



Gary Widerburg <gwiderburg@utah.gov>

Public Service Commission

3 messages

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Mon, Nov 28, 2016 at 8:29 AM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

November 28, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Trela, Patty**Constituent Address:**Patty Trela
2815 Loran Heights Dr
East Millcreek UT 84109**Constituent Email:** patty.trela@hsc.utah.edu**Mobile #:****Home #:****Office #:** (801) 842-6489**Subject:** Public Service Commission

Request (Closed): Subject: Rocky Mtn Power and solar energy Please stop Rocky Mountain Power from increasing fees for those of use who have solar panels. It unfairly punishes us who are trying to save money by using less power. Rocky Mountain Power is a monopoly and is trying to take away our consumer choice. We are also trying to help the environment to make this a better State to live in. Thank you.

Thank you.

Constituent Services.

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Mon, Nov 28, 2016 at 8:30 AM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

November 28, 2016

Cain, Tyler

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Jacobson, Jared**Constituent Address:**Jared Jacobson
972 W Fox Hollow Dr
North Salt Lake UT 84054**Constituent Email:** jared.jacobson@gmail.com

Mobile #:

Home #:

Office #: (801) 294-5453

Subject: Public Service Commission

Request (Closed): Subject: Rocky Mountain Power net metering tariff Governor Herbert, Today, Friday, 26 November 2016, as a current photovoltaic net metering customer, we received a letter from Rocky Mountain Power informing us of the proposed rate change for future net metering customers. It tells us that the proposed change "will be subject to the Utah Public Service Commission's public processes." The letter is dated three days before the end of the public comment period, but arrived four days after the end of the comment period. This is ridiculous, and smacks of gaming the system. It looks like Rocky Mountain Power is trying to prevent public comment on the proposed change by interested individuals. The 488-page proposal was submitted November 9th. The comment period went through November 21st, giving the people of the state of Utah less than two weeks to read their massive report and respond to it. Then they inform interested parties in a way that ensures that their comments will not be received within the allocated comment period. This history shows no attempt at and no interest in giving the people of this state the ability to review their proposal. Given that the company is a monopoly in those areas where the company provides power, and given that we, the people of Utah, will bear the costs of their proposal if it is enacted, the review and comment period should be extended. Thank you, Jared and Kim Jacobson 972 W. Fox Hollow Dr. North Salt Lake, Utah 84054

Thank you.

Constituent Services.

constituentservices@utah.gov <constituentservices@utah.gov>

Mon, Nov 28, 2016 at 2:41 PM

To: gwiderburg@utah.gov

November 28, 2016

Wall, Tiffeni

You have been assigned to reply to the following issue:

Constituent: Romney, Phil

Constituent Address:

Phil Romney
2083 W. Plum Harvest Way
South Jordan UT 84095

Constituent Email: philromney@msn.com

Mobile #:

Home #:

Office #:

Subject: Public Service Commission

Request (Closed): Subject: Rocky Mountain Power Attempt to Increase Rates for Rooftop Solar Customers Following is the text of an email that I sent to the Public Service Commission this afternoon. I'm writing to voice my opposition to Rocky Mountain Power's (RMP) recent filing of Advice No. 16-13 to change the rooftop solar rate structure effective next month. My concerns are several, among which are the following: • The timing and fast track nature of RMP's request are suspect. Important changes such as this should be subject to thorough scrutiny and should allow ample opportunity for public input. Any action that attempts to circumvent the normal rate-making process, as RMP's proposal does, should be soundly rejected by the Commission. • RMP's proposal fails to adequately consider the many benefits of rooftop

solar, among which are: reducing the need to build expensive new generation capacity and transmission infrastructure and reducing the environmental, public health and economic impacts of fossil fuel consumption. • RMP's proposed rate increase will destabilize the burgeoning solar industry in Utah, resulting in the loss of thousands of jobs and economic loss to the state. In order for homeowners to be willing to make the significant investment in rooftop solar, there needs to be some predictability regarding future rates. I speak from personal experience. I have been investigating an investment in rooftop solar for the last three months and was very close to making the decision to invest when I learned of RMP's proposal. Now I'm seriously reconsidering my decision because I have no confidence in what future power rates will be and, thus, what the payback period will be. I can imagine that many others are having similar reservations. If approved, RMP's rate increases will effectively eliminate future investments in rooftop solar and significantly harm Utah's rapidly growing solar industry. I respectfully urge the Commission to reject RMP's current rate change request. Thank you for the opportunity to provide input and for considering my request and similar requests of other interested and concerned citizens. Respectfully, Phil Romney South Jordan, UT philromney@msn.com 425-941-6058

Thank you.

Constituent Services.



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

RMP, Schedule 136 and its related Schedule 5 tariff

1 message

Geoff Crockett <gcrockett@mac.com>

Fri, Nov 25, 2016 at 5:47 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Hello,

I write to ask you to please deny Schedule 136 and its related Schedule 5 tariff.

As you know, Rocky Mountain Power recently filed a request with the Utah Public Service Commission (PSC) to change the rules and rates that apply to residential solar customers. The rule would apply to new systems permitted by a date still to be determined. The new rate structure is so disadvantageous to solar that it is sure to arrest Utah's strong solar growth. This is an unjust proposal on the part of Rocky Mountain Power and will substantially curb solar use and expansion in our already heavily polluted State. Solar power is a large part of the future of renewable energy and should be supported, not discouraged.

The proposal, if approved, will add uncertainty to solar, reduce the financial return of home solar power systems by over half and also reduce motivation to conserve energy. In 2015 Utah had the nation's the 8th largest solar market. We have over 6000 solar homes and 92 solar businesses in the state supporting nearly 3,000 solar jobs. If approved, this proposal will apply the brakes to this engine of clean energy growth and establish a dark precedent for other utilities in Utah and surrounding areas.

Thanks for your time,

Geoffrey M. Crockett, MD, FACEP
652 Pioneer Fork Road
SLC, UT 84108
[801-674-5660](tel:801-674-5660)



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Docket #16-035-T14

1 message

M. Hutch Foster <hutchfoster@gmail.com>

Fri, Nov 25, 2016 at 5:58 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Please extend the comment period on the adjustment of rates for net metering users.

In reviewing the statements from RMP, I don't believe that they have considered any of the generation benefits of rooftop solar at all in their calculations, but merely treat them as lost income. The avoided costs of new generation for peak demand is a major offset that they seem to willfully ignore in their public statements, not to mention the health crisis of air pollution in the Salt Lake Valley.

Factor those in, and rooftop solar should be the law, not an enhanced profit opportunity for our power monopoly

Thanks,

Hutch Foster

Wanship, UT



PublicService Commission <psc@utah.gov>

Do Not Tax Clean Energy

1 message

Natasha Seegert <natashaseegert@gmail.com>

Fri, Nov 25, 2016 at 8:15 PM

To: psc@utah.gov

Hello,

I am extremely concerned about Rocky Mountain Power's proposed rate changes and rules for individuals with solar . My husband and I had planned on installing solar , but these new proposals by Rocky Mountain Power would be an added burden for us given the cost of solar panels.

I am disgusted that given our air quality and environmental degradation that those who do the right thing by installing solar could be penalized. How is that fair and just? It is terrible that a corporation like Rocky Mountain Power has so much political power .

Please, deny Schedule 136 and its related Schedule 5 tariff f.

Sincerely,
Natasha Seegert
Salt Lake City, UT

—

Natasha Seegert

"Once there was only dark. If you ask me, the light's winning." *True Detective*