

Daniel E. Solander Senior Attorney 1407 West North Temple, Suite 320 Salt Lake City, UT 84116 801-220-4014 Office daniel.solander@pacificorp.com

October 6, 2017

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Public Service Commission of Utah Heber M. Wells Building, 4th Floor 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, UT 84114

Attention: Gary Widerburg

Commission Secretary

RE: In the Matter of the Formal Complaint of Brent Hill Against Rocky Mountain Power –

Docket No. 17-035-49

Dear Mr. Widerburg:

Rocky Mountain Power ("Company") hereby submits for filing its Answer and Motion to Dismiss in the above referenced matter.

The Company respectfully requests that all formal correspondence and requests for additional information regarding this filing be addressed to the following:

By E-mail (preferred): datareq@pacificorp.com

bob.lively@pacificorp.com

By regular mail: Data Request Response Center

PacifiCorp

825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000

Portland, OR 97232

Informal inquiries may be directed to Bob Lively at (801) 220-4052.

Sincerely,

Daniel E. Solander Senior Attorney

Enclosures

cc: Service List (w/ enclosures)

Daniel E. Solander (11467) Rocky Mountain Power

1407 West North Temple, Suite 320

Salt Lake City, Utah 84116 Telephone: (801) 220-4014

Fax: (801) 220-3299

daniel.solander@pacificorp.com

Attorney for Rocky Mountain Power

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of Brent Hill,

•

Complainant, : Docket No. 17-035-49

:

VS.

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER'S

Rocky Mountain Power, : ANSWER AND

MOTION TO DISMISS

Respondent.

Rocky Mountain Power, a division of PacifiCorp (the "Company"), pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 63G-4-204(1) and Utah Admin. Code R746-1-203, R746-1-206, and R746-1-301, provides its Answer to the formal complaint filed by Brent Hill ("Complaint"). In addition, the Company moves that the Complaint be dismissed in its entirety, with prejudice, because Rocky Mountain Power has not violated any provision of law, Commission order or rule, or Company tariff, and further, Mr. Hill has not demonstrated, pursuant to Utah Admin. Code R746-1-201(2), that the Division of Public Utilities (the

1

"Division") has reviewed the complaint and determined that Commission action is warranted.

I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS

Communications regarding this Docket should be addressed to:

By e-mail (preferred): datarequest@pacificorp.com

bob.lively@pacificorp.com daniel.solander@pacificorp.com

By mail: Data Request Response Center

Rocky Mountain Power

825 NE Multnomah St., Suite 800

Portland, OR 97232

Robert C. Lively

Rocky Mountain Power 1407 West North Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84116 Telephone: (801) 220-4052

Daniel Solander

Rocky Mountain Power 1407 West North Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84116 Telephone: (801) 220-4014

II. BACKGROUND

- Mr. Hill is requesting electric service to a vacant lot located at or near 300
 Highland Drive in Riverdale, Utah ("vacant lot").
- 2. Mr. Hill contacted Rocky Mountain Power on January 26, 2011, to request electric service for his vacant lot as he would be building a single residence. On January 28, 2011, Mr. Curtis Galvez (Estimator, Rocky Mountain Power) visited the vacant lot with Mr. Hill to provide options in getting electric service for the home he intended to build.

- 3. The surrounding neighborhood to this vacant lot was developed and had electric service installed for the homes in the 1950's. For Mr. Hill's lot, no electric equipment has been installed on his property and Rocky Mountain Power was unable to locate a request to install any electrical infrastructure for this particular vacant lot.
- 4. Mr. Hill's request is a standard line extension request. In response to the 2011 request for service, Mr. Hill was provided a ballpark estimate and advised he would need to secure a right of way from an adjacent property in order to install electricity for his lot. At that time, Mr. Hill expressed his displeasure with the costs associated with his line extension request, and did not pursue the request further.
- 5. On April 7, 2016, Mr. Hill again contacted Rocky Mountain Power to install electric service for his vacant lot. On April 12, 2016, Mr. Curtis Galvez (Estimator, Rocky Mountain Power) and Mr. Karl Sewell (Distribution Manager, Rocky Mountain Power) met Mr. Hill at the vacant lot to review options in bringing electric service to this lot. Mr. Hill's request was again treated as a standard line extension request. Mr. Hill advised the original developer paid Rocky Mountain Power back in the 1950's to bring electric service to his vacant lot, and he should be able to obtain electric service at no cost to him. The company has not been able to locate any requests prior to Mr. Hill to bring power to this vacant lot. Mr. Hill advised he would be filing a complaint.
- 6. On April 19, 2016, Mr. Hill escalated his concerns to the Division of Public Utilities. In his complaint, Mr. Hill requested the Company supply power to his lot per the previous agreement with the builder in the 1950's, and for the Company to obtain the easements.

- 7. On April 22, 2016, the Company responded to Mr. Hill and the Utah Division of Public Utilities reaffirming the existing subdivision was developed roughly 60 years ago and that the Company provided electric service to the lots for which electric service was requested. Because of the amount of time that has passed since the initial development, the Company was unable to verify with any certainty why the existing infrastructure was placed in its current location; however, the developer would have agreed to the location of where the electrical infrastructure was placed. The Company has not received any complaints nor requests in over sixty years regarding the configuration of the infrastructure. Furthermore, Rocky Mountain Power has prescriptive rights for the current location of the electric infrastructure.
- 8. Mr. Hill was also provided Rocky Mountain Power's Regulation No. 12 section 1 (1) which states:

Routes, Easements and Rights-of-Way -- The Company will select the route of an Extension in cooperation with the Applicant. The Applicant will acquire and pay all costs of obtaining complete unencumbered rights-of-way, easements, or licenses to use land, and will pay all costs for any preparation or clearing of land the Company may require. Any required easements will be prepared on Company-provided forms. If requested by the Applicant, the Company will assist in obtaining rights-of-way, easements or licenses as described above at the Applicant's expense.

- 9. On April 22, 2016, Mr. Hill requested to escalate his concerns to a formal complaint. On April 25, 2016, the Division of Public Utilities provided Mr. Hill with the instructions and documents needed to file his complaint. Mr. Hill did not take further action at that time.
- 10. On August 24, 2017, Mr. Hill again contacted the Division of Public Utilities requesting to file a complaint. The Division of Public Utilities advised since his

complaint is for the same issue as before, he would need to file a formal complaint. Mr. Hill advised he would be interested in having mediation with Rocky Mountain Power.

- 11. On August 25, 2017, Rocky Mountain Power responded to the Division of Public Utilities that we would be willing to join Mr. Hill for mediation.
- 12. On August 28, 2017, the Division of Public Utilities reviewed the information and determined Mr. Hills' complaint was non-jurisdictional, and closed his informal complaint.
- 13. On September 5, 2017, Mr. Hill escalated his concerns and the formal complaint was docketed.

III. MOTION TO DISMISS

- 14. The Company moves under Utah Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 12(b)(6) for an Order dismissing the Complaint. In support of this motion, the Company states Mr. Hill fails to establish the Company violated Commission rules, Company tariffs or that its actions are unjust. Mr. Hill has also failed to demonstrate, pursuant to Utah Admin. Code R746-1-201(2), that the Division has reviewed the complaint and determined that Commission action is warranted.
- 15. The Complainant requests: "That the poles be placed on the easement that services the property at their own expense." The power poles have been in place for over 60 years. The Commission, in previous orders, has recognized its limited scope of jurisdiction. The property issues raised by Mr. Hill are beyond the scope of the Commission's jurisdiction and would be properly raised in a district court.
- 16. The complaint does not state that the Division has determined that Commission action is warranted. In the Informal Complaint Report, attached as Exhibit A

to Mr. Hill's Formal Complaint, the Division specifically states "It sounds like a property dispute, not whether RMP is following its tariff. I do not see it as a regulated issue, or one that we would mediate."

IV. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, having fully answered Complainant's complaint and finding no violation of law, Commission rules, or Company tariffs to base an award of the relief requested, the Company prays for the dismissal of the Complaint with prejudice.

Dated this 6th day of October, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel E. Solander

Attorney for Rocky Mountain Power

Exhibit A

Index Number:	5965	Company Name:	Rocky Mountain Power	
CUSTOMER INFORMATION				

CUSTOWIER INFORMATION

Customer Name: Hill, Brent Account Number: n/a

Other Contact Info: Phone Number: (801) 721-2474

Customer Address: 235 Quail Flight Other Phone:

Customer Address: Email Address: bearlhill@yahoo.com

City: Farmington State: UT Zip Code: 84025

COMPLAINT INFORMATION

Type of Call: Complaint Type: Line Extension

Date Received: 4 /19/2016 **Date Resolved:** 4 /22/2016

Complaint Received By: Stefanie Liebert DPU Analyst Assigned: 0

Utility Company Analyst:

Company at Fault: Actual Slamming Case: Actual Cramming Case:

Complaint Description:

This complaint was received via e-mail and has been sent exactly as received.

DPU ONLINE COMPLAINT UTILITY CUSTOMER FROM: Brent Earl Hill

CONTACT: Brent Hill

PHONE: 8017212474

OTHER PHONE: 8017212474

EMAIL: bearlhill@yahoo.com

SERVICE ADDRESS:

 $300~\mathrm{W}$ Highland Dr Riverdale, UT 84401

MAILING ADDRESS:

235 Quail Flight Farmington, Ut 84025

INCIDENT DETAILS

UTILITY: Rocky Mountain Power

ACCOUNT NUMBER: n/a

COMPLAINT TYPE: Initial Service

COMPLAINT:

Lot 15 Highland view subdivision. Riverdale, Utah, the southwest corner lot of 300 West and Highland Drive, Tax ID#06-026-0002 The approved building lot had easements in place on the original plat before any houses were built (1950's). The Power Company had placed poles outside the designated easements, even though the easements were recorded and in place. RMP now wants to charge us to create new utility easements, so that the

Tuesday, September 05, 2017 Page 1 of 6

power lines are in an easement. The original developer paid for power delivery to each lot. So each could have power. That both shows 1. The power company knew what they were doing and 2. They were paid in advance and responsible to bring power to each lot. There is an easement that will supply access to our property but the Now R.M. Power employees say it would be impractical and too expensive to bring power on that easement that they originally agreed to use in the 1950's. Instead RMP wants to charge us with the expense and burden with obtaining new easements and or change the easements to areas that must be made to be in new legal easements; to accommodate the old power poles that were placed outside those existing recorded, and officially accepted easements. Funny that now the poles must be in an easement area and the burden is ours that this be done; when before the easements didn't seem to matter. Utah power placed these poles as best suited their needs. Because they placed the poles outside the easements, and did not plan that each lot be accommodated electric service as they originally agreed with the developer. And now they ask the homeowner to buy and facilitate something they should have done original years ago. They opted to save money, and to hold the funds they took years ago to supply power. Now it is time for them to rectify the problem they caused, supply power to the lot, obtain there own easements (If needed). Or do whatever they need to do to provide power to this approved building lot. When meeting with their 2 engineer /employees last week Last week I and my Brother in Law Don Higgs of Rocky Mountain Power at the property. They were there early when I arrived and showed me 4 possible ways to bring power to the lot. (I believe there may even be more ways.) They also said only 2 of the ways were viable. We walked all around the lot and adjoining neighborhood and inspected most of the nearby power poles visually. At the conclusion they said: We would need to obtain a property ownership Easement from the neighbors. This easement would need to be obtained before they will complete a detailed cost analysis to bring power to approved building lot. a.I asked what the expenses would be and they could not give any details however a year ½ earlier when I met with them the cost was \$15,000.00 to \$30,000.00 not including the cost of obtaining the easements. b. Additionally I asked how we could know which ways to pursue getting an easement when they cannot give any idea of costs for putting in power poles, augmenting existing power lines, or going underground for 100's of feet until we get to the existing easement. One way could be thousands of dollars cheaper. Without knowledge of the various expenses how can we choose which avenue to bring power is least expensive? 1.I asked if Rocky mountain power keeps their Power poles and buried lines within the easements now. They said the most definitely do. I asked why when Highland view subdivision was built they required the developer to put in easement corridors and have this all approved by the authorities before any homes were built and then when the power company came they did not place the poles inside the proper easements? That these easements were agreed on before any service was rendered and even a fee was paid to Utah power for each lot for access to electric power but no provision or expense was made to provide that power. The utilities services approve and agree to the recorded at the county plat and the placement of the easements in . Again I ask for Rocky Mountain Power to rectify the problem they caused, supply power to the lot, to obtain their own easements (If needed). Or do whatever they need to do to provide power to this approved building lot. Or I guess they can pay me a whole bunch of money to rectify their problem.

SUGGESTED RESOLUTION: #Again I ask for Rocky Mountain Power to rectify the problem they caused, supply power to the lot, to obtain their own easements (If needed). Or do whatever they need to do to provide power to this approved building lot. Or I guess they can pay me a whole bunch of money to rectify their problem. Power should be brought to the property line as was agreed.

Complaint Response:

From: Braithwaite, Autumn < Autumn. Braithwaite@pacificorp.com>

Date: Fri, Apr 22, 2016 at 2:27 PM

Subject: Rocky Mountain Power - Mr. Brent Hill To: "bearlhill@yahoo.com" <bearlhill@yahoo.com>

Cc: "Stefanie Liebert (sliebert@utah.gov)" <sliebert@utah.gov>

Good afternoon Mr. Hill,

The Utah Division of Public Utilities notified us of the concerns you filed with their office and asked that we investigate and respond. I hope you will find the below information beneficial.

To provide a little background, Highland view subdivision was developed roughly 60 years ago and Rocky Mountain Power provided electric service to the lots in which service was requested. Typically a developer will request power to all of the lots so power is already installed to each lot when the lots are sold. Due to the amount of time that has passed, (over 60 years), we recognize how things can change and what a developer does today is not necessarily the way a developer would have done it back in the 1940's or 1950's. What we do know is that power was brought to the lots in which service was requested, and where the equipment was installed was where it would have been agreed upon to be installed at that time.

I understand from your email that you mention the approved building lot had easements in place on the original plat and that the Company had placed the power poles outside the designated easements. Our response is that our facilities were installed where the Company and developer had agreed to install

I understand you have spoken with Mr. Karl Sewell, Manager, Rocky Mountain Power and discussed some options in order to bring electric service to your lot. I have attached a copy of Rocky Mountain Power's Electric Service Regulation No. 12 which provides information on costs for the installation or extension of power to a property that does not already have electric service. In terms of easements, please refer to section 1 (1) which states:

Routes, Easements and Rights-of-Way -- The Company will select the route of an Extension in cooperation with the Applicant. The Applicant will acquire and pay all costs of obtaining complete unencumbered rights-of-way, easements, or licenses to use land, and will pay all costs for any preparation or clearing of land the Company may require. Any required easements will be prepared on Company-provided forms. If requested by the Applicant, the Company will assist in obtaining rights-of-way, easements or licenses as described above at the Applicant's expense. Please let me know if you have any additional questions.

Thank you. Autumn Braithwaite Regulatory Analyst

(801) 955-2434

Cc: Stefanie Liebert - Utah Division of Public Utilities

Additional Information:

I thanked Autumn and closed the complaint.
S Liebert

From: Brent E. Hill <bearlhill@yahoo.com>

Date: Fri, Apr 22, 2016 at 4:46 PM

Subject: Re: Rocky Mountain Power - Mr. Brent Hill

To: "Braithwaite, Autumn" <Autumn.Braithwaite@pacificorp.com> Cc: "Stefanie Liebert (sliebert@utah.gov)" <sliebert@utah.gov>

This is not acceptable. You left out the fact that now all lines now be inside an acceptable easement or R.O.W. but you had no obligation even though on existed at the time.

Also you made no comment to the fact that the developer paid Utah Power a fee for each lot to receive power in an agreement that was publicly recorded.

You quoted Reg 12 1 (L) that supports your your position; their are other codes, laws, social mores and rights that support our position. It is not right that you can put your poles wherever you want in disregard to the existing easements and arrangements and then ask the customer to pay for your past mistakes.

This answer and response is un-acceptable. I want to escalate this to a formal complaint.

Brent Earl Hill (801)721-2474(cell) (801)447-9103(fax) bearlhill@yahoo.com

.....

04/25/2016 I sent Mr. Hill the instructions and documents needed to file a formal complaint.

S Liebert

05/16/2016 Mr. Hill called our office and requested for the formal complaint forms and instructions. Sent to Mr. Hill via e-mail to mylenderllc@gmail.com

S Liebert

DPU ONLINE COMPLAINT UTILITY CUSTOMER FROM: Brent Hill

CONTACT: Brent Hill

PHONE: 8017212474

OTHER PHONE: 8017212474

EMAIL: mylenderllc@gmail.com

SERVICE ADDRESS:

300 West Riverdale, UT 84405

MAILING ADDRESS:

235 W 1100N Farmington, UT 84025

INCIDENT DETAILS

UTILITY: Rocky Mountain Power

ACCOUNT NUMBER: N/A
COMPLAINT TYPE: Other

COMPLAINT:

Complaint: for lot 15 Highland View Subdivision. Tax ID 06-026-0002. Located at the South West corner of 300 West and Highland Drive Riverdale Utah. Last year I filed an informal complaint to which Rocky Mountain Power (RPM) felt no obligation to work with me, or make any concessions. Again I make the same complaint Summary: The property is an improved building lot in Riverdale Utah, When the land was first subdivided a fee was paid by the developer to Utah Power (UP) to bring electricity to each building lot. Power is supplied by power poles in the rear of the building lots. These poles were placed by UP years ago. The subdivision (Highland View) got approval from and paid a fee to UP to receive electric service. At the conclusion they said: 1.At my own expense and difficulty I would need to obtain Easement(s) from the adjacent neighbors. 2.This easement would needs to be obtained before a complete a detailed cost analysis to bring power to approved building lot can be completed. a.The expenses to bring the property electricity is between \$15,000.00 to \$30,000.00+, not including the cost of obtaining the easements, According to the RMP representative who visited the property in April 2016. b.RMP suggested 2 ways to possibly bring power. i.New Power Poles that stay in line with the existing poles or ii.Bring the power down a pole and over to the easement area and then underground to the property and to the front of the property. 1.It is difficult to know which way to pursue o obtain the easement when a cost analysis is not available to compare both ideas. 2. Putting in power poles, seems easier, When going underground for several hundred feet until we get to the existing easement may be more permanent. One way could be thousands of dollars cheaper, but may be less desirable. Without knowledge of the various expenses how can we choose which avenue to bring power is best? 3.RPM usually keeps their Power poles and buried lines within the easements area. However in Highland View subdivision was built even though the easements were all recorded before power was brought to the properties UP place the pole to their own convenience. 4. These poles were placed by UP and service was given to the property owners, and the same poles are now being used by RMP but they were not installed on the Easements that were agreed to. 5. That these easements were in place and were agreed to by UP before any service was rendered. 6.A fee was paid to Utah power for each lot for access to electric power but no provision or expense was made to provide that power. 7. The Utilities services were installed and service both given and accepted by the consumers without regard to the fact they were not placed inside the agreed easements. (Most likely they were kept in a straight line to keep costs and expenses to UP at a minimum.) 8.RMP placed the power poles as they wished. The RMP employees had no reasoning why the poles were placed where they are, but did mention that since they are now place for over 20 years a "prescriptive easement" comes with their placement(S). And that 50 years ago things were done very differently. 9.Since 50 years ago the easements were clearly established bfore the poles were installed, but UP placed the poles somewhere to their own advantage and for all these years UP and PMP have collected fees have from the use and power carried by these poles; why should 1 person need to pay for the mistakes made all those years ago? The Power companies have benefitted for years by not placing their poles in the right place. The poles and power should be brought to the place they originally agreed; That is the poles should be brought to the easement area at their Power companies expense and difficulty as was originally agreed. 10.If it matters to RMP that the power be brought inside the easement area now it should have mattered to them when they accepted the building permit 50 years ago. At minimum they should have made allowance(s) to keep themselves in compliance with the building plats they previously approved. And now that that they care that the poles they installed years ago be placed in the easement area it should be their expense to accomplish this. 11. Since the original developer paid for power delivery to each lot, and the plat was agreed to in advance UP intentionally made this decision to not care if the poles were in easement area. That both shows 1. The power company knew what they were doing and 2. They were paid in advance and responsible to bring power to each lot. Conclusion: Funny, now the poles, or buried lines must be in an easement area and the burden is ours that this be done; when before the easements didn't seem to matter. Utah power placed these poles as best suited their needs. Because they placed the poles outside the easements, and did not plan that each lot be accommodated electric service as they originally agreed with the developer. And now they ask the homeowner to buy and facilitate something they should have done original years ago. They opted to save money, and to hold the funds they took years ago to supply power. Now it is time for them to rectify the problem they caused, supply power to the lot, obtain there own easements (If needed). Or do whatever they need to do to provide power to this approved building lot. RMP needs to help or facilitate the following: I ask for Rocky Mountain Power to rectify the problem they caused, supply power to the lot, to obtain their own easements (If needed). Or do whatever they need to do to provide power to this approved building lot. Or I guess they can offer to pay me to rectify their problem. I have spoken with and I am on good terms with the neighbors, and am willing to help obtain the permissions required. Thank You 8/24/2017 digitally signed and uploaded to www.publicutilities.utilities.util.gov Brent E Hill 801-721-2474 235 W 1100 N Farmington, Utah 84025 Stephanie at Division of public utilities 801-530-6285 said I must file informal complaint and wait 5 business days for an answer, then choose a non binding mediation, or file a formal "complaint". www.publicutilities.utilities.utah.gov for informal complaint.

SUGGESTED RESOLUTION: RMP should agree to bring power to this approved building lot.

08/25/2017 I spoke with Mr. Hill and advised that because we already have a informal complaint in our office for the same issue he would need to file a formal complaint. Mr. Hill advised that he would be interested in having a mediation with Rocky Mountain Power. I called Rocky Mountain Power and spoke with Autumn, she advised that she would get back to our office as to whether they are willing to entertain a mediation. I e-mailed Mr. Hill the formal complaint forms.

From: Braithwaite, Autumn < Autumn. Braithwaite@pacificorp.com>

Date: Fri, Aug 25, 2017 at 3:09 PM

Subject: Mr. Brent Hill

To: "Stefanie Liebert (sliebert@utah.gov)" <sliebert@utah.gov>

Tuesday, September 05, 2017

Hi Stefanie,

Rocky Mountain Power is willing to join Mr. Hill for mediation.

Would Tuesday, August 29th at 10:00 AM work?

We were also wondering if it would be beneficial for the mediation to take place at the lot in question? If not, we can certainly meet at the Heber M. Wells building.

Thank you,

Autumn Braithwaite Regulatory Analyst (801) 955-2434

From: Stefanie Liebert <sliebert@utah.gov> Date: Fri, Aug 25, 2017 at 3:38 PM Subject: Fwd: Mr. Brent Hill

To: "Braithwaite, Autumn" < Autumn.Braithwaite@pacificorp.com>

Cc: Erika Tedder <etedder@utah.gov>

Hi Autumn,

Thank you for getting back to me so quickly. Erika is our mediator and is out of the office today. I am not sure if this will fit into her schedule. Let me check with her on Monday and get back to you then.

Thanks again!

Stefanie Liebert Office Specialist Division of Public Utilities (801)-530-6285

Business hours are 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Monday-Friday

From: Erika Tedder [mailto:etedder@utah.gov] Sent: Monday, August 28, 2017 3:31 PM

To: Schmid, Patricia <pschmid@utah.gov>; Jetter, Justin <jjetter@utah.gov>

Cc: Stefanie Liebert <sliebert@utah.gov> Subject: Fwd: Fwd: Mr. Brent Hill

Dear Trisha & Justin,

This complainant is requesting a mediation regarding this issue. I am happy to and have agreed to sit in and help facilitate/mediate their discussion, but it does not sound appear to be regulated issue.

What are your thoughts?

Erika Tedder | Paralegal

160 East 300 South, 4th Floor | Salt Lake City, UT | 84114-6751

Office: 801.530.6653 | Fax: 801.530.6512

From: Patricia Schmid <pschmid@agutah.gov>

Date: Mon, Aug 28, 2017 at 3:43 PM Subject: RE: Fwd: Mr. Brent Hill

To: Erika Tedder <etedder@utah.gov>, "Schmid, Patricia" <pschmid@utah.gov>, "Jetter, Justin" <jjetter@utah.gov>

Cc: Stefanie Liebert <sliebert@utah.gov>

It sounds like a property dispute, not whether RMP is following its tariff. I do not see it as a regulated issue, or one that we would mediate.

08/28/2017

I called the customer to advise that this would not be a complaint that the Division would be able to mediate. I gave the customer phone numbers to

I called the customer to advise that this would not be a complaint that the Division would be able to mediate. I gave the customer phone numbers to Ombudsman, County, and City.

S Liebert

Tuesday, September 05, 2017 Page 6 of 6

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Docket No. 17-035-49

I hereby certify that on October 6, 2017, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail to the following:

Brent E. Hill bearlhill@yahoo.com

Utah Office of Consumer Services

Cheryl Murray cmurray@utah.gov
Michele Beck mbeck@utah.gov

Division of Public Utilities

Erika Tedder <u>etedder@utah.gov</u>

Assistant Attorney General

Patricia Schmid pschmid@agutah.gov
Justin Jetter jjetter@agutah.gov
Robert Moore rmoore@agutah.gov

Steven Snarr <u>stevensnarr@agutah.gov</u>

Katie Savarin

Coordinator, Regulatory Operations