

### **OCS Data Request 1.27**

#### **Link**

Provide a list of changes to the significant assumptions that were revised between the IRP and this study. Identify the IRP values and the values that were used in the studies in this proceeding.

### **Response to OCS Data Request 1.27**

PacifiCorp updated its environmental policy and market price assumptions (price-policy scenarios) as described in the direct testimony of Company witness, Rick T. Link. The specific price-policy assumptions used for the economic analysis in this docket are included in the work papers provided with Mr. Link's direct testimony. Please refer to Attachment OCS 1.27 -1, which provides the forward price curve (FPC) assumptions used in the 2017 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP).

Beyond these updates to price-policy assumptions, the updated economic analysis reflects updated assumptions for up-front capital costs, run-rate operating costs, and energy output associated with the Combined Projects, as defined in the Company's Application. Please refer to Confidential Attachment OCS 1.27 -2.

While PacifiCorp did not change its assumptions related to reliability benefits, line-loss benefits, and energy imbalance market (EIM) benefits, as described in Mr. Link's direct testimony (lines 552-591), the Company incorporated these assumptions directly into the System Optimizer model (SO Model) and Planning and Risk (PaR) model.

Confidential information is provided subject to Public Service Commission of Utah (UPSC) Rules 746-1-602 and 603.