regard.

RMP's argument in this regard is specious, anyway, because prior to having the solar panels installed our house was consuming an average of 2500 kWh during peak months and it is now consuming an average of 700 kWh. How can RMP need to increase the size of their distribution facilities when the peak load on them is shrinking? Also, during the times when our PV system is overproducing, it reduces usage on the infrastructure required to provide electricity to my neighbors. Clearly, RMP cannot provide unbiased data or conclusions in this matter.

If it is true that as a solar customer I am not paying for my fair share of the power distribution infrastructure (which I doubt, and RMP has every incentive to mislead you on this), the difference should be made up solely in a fixed monthly fee that covers the costs of maintaining the infrastructure — a cost that should be borne equally by all electricity customers. I am already paying a fixed fee for basic service and a number of other fees that I don't even know the purpose of. We also pay for the electricity we consume. I should not be assessed an extra per watt fee because I'm using less electricity.

I think RMP is also overstating the amount of their loss (which isn't even a loss, really, just an unrealized gain) from electricity generation. They have benefited in the past from my overproduction because the company clears any remaining solar credits in February, for which I am uncompensated. Both Februarys since installing the solar panels they have overproduced, resulting in me providing 44 and 43 kWh of power that RMP did not have to pay for

Why is the period for public comment so short? RMP submitted their 488-page report barely two weeks ago, and I understand that today is the last day for public comment. It takes time to digest 488 pages and more of technical, financial, and legal material — time that the people of Utah have not been granted with a mere two-week comment period.

Nevertheless, thank you for allowing and considering public comments on this matter, since it affects all of us in Utah with regard to our quality of life, our pocketbooks, and the industry we attract to the state. Please listen to the people of the state and reject RMP's request to hike electricity rates on their monopoly .

Jared Jacobson



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jennifer Shotgunn <jennifer.shotgunn@vivintsolarcom> To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:06 PM

Hello Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power "Advice No. 16-13:, filed Nov9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet and I believe solar give people access to a cleaner more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Shotgunn, Orem, UT

p/s This would also have negative efect on Utah's economy as solar industry is adding to our economy.

Vivint Solar Developer , LLC (EIN: 80-0756438) is a licensed contractor in each state in which we operate, for information about our licenses please visit our contractor licenses page.

The information in this email is for the use of the designated recipients only. This email is considered confidential unless otherwise indicated. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are instructed not to review it or any attachments, and to immediately delete this email, and are further instructed to not disseminate, forward or copy any information from this email or its attachments.



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Chris Morgan <chris.twosherpas@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:17 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif f and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, a nd I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Chris Morgan chris.twosherpas@gmail.com Ogden, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Cheryl Dickson <chiisai_lover@yahoo.com>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:18 PM

To: psc <psc@utah.gov>

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13. Sincerely,

A concerened citizen



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Dan Syroid <dsyroid@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:20 PM

To Public Service Commission

Thanks for taking my input on the pending ruling on rooftop solar.

I strongly oppose PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13.

Roof top solar is an important addition to our endangered air quality and environment and should be strongly incentivised and definitely not discouraged.

Solar users have already made a significant financial commitment by installing solar panels.

A fee to new solar users would have a devastating impact on new solar installations, af fecting not only our environment, but causing job losses in the solar install business, which employs more workers in Utah and the nation than the coal industry. See reporting below:

http://www.deseretnews.com/art icle/865649149/As-Utah-coal-slumps-solar-energy-booms.html?pg=all

http://fortune.com/2015/01/16/ solar-jobs-report-2014/

As a solar user myself, I use solar power to charge my Chevy V olt so that when I drive I do not pollute (most of the time).

I encourage the PSC to look to the future. Solar and electric vehicles charged by the sun are the future and will enable the Wasatch front to clean up our dirty air .

Look at what happened in Nevada when similar solar fees were implemented. Huge job loss in the solar industry and the people were very upset.

http://fortune.com/2016/01/14/ nevada-solar-battleground/

I would encourage PSC to strongly urge Rocky Mtn Power to phase out coal power and move to huge increases in solar , wind and geothermal power, backed up with multiple 100 KWHr Li-ion power packs that are available from Tesla Energy now.

In any case please, please do not allow this very bad idea of a fee on solar to go forward.

Respectfully submitted,

Dan Syroid Park City, Utah

- * It is difficult to get a man to understand something when his income depends on his understanding it. --- H. L. Mencken
- * Solar Power, No Wars Required!
- * Do not squander time for that is the stuff life is made of. -- Ben Franklin



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Benji Xochimitl

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:29 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am in direct opposition to Rocky Mountain Power's proposition, "Advice No. 16-13", which was filed Nov. 9, 2016, regarding the change in rate structure for rooftop solar customers. Of the Public Service Commission, I insist that the tariff be suspended and prevented from being implemented. Rocky Mountain Power should be held with the same regard as any other energy company, and should follow the standard process for applying rates.

I believe that solar power allows people to generate reliable, clean energy without having to draw or depend upon the community. It provides dynamic, alternative energy solutions in case of unforseen problems with the power grid. It is neither practical nor just for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for those who take an interest in residential rooftop solar power, simply to maintain a profit: such an action directly , negatively affects both the people (in quality of life) and the climate. I believe that alternative, clean energy needs to be pursued and implemented as aggressively as possible, and one way to do that is to enable people to draw from solar power.

I offer my thanks for allowing me to speak regarding this topic and for taking it into consideration. Please serve the interests of the people and of those investing in solar power equally; please reject Advice No. 16-13.

Many thanks,

Benjamin Xochimitl

bxochimitl@gmail.com

Orem, UT



SOLAR INDUSTRY

1 message

R. Lynn PETERSON <PETERSONLK1@msn.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:33 PM

Subject: Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power,"Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I use the Public Service Commission to suspend the tarif and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power shoul be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Lynn and Kay Peterson

02 South 1400 East

Pleasant Grove, Utah

Petersonlk1@msn.com



Docket #16

1 message

Jordan Hutchings <jordphutch@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:42 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Jordan Hutchings



I am opposed to PacificCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13

1 message

Justin Jaynes <justinjaynes@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:43 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar . I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner , more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Justin Jaynes, Springville, UT



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Will Ingram <will.ingram@blueravensolarcom>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:44 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am in opposition to PacifiCorp-Rocky Mountain Power's Advice No. 16-13 believe that Rocky Mountain Power's proposal would unfairly change the rate structure for rooftop solar customersk Hae Commission to suspend the tariffand prevent Rocky Mountain Power's proposal from takinger on December 9. I instead suggest that Rocky Mountain Power use the normal rate-making process. As a hopefully future solar panel, when am upset by the negative connotation this proposal would give to taking part in clean, environmentally friendly energy. I encourage you, commissioners, to consider the environmental benefits of solarnk youfor being willing to listen to the public.

-Will Ingram



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Jordan Hutchings <jordphutch@gmail.com> To: psc@utah.gov Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:45 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I oppose the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Jordan Hutchings



Docket #16-035 T14 Public Comment

1 message

Gregg Lehtonen <gl_65@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: Gregg Lehtonen <gl_65@yahoo.com>
To: "psc@utah.gov" <psc@utah.gov>

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:50 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I'd like to voice my strong opposition to the recent proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, "Advice No. 16-13", filed Nov. 9, 2016, that would change the rate structure for rooftop solar customers. I urge the Public Service Commission to suspend the tariff and prevent it from taking effect on December 9 as Rocky Mountain Power has proposed. Rocky Mountain Power should be required to use the normal rate-making process. Additionally, we need to keep the role of this public sanctioned monopoly in its proper place - that is to service the community at a fair and reasonable return, not line its pockets on our backs.

I believe it is unfair for Rocky Mountain Power to raise rates for customers who wish to use rooftop solar. I am deeply concerned about the future of our planet, and I believe solar gives people access to a cleaner, more reliable source of energy. Utah's air quality needs to improve, and I believe rooftop solar should be part of that solution. We should be making policy choices that advance that, not destroy it.

Thank you for accepting public input on this important issue. I respectfully request that you put the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power, and reject Advice No. 16-13.

Sincerely,

Gregg Lehtonen, gl_65@yahoo.com, Park City, UT.



Docket #16-035 T14 Comment

1 message

steve crofts < stevemcrofts@gmail.com>
To: psc@utah.gov

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 4:51 PM

Dear Commissioners.

I oppose the proposal from Rocky Mountain Power, Advice No. 16-13 filed Nov 9, 2016. Those that have invested thousands of dollars in roof top solar panel systems and those who plan to to invest are at a severe disadvantage when the normal rate process is not used to get input and have understanding with the Utility.

It is good to accept public input on this critical and important issue. It seems that the interest of ratepayers and the Utah public should be on equal footing with Rocky Mountain Power through the normal process of rate review and rate setting. You should reject Advice No. 16-13.

Thank You,

Steve & Evon Crofts, stevemcrofts@gmail.com, North Salt Lake Utah, 84054