In the Matter Of:

In Re: RMP - ESA Extension with US Magnesium LLC

HEARING DOCKET NO. 17-035-56

November 07, 2017

Job Number: 429376

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

Date: November 7, 2017

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Location: PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Heber M. Wells Building, Room 403

160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah

Hearing Officer: Michael J. Hammer

Reporter: Melinda J. Andersen Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public

1	APPEA	Page 2		
2	For Rocky Mountain Power:	Daniel E. Solander		
3		Rocky Mountain Power 140 West North Temple		
4		Suite 320 Salt Lake City, Ut 84116		
5	For the Division of Public			
6	Utilities:	160 East 300 South Fifth Floor		
7	1 occi c c	Salt Lake City, UT 84111		
8	For the Office of Consumer Service:	160 East 300 South Second Floor		
9		Salt Lake City, UT 84111		
10	Also present:	Fred Nass		
11	-c	000-		
12	I N	DEX		
13	Witness	Page		
14	KYLE MOORE Direct Examination by Mr.	Solander 4		
15 16	CHARLES PETERSON Direct Examination by Mr.	Jetter 5		
17	CHERYL MURRAY			
18	Direct Examination by Mr.	Moore 7		
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	Page 3 November 7, 2017
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	HEARING OFFICER: Good morning everyone. This
4	is the time and place and notice for hearing in the matter
5	of the application of Rocky Mountain Power for approval of
6	electric service agreement extension between PacifiCorp and
7	US Magnesium LLC. This is Commission Docket No. 17-035-56.
8	My name is Michael Hammer. I am the Commission's
9	designated presiding officer for this docket. Let's go
10	ahead and take appearances please.
11	MR. SOLANDER: Good morning. Daniel Solander
12	appearing on behalf of Rocky Mountain Power. I have with
13	me at counsel table our witness Kyle Moore, who is a power
14	marketer originator for Rocky Mountain Power.
15	MR. JETTER: I am Justin Jetter here today with
16	Utah Attorney General's office representing the Utah
17	Division of Public Utilities. With me at counsel table is
18	Charles Peterson with the Division of Public Utilities.
19	MR. MOORE: I am Robert Moore with the Attorney
20	General's office representing the Office of Consumer
21	Services. With me is Cheryl Murray.
22	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Is there any
23	preliminary matter that we need to address before we begin?
24	Okay. I think the testimony will probably be pretty brief
25	today in light of the stipulation, so I'm happy for the

Page 4 witnesses to stay seated unless we anticipate 2. cross-examination. 3 MR. JETTER: I don't think so. 4 MR. MOORE: No. HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Solander, please go ahead 5 and call your first witness. 6 7 MR. SOLANDER: Thank you. I would ask that Kyle Moore be sworn as our witness on behalf of Rocky 9 Mountain Power. 10 KYLE MOORE, 11 called as a witness, having been first 12 duly sworn, was examined and testified as 13 follows: 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SOLANDER: 16 Would you please state your name and your Q. current position with Rocky Mountain Power for the record? 17 Yes. My name is Kyle Moore. I am a power 18 Α. 19 marketer originator. 20 As a power marketer originator are you Q. 21 responsible for negotiating the electric service agreement 22 with US Magnesium? 23 Α. Yes. Do you believe that Rocky Mountain Power will 24 0. be able to reach an agreement with US Magnesium on a new 25

Page 5 electric service agreement and file it with the Commission for approval prior to January 1, 2018? 3 Α. Yes. And do you believe and recommend approval of these stipulations because the settlement will be a just 5 and reasonable result? 6 7 Α. Yes. MR. SOLANDER: I have no further questions for 9 Mr. Moore. 10 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Mr. Jetter? 11 MR. JETER: I have no questions. 12 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore? 13 MR. MOORE: No questions. 14 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Solander, no other 15 witnesses? MR. SOLANDER: No other witnesses. 16 17 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Jetter? MR. JETTER: Thank you. The Division would 18 like to call and have sworn in Mr. Charles Peterson. 19 2.0 CHARLES PETERSON, 21 called as a witness, having been first 22 duly sworn, was examined and testified as 23 follows: 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION 25 BY MR. JETTER:

Page 6 1 Mr. Peterson, would you please state your name 0. 2 and occupation for the record? 3 Α. Yes. Charles V. Peterson. I am a technical consultant with the Division of Public Utilities. Thank you. In the course of your employment 5 with the Division have you had the opportunity to review 6 the application and the settlement stipulation filed in 7 this docket? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Do you believe that approval of the settlement 0. stipulation as filed will be just and reasonable and in the 11 12 public interest? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. Thank you. 15 MR. JETTER: I have no further questions. 16 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Mr. Solander? 17 MR. SOLANDER: No questions. 18 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore? 19 MR. MOORE: No questions. 2.0 HEARING OFFICER: No other witnesses, 21 Mr. Jetter? 2.2 MR. JETTER: No other witnesses. 23 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore? 24 MR. MOORE: The Office would call Cheryl 25 Murray.

1	Page 7 CHERYL MURRAY,
2	called as a witness, having been first
3	duly sworn, was examined and testified as
4	follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. MOORE:
7	Q. What is your name, occupation, and on whose
8	behalf are you testifying to?
9	A. My name is Cheryl Murray. I am a utilities
10	analyst for the Office of Consumer Services.
11	Q. Are you familiar with Docket 17-035-56 and the
12	issues presented in that docket?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Are you aware that the parties have reached a
15	stipulation in this docket?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Have you read and are you familiar with the
18	stipulation?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Do you believe the stipulation is just and
21	reasonable and will result in the public interest?
22	A. Yes, I do.
23	Q. Are you prepared to offer testimony in support
24	of the stipulation?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q.	Page 8 Please proceed.
2	Α.	The Office supports the company's request for a
3	four month	extension of the current US Magnesium ESA with
4	the require	ment that a new ESA be filed prior to January 1,
5	2018 as set	forth in the stipulation. This deadline was
6	established	to allow time for regulatory review and
7	analysis on	that new ESA. Therefore, the Office recommends
8	that the Co	mmission accept and approve the stipulation and
9	settlement	agreement.
10	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
11	Α.	Yes, it does.
12		HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Anything else,
13	Mr. Moore?	
14		MR. MOORE: No.
15		HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Solander?
16		MR. SOLANDER: Nothing further.
17		HEARING OFFICER: MR. Jetter?
18		MR. JETTER: Nothing further.
19		HEARING OFFICER: Any other witnesses,
20	Mr. Moore?	
21		MR. MOORE: No other witnesses.
22		HEARING OFFICER: Does any party have anything
23	else?	
24		MR. SOLANDER: We would request an order in
25	time to all	ow us to make further arrangements if for some

1	Page 9 reason the Commission does not approve the extension order.
2	HEARING OFFICER: Of course. We will expedite
3	it.
4	MR. SOLANDER: Thank you.
5	(The hearing concluded at 9:10 a.m.)
6	(IIIe lieulling concluded de 7 le d.m.,
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 10

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF UTAH)

COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)

I, Melinda J. Andersen, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the County of Salt Lake and State of Utah, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth, and were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewritten under my direction and supervision:

That the foregoing 10 pages contain a true and correct transcription of my shorthand notes so taken.

WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at Salt Lake City, Utah this 8th day of November, 2017.

My Commission Expires: February 10, 2018

Melinda J. Andersen, C.S.R

Index: 1..Daniel

1	7	Also 2:10	В	3:7 5:1 8:8 9:1
1 5:2 8:4	7 2:17 3:1	analysis 8:7	before 3:23	Commission'
140 2:3	8	analyst 7:10	begin 3:23	s 3:8 company's
160 2:5,8	84111 2:6,9	anticipate 4:1	behalf 3:12 4:8 7:8	8:2
17-035-56 3:7 7:11	84116 2:4	anything 8:12,22	believe 4:24	conclude 8:10
2	9	appearances 3:10	5:4 6:10 7:20 between 3:6	concluded 9:5
2017 3:1	9:10 9:5	appearing 3:12	brief 3:24	consultant 6:4
2018 5:2 8:5	A	application 3:5 6:7	c	Consumer 2:7 3:20 7:10
3	a.m. 9:5	approval 3:5 5:2,4 6:10	call 4:6 5:19 6:24	counsel 3:13,
300 2:5,8	able 4:25			17
320 2:3	accept 8:8	approve 8:8 9:1	called 4:11 5:21 7:2	course 6:5 9:2
4	address 3:23	arrangements 8:25	Charles 2:15 3:18 5:19,20 6:3	cross- examination
4 2:14	agreement 3:6 4:21,25 5:1 8:9	Attorney 3:16,19	Cheryl 2:17	4:2 current 4:17
5	ahead 3:10	aware 7:14	3:21 6:24 7:1, 9	8:3
	4:5		City 2:4,6,9	D
5 2:16	allow 8:6,25		Commission	Daniel 2:2

Index: deadline..Murray

r				
3:11	everyone 3:3	Fred 2:10	7:21	LLC 3:7
deadline 8:5	8:5 Examination further 5:8 issue 2:14,16,17 6:15 8:16,18,		issues 7:12	M
designated 3:9	4:14 5:24 7:5	25 	J	Magnesium
Direct 2:14, 16,17 4:14	examined 4:12 5:22 7:3	G	January 5:2 8:4	3:7 4:22,25 8:3
5:24 7:5	expedite 9:2	General's 3:16,20	JETER 5:11	make 8:25
Division 2:5 3:17,18 5:18 6:4,6	extension 3:6 8:3 9:1	Good 3:3,11	Jetter 2:5,16 3:15 4:3 5:10,	marketer 3:14 4:19,20
docket 3:7,9	F	Н	17,18,25 6:15,21,22	matter 3:4,23
6:8 7:11,12, 15	familiar 7:11, 17	Hammer 3:8	8:17,18 Justin 2:5	Michael 3:8
duly 4:12 5:22 7:3	Fifth 2:6	happy 3:25	3:15	month 8:3
E	file 5:1	having 4:11 5:21 7:2	K	Moore 2:7,14, 17 3:13,19 4:4,8,10,18 5:9,12,13
East 2:5,8	filed 6:7,11 8:4	hearing 3:3,4, 22 4:5 5:10, 12,14,17	Kyle 2:14 3:13 4:8,10, 18	6:18,19,23,24 7:6 8:13,14, 20,21
electric 3:6 4:21 5:1	first 4:6,11 5:21 7:2	6:16,18,20,23 8:12,15,17, 19,22 9:2,5	L	morning 3:3,
employment 6:5	Floor 2:6,8	here 3:15	Lake 2:4,6,9	Mountain 2:2 3:5,12,14 4:9,
ESA 8:3,4,7	follows 4:13 5:23 7:4	1	light 3:25	17,24
established 8:6	four 8:3	interest 6:12	like 5:19	Murray 2:17 3:21 6:25 7:1,

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: name..Solander

9	office 2:7 3:16,20 6:24	power 2:2 3:5,12,13,14	R	4:21
N	7:10 8:2,7	4:9,17,18,20, 24	reach 4:25	result 5:6 7:21
name 3:8 4:16,18 6:1 7:7,9	officer 3:3,9, 22 4:5 5:10, 12,14,17 6:16,18,20,23	preliminary 3:23	reached 7:14	review 6:6 8:6
Nass 2:10	8:12,15,17, 19,22 9:2	prepared 7:23	read 7:17	Robert 2:7 3:19
need 3:23	opportunity 6:6	present 2:10	reason 9:1 reasonable 5:6 6:11 7:21	Rocky 2:2 3:5,12,14 4:8, 17,24
negotiating 4:21	order 8:24 9:1	presented 7:12	recommend 5:4	s
new 4:25 8:4, 7	originator 3:14 4:19,20	presiding 3:9	recommends	Salt 2:4,6,9
North 2:3	Р	pretty 3:24	8:7	seated 4:1
Nothing 8:16,	Pacificorp 3:6	prior 5:2 8:4 probably 3:24	record 4:17 6:2	Second 2:8
notice 3:4	parties 7:14	proceed 8:1	regulatory 8:6	service 2:8 3:6 4:21 5:1
November 3:1	party 8:22	public 2:5 3:17,18 6:4, 12 7:21	representing 3:16,20	Services 3:21 7:10
O	Peterson 2:15 3:18 5:19,20 6:1,3	Q Q	request 8:2, 24	set 8:5
occupation 6:2 7:7	place 3:4	questions	requirement 8:4	settlement 5:5 6:7,10 8:9
offer 7:23	position 4:17	5:8,11,13 6:15,17,19	responsible	Solander 2:2, 14 3:11 4:5,7,

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

Index: South..witnesses

15 5:8,14,16 6:16,17 8:15, 16,24 9:4	Temple 2:3	will 3:24 4:24 5:5 6:11 7:21 9:2		
South 2:5,8	5:22 7:3 testifying 7:8	witness 2:13 3:13 4:6,8,11		
state 4:16 6:1	tostilying 7.0	5:21 7:2		
stay 4:1	testimony 3:24 7:23 8:10	witnesses 4:1 5:15,16 6:20, 22 8:19,21		
stipulation 3:25 6:7,11 7:15,18,20,24	Therefore 8:7			
8:5,8 stipulations	time 3:4 8:6, 25			
5:5	today 3:15,25			
Suite 2:3	U			
support 7:23				
supports 8:2	unless 4:1			
sworn 4:8,12 5:19,22 7:3	Ut 2:4,6,9			
	Utah 3:16			
T	utilities 2:5 3:17,18 6:4 7:9			
table 3:13,17				
take 3:10	W			
technical 6:3	West 2:3			