

OCS Data Request 13.1

Refer to the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Joelle R. Steward, page 15, lines 325 – 328, in which Ms. Steward indicates that the Commission has previously approved resource acquisitions based on their economic benefits to customers and has allowed cost recovery for the Cholla, Craig and Hayden and Chehalis power plants.

- (a) Does the Company agree that the Commission did not establish a resource tracking mechanism for any of these referenced resource acquisitions?
- (b) Please describe how each of the above identified resource acquisitions were recovered from customers (i.e., normal rate case process, deferral mechanism, etc.).
- (c) Please provide a citation to each Commission order approving the above identified resource acquisitions and each Commission order addressing the recovery of the associated costs from customers.

Response to OCS Data Request 13.1

- (a) Yes.
- (b) Please refer to Utah Public Service of Utah (UPSC) order Docket 97-035-01. The Cholla, Craig and Hayden plants were included in the Company's rate case filing. The inclusion of these plants was not contested in this case. The Commission order doesn't specifically mention Cholla, Craig and Hayden plants. These plants have been included in all subsequent rate cases.

Please refer to UPSC order Docket 08-035-35. The significant energy resource decision to acquire the Chehalis plant was approved August 1, 2008. Please refer to UPSC order Docket 08-035-38. The Chehalis plant was included in the Company's rate case filing. The rate case was resolved through a stipulation. Neither the stipulation nor the Commission order approving the stipulation specifically mention the Chehalis plant. The Chehalis plant has been included in all subsequent rate cases.

- (c) See response to part (b)