In the Matter Of:

In Re: RMP - PPA with Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company

HEARING, DOCKET NO. 17-035-60

February 13, 2018

Job Number: 437323

1	BEFORE THE	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH				
2 3 4 5	Application of Rocky Mountain Power Docket No. 17-035-60 for Approval of Power Purchase Agreement Between PacifiCorp and Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company					
7		HEARING PROCEEDINGS				
8 9 10 11	TAKEN AT:	Utah Public Service Commission 4th Floor 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah				
12	DATE:	Tuesday, February 13th, 2018				
13	TIME:	9:00 a.m.				
14	REPORTER:	Mary R. Honigman, R.P.R.				
15		Job No. 437323				
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	APPEARANCES	Page	2
2	PRESIDING OFFICER: Michael Hammer		
3	FOR THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:		
4	Patricia E. Schmid 160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor		
5	Salt Lake City, Utah 84114 (801) 366-0380		
6	(001) 300 0300		
7	FOR ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER: Daniel Solander		
8	1407 West North Temple, Suite 320 Salt Lake City, Utah 84116		
9	(801) 220-4014		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

HEARING, DOCKET NO. 17-035-60 - 02/13/2018

1		Page 3
2	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4	KYLE MOORE	
5	EXAMINATION BY: MR. SOLANDER	5
6	MR. SOLANDER	5
7	JEFFREY S. EINFELDT	
8	EXAMINATION BY: MS. SCHMID	8
9	no. Demile	o l
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
24		
25		

1	Page 4 Proceedings
2	OFFICER HAMMER: Good morning,
3	everyone. This is the time and place noticed for a
4	hearing in the Application of Rocky Mountain Power
5	for Approval of Power Purchase Agreement between
6	PacifiCorp and Tesoro Refining and Marketing
7	Company, Commission Docket No. 17-035-60. My name
8	is Michael Hammer, and I'm the Commission's
9	designated presiding officer for this hearing.
10	Let's take appearances, please.
11	MR. SOLANDER: Good morning.
12	Daniel Solander, representing Rocky Mountain Power.
13	And I have with me at the counsel table, Kyle Moore,
14	power marketer and originator for Rocky Mountain
15	Power, who will be our witness today.
16	MS. SCHMID: Good morning.
17	Patricia E. Schmid with the Attorney General's
18	Office for the Division of Public Utilities; and
19	with me is Mr. Jeffrey S. Einfeldt, the Division's
20	witness.
21	OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. Is there
22	any preliminary matters we need to address? No?
23	Then I'm happy if the witnesses want to stay seated.
24	I'm assuming there won't be any cross-examination,
25	or at least not much cross-examination today.

```
Page 5
     Please go ahead, Mr. Solander.
 1
 2
                    MR. SOLANDER: Thank you. Rocky
 3
     Mountain Power calls Kyle Moore as its witness
 4
     today.
 5
                          KYLE MOORE,
 6
     having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, was
              examined and testified as follows:
 7
     BY MR. SOLANDER:
 8
 9
               Could you please state your name for the
10
     record.
11
               My name is Kyle Moore.
          Α
12
          Q
               And what is your current position with
13
     Rocky Mountain Power/PacifiCorp?
14
               I'm a power marketer originator.
               And as Rocky Mountain Power's power
15
          Q
16
     marketer originator, were you responsible for
     negotiating and having the power purchase agreement
17
     between Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company and
18
     PacifiCorp executed?
19
2.0
          Α
               Yes.
21
               And have you prepared a summary regarding
22
     the terms of that contract that you would like to
23
     present to the Commission today?
24
          Α
               Yes.
25
               Please proceed.
          Q
```

1	Page 6 A I present today for Commission approval a
2	qualifying facility power purchase agreement between
3	Tesoro Refining & Marketing Company and PacifiCorp.
4	The agreement provides for the sale to PacifiCorp of
5	energy to be generated by Tesoro up to 25 megawatts
6	from a gas-fired, cogeneration facility owned by
7	Tesoro and located in Salt Lake City, Utah. The
8	agreement is for a term of 12 months, January 1,
9	2018, to December 31, 2018. The purchase prices set
10	forth in the agreement were calculated using the
11	methodology approved by the Commission orders in
12	Docket No. 03-35-14 [sic]. The Company filed the
13	PPA for approval on November 21st, 2017. On
14	December 7th, 2017, the Company also filed for a
15	two-month extension of the then-current power
16	purchase agreement between PacifiCorp and Tesoro in
17	order to provide the parties enough time to consider
18	the new contract. The two-month extension includes
19	a pricing true-up provision in which any QF sales
20	made under the extended PPA provisions would be
21	trued-up to the rates sought for approval in this
22	docket. The two-month extension request was
23	approved by the Commission on December 18th, 2017.
24	In comments filed on January 16, 2018, the Division
25	of Public Utilities stated their belief that the PPA

Page 7 1 complied with the Commission's guidelines and 2 recommended approval. In their comments, the 3 Division also listed three items pertaining to 4 future PPA filings. First, they requested that the Company continue to provide, at least quarterly, 5 6 hourly power purchase reports. Second, they requested the Commission order the Company at the 7 time of future PPA filings to provide the Division 8 9 and Office of Consumer Services the grid outputs in Excel spreadsheets supporting the price 10 11 calculations, along with the spreadsheets showing 12 avoided line loss calculations. Finally, the 13 Division asked the Commission to order the Company 14 to timely file future PPAs in order to give the 15 Division at least 75 days to review a PPA. 16 The Company agrees to these three recommendations. The agreement is compliant with 17 relevant Commission orders and with Utah Schedule 18 38, and therefore the Company requests the 19 2.0 Commission approve the agreement. 21 0 In your opinion, are the terms of the 22 contact and the contract itself just and reasonable 23 and in the public interest? 24 Α Yes. 25 MR. SOLANDER: Thank you. I have no

Page 8 1 further questions for Mr. Moore. 2 OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. 3 Ms. Schmid? 4 MS. SCHMID: No cross-examination. 5 OFFICER HAMMER: Mr. Solander, any other witnesses? 6 7 MR. SOLANDER: No. Thank you. OFFICER HAMMER: I'm sorry, 8 Ms. Schmid, go ahead. 9 MS. SCHMID: The Division would like 10 to call Mr. Jeffrey S. Einfeldt as its witness. May 11 12 he please be sworn? 13 JEFFREY S. EINFELDT, 14 having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 15 16 BY MS. SCHMID: 17 Good morning. Would you please state your 0 full name, employer, position, and business address 18 for the record? 19 2.0 My name is Jeffrey S. Einfeldt. I am a 21 CPA and a utility analyst with the Division of 2.2 Public Utilities. I also hold certifications as a certified internal auditor and a certified fraud 23 examiner. My address is 160 East 300 South, Salt 24 25 Lake City, Utah.

```
Page 9
 1
          0
               In connection with your employment at the
 2
     Division, have you participated in this docket,
     Docket No. 17-035-60?
 3
 4
               Yes, I have.
               Could you please list some of the
 5
     activities you have engaged in on behalf of the
 6
     Division?
 7
               With regard to this docket, I have
 8
     reviewed the documents in this docket, including the
 9
     proposed agreement, and I've compared them to the
10
11
     prior year agreement.
12
               Were you involved in the preparation and
13
     subsequent filing of the Division's Action Request
14
     Response, dated January 16th, 2018?
15
          Α
               Yes.
16
               Do you have any changes or corrections to
          0
17
     make to that Action Request Response?
18
          Α
               No.
19
               Do you adopt this Action Request Response
     as your testimony today?
20
               Yes, I do.
21
          Α
2.2
                    MS. SCHMID: With that, the Division
     would like to move for the admission of the Action
23
     Request Response previously identified.
24
25
                    OFFICER HAMMER:
                                      It's admitted.
```

```
Page 10
 1
                    MS. SCHMID:
                                  Thank you.
 2.
     BY MS. SCHMID:
               Do you have a summary?
 3
          Q
 4
          Α
               Yes.
               Please proceed.
 5
               I have reviewed the documents in this
 6
          А
 7
     docket, including the proposed agreement. The terms
     and conditions of this agreement appear similar to
 8
 9
     the previous agreement with differences to the
     pricing terms and the adjustment factor for avoided
10
11
     line losses. The Division believes the terms of
12
     this agreement comply with the Commission's
13
     quidelines and order as referenced in our response.
               The Division also believes the method for
14
15
     calculating the avoided energy costs have been
16
     previously found to be just and reasonable and in
     the public interest. This concludes my comments.
17
18
               So it is your testimony today, then, that
     approving the Tesoro contract in front of the
19
20
     Commission, between PacifiCorp and Tesoro, would be
21
     just, reasonable, and in the public interest?
2.2
          Α
               Yes.
23
                    MS. SCHMID:
                                  Thank you. That is all
24
     from the Division. He's now available for
     cross-examination and questions from the hearing
25
```

OFFICER HAMMER: Mr. Solander? MR. SOLANDER: No questions. Thank you. OFFICER HAMMER: And I have none. You have no other witnesses, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: No other witnesses. OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. Let me just ask you, Mr. Solander, with the extension in the '63 docket, what is the approval date sought, with respect to the underlying agreement in this docket, in terms of the effective date of the agreement? MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February would be when the extension expires. OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have may comment on that, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to		Page 11
MR. SOLANDER: No questions. Thank 4 you. 5 OFFICER HAMMER: And I have none. 6 You have no other witnesses, Ms. Schmid? 7 MS. SCHMID: No other witnesses. 8 OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. Let me 9 just ask you, Mr. Solander, with the extension in 10 the '63 docket, what is the approval date sought, 11 with respect to the underlying agreement in this 12 docket, in terms of the effective date of the 13 agreement? 14 MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February 15 would be when the extension expires. 16 OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have 17 any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? 18 MS. SCHMID: The Division has no 19 objection to an order being issued within that time 20 frame if the Commission so desires. 21 MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, 22 for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in 23 that time, the Company would still be able to 24 true-up between the two prices beyond the February	1	officer.
OFFICER HAMMER: And I have none. You have no other witnesses, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: No other witnesses. OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. Let me just ask you, Mr. Solander, with the extension in the '63 docket, what is the approval date sought, with respect to the underlying agreement in this docket, in terms of the effective date of the agreement? MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February would be when the extension expires. OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	2	OFFICER HAMMER: Mr. Solander?
OFFICER HAMMER: And I have none. You have no other witnesses, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: No other witnesses. BOFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. Let me just ask you, Mr. Solander, with the extension in the '63 docket, what is the approval date sought, with respect to the underlying agreement in this docket, in terms of the effective date of the agreement? MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February would be when the extension expires. OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to	3	MR. SOLANDER: No questions. Thank
MS. SCHMID: No other witnesses. MS. SCHMID: Thank you. Let me just ask you, Mr. Solander, with the extension in the '63 docket, what is the approval date sought, with respect to the underlying agreement in this docket, in terms of the effective date of the agreement? MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February would be when the extension expires. OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	4	you.
MS. SCHMID: No other witnesses. OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. Let me just ask you, Mr. Solander, with the extension in the '63 docket, what is the approval date sought, with respect to the underlying agreement in this docket, in terms of the effective date of the agreement? MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February would be when the extension expires. OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	5	OFFICER HAMMER: And I have none.
OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. Let me just ask you, Mr. Solander, with the extension in the '63 docket, what is the approval date sought, with respect to the underlying agreement in this docket, in terms of the effective date of the agreement? MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February would be when the extension expires. OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	6	You have no other witnesses, Ms. Schmid?
just ask you, Mr. Solander, with the extension in the '63 docket, what is the approval date sought, with respect to the underlying agreement in this docket, in terms of the effective date of the agreement? MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February would be when the extension expires. OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	7	MS. SCHMID: No other witnesses.
the '63 docket, what is the approval date sought, with respect to the underlying agreement in this docket, in terms of the effective date of the agreement? MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February would be when the extension expires. OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	8	OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you. Let me
with respect to the underlying agreement in this docket, in terms of the effective date of the agreement? MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February would be when the extension expires. OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	9	just ask you, Mr. Solander, with the extension in
docket, in terms of the effective date of the agreement? MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February would be when the extension expires. OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	10	the '63 docket, what is the approval date sought,
13 agreement? 14 MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February 15 would be when the extension expires. 16 OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have 17 any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? 18 MS. SCHMID: The Division has no 19 objection to an order being issued within that time 20 frame if the Commission so desires. 21 MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, 22 for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in 23 that time, the Company would still be able to 24 true-up between the two prices beyond the February	11	with respect to the underlying agreement in this
MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February would be when the extension expires. OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	12	docket, in terms of the effective date of the
15 would be when the extension expires. 16 OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have 17 any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? 18 MS. SCHMID: The Division has no 19 objection to an order being issued within that time 20 frame if the Commission so desires. 21 MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, 22 for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in 23 that time, the Company would still be able to 24 true-up between the two prices beyond the February	13	agreement?
OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	14	MR. SOLANDER: So the end of February
any comment on that, Ms. Schmid? MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	15	would be when the extension expires.
MS. SCHMID: The Division has no objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	16	OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. Do you have
objection to an order being issued within that time frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	17	any comment on that, Ms. Schmid?
frame if the Commission so desires. MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	18	MS. SCHMID: The Division has no
MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if, for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	19	objection to an order being issued within that time
for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in that time, the Company would still be able to true-up between the two prices beyond the February	20	frame if the Commission so desires.
23 that time, the Company would still be able to 24 true-up between the two prices beyond the February	21	MR. SOLANDER: I would add that if,
24 true-up between the two prices beyond the February	22	for some reason, the order wasn't forthcoming in
	23	that time, the Company would still be able to
	24	true-up between the two prices beyond the February
25 time frame if that was what the Commission ordered.	25	time frame if that was what the Commission ordered.

HEARING, DOCKET NO. 17-035-60 - 02/13/2018

```
Page 12
 1
                     OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you, everyone.
     We're adjourned.
 2
             (The hearing concluded at 9:10 a.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	Page 13 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF UTAH)
3	COUNTY OF SUMMIT)
4	
5	I, Mary R. Honigman, a Registered Professional
6	Reporter, hereby certify:
7	THAT the foregoing proceedings were taken before
8	me at the time and place set forth in the caption hereof;
9	that the witnesses were placed under oath to tell the truth,
10	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that the
11	proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and
12	thereafter my notes were transcribed through computer-aided
13	transcription; and the foregoing transcript constitutes a
14	full, true, and accurate record of such testimony adduced
15	and oral proceedings had, and of the whole thereof.
16	I have subscribed my name on this 15th day of
17	February, 2018.
18	
19	Mary R. Monigman
20	Registered Professional Reporter #972887
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

Index: 03-35-14..BEFORE

0	21st 6:13	7th 6:14	add 11:21	appear 10:8
03-35-14 6:12	25 6:5	8	address 4:22 8:18,24	appearances 2:1 4:10
1	3	8 3:8	adjourned	Application
1 6:8	300 1:9 2:4 8:24	801 220-4014 2:9	12:2 adjustment 10:10	1:2 4:4 approval 1:3 4:5 6:1,13,21
12 6:8	31 6:9	801 366-0380 2:5	admission	7:2 11:10
13th 1:12	320 2:8	84114 2:5	9:23	approve 7:20
1407 2:8	38 7:19	84116 2:8	admitted 9:25	approved 6:11,23
16 6:24	4	9	adopt 9:19	approving 10:19
160 8:24	437323 1:15	9:00 1:13	agreement 1:3 4:5 5:17 6:2,4,8,10,16	assuming
16th 9:14	4th 1:9	9:10 12:3	7:17,20 9:10, 11 10:7,8,9,	4:24
17-035-60 1:2 4:7 9:3	5	A	12 11:11,13 agrees 7:16	Attorney 4:17
18th 6:23	5 3:5		ahead 5:1 8:9	auditor 8:23
2	6	a.m. 1:13 12:3	all 10:23	available 10:24
2017 6:13,14, 23	63 11:10	able 11:23 Action 9:13,	along 7:11	avoided 7:12 10:10,15
2018 1:12 6:9, 24 9:14	7	17,19,23 activities 9:6	also 6:14 7:3 8:22 10:14	В
	75 7:15		analyst 8:21	BEFORE 1:1

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

HEARING, DOCKET NO. 17-035-60 - 02/13/2018

Index: behalf..employment

behalf 9:6	8:22	9:10	costs 10:15	Division 2:3 4:18 6:24 7:3,
being 11:19	certified 8:23	compliant 7:17	counsel 4:13	8,13,15 8:10, 21 9:2,7,22 10:11,14,24
belief 6:25	changes 9:16	complied 7:1	CPA 8:21	11:18
believes 10:11,14	City 1:10 2:5, 8 6:7 8:25	comply 10:12	cross- examination 4:24,25 8:4	Division's 4:19 9:13
between 1:3 4:5 5:18 6:2, 16 10:20	cogeneration 6:6	concluded 12:3	10:25 current 5:12	docket 1:2 4:7 6:12,22 9:2,3,8,9 10:7
11:24 beyond 11:24	comment 11:17	concludes 10:17	D	11:10,12 documents
business 8:18	comments 6:24 7:2 10:17	conditions 10:8	Daniel 2:7 4:12	9:9 10:6 duly 5:6 8:14
c	Commission 1:1,8 4:7 5:23	connection 9:1	date 1:12 11:10,12	E
calculated 6:10	6:1,11,23 7:7, 13,18,20 10:20 11:20, 25	consider 6:17 Consumer	dated 9:14	East 1:9 2:4 8:24
calculating		7:9	days 7:15	effective
10:15	Commission' s 4:8 7:1 10:12	contact 7:22	December 6:9,14,23	11:12
calculations 7:11,12	Company 1:4	continue 7:5	designated 4:9	Einfeldt 3:7 4:19 8:11,13, 20
call 8:11	4:7 5:18 6:3, 12,14 7:5,7, 13,16,19 11:23	contract 5:22 6:18 7:22 10:19	desires 11:20	employer 8:18
calls 5:3	compared	corrections 9:16	differences 10:9	employment 9:1

HEARING, DOCKET NO. 17-035-60 - 02/13/2018

Index: end..least

end 11:14	F	frame 11:20, 25	Н	interest 7:23 10:17,21
energy 6:5 10:15	facility 6:2,6	fraud 8:23	Hammer 2:2 4:2,8,21 8:2,	internal 8:23
engaged 9:6	factor 10:10	front 10:19	5,8 9:25 11:2, 5,8,16 12:1	involved 9:12
enough 6:17	February 1:12 11:14,24	full 8:18	happy 4:23	issued 11:19
everyone 4:3 12:1	Fifth 2:4	further 8:1	having 5:6,17 8:14	items 7:3
EXAMINATIO N 3:2,5,8	file 7:14	future 7:4,8, 14	hearing 1:7	J
examined 5:7	filed 6:12,14, 24	G	4:4,9 10:25 12:3	January 6:8, 24 9:14
8:15	filing 9:13	gas-fired 6:6	hold 8:22	Jeffrey 3:7
examiner 8:24	filings 7:4,8	General's 4:17	Honigman 1:14	4:19 8:11,13, 20
Excel 7:10	Finally 7:12	generated 6:5	hourly 7:6	Job 1:15
executed 5:19	first 5:6 7:4 8:14	give 7:14	1	К
expires 11:15	Floor 1:9 2:4	Good 4:2,11, 16 8:17	identified 9:24	Kyle 3:4 4:13 5:3,5,11
extended 6:20	follows 5:7 8:15	grid 7:9	includes 6:18	L
extension 6:15,18,22 11:9,15	forthcoming 11:22	guidelines 7:1 10:13	including 9:9 10:7	Lake 1:10 2:5, 8 6:7 8:25
	found 10:16		INDEX 3:2	least 4:25 7:5,

Index: Let..prices

15	May 8:11	negotiating 5:17	orders 6:11 7:18	15,17 6:2,15 7:6
Let 11:8	megawatts 6:5	new 6:18	originator	Power's 5:15
like 5:22 8:10 9:23	method 10:14	none 11:5	4:14 5:14,16 outputs 7:9	Power/ pacificorp
line 7:12 10:11	methodology 6:11	North 2:8	owned 6:6	5:13
list 9:5	Michael 2:2	noticed 4:3	P	PPA 6:13,20, 25 7:4,8,15
listed 7:3	4:8	November 6:13]	PPAS 7:14
located 6:7	months 6:8	O	Pacificorp 1:3 4:6 5:19	preliminary
loss 7:12	Moore 3:4 4:13 5:3,5,11		6:3,4,16 10:20	4:22
losses 10:11	8:1	objection 11:19	participated 9:2	preparation 9:12
M	morning 4:2, 11,16 8:17	Office 4:18 7:9	parties 6:17	prepared 5:21
	Mountain 1:2 2:7 4:4,12,14		Patricia 2:4	present 5:23
made 6:20	5:3,13,15	officer 2:2 4:2,9,21 8:2, 5,8 9:25 11:1,	4:17	6:1
make 9:17	move 9:23	2,5,8,16 12:1	pertaining 7:3	presiding 2:2 4:9
marketer 4:14 5:14,16	much 4:25	opinion 7:21	place 4:3	previous 10:9
Marketing 1:4 4:6 5:18 6:3	N	order 6:17 7:7,13,14 10:13 11:19,	position 5:12 8:18	previously 9:24 10:16
Mary 1:14	name 4:7 5:9, 11 8:18,20	22 ordered	power 1:2,3 2:7 4:4,5,12,	price 7:10
matters 4:22	need 4:22	11:25	14,15 5:3,14,	prices 6:9

Index: pricing..Suite

11:24	Q Q	Refining 1:4 4:6 5:18 6:3	reviewed 9:9 10:6	showing 7:11
pricing 6:19 10:10	QF 6:19	regard 9:8	Rocky 1:2 2:7 4:4,12,14 5:2,	sic 6:12
prior 9:11	qualifying 6:2	regarding 5:21	13,15	similar 10:8
proceed 5:25 10:5	quarterly 7:5	relevant 7:18	s	Solander 2:7 3:5 4:11,12 5:1,2,8 7:25
Proceedings	questions 8:1 10:25 11:3	REPORTER 1:14	sale 6:4	8:5,7 11:2,3, 9,14,21
1.7 4.1	R	1.14	sales 6:19	sorry 8:8
proposed 9:10 10:7		reports 7:6	Salt 1:10 2:5,	sought 6:21
provide 6:17	R.P.R. 1:14	representing 4:12	8 6:7 8:24	11:10
7:5,8	rates 6:21	request 6:22	Schedule 7:18	South 1:9 2:4 8:24
provides 6:4	reason 11:22	9:13,17,19,24	Schmid 2:4	spreadsheets
provision 6:19	reasonable	requested 7:4,7	3:8 4:16,17 8:3,4,9,10,16	7:10,11
	7:22 10:16,21	,	9:22 10:1,2, 23 11:6,7,17,	state 5:9 8:17
provisions 6:20	recommendat ions 7:17	requests 7:19	18	stated 6:25
public 1:1,8 2:3 4:18 6:25	recommende	respect 11:11	seated 4:23	stay 4:23
7:23 8:22 10:17,21	d 7:2	response 9:14,17,19,24	Second 7:6	still 11:23
,	record 5:10 8:19	10:13	Service 1:1,8	subsequent
purchase 1:3 4:5 5:17 6:2, 9,16 7:6	referenced	responsible 5:16	Services 7:9	9:13
3,107.0	10:13	review 7:15	set 6:9	Suite 2:8

Index: summary..year

summary 5:21 10:3	their 6:25 7:2	U	4:23 8:6 11:6, 7	
supporting 7:10	then-current 6:15	under 6:20	Y	
sworn 5:6 8:12,14	therefore 7:19	underlying 11:11	year 9:11	
т	three 7:3,16	using 6:10		
table 4:13	time 1:13 4:3 6:17 7:8 11:19,23,25	Utah 1:1,8,10 2:5,8 6:7 7:18 8:25		
take 4:10	timely 7:14	Utilities 2:3 4:18 6:25		
TAKEN 1:8	today 4:15,25 5:4,23 6:1	8:22		
Temple 2:8	9:20 10:18	utility 8:21		
term 6:8	true-up 6:19 11:24	w		
terms 5:22 7:21 10:7,10,	trued-up 6:21	want 4:23		
11 11:12	truth 5:6 8:14	West 2:8		
Tesoro 1:4 4:6 5:18 6:3, 5,7,16 10:19,	Tuesday 1:12	will 4:15		
20	two 11:24	within 11:19		
testified 5:7 8:15	two-month 6:15,18,22	witness 3:3 4:15,20 5:3 8:11		
testimony 9:20 10:18		witnesses		